FH | JOANNEUM
University of Applied Sciences

Direct Peer to Peer Communication on iOS Devices

When mediator based technology is not available

Bachelor's Thesis

submitted in conformity with the requirements for the degree of

Bachelor of Science in Engineering (BSc)

Bachelor's degree programme **Software Design and Cloud Computing**

FH JOANNEUM (University of Applied Sciences), Kapfenberg

Supervisor: DI Johannes Feiner

Submitted by: Matthias Bartholomäus

February 2025

Abstract

Modern mobile devices can make use of a wide variety of communication technologies. Besides having different applicabilities and protocols, standards like Bluetooth, WiFi or 5G need to be wireless to seamlessly transfer data. In resent years global economic demand has impacted research and development to vastly improve data transmission and hardware on smartphones. As of 2024 this led to over 4 billion smartphones users worldwide (Department, 2024), 27% covered by iOS. Unfortunately most of the communication methods used on smartphones all rely on mediators. Be it a router in a local network or a cell tower in a cellular network, without these nodes a connection between two peers can not be established, no matter how close neighboring devices may be. However in scenarios where the required infrastructure is not available, communication between two mobile iOS devices can be established via Bluetooth or ad hoc WiFi since these do not required pre-existing infrastructure and purely rely on local radio broadcast, whereas the latter is recommended to use. Due to the latest advancements in these technologies, it is unclear how good direct Peer to Peer (P2P) networks work under different surroundings and how the choice of the transport protocol affects the connection. In this thesis, an iOS prototype is developed, that connects two peers via Apple Wireless Direct Link (AWDL) and measures metrics that describe the quality of the connection like Round Trip Time (RTT), Jitter, data speed and more. The results show that AWDL achieves the best metrics when tested in surroundings with low radio frequency pollution. Prototype measurements also show that UDP achieves the fastest data rates, with a compromise on data loss. In consideration of the findings, it can be stated that AWDL on iOS devices is not yet ready for wide area communication or building reliable mesh networks, but can be utilized for low distance applications.

Keywords: FHJ, SWD, iOS, peer-to-peer, ad-hoc, smartphone, Apple

Kurzfassung

Moderne Mobilgeräte können eine Vielzahl an Kommunikationstechnologien nutzen. Neben unterschiedlichen Anwendungsmöglichkeiten und Protokollen müssen Standards wie Bluetooth, WiFi oder 5G drahtlos sein, um Daten im modernen Kontext übertragen zu können. In den letzten Jahren hat sich die weltweite wirtschaftliche Nachfrage auf die Forschung und Entwicklung ausgewirkt, und so die Datenübertragung und die Hardware von Smartphones erheblich verbessert. Dies hat dazu geführt, dass im Jahr 2024 weltweit über 4 Milliarden Smartphones genutzt werden (Department, 2024), 27 % davon unter iOS. Leider sind die meisten der auf Smartphones verwendeten Kommunikationsmethoden auf Infrastruktur angewiesen. Ein Router in einem lokalen Netz oder ein Sendemast in einem Mobilfunknetz, ohne diese Knoten kann keine Verbindung zwischen zwei Endgeräten hergestellt werden, egal wie nah diese sein mögen. In diesen Szenarien kann die Kommunikation zwischen zwei mobilen iOS-Geräten über Bluetooth oder Ad-hoc-WiFi hergestellt werden, da diese keine bereits vorhandene Infrastruktur benötigen und sich ausschließlich auf lokale Broadcasts stützen, wobei letztere Technologie empfohlen wird. Aufgrund der jüngsten Fortschritte bei diesen Technologien ist unklar, wie gut direkte P2P-Netzwerke in verschiedenen Umgebungen funktionieren und wie die Wahl des Transportprotokolls die Verbindung beeinflusst. In dieser Arbeit wird ein iOS-Prototyp entwickelt, der zwei Endgeräte über Apple Wireless Direct Link (AWDL) verbindet und Metriken misst, die die Qualität der Verbindung beschreiben, wie Round Trip Time (RTT), Jitter, Datengeschwindigkeit und mehr. Die Ergebnisse zeigen, dass AWDL die besten Werte erzielt, wenn es in einer Umgebung mit geringer Funkfrequenzbelastung getestet wird. Messungen des Prototypen zeigen auch, dass UDP die schnellste Datenübertragungsrate erreicht, allerdings mit einem Kompromiss bei den Datenverlusten. Ein Resümee zeigt, dass AWDL auf iOS-Geräten noch nicht für die Weitbereichskommunikation oder den Aufbau zuverlässiger Mesh-Netzwerke geeignet ist, aber für Anwendungen mit geringer Entfernung eingesetzt werden kann.

Contents

Contents

List of Figures

List of Tables

List of Listings

List of Listings

Optionally, you might add an **Acknowledgements** section (in German **Danksagung**) to say thank you or give credits to someone.

Optionally, you might add an **Acknowledgements** section (in German **Danksagung**) to say thank you or give credits to someone.

1 | Introduction

Nowadays communication between smartphones or tablets invariably relies on infrastructure. In most homes in developed countries one can find a local network advertised by WiFi technology and handled by a router. Being outside and not connected to a local network, mobile devices communicate with cellular towers that give access to a big underlying network managed by an ISP. Wherever we go, our mobile devices are connected, but relying on infrastructure that is not necessarily available all the time. Different reasons can lead to restricted or broken infrastructure which, in absence of ad-hoc technologies would break all communication. In countries with governmental protests cellular networks might be restricted or monitored, infrastructure could be overwhelmed, due to a high number of people trying to access the system simultaniously or environmental disasters could take down cellular towers or their power supply. In all these cases users would highly profit from ad-hoc networks, that do not merely rely on any external dependencies.

