# **Chapter 1**

# Demo problem: Deformation of a solid by a prescribed boundary motion

The purpose of this tutorial is to demonstrate how to impose the deformation of domain boundaries using Lagrange multipliers. This functionality is required, e.g. when using (pseudo-)solid mechanics to update the shape of the fluid mesh in fluid-structure interaction problems, say. (See Comments and Exercises for a discussion of an alternative, somewhat easier method for imposing boundary displacements in single-physics solid mechanics problems).

# 1.1 The model problem

Here is a sketch of the model problem. A unit square is parametrised by two Lagrangian coordinates  $(\xi^1, \xi^2)$ . Three of the four boundaries are held in a fixed position while the square's upper boundary  $\partial D_{prescr}$  (which is parametrised by the boundary coordinate  $\zeta$ ) is displaced to a new position given by  $\mathbf{R}_{prescr}(\zeta)$ :




Figure 1.1 Sketch of the problem.

# 1.2 Theory and implementation

We identify the upper boundary by writing the Lagrangian coordinates of points on  $\partial D_{prescr}$  as

$$\left.\left(\xi^1,\xi^2\right)\right|_{\partial D_{prescr}}=\left(\xi^1_{\partial D_{prescr}}(\zeta),\xi^2_{\partial D_{prescr}}(\zeta)\right)$$

which allows us to write the displacement constraint as

$$\mathbf{R}(\xi_{\partial D_{prescr}}^{1}(\zeta), \xi_{\partial D_{prescr}}^{2}(\zeta)) = \mathbf{R}_{prescr}(\zeta).$$

We enforce this constraint by augmenting the principle of virtual displacements, discussed in the solid mechanics theory tutorial, by a Lagrange multiplier term so that it becomes

$$\int \left\{ \sigma^{ij} \, \delta \gamma_{ij} - \left( \mathbf{f} - \Lambda^2 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{R}}{\partial t^2} \right) \cdot \delta \mathbf{R} \right\} \, dv - \oint_{A_{tract}} \mathbf{T} \cdot \delta \mathbf{R} \, dA + \delta \Pi_{constraint} = 0 \quad (1)$$

where

$$\Pi_{constraint} = \int_{\partial D} \left( \mathbf{R}(\xi^{1}(\zeta), \xi^{2}(\zeta)) - \mathbf{R}_{prescr}(\zeta) \right) \cdot \mathbf{\Lambda} \, dS.$$

Here

$$dS = \left| \frac{d\mathbf{R}(\xi^1(\zeta), \xi^2(\zeta))}{d\zeta} \right| d\zeta$$

is the differential of the arclength along the domain boundary and the vector  $\Lambda$  (not to be confused with the scalar  $\Lambda^2$  which represents the non-dimensional density in (1)!) is the Lagrange multiplier – the surface traction to be applied to  $\partial D_{prescr}$  to deform the boundary into the required shape.

We discretise this constraint by attaching FaceElements to the boundaries of the "bulk" solid elements that are adjacent to  $\partial D_{prescr}$ . We denote the number of nodes in these FaceElements by N and write the i-th component of the discrete Lagrange multiplier stored at node j as  $L_{ij}$ . Thus the i-th component of the Lagrange multiplier at local coordinate s in the element is given by

$$\Lambda_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} L_{ij} \psi_j(s)$$

where  $\psi_j(s)$  is the shape function associated with node j.

Upon taking the variations of the discretised constraint with respect to the unknown nodal positions and the discrete Lagrange multipliers we obtain (i) additional contributions to the residuals of the "bulk" solid mechanics equations (these may be interpreted as the virtual work done by the boundary tractions required to impose the prescribed boundary displacement) and (ii) the equations that impose the displacement constraints in weak form.

