Genetic Algorithms and Evolutionary Computing Project

Jasper Hawinkel & Matthias Baeten

11 january 2016

Contents

1 Answer Question 2

Write the answer for question 2 in this file

Build the report by building the file `../build/build_report.tex`

Put the figures in `../figures/`

2 Path Representation

In this section an adjusted version of the existing genetic algorithm will be studied. The tours in the Traveling Salesman Problem will not be represented anymore by the adjacency representation. The path representation will be used instead. The ith element of the path representation denotes the ith city visited. This representation needs appropriate recombination and mutation operators. We chose to use the order crossover operator as recombination operator. For mutation we chose to use the inversion operator.

The order crossover operator recombines the genes of two parents to produce two children. To produce the first offspring a randomly chosen segment of the first parent is copied into the offspring. Secondly information about the relative order of the second parent is used to make the representation of the first offspring complete. The second offspring is created in an analogous manner, with the parent roles reversed. The working process of the order crossover operator is shown below:

1. Choose two crossover points at random, and copy the segment between them from the first parent into the first offspring.
2. Starting from the second crossover point in the second parent, and copy the remaining unused numbers into the first offspring in the order that they appear in the second parent.
3. Create the second offspring in an analogous manner, with the parent roles reversed.

The used mutation operator is the inversion mutation operator. This operator works by randomly selecting two positions in the chromosome and reversing the order in which the values appear between those positions. For more information about these operators we refer to [1]. The implementation of the order crossover operator is shown in the appendices. The code for evaluating the fitness of candidate solutions in path representation is also shown in the appendices. The path representation and the inversion mutation operator were already implemented in the template Matlab program for the TSP.

Now we will perform some parameter tuning to identify proper combinations of the parameters. Remark that genetic algorithms are stochastic. That is why we can not draw any conclusions about the quality of the genetic algorithm from a single run. Therefore multiple runs will be used to estimate the quality of the genetic algorithm for a certain set of algorithm parameters. For every run the computation time and the best candidate solution found are stored. The computations times and the fitness values of the most optimal solutions found are then averaged over the amount of runs. The results of the parameter tuning process are shown in table 1.

We started the parameter tuning process by using the default parameters used in the template program. These default parameters are shown in the second row of table 1. Next we studied every parameter separately by only adjusting the value of one parameters and using the default values for the other parameters. In that way we can find the optimal value for each parameter separately. At the end we then combine the optimal parameter values and hopefully this will give us the optimal set of parameters. As we already expected, increasing the population size and the number of generations improves the results. The disadvantage is that the computation time (and probably the amount of memory needed) increases also. It is clear that here some sort of trade-off has to be made between solution quality and CPU time (and memory needed). The optimal value for the probability of mutation seems to lie around 8% and the optimal value for the probability of recombination seems to lie around 80%. The optimal proportion of elite seems to lie around 15%. As we expected the results with loop detection are much better than without. With loop detection no significantly more CPU time is needed than without. So if loop detection is implemented, you should always use it.

In the four last columns of table 1 the optimal values for the mutation and crossover probability and elite proportion are used. The combined optimal values indeed result in very good results. It seems like it is more optimal to take the number of individuals equal to the number of generators instead of only half. Increasing the number of individuals does not double the amount of computation time in contrast to the number of generations.

3 Answer Question 4

Write the answer for question 4 in this file

4 Answer Optional Question

Write the answer for the optional question in this file

References

[1] A. E. Eiben, J.E. Smith, *Introduction to Evolutionary Computing*, Second Edition.