Nowadays many different technologies exist to gain access to wide area ad-hoc connections like LTE-Direct or LoraWan. Unfortunately these technologies are not yet supported in smartphones and there is no guarantee that they will ever be. However modern smartphones improved support for local peer-to-peer (P2P) technologies in recent years, that allow devices to directly communicate via local radio link broadcasts. This could improve connectivity in the aforementioned cases or enable scenarios in which numerous end devices connect together, to form a wide spread mesh network. The first technology that comes to mind is Bluetooth. First introduced in 1998 has already been used in mobile computing the last decades, but due to limited data transfer rates a new approach started to enter the market. These days WiFi is predominantly used to facilitate local P2P connections between smartphones, whereby unfortunately every mobile operating system has created its own version which makes communication between different vendors complex. Furthermore, the extent to which these technologies can provide stable data transfer and the quality with which they can do so, remains unclear or poorly documented. Since this information is essential for a further evaluation of the feasibility of applications based on direct P2P connections, this thesis aims to address the existing uncertainty by measuring metrics that can assist the evaluation of analogous applications.

As of 2024 4 billion people worldwide are carrying smartphones (Department, 2024) with them. Twenty seven percent of them are developed and manufactured by one of the most valuable companies in the world. Since 2007, when the first iPhone was released on 27th of June Apple has sold more than 2.3 billion devices. This makes iOS one of the most used mobile operating systems worldwide with a current market share of 27%. iOS also utilizes its own implementation of a P2P WiFi protocol which is strongly used by Apples Continuity which bundles applications like AirDrop or AirPlay, Universal Clipboard, Handoff or WiFi password sharing for contacts that want to join your network.

Apples P2P WiFi implementation is called Apple Wireless Direct Link (AWDL) and is an undocumented protocol that is based on the 802.11 ad-hoc WiFi standard which did not live up to its expectations. This protocol is not only used internally by Continuity but is also accessible to iOS application developers via different frameworks that operate on different layers of abstraction.

One of these frameworks is called Multipeer Connectivity. Newport describes it as an implementation of the mobile telephone model 2017 in his article about gossip in smartphone P2P networks. Apple states, the framework "supports the discovery of services provided by nearby devices and supports communicating with those services through message-based data, streaming data, and resources (such as files). In iOS, the framework uses infrastructure Wi-Fi networks, peer-to-peer Wi-Fi, and Bluetooth personal area networks for the underlying transport. In macOS and tvOS, it uses infrastructure Wi-Fi, peer-to-peer Wi-Fi, and Ethernet." Contrary to this excerpt of the documentation, tests and information gathered from Apple's developer forum conclude that Mulipeer Connectivty does not support Bluetooth for P2P networking anymore and got disabled with the release of iOS 11. In an approach to give a brief overview about Apples networking APIs, Apple describes Multipeer Connectivity as a high-level interface to Apples P2P WiFi support and also introduces the Network Framework, which is considered as a low-level interface by Apple engineers. Apples Documentation states developers should use this framework when they need direct access to protocols like TLS, TCP, and UDP for their custom application protocols. The Network framework has opt-in support for P2P connection establishment and also does not support connecting via Bluetooth, which is accessible through the Core Bluetooth Framework.

Although Bluetooth is more versatile, compatible with devices from other vendors and has improved range and speed in mobile end devices in recent years, AWDL is the recommended technology to establish direct links between iOS devices.

Most of networking in mobile devices relies on the TCP/IP protocol suite. It splits transferring data into Application, Transport, Internet, and Link Layers, each playing a specific role in ensuring data transmission.

The Application Layer is responsible for encapsulating domain specific data and is the top most of layers, which passes data down to the Transport Layer. It ensures that data is transmitted reliably using protocols like TCP for error-checked delivery and congestions control via Congestive-Avoidance Algorithms (CAA) or UDP for faster and connectionless communication. This layer breaks the data into smaller segments and passes them to the Internet Layer, which is responsible for logical addressing and routing network traffic. In 2012 another Transport protocol was developed by engineers at Google called QUIC. It is built upon UDP and is supposed to obsolete TCP for applications that rely on ordered and error checked data because of its faster connection establishment and built in encryption. Apple added support for QUIC to the Networking Framework starting form iOS 15.

After all the described aspects have been taken into consideration, the Networking Framework, included in the iOS SDK is used to establish and test the quality of P2P AWDL connections between iOS devices. The tests will alternate using TCP, UDP and QUIC in different surroundings that represent typical locations, varying in crowd density or general ambient radiofrequency electromagnetic field (RF-EMF) levels.

1.1 Research Definition

This research should help assess feasibility of applications that want to utilise AWDL. It will compare different transport layer protocols (TCP, UDP and QUIC) in different locations that represent common places (City, Underground, Field and Forrest) to cover various characteristics of real life scenarios. The tests will vary in distance, size of packages and number of packages. The Networking Framework is used to quantify the connection quality through metrics like RTT, jitter, package loss and data transfer speed.

Research Questions

Which aspects influence the P2P AWDL connection quality on iOS devices and how far can it reach?

Hypothesis

H_1

P2P AWDL connection quality on iOS devices depends on the surroundings and functions worse in crowded areas.

H_2

P2P AWDL connection quality on iOS devices depends on the transport layer protocol.