The actual implementation of this approach in oomph-lib is best thought of as a generalisation of the  $Solid \leftarrow TractionElements$  that are used to impose a prescribed traction to the boundary of a solid domain. The main difference is that in the ImposeDisplacementByLagrangeMultiplierElement, the imposed traction is one of the unknowns in the problem and must be determined via the displacement constraint, using the approach described above. The element introduces additional unknowns (the nodal values of the Lagrange multiplier) into the problem, therefore the ImposeDisplacementByLagrangeMultiplierElement resizes (increases) the number of values stored at the node from the value that was originally assigned by the "bulk" solid element it is attached to. (If the elements are used in a single-physics solid mechanics problem the discrete Lagrange multipliers are the only nodal values in the problem since the unknown nodal positions are stored in a separate Data item; cf. "Solid mechanics: Theory and implementation" for more details). The prescribed boundary shape  $\mathbf{R}_{prescr}(\zeta)$  is provided by a GeomObject.

#### 1.3 Results

The animation below shows the domain deformation in response to a prescribed boundary displacement given by

$$\mathbf{R}_{prescr}(\zeta) = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + A \begin{pmatrix} 5\zeta \left( \zeta - 1 \right) \left( \zeta - 0.7 \right) \\ \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \cos \left( 2\pi \zeta \right) \right) \end{pmatrix}$$

With this choice the upper boundary remains flat (i.e. at  $x_2=1$ ) when A=0. As A increases, the boundary is pulled upwards into a sinusoidal shape while simultaneously being pushed to the right. The vectors in the animation represent the Lagrange multipliers (i.e. the physical surface tractions) required to deform the domain into the required shape. Note how the automatic mesh adaptation refines the mesh in regions where the solid is strongly deformed.




Figure 1.2 Domain deformation in response to the prescribed boundary displacement.

# 1.4 Describing the prescribed boundary motion with a GeomObject

Here is the implementation of the prescribed boundary shape as the WarpedLine, a two-dimensional Geomcobject whose shape is parametrised by a single intrinsic coordinate.

```
}
/// Empty Destructor
~WarpedLine(){}
/// \short Position vector at Lagrangian coordinate zeta
void position(const Vector<double>& zeta, Vector<double>& r) const
  // Position vector
  r[0] = zeta[0]+5.0*Ampl*zeta[0]*(zeta[0]-1.0)*(zeta[0]-0.7);
 r[1] = 1.0 + Ampl * 0.5 * (1.0 - cos (2.0 * Mathematical Constants:: Pi*zeta[0]));
/// \short Parametrised position on object: r(zeta). Evaluated at
/// previous timestep. t=0: current time; t>0: previous
\ensuremath{///} timestep. Forward to steady version
void position(const unsigned& t, const Vector<double>& zeta,
                      Vector<double>& r) const
  position(zeta,r);
/// Access to amplitude
double& ampl() {return Ampl;}
/// \short How many items of Data does the shape of the object depend on?
unsigned ngeom_data() const
  return 0:
/// Amplitude of perturbation
double Ampl;
```

# 1.5 Global parameters

As usual we define the problem parameters in a global namespace. We provide an instantiation of the  $Geom \hookrightarrow Object$  that defines the deformed boundary shape (setting its initial displacement amplitude to zero), and create an instance of oomph-lib's generalised Hookean constitutive equation with a Poisson ratio of 0.3 (recall that the use of the single-argument constructor for this constitutive equation implies that all stresses are non-dimensionalised on Young's modulus E; see the solid mechanics theory tutorial for details).

#### 1.6 The driver code

We build an instantiation of the Problem class (described below), using nine-noded, two-dimensional RefineableQPVDElements to discretise the domain, and document the initial domain shape.

We perform a parameter study, increasing the amplitude of the prescribed boundary deflection in small increments, while allowing one mesh adaptation per solution.