#IND	#GEN	PR. MUT	PR. CROS	ELITE	LP DET	DIST.	TIME [sec]
50	**100**	**5 %**	**95 %**	**5 %**	**OFF**	**10.99**	**10.80**
100	df	df	df	df	df	**9.962**	**12.56**
200	df	df	df	df	df	**9.425**	**15.09**
500	df	df	df	df	df	**8.926**	**25.18**
df	**200**	df	df	df	df	**8.919**	**21.83**
df	**500**	df	df	df	df	**6.721**	**52.53**
df	df	**0 %**	df	df	df	**10.95**	**10.75**
df	df	**8 %**	df	df	df	**10.76**	**10.76**
df	df	**15 %**	df	df	df	**11.21**	**11.08**
df	df	df	**70 %**	df	df	**10.66**	**9.499**
df	df	df	**80 %**	df	df	**10.54**	**10.41**
df	df	df	**90 %**	df	df	**10.70**	**10.74**
df	df	df	**100 %**	df	df	**10.86**	**10.82**
df	df	df	df	**0 %**	df	**15.61**	**11.01**
df	df	df	df	**10 %**	df	**10.17**	**9.855**
df	df	df	df	**15 %**	df	**9.954**	**9.787**
df	df	df	df	**20 %**	df	**10.14**	**9.520**
df	df	df	df	**25 %**	df	**10.38**	**9.661**
df	df	df	df	df	**ON**	**7.020**	**10.48**
100	**200**	**8 %**	**80 %**	**15 %**	**OFF**	**7.143**	**22.82**
200	**200**	**8 %**	**80 %**	**15 %**	**OFF**	**6.394**	**28.01**
100	**200**	**8 %**	**80 %**	**15 %**	**ON**	**5.357**	**23.09**
200	**200**	**8 %**	**80 %**	**15 %**	**ON**	**4.932**	**28.62**

Table 1: Table with the results of some parameter tuning of the genetic algorithm. A path representation is used with order crossover and inversion mutation. For every set of parameters 10 runs are calculated. The template TSP problem with 67 cities is used. The second last column contains the averages of the most optimal fitness values (minimal tour distance) found. The last column contains the averages of the computation time of a run. `df` stands for 'default value'. In the boxes with a `df` statement the default value from the first row is used. #IND = #INDIVIDUALS, #GEN = #GENERATIONS, PR. MUT = MUTATION PROBABILITY, PR. CROS = CROSSOVER PROBABILITY, LP DET = LOOP DETECTION, DIST = OPTIMAL DISTANCE.

Appendices

In the appendices you can find a small part of the code that is used to obtain the results discussed in this report. To make sure that the appendix is not extremely long, only the code is shown that is completely written by ourselves.

Matlab Code

Implementation Order Crossover

This function recombines two parents to create two children with the use of Order Crossover.

```
function Offspring = order_crossover(Parents)

% INPUT: -Parents: 2xn matrix containing the two parents
    representation
% OUTPUT: -Offspring: 2xn matrix containing the two offspring
    representation's

n = size(Parents,2);
rn1 = randi(n);
delta = randi(n-1); % size of copied piece
Offspring = zeros(2,n);

j = rn1;
Offspring_copy = zeros(2,delta);
idx = 1;

while delta >= 1
    Offspring(:, j) = Parents(:,j);
    Offspring_copy(:,idx) = Offspring(:, j);
    if j == n
        j = 1;
    else
        j = j+1;
    end
    delta = delta - 1;
    idx = idx+1;
end

offspring1_index = 1;
offspring2_index = 1;
while j ~= rn1
    % check for position j
    cand1 = Parents(2, offspring1_index);
    cand2 = Parents(1, offspring2_index);
```

```
    while (ismember(cand1,Offspring_copy(1,:)))
        offspring1_index=offspring1_index+1;
        cand1 = Parents(2, offspring1_index);
    end
    while (ismember(cand2,Offspring_copy(2,:)))
        offspring2_index=offspring2_index+1;
        cand2 = Parents(1, offspring2_index);
    end
    Offspring(1, j) = cand1;
    Offspring(2, j) = cand2;
    offspring1_index = offspring1_index +1;
    offspring2_index = offspring2_index +1;
    if j == n
        j = 1;
    else
        j = j+1;
    end
end

end
```

Implementation fitness function for path representation

This function compute the fitness values corresponding to the candidate solutions in path representation.

```

function ObjVal = tspfun_path(Phen, Dist)
%   Implementation of the TSP fitness function
%   Phen contains the phenocode of the matrix coded in
%   path representation.
%   Phen is a matrix of size NIND x NVAR with at every row
%   the path representation of a tour.
%   Dist is the matrix with precalculated distances
%   between each pair of cities.
%   ObjVal is a vector with the fitness values for
%   each candidate tour (=each row of Phen)

size_Dist = size(Dist);
ObjVal = Dist( sub2ind(size_Dist, Phen(:,end), Phen(:,1)) );
for t = 1:size(Phen,2)-1
    ObjVal=ObjVal + Dist( sub2ind(size_Dist, Phen(:,t), Phen(:,t
       +1)) );
end

end
```