Method

To measure the stated connection metrics a prototype application will be developed for the iOS platform that will serve as a tool to measure the connection quality. The metrics will be precisely defined in the test protocol in Section 5 after describing the implementation details of the prototype. Measurement of connection quality will be purely based on values captured through the Network Framework by the prototype app itself. The characteristics of the environment will be described based on human perceive and measured with suitable methods.

1.2 Summary

Nowadays Smartphones mostly rely on infrastructure networks. As this is a strong dependency that could vastly limit access and advancements in P2P connection soft- and hardware have emerged in recent years it is unclear which connection qualities these methods can produce. Therefore a prototype application developed for the iOS platform serves as a utility for measuring selected metrics.

2 | Related Work

The following is a non-comprehensive discussion of previous research in peer-to-peer technologies in the mobile context. After covering historic considerations of MANET research and D2D communication in cellular networks via standards like LTE-Direct or 5G New radio (NR) Sidelink (SL), a deeper introduction to Apples ecosystem and AWDL is given, where a lot is based on the OWL project from the TU Darmstadt which reverse engineered the AWDL protocol and found several security concerns. Open it up again a bit for not only ios and then at the end going again deeper in the ios section with TU darmstadt

2.1 History of MANET

Already back in 2001 the Proem project (Kortuem, Schneider, Preuitt, *et al.*, 2002) examined different aspects of peer-to-peer applications for mobile ad hoc networks (MANET) to enable proximity-based collaboration. In particular, Proem was an approach to provide high-level support for mobile peer-to-peer application developers and was tested by students of the University of Oregon, whilst creating an MP3 file-sharing system. They already noticed the trend for an ever-larger becoming applicability of personal mobile devices for data sharing but listed resources of mobile devices among other possible limitations. This facet has vastly changed since then and several new ideas like ShAir (Dubois, Bando, Watanabe, *et al.*, 2013), a middleware infrasructure for peer-to-peer sharing between mobile devices or mFerio (Balan, Ramasubbu, Prakobphol, *et al.*, 2009), a peer-to-peer mobile payment system have emerged.

Balan, Ramasubbu, Prakobphol, *et al.* working on mFerio already noticed the problem that mobile devices rely too heavily on static infrastructure. Back then cell phones have already become popular tools that combined calendars, address books, messaging or cameras. The increasing need to use them as a payment vehicle has become ever larger and the authors questioned the state of the art implemtations back then. In particular mobile payment solution required constantly stable connections via either SMS or GSM/CDMA based technologies which were connected to a backend payment server. They noticed that these implementation, which were to heavily relying on external systems could not replace cash based systems and aimed to develop a decentraliced approach based on NFC. The goal of their larger term project aimed to create a digital wallet for cellphones which would allow users to store everything on the device which was in their physical wallets, like credit cards, identification or tickets. The applicabilies of this project strongly remind of the Apple Wallet, which was introduced in iOS 6 in 2012 and also leverages NFC.

Some years later in 2013 ShAir was developed as a structured software engineering project written in Java that used WiFi technology on Android devices to share data between them. While Wifi-direct and Bluetooth were also accessible to the developers, they decided to use a combination of WiFi AP mode and WiFi Client mode in a random fashion to create dynamic networks and discover nearby peers because devices would not allow the former without active user interaction. They tested the app

by sharing pictures among 12 devices from several vendors using no fixed existing infrastructure. This project also strongly reminds on apple propreitary software, namely AirDrop which has been released by Apple in 2011.

Since then support for direct peer-to-peer connections has matured on various mobile operating systems, including iOS and its Multipeer Connectivity Framework which allows nodes to advertise itself, discover nearby advertisers and attempt to connect to detected nearby advertiser. The concept of that model of motivated Newport to develop a formal definition and comparison of gossip algorithms. He describes and analyses differences in algorithm parameters and how they influence data spreading in a MANET where the goal is that messages spread to entire network (2017). The author claims that these algorithms can help to establish peer-to-peer meshes that support infrastructure-free networking and communication and takes the discontinued FireChat application as an example which offered group chats using smartphone peer-to-peer services such as Bluetooth, WiFi and the Multipeer Connectivity Framework. This application has been adopted in multiple governmental protest or festivals that were located out of reach of cell towers.

2.2 D2D in cellular networks

Although a lot of research exists on D2D communication in cellular networks, most of it is done in a military use case (Gamboa, Ben Mosbah, Garey, *et al.*, 2023), like unmanned aerial vehicles (UVA) or public safety networks (Gamboa, Henderson, Garey, *et al.*, 2024), like vehicle to everything (V2X). Most of this research builds upon 5G New Radio (NR) Sidelink (SL) which has implemented protocol support for vehicle to everything and Proximity Services (ProSe) for public safety networks which allows user equipment to directly talk to each other without the interferance of a base station (gNB). Although approaches existed to also introduce D2D communication to the commercial markets back in 2014 by Qualcomm and Condoluci, Militano, Orsino, *et al.* back in 2015 proved that LTE-Direct, which preceded 5G SL, has some energy and scaling benefits over WiFi-Direct, no support for this technology is given on mobile smartphones Eskimo answer. Like also pointet out by the authors of this critical review of mobile device-to-device communication (Desauw, Luxey-Bitri, Raes, *et al.*, 2023).