1.7 The Problem class 5

```
// Max. number of adaptations per solve
unsigned max_adapt=1;
//Parameter incrementation
unsigned nstep=2;
for(unsigned i=0;i<nstep;i++)
{
    // Increment imposed boundary displacement
    Global_Physical_Variables::Boundary_geom_object.ampl()+=0.1;
    // Solve the problem with Newton's method, allowing
    // up to max_adapt mesh adaptations after every solve.
    problem.newton_solve(max_adapt);

// Doc solution
    problem.doc_solution();</pre>
```

Since the main use of the methodology demonstrated here is in free-boundary problems where the solution of the solid problem merely serves to update the nodal positions in response to the prescribed boundary motion, we re-set the nodes' Lagrangian coordinates to their Eulerian positions after every solve. This makes the deformed configuration stress-free and tends to stabilise the computation, allowing larger domain deformations to be computed. We stress, however, that this renders the computed solutions physically meaningless in the sense that the domain shapes no longer represent the solution of the original elasticity problem for which the stress-free, undeformed configuration remains unchanged throughout the body's deformation.

```
// For maximum stability: Reset the current nodal positions to be
// the "stress-free" ones -- this assignment means that the
// parameter study no longer corresponds to a physical experiment
// but is what we'd do if we wanted to use the solid solve
// to update a fluid mesh in an FSI problem, say.
problem.solid_mesh_pt()->set_lagrangian_nodal_coordinates();
```

} //end of main

### 1.7 The Problem class

The definition of the Problem class follows the usual pattern. We provide an access functions to the bulk mesh, as well as a few private helper functions that attach and detach the ImposeDisplacementByLagrange MultiplierElements from the bulk mesh before and after the mesh adaptation.

```
===begin_problem
/// Problem class for deformation of elastic block by prescribed
/// boundary motion.
template<class ELEMENT>
class PrescribedBoundaryDisplacementProblem : public Problem
public:
 /// Constructor:
PrescribedBoundaryDisplacementProblem();
 /// Update function (empty)
void actions_after_newton_solve() {}
 /// \short Update function (empty)
 void actions_before_newton_solve() {}
 /// Access function for the solid mesh
 ElasticRefineableRectangularQuadMesh<ELEMENT>*& solid_mesh_pt()
  {return Solid_mesh_pt;}
 /// Actions before adapt: Wipe the mesh of Lagrange multiplier elements
void actions_before_adapt();
 /// Actions after adapt: Rebuild the mesh of Lagrange multiplier elements
void actions after adapt();
 /// Doc the solution
void doc_solution();
private:
 /// \short Create elements that enforce prescribed boundary motion
 /// by Lagrange multiplilers
 void create_lagrange_multiplier_elements();
 /// Delete elements that enforce prescribed boundary motion
 /// by Lagrange multiplilers
void delete lagrange multiplier elements();
 /// Pointer to solid mesh
 ElasticRefineableRectangularQuadMesh<ELEMENT>* Solid_mesh_pt;
```

```
/// Pointers to meshes of Lagrange multiplier elements
SolidMesh* Lagrange_multiplier_mesh_pt;
/// DocInfo object for output
DocInfo Doc_info;
```

#### 1.8 The Problem constructor

We start by creating the "bulk" mesh, discretising the domain with 5x5 elements of the type specified by the class's template argument.

```
start of constructor========
/// Constructor:
template<class ELEMENT>
PrescribedBoundaryDisplacementProblem<ELEMENT>::PrescribedBoundaryDisplacementProblem()
// # of elements in x-direction
unsigned n_x=5;
// # of elements in y-direction
unsigned n_y=5;
// Domain length in x-direction
double l_x=1.0;
// Domain length in y-direction
double l_y=1.0;
//Now create the mesh
solid_mesh_pt() = new ElasticRefineableRectangularQuadMesh<ELEMENT>(
 n_x,n_y,l_x,l_y);
```

Next we specify the error estimator, pass the pointer to the constitutive equation to the elements and perform one uniform mesh refinement:

```
// Set error estimator
solid_mesh_pt()->spatial_error_estimator_pt()=new Z2ErrorEstimator;
//Assign the physical properties to the elements before any refinement
//Loop over the elements in the main mesh
unsigned n_element =solid_mesh_pt()->nelement();
for(unsigned i=0;i<n_element;i++)
{
    //Cast to a solid element
    ELEMENT *el_pt = dynamic_cast<ELEMENT*>(solid_mesh_pt()->element_pt(i));

    // Set the constitutive law
    el_pt->constitutive_law_pt()=&Global_Physical_Variables::Constitutive_law;
}
// Refine the mesh uniformly
solid_mesh_pt()->refine_uniformly();
```

We now create a new SolidMesh in which we store the elements that apply the displacement constraint. These elements are built (and added to the newly created SolidMesh) in the helper function create\_lagrange\_
multiplier elements(). Both meshes are then combined to the Problem's global mesh.

```
// Construct The mesh of elements that enforce prescribed boundary motion
// by Lagrange multipliers
Lagrange_multiplier_mesh_pt=new SolidMesh;
create_lagrange_multiplier_elements();

// Solid mesh is first sub-mesh
add_sub_mesh(solid_mesh_pt());
// Add Lagrange multiplier sub-mesh
add_sub_mesh(Lagrange_multiplier_mesh_pt);
// Build combined "global" mesh
build_global_mesh();
```

We pin the position of the nodes on all domain boundaries apart from the top boundary (boundary 2) and pin any redundant pressure degrees of freedom. (This is not strictly necessary in the present driver code since the displacement-based RefineableQPVDElements do not have any pressure degrees of freedom. However, it is good practice to do this anyway to guard against unpleasant surprises when the element type is changed at some point).

```
// Pin nodal positions on all boundaries apart from the top one (2)
for (unsigned b=0;b<4;b++)
{
   if (b!=2)
    {
      unsigned n_side = solid_mesh_pt()->nboundary_node(b);

      //Loop over the nodes
      for (unsigned i=0;i<n_side;i++)
      {
            solid_mesh_pt()->boundary_node_pt(b,i)->pin_position(0);
            solid_mesh_pt()->boundary_node_pt(b,i)->pin_position(1);
      }
}
```

```
}
}
}
// Pin the redundant solid pressures (if any)
PVDEquationsBase<2>::pin_redundant_nodal_solid_pressures(
    solid_mesh_pt()->element_pt());

Finally, we assign the equation numbers and specify the output directory.
// Setup equation numbering scheme
cout « "Number of dofs: " « assign_eqn_numbers() « std::endl;
// Set output directory
Doc_info.set_directory("RESLT");
} //end of constructor
```

# 1.9 Actions before mesh adaptation

As usual, we remove the FaceElements that apply the displacement constraints before the bulk mesh is adapted.

# 1.10 Actions after mesh adaptation

We re-attach the FaceElements that apply the displacement constraints once the bulk mesh has been adapted. Since the hanging status of nodes in the bulk mesh can change during the mesh adaptation it is again good practice to pin any nodal solid pressure values that may have become redundant.

# 1.11 Creating the Lagrange multiplier elements that impose the displacement constraint

The creation of the Lagrange multiplier elements that impose the displacement constraint follows the usual pattern. We loop over the "bulk" solid elements that are adjacent to mesh boundary 2 and attach ImposectisplacementByLagrangeMultiplierElements to the appropriate faces.

```
// Get pointer to the bulk element that is adjacent to boundary b
ELEMENT* bulk_elem_pt = dynamic_cast<ELEMENT*>(
    solid_mesh_pt()->boundary_element_pt(b,e));

//Find the index of the face of element e along boundary b
int face_index = solid_mesh_pt()->face_index_at_boundary(b,e);

// Create new element and add to mesh
Lagrange_multiplier_mesh_pt->add_element_pt(
    new ImposeDisplacementByLagrangeMultiplierElement<ELEMENT>(
    bulk_elem_pt,face_index));
```