2.3 Apple Ecosystem and TU Darmstadt

As already mentioned, the OWL project by Secure Mobile Networking Lab (SEEMOO) by TU darmstadt contributed several papers to research on Apple's wireless ecosystem (Stute, Kreitschmann and Hollick, 2018a). Their goal was to assess security and privacy as well asw enable cross-platform compatibility with other vendors. They started to investigate AWDL in an approach to reverse engineere the 802.11 Wifi based protocol and developed an open source application that implements Apples AWDL. The authors used binary and runtime analyses to reconstruct the daemons and frameworks involved in communicating via AWDL and found that each AWDL node announces a sequence of Availability Windows indicationg that it is ready to communicate with other AWDL nodes. In the process they als found several security issues which allowed an attacker to access the macOS WiFi dirver interface which could lead to DoS attacks (Stute, Kreitschmann and Hollick, 2018b).

In 2019 and 2021 after finding the first vulnerabilities when reverse engineering the AWDL protocol they dedicated a seperate paper on researching security and privacy issues in this protocol. The study uncovers multiple vulnerabilities related to both design flaws and implementation bugs. One of the major findings is the possibility of a man-in-the-middle (MitM) attack, which allows an attacker to

stealthily modify files transferred via AirDrop. Additionally, the study identifies denial-of-service (DoS) vulnerabilities that can disrupt communication or force the sudden crash of all nearby devices. The research also reveals privacy weaknesses that allow attackers to track users over extended periods, effectively bypassing MAC address randomization. The vulnerabilities were discovered through a combination of reverse engineering, code analysis, and patent examination. To demonstrate the feasibility of these attacks, the researchers developed proof-of-concept implementations using inexpensive hardware, including a 20 dollar micro:bit device. Following responsible disclosure, Apple addressed one of the DoS attack vulnerabilities. However, the researchers highlight that several of the identified security and privacy risks require fundamental redesigns of some of Apple's services to be fully mitigated. The study ultimately highlights critical security flaws in AWDL, demonstrating the potential impact on over a billion Apple devices and emphasizing the need for stronger security measures and protocol improvements Onebillionopeninterfaces Disrupting continuity.

In 2020 Ian Beer a british computer securtiy expert and white hat hacker, inspired by the work of TU Darmstadt found another severe security issue in AWDL which could remotely trigger an unauthenticated kernel memory corruption that lead to all iOS devices in radio proximity to reboot. He also describes how this issue can lead to a vulnerability that lets an attacker run any code on nearby iOS devices and steal all user information. atIanBeer_2020

Focusing on the Apple ecosystem the Open Wireless Link (OWL) project form TU Darmstadt has made some important contributions to this research field. While reverse engineering the AWDL protocol and investigating Apples wireless ecosystem they found several security concerns and developed useful applications they published as open source software on GitHub.

In 2021 the OWL project found that during the AirDrop authentication handshake with nearby devices, vulnerable hash values of the user's own phone number and email adresses are exchanged. The authors describe two theoretical attacks to exploit these vulnerabilities and propose an application named PrivateDrop to

The study reveals a privacy vulnerability in Apple's AirDrop file-sharing service, which leaks phone numbers and email addresses by exchanging hash values of a user's contact information during the authentication handshake with nearby devices. These hashes are vulnerable to brute-force attacks, allowing attackers to retrieve the original contact details. In a paper presented at USENIX Security'21, researchers describe two attack methods to exploit these vulnerabilities and introduce "PrivateDrop", a privacy-focused alternative to AirDrop that uses private set intersection to enhance security. To demonstrate the severity of the issue, the researchers developed a proof-of-concept attack, showing that an attacker within Wi-Fi range and physical proximity can efficiently extract sensitive user information. The study highlights serious privacy and security implications, including the risk of spear phishing attacks and the ability to map contact identifiers to specific locations using multiple strategically placed "collector" devices. For their proof-of-concept, the researchers created a custom rainbow table that allows them to reverse SHA-256 hashes of phone numbers within milliseconds. They explore the trade-off between success rate and storage requirements for such attacks. After responsibly disclosing their findings to Apple, the team published their proof-of-concept tool, "Air-Collect," on GitHub, demonstrating the feasibility of large-scale contact data collection. The findings underscore critical privacy risks in AirDrop, emphasizing the need for stronger security measures to prevent unauthorized access to user information.

DEMO: AirCollect: Efficiently Recovering Hashed Phone Numbers Leaked via Apple AirDrop. 2021

Disrupting Continuity of Apple's Wireless Ecosystem Security: New Tracking, DoS, and MitM Attacks on iOS and macOS Through Bluetooth Low Energy, AWDL, and Wi-Fi. 2021

PrivateDrop: Practical Privacy-Preserving Authentication for Apple AirDrop. 2021

Welcome to the Open Wireless Link (OWL) project. We are researchers from the Secure Mobile Networking Lab at TU Darmstadt looking into Apple's wireless ecosystem. Our goal is to assess security and privacy as well as enable cross-platform compatibility for next-generation wireless applications. We started by investigating the Apple Wireless Direct Link (AWDL) protocol and will go beyond. You can read our publications and use our open source code projects. If you have questions or would like to collaborate, feel free to contact us.