#### Next we loop over the newly-created

ImposeDisplacementByLagrangeMultiplierElements and specify the GeomObject that defines the imposed boundary displacements. We also specify which boundary of the bulk mesh the Impose DisplacementByLagrangeMultiplierElements are located on. This is required to enable the ImposeDisplacementByLagrangeMultiplierElements to extract the appropriate boundary coordinate from its constituent nodes. (We discussed elsewhere that boundary coordinates are usually defined (and passed to the nodes) when the nodes are first created, typically during the construction of the bulk mesh. Since nodes can be located on multiple domain boundaries, each boundary coordinate is associated with a particular boundary number. Passing this number to the ImposeDisplacementByLagrangeMultiplier Elements allows them to obtain the correct boundary coordinate from the node.)

```
// Loop over the elements in the Lagrange multiplier element mesh
// for elements on the top boundary (boundary 2)
n_element=Lagrange_multiplier_mesh_pt->nelement();
for(unsigned i=0;i<n_element;i++)
{
    //Cast to a Lagrange multiplier element
    ImposeDisplacementByLagrangeMultiplierElement<ELEMENT> *el_pt =
        dynamic_cast<ImposeDisplacementByLagrangeMultiplierElement<ELEMENT>*>
        (Lagrange_multiplier_mesh_pt->element_pt(i));
    // Set the GeomObject that defines the boundary shape and
    // specify which bulk boundary we are attached to (needed to extract
    // the boundary coordinate from the bulk nodes)
el_pt->set_boundary_shape_geom_object_pt(
        &Global_Physical_Variables::Boundary_geom_object,b);
```

Finally, we impose boundary conditions for the Lagrange multipliers. Their values must be pinned (and set to zero) at the left and right ends of the upper mesh boundary (boundary 2), since the displacement of the nodes at these points is already enforced by the boundary conditions imposed at the left and right vertical boundaries (boundaries 1 and 3).

We discussed above that the discrete Lagrange multipliers are added to any already existing nodal degrees of freedom when the  ${\tt ImposeDisplacementByLagrangeMultiplierElements}$  are attached to the faces of the "bulk" solid elements. The number of nodal values that were stored at an element's  ${\tt j}$ -th node before the additional nodal values were added, can be obtained from the function  ${\tt nbulk\_value(j)}$ , defined in the  ${\tt FaceElement}$  base class. We pin the Lagrange multipliers at the "corner nodes" by looping over all nodes in the  ${\tt ImposeDisplacementByLagrangeMultiplierElements}$  and pinning the additional nodal values of any nodes that are located on mesh boundaries 1 or 3.

```
// Loop over the nodes
unsigned nnod=el_pt->nnode();
for (unsigned j=0;j<nnod;j++)
{
  Node* nod_pt = el_pt->node_pt(j);

  // Is the node also on boundary 1 or 3?
  if ((nod_pt->is_on_boundary(1))||(nod_pt->is_on_boundary(3)))
  {
    // How many nodal values were used by the "bulk" element
    // that originally created this node?
    unsigned n_bulk_value=el_pt->nbulk_value(j);

    // The remaining ones are Lagrange multipliers and we pin them.
    unsigned nval=nod_pt->nvalue();
    for (unsigned j=n_bulk_value;j<nval;j++)
    {
        nod_pt->pin(j);
      }
    }
}
```

} // end of create\_lagrange\_multiplier\_elements

# 1.12 Deleting the Lagrange multiplier elements that impose the displacement constraint

The function delete\_lagrange\_multiplier\_elements () deletes the Lagrange multiplier elements that impose the displacement constraint and flushes the associated mesh.