TODO: LTE Direct mystery and 5G NR sidelink mystery, why do mobile phones not have access to these technologies? at least the app developers do not have access to that most of this technology is used in vehicles and autonomous driving, C-V2X, seems like these direct communication technologies are not available on modern smartphones and mostly used in public safety or automotive applications, look for sources and write a paragraph about this and its use cases

TODO: write a paragraph about newer approaches about wifi direct or bluetooth on smartphones and mobile devices

Open wireless link TU darmstadt

2.4 Summary

3 | Background

3.1 Infrastructure Networks

3.2 Ad-hoc Networks

This should better be part of introduction Describe that all of mobile connections use mediators and why this happend like it and why it is beneficial (bigger antennas that can handle weaker signals and send stronger signals) describe what has changes in the last years on apples platform and why non-mediator communication got so important for apples ecosystem, apple watch pairing, clone app to mac (when opened on iphone, eg. calendar), cmd+c and cmd+v via across iphone and mac what is lorawan and how could it be used one day in smartphones and mobile computing describe why peertopeer wlan or bluetooth is not yet suitable for long distance communications, also mention the longest wlan connection, 273km with bigger antennas

describe what was used on ios device what frameworks exist. how bluetooth was used and how wifi is now used for ptp connections

3.3 Satellite phones

ESA organisation claims that more and more space junk destroys modern satellites and this can lead to outages too.

3.4 Starlink Direct to Cell

Figure 1: Abstract structure of Starlink's network.

3.5 OSI Transport layer

3.5.1 TCP

3.5.1.1 Nagle's algorithm

3.5.2 UDP

3.5.3 QUIC

3.6 Ad-hoc technologies

While and solve the issue of dead spots, they also rely on infrastructure. The following is an incomprehensive list of ad hoc technologies.

3.6.1 WiFi

3.6.2 Bluetooth

3.6.3 LoraWan

3.6.4 LTE-Direct and 5G Sidelink

3.6.4.1 gNB

3.6.5 NFC

3.7 Ad-hoc on iPhones

3.7.1 Bonjour

3.7.2 Multipeer Connectivity

3.7.3 Networking Framework

3.7.4 AWDL

biggest kernel module in iOS

3.7.5 Websockets

3.8 Wireless communication on iPhones

this heading will focus more on wireless communication in general not per se ad hoc so software here will include

3.8.1 Hardware

Differences of wifi antennas and cellular antennas, where they are located and how they operate power of cellular antennas vs wifi antennas of iphones, frequency spectrum of these technologies, what is the real difference which makes the one so much wider than the other, wifi and bluetooth go over the same chip/antenna on iphone

3.8.2 Software

packages like Networking framework, BSD Sockets or URL Session...

In the background section you might give explanations which are necessary to read the remainder of the thesis. For example define and/or explain the terms used. Optionally, you might provide a glossary (index of terms used with/without explanations).

Hints for equations in Typst:

Mathematical formulas are (embedded in \$) in Typst. For example:

The notation used for **calculating** of *code performance* might typically look like shown in Equation 1, i.e. the first one for **slow** in Eq. I and the other one for **very slow** in Eq. II.

$$O(n) = n^2 \tag{I}$$

$$O(n) = 2^n \tag{II}$$

Equation 1: Equations calculate the performance.

In the text we refer multiple times to ϕ. We define it to be calcultated as shown here:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d &= 24 - 10 - 7 - \sqrt{3} \\
d &= 14 - 7 - \sqrt{3} \\
d &= 7 - \sqrt{3}
\end{aligned}
\tag{III}
$$

$$\phi := \frac{d}{3} \tag{IV}$$

Equation 2: A custom definition of ϕ allows to shorten upcoming equations.

The Equation 2 explains (for the single steps see Eq. III and Eq. IV) how the overall ϕ is calculated to be used in the upcoming formulas of this thesis.

4 | Concept

The following describes an overall concept on how the evaluation of measuring quality metrics of peer to peer connection between iOS devices is done. It will cover an abstract description of the application design and the used software packages, as well as how testing is implemented.

4.1 Overall Idea

The overall idea to evaluate the quality of direct connection between mobile iOS devices is to build a prototype application that measures data transfer on the OSI application layer. During testing, the application is simultaniously run on two iOS machines that connect via AWDL and measure the connection metrics. The measurements taken during the data transfer will then be displayed, ready to be documented by the tester for later evaluation.


Figure 2: Abstract representation of scientific concept

4.2 Prototype

4.3 Layers

Although the application code can not be exactly grouped into the following categories, for understand the testing structure and how the data is collected the application is best presented from an abstract perspective described in layers. For this model the application can be divided into an `User Interface`, `Logic`, `Measuring` and `Networking` layer.

image from layers

4.3.1 User Interface

The `User Interface` layer is developed using `SwiftUI` and serves the presentation of different interaction points, the tester can interact with to control the underlying workings.

4.3.2 Logic

The next underlying `Logic` layer serves as a gateway between the user input and the underlying `Measuring` and `Networking` logic. The composition of the first two layers follow the MVVM frontend software pattern, whereas the `Logic` layer corresponds to the view model (VM) part.

image from mvvm

4.3.3 Measuring

The measuring layer is highly intervened with the networking layer and therefore the most abstract of these listed. It sits upon the receiving and sending functions of the networking layer and is built to minimize computational delays.

4.3.4 Networking

The networking layer is built to abstract the communication with the wifi module via the networking framework which is included in the iOS SDK. The measuremnt logic is tightly integrated but the sole responsibility of the networking layer is to parse raw data to communicate with the Networkign API and read header lengths to distinguish between different package types. These different package types will be more precisely covered in implementation.

4.4 Server and Client in one App

4.5 Technical scope

As already mentioned in the Section 1 the prototype will compare several transport protocols and their effects on data transfer between two iOS devices. In particular TCP, UDP and QUIC will be compared side by side under the same conditions and with the same connections metrics.

I am testing quic, tcp, udp and comparing them...

4.6 Testing

They will be compared in different surroundings which generally differ in electromagnetic field levels, which could also influence error rates and data transfer speeds. Comparison of ambient radiofrequency electromagnetic field (RF-EMF) levels in outdoor areas and public transport in Switzerland in 2014 and 2021 , Hidden-nodes in coexisting LAA & Wi-Fi: a measurement study of real deployments where one can see that LTE of licensed assited access which sends in the spectrums of wifi disturb each other

4.7 Visualization

describe the overall concept of what you have planned

what is the purpose of the app, how are you planning to measure the metrics and implement these measurements in the app

maybe describe which metrics you want to test, but this goes a bit too far into implementation

maybe describe in general your approach to a test protocol and that you want to test it in different scenarios and why you think that these different scenarios are important and how you plan to visiualize the results,

Describe an overall concept of a solution, which could possibly solve a given problem. Design a novel solution and visualise the architecture and relevant (data) flows. Compare and relate your approach to possible alternatives and argue why and in which way(s) the suggested solution(s) will be better.

Hints for formatting in Typst:

1. You can use built-in styles:
   1. with underscore (_) to *emphasise* text
   2. forward dash (`) for `monospaced` text
   3. asterisk (*) for **strong** (bold) text

You can create and use your own (custom) formatting macros:

1. check out the custom style (see in file `lib.typ`):
   1. `#textit` for *italic* text
   2. `#textbf` for **bold face** text

5 | Implementation

The application is written in `Swift` using the integrated development environment (IDE) XCode, which is the suggested way to build iOS application by Apple. The built artifact is distributed via TestFlight, an online service for installing and testing apps for Apple devices and can be downloaded via an URL or directly installed by the developer machine.

5.1 Application

The following builds upon the concepts described in the previous chapter but will describe further details and show code examples. The presentation of the inner workings of the application will be structured in the layers descirbed in the previous chapter, whereas the User Interface and the logic layers are outlined together to improve understanding of the connections of graphical layouts and corresponding logic. The measurement and networking layers are mentioned under the headings of the seperate screens where it is applicable. The Start screen for example, does not contain code for neither networking nor measurement.

5.1.1 Measurement and Networking

Before diving into the seperate screens and their underlying workings, this section will introduce to the frameworks used and the abstract design these layers tend to.

Browser Advertiser and Connection classes, as well as interfaces...

5.1.2 User Interface and Logic

The user interface is implemented in SwiftUI, a descriptive framework used to build applications for the apple ecosystem. The application renounces optimizing the User interface and puts focus on reliability and flexibility, therefore consisting of only 3 screens and one sheet.

5.1.2.1 Navigation Overview
This graphic represents how the user can navigate the application to reach different screens.

5.1.2.2 Start Screen
The start screen consists of two buttons that let the user choose if the underlying device should start the testing process as a client or a server, in particular browsing or advertising services respectively. Choosing to use the device as a server leads the user to the Testing Screen, choosing the client leads the user to the browsing screen.

5.1.3 Testing Screen
The testing screen consists of a list which displays test results grouped into the underlying transport protocol and two buttons in the top bar which differ depending on the type chosen in the start screen.

5.1.3.0.1 Client

The top bar of the client device features a reload button on the left side next to the back button and a settings button on the right side indicated by a gear icon. The reload button destructs all ongoing connections with a server and starts browsing again for nearby peers, which directs the user back to the browsing screen.

5.1.3.0.1.1 Measurement and Networking

5.1.3.0.2 Server

The top bar of the server device features a reload button on the left side next to the back button, just like the client view and a get test results button on the right side. The reload button destructs all ongoing connections with a client and opens new ports to advertise the services. The get test results button actively calls the underlying layers to get the test results measured on the server side. This feature is needed because automatic solutions to indicate the end of testing would require more computational power when receiving data which could lead to delays that corrupt measurements.

5.1.3.0.2.1 Measurement and Networking

5.1.3.1 Browsing Screen

The browsing screen is only presented for client devices that want to connect to a nearby advertiser.

5.1.3.1.1 Networking

5.1.3.2 Parameter Sheet

The parameter sheet is only present on the testing client and lets the user change size parameters of the testing payload. The sheet consists fo two textfield. The first textfield represents the number of packages that will be sent during one duration of measurement, whereas the second textfield represents the number of bytes bundled in each package.

5.1.3.2.1 Networking

QUic advertised via Bonjour, not working?

use mach_absolute_time to measure the jitter, done

```
1 let task0 = Task { @MainActor in
2     for await nearbyPeers in service.nearbyPeersToInvite.values {
3         state.nearbyPeersToInvite = nearbyPeers
4     }
5 }
6
7 tasks.insert(task0)
```

Listing 1: Saving the reference to the detached task, so it can be canceled when the viewmodel is dereferenced.

https://developer.apple.com/documentation/swift/calling-functions-with-pointer-parameters how to pass pointers to functions

Describe what is relevant and special about your working prototype. State how single features help to solve problem(s) at hand. You might implement only the most relevant features. Features you select from your prioritised feature list assembled in Chapter 4. Focus novel, difficult, or innovative aspects of your prototype. Add visuals such as architectures, diagrams, flows, tables, screenshots to illustrate your work. Select interesting code snippets, e.g. of somewhat complicated algorithms, to present them as source code listings.

For example, you might explain your overall system, then the details of the backend and frontend development in subsections as shown here:

5.2 Overall System

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magnam aliquam quaerat voluptatem. Ut enim aeque doleamus animo, cum corpore dolemus, fieri tamen permagna accessio potest, si.

Hints for images in Typst

Use vector graphic formats such as Scalable Vector Graphics (SVG) for drawings and png for screenshots. Using jpeg is only ok, if you need to show photographic images, such as a picture of a sunset.