## 1.13 Post-processing

The function  $doc\_solution()$  outputs the shape of the deformed body and the Lagrange multiplier along the upper boundary.

```
-start_doc----
/// Doc the solution
//----
template < class ELEMENT>
void PrescribedBoundaryDisplacementProblem<ELEMENT>::doc_solution()
ofstream some_file;
char filename[100];
// Number of plot points
unsigned n_plot = 5;
// Output shape of deformed body
 sprintf(filename, "%s/soln%i.dat", Doc_info.directory().c_str(),
        Doc_info.number());
 some_file.open(filename);
solid_mesh_pt()->output(some_file,n_plot);
some_file.close();
 // Output Lagrange multipliers
 sprintf(filename, "%s/lagr%i.dat", Doc_info.directory().c_str(),
        Doc_info.number());
 some_file.open(filename);
// This makes sure the elements are ordered in same way every time // the code is run -- necessary for validation tests.
 std::vector<FiniteElement*> el_pt;
 unsigned nelem=Lagrange_multiplier_mesh_pt->nelement();
 for (unsigned e=0; e<nelem; e++)
  el_pt.push_back(Lagrange_multiplier_mesh_pt->finite_element_pt(e));
 std::sort(el_pt.begin(),el_pt.end(),FiniteElementComp());
 for (unsigned e=0;e<nelem;e++)</pre>
  el_pt[e]->output(some_file);
 some_file.close();
 // Increment label for output files
Doc_info.number()++;
} //end doc
```

### 1.14 Comments and Exercises

#### 1.14.1 Comments

As mentioned in the introduction, there is an alternative, much simpler way of imposing prescribed boundary motions which does not require Lagrange multipliers: Pin the nodal positions of all nodes on  $\partial D_{prescr}$  and update their positions manually before calling the Newton solver, e.g. by changing the actions\_before\_newton\_solve()

#### function to

```
/// Update boundary position directly
void actions_before_newton_solve()
 \ensuremath{//} Loop over all nodes on top boundary (boundary 2)
 unsigned b=2:
  unsigned n_nod = solid_mesh_pt()->nboundary_node(b);
  for (unsigned i=0;i<n_nod;i++)
   Node* nod_pt= solid_mesh_pt()->boundary_node_pt(b,i);
    // Get boundary coordinate associated with boundary 2
    Vector<double> zeta(1);
   nod_pt->get_coordinates_on_boundary(b, zeta);
       Get prescribed position from GeomObject
    Vector<double> r(2);
    Global_Physical_Variables::Boundary_geom_object.position(zeta,r);
    // Update position
    nod pt->x(0) = r[0];
   nod_pt->x(1)=r[1];
 } // end actions_before_newton_solve
```

This approach is implemented in the alternative driver code prescribed\_displ\_lagr\_mult2.cc.

#### 1.14.2 Exercises

- 1. In order to familiarise yourself with the details of how FaceElements add additional nodal values to the nodes they are attached to, output the values of n\_bulk\_value and nval in the loop that pins the Lagrange multipliers in create\_lagrange\_multiplier\_elements(). Explain why, for the RefineableQPVDElement<2, 3> used here, we have n\_bulk\_value = 0. What happens if you use elements of type RefineableQPVDElementWithContinousPressure<2> instead?
- 2. Comment out the call to set\_lagrangian\_nodal\_coordinates() after the solve and compare the robustness of the computation and the resulting domain shapes. [Hint: You will have to reduce the increment for the amplitude of the prescribed boundary deflection to 0.025 or less, otherwise the Newton iteration will diverge very rapidly.]
- 3. Explore the performance of the alternative driver code (without the use of Lagrange multipliers) prescribed\_displ\_lagr\_mult2.cc and explain why it is less robust than the version with Lagrange multipliers (in the sense that the increment in the amplitude of the prescribed boundary displacement has to be reduced significantly to keep the Newton method from diverging). Hint: Compare the domain shapes before the call to the Newton solver.
- 4. Omit the specification of the boundary number in the bulk mesh by commenting out the call to set\_← boundary\_number\_in\_bulk\_mesh(...) in the function create\_lagrange\_multiplier\_← elements(). Check what happens when the code is compiled with and without the PARANOID flag.

# 1.15 PDF file

A pdf version of this document is available.