For example, the following shows how an SVG image is references using the `image` Typst macro. The image is furthermore embedded in a `figure` macro. The `flex-caption` allows to include a full sentence as caption below the image and a short caption for the list of figures. Also note the use of a label `<fig:companylogo>` which is later referenced with `@fig:companylogo`:

Figure 3: The logo of the FH JOANNEUM, the University of Applied Sciences.

The application uses the logo of the company, see Figure 3, in the navigation bar to provide *home* functionality.

5.3 Testing

After understanding how the prototype works and how the metrics are measured under important: make pictures of the scenery

5.3.1 Protocol

5.3.1.1 Scenarios

what different combinations will be tested, 100/1000/10_000/100_000 packages 128/4096/16_384 byte per package 1m/10m/30m/maxm

do every case 5 times, max meters are not done in every scenario, just how far it can go…

5.3.1.2 metrics

which metrics will be measured

5.3.2 Sceneries

which are representable for real life scenarios... underground forrest city field

What do I want to achieve? Test one time with bluetooth off and one time with bluetooth on since iPhones use the same antenna for both, which has already caused slow wifi connections when peer to peer was enabled... Bluetooth doesnt turn off completely I want to test different scenarios, so I know which transport protocol performs best under certain circumstances and environments. I want to test different payload sizes, package count from perspective of !application level!. The metrics should be captured depending on distance and other environmental factors like obstacles.

capture: distance obstacles outside temperature

different scenarious: underground forrest city field

do these different scenarios with: 100/1000/10_000/100_000 packages 128/4096/16_384 byte per package 1m/10m/30m/maxm

do every case 5 times, max meters are not done in every scenario, just how far it can go...

also include seperately a comparison between tcp options, noDelay and noPush

done -> inPr -> notStarted code -> test -> write thesis

5.4 Backend

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magnam aliquam quaerat voluptatem. Ut enim aeque doleamus animo, cum corpore dolemus, fieri tamen permagna accessio potest, si.

Hints for code listings in Typst:

The way to include source code in your document is discussed and shown in https://typst.app/docs/reference/text/raw/. For this template we provide a custom macro/function *fhjcode* to support listings with code pulled in from external files and with line numbering. For example:

For example: We implemented a minimal *script* in Python to manage a secure `Messages` in object oriented ways. See Listing 2 and Listing 3 for a minimal `SecureMessage` class.

```
1  class Message:
2      def __init__(self,txt):
3          self.m=txt
4      def __str__(self):
5          return f"{self.m}"
```

Listing 2: Defining a base class in Python. Here, the base class is named *Message*.

```
1  class SecureMessage(Message):
2      pass
```

Listing 3: For inheritance we might define a specialised class based on another class. Here, the specialised class *SecureMessage* is based on the class *Message*.

For example: As shown in Listing 3 the secure version of the class is just a stub where further improvements and extensions have to be applied.

5.5 Frontend

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magnam aliquam quaerat voluptatem. Ut enim aeque doleamus animo, cum corpore dolemus, fieri tamen permagna accessio potest, si.

Hints for abbreviations and glossary entries *gls(key)* in Typst:

Abbreviations should be written in full length on the first occurrence. Later the short version can be used. Therefore, define glossary entries with a *key* and a *short* as well as a *long* description first (see file *glossary.typ*). In the text you might use `#gls(<key>)` (and `#glspl(<key>)` for plural) usage of an abbreviation. For example:

The system is using Copy on Write (COW) for optimisation. The implementation of COW can be changed by … Note the usage of the special configured Garbage Collection (GC). We compared many GCs to find out ..

6 | Results and Evaluation

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magnam aliquam quaerat voluptatem. Ut enim aeque doleamus animo, cum corpore dolemus, fieri.

Describe (proof) how your implementation really solved the stated problem. I.e. accept or reject your hypotheses. Provide a range of input data sets. Run experiments and gather the output (of tools) to meter your prototype. For the analysis, collect the measurement-data, process (e.g. filter) data and interpret the data. Include an interpretation of the work. What do the results mean to you? State current limitations of your solution. Give (personal) interpretation where suitable. Your own opinion is relevant, but must be marked clearly as such.

6.1 Setup Experiment

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magnam aliquam quaerat voluptatem. Ut enim aeque doleamus animo, cum corpore dolemus, fieri tamen permagna accessio potest, si.

For example: During the setup the GC was configured for the parallel version using the value `+UseParallelGC` for the command line argument `-XX` (`java -XX:+UseParallelGC`).

Hints on dynamically reading in external data for tables in Typst:

Using the custom macro `fhjtable` it is possible to include data dynamically for table generation. The data has to be specified in Comma-separated Values (CSV) as shown below:

Name	Profession	Experience (in years)
Max	Student	3
Mia	UX-Designer	7
Helga	Programmer	9

Table 1: Professional experience of the test users with databases.

Find in Table 1 the years a user has worked with different relational or nosql databases in a professional context.

6.2 Measurement

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magnam aliquam quaerat voluptatem. Ut enim aeque doleamus animo, cum corpore dolemus, fieri tamen permagna accessio potest, si.

Hints on using tables in Typst:

Somewhere in the normal text of the thesis the interpretation of data and information shown in a table must be discussed. Explain to the readers which numbers are important. Possibly, highlight unexpected or special data points.

	Min	Max	$\varnothing$	σ
Network roundtrip time	34.6s	42.5s	38.1s	2.3s
Time for single request	2.4s	13.5s	**7.1s**	4.3s

Table 2: The numbers in the table above show the minimum, maximum, average $\varnothing$, and standard deviation σ of the 273 measured network times in seconds.

For example: ... Table 2 shows some calculated results on the roundtrip and request times measured in the experiment. The average, the minimum, the maximum and the standard deviations hint to a dramatic increase (> 13%) in performance in comparison to the old solution of 2003.

6.3 Interpretation of the Data

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magnam aliquam quaerat voluptatem. Ut enim aeque doleamus animo, cum corpore dolemus, fieri tamen permagna accessio potest, si.

For example: The customisation of the GC seem to have following positive and negative consequences....

Hints on dynamic calculation in Typst:

We might calculate, e.g. `#calc.max(...)`, within our document, such as max of three and seven times two is: 14.

Hints on using logic in Typst:

For example, we might use **for loop** to arrange a few images in a grid box, as shown below.

Figure 4: Compared source code by metric 1.

Figure 5: Compared source code by metric 2.

Hints on Charts:

Note: the charts (**vector**! images) shown have been created from raw data using the tool **gnuplot** on the command line. With `gnuplot` you can create charts by use of a textual command language. This is great for automation and it is also great for managing the source code in `git`.

7 | Conclusion and Outlook

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magnam aliquam quaerat voluptatem. Ut enim aeque doleamus animo, cum corpore dolemus, fieri tamen permagna accessio potest, si aliquod aeternum et infinitum impendere malum nobis opinemur. Quod idem licet transferre in voluptatem, ut postea variari voluptas distinguique possit.

Sum up the results achieved and give an outlook by suggesting further research by explaining how others could built on your results.

Glossary

COW – **Copy on Write** 19

CSV – **Comma-separated Values** 20

GC – **Garbage Collection** 19, 20, 22

P2P – **Peer to Peer** i, ii

SVG – **Scalable Vector Graphics** 17

Bibliography

Balan, R. K., Ramasubbu, N., Prakobphol, K., Christin, N., et al. (2009) mFerio: the design and evaluation of a peer-to-peer mobile payment system. In: *Proceedings of the 7ᵗʰ international conference on Mobile systems, applications, and services.* [Online]. June 2009 Kraków Poland, ACM. pp. 291–304. DOI:10.1145/1555816.1555846.

Condoluci, M., Militano, L., Orsino, A., Alonso-Zarate, J., et al. (2015) LTE-direct vs. WiFi-direct for machine-type communications over LTE-A systems. In: *2015 IEEE 26ᵗʰ Annual International Symposium on Personal, Indoor, and Mobile Radio Communications (PIMRC).* [Online]. August 2015 Hong Kong, China, IEEE. pp. 2298–2302. DOI:10.1109/PIMRC.2015.7343681.

Department, S. R. (2024) *Number of smartphone users worldwide from 2014 to 2029 (in millions).* [Online]. December 2024. https://www.statista.com/forecasts/1143723/smartphone-users-in-the-world.

Desauw, L., Luxey-Bitri, A., Raes, R., Rouvoy, R., et al. (2023) *A critical review of mobile device-to-device communication.* [Online]. https://inria.hal.science/hal-04198528.

Dubois, D. J., Bando, Y., Watanabe, K. & Holtzman, H. (2013) ShAir: extensible middleware for mobile peer-to-peer resource sharing. In: *Proceedings of the 2013 9ᵗʰ Joint Meeting on Foundations of Software Engineering.* [Online]. August 2013 Saint Petersburg Russia, ACM. pp. 687–690. DOI:10.1145/2491411.2494573.

Gamboa, S., Henderson, T. R., Garey, W., Liu, C., et al. (2024) Towards System Level Simulations of Public Safety Applications over 5G NR Sidelink. In: *2024 IEEE World Forum on Public Safety Technology (WFPST).* [Online]. May 2024 Herndon, VA, USA, IEEE. pp. 1–6. DOI:10.1109/WFPST58552.2024.00043.

Gamboa, S., Ben Mosbah, A., Garey, W., Liu, C., et al. (2023) System-Level Evaluation of 5G NR UE-Based Relays. In: *MILCOM 2023 - 2023 IEEE Military Communications Conference (MILCOM).* [Online]. October 2023 Boston, MA, USA, IEEE. pp. 807–814. DOI:10.1109/MILCOM58377.2023.10356291.

Kortuem, G., Schneider, J., Preuitt, D., Thompson, T., et al. (2002) When peer-to-peer comes face-to-face: collaborative peer-to-peer computing in mobile ad-hoc networks. In: *Proceedings First International Conference on Peer-to-Peer Computing.* [Online]. 2002 Linkoping, Sweden, IEEE Comput. Soc. pp. 75–91. DOI:10.1109/P2P.2001.990429.

Newport, C. (2017) Gossip in a Smartphone Peer-to-Peer Network. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on Principles of Distributed Computing.* [Online]. July 2017 Washington DC USA, ACM. pp. 43–52. DOI:10.1145/3087801.3087813.

Bibliography

Stute, M., Kreitschmann, D. & Hollick, M. (2018b) One Billion Apples' Secret Sauce: Recipe
for the Apple Wireless Direct Link Ad hoc Protocol. In: *Proceedings of the 24ᵗʰ Annual
International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking.* [Online]. October 2018
New Delhi India, ACM. pp. 529–543. DOI:10.1145/3241539.3241566.

Stute, M., Kreitschmann, D. & Hollick, M. (2018a) *The Open Wireless Link Project.* [Online].
2018. https://owlink.org/.