Research report : renaming in Identifier-based Sequence Conflict-free Replicated Data Types (CRDTs)

Matthieu Nicolas

December 6, 2017

1 Context

1.1 System model

- Distributed large-scale system
- Asynchronous network
- Partition-tolerant
- Replicated sequence among nodes
- Eventual consistency
- Use a Identifier-based Sequence CRDT as the conflict resolution mechanism
- Intention preserving

1.2 Identifier-based Sequence Conflict-free Replicated Data Types (CRDTs)

1.2.1 State

Has a state S which represents the replicated sequence (use additional metadata to do so)

- Noted as [(id, elt)] in the following figures
- The function view(S) allows to retrieve the sequence represented by the state S
- Example: $view([(id_1, elt_1), (id_2, elt_2)]) = [elt_1, elt_2]$

1.2.2 Identifiers

Associates an identifier id to each element elt of the sequence

- Unique (an identifier can not be generated twice)
- Order relation (so that we can compare two identifiers)
 - Allows to determine the order of elements of the sequence using their identifiers

- Belong to a dense set
 - Always able to add a new element (and thus a new identifier) between two other elements

The elements in the sequence are always ordered according to their identifiers: in a sequence $[(id_1, elt_1), ..., (id_3, elt_3), ..., (id_2, elt_2)]$ we always have $id_1 < ... < id_3 < ... < id_2$.

1.2.3 Operations

For each operation to update the data structure, has two forms of it: the local form and the remote

- The *local* operation is triggered by the node (by user request for example)
- Performing a local operation on a given state S returns the new state S' and the metadata needed to build an equivalent remote operation
- The remote operation is propagated to other nodes so they can also update their own state
- \bullet Given a state S and an operation local(S,data)=(S',metadata), we have remote(S,metadata)=S'
- Note: given an local operation localOp, there may be several equivalent remote operations remoteOp, remoteOp', remoteOp''...

1.2.4 add

The operation add allows to insert an element into the sequence :

- addLocal(S, index, elt) = (S', (id, elt))
 - Update state S by adding an element elt at the position index in the sequence
 - Return the resulting state S' as well as the identifier id generated for this element
 - The identifier id will be generated according to the identifiers of the elements previously at the positions index 1 and index
 - * **Example:** $addLocal([(id_1, elt_1), (id_2, elt_2)], 1, elt_3)$ will return id_3 such as $id_1 < id_3 < id_2$
 - This identifier *id* will be used (and especially its order relation with other identifiers) to update correctly other nodes' state
 - Note: When generating a new identifier between id_1 and id_2 , there may be several identifiers id_3 , id'_3 , id'_3 , ... such as $id_1 < id_3 < id'_3 < id_3$, $id'_3 < id_2$. The returned identifier is chosen in a undeterministic manner.
- addRemote(S, id, elt) = (S', (index, elt))
 - Update state S by adding an element elt in the sequence
 - The position of insertion of this element will be determined using its id
 - Return the resulting state S' as well as the current index of the element in the sequence
- Given a state S, to one addLocal operation on S, many addRemote correspond (since the resulting id is generated in an undeterministic manner)
- \bullet Given a state S, to one addRemote operation on S, only one addLocal corresponds

1.2.5 *del*

The operation del allows to remove an element from the sequence :

- delLocal(S, index) = (S', id)
 - Update state S by removing the element at the position index in the sequence
 - Return the resulting state S' as well as the identifier id of the deleted element
- delRemote(S, id) = (S', index) allowing to remove the element identified by id
 - Update state S by removing the element identified by id
 - Return the resulting state S' as well as the position index of the deleted element in the sequence
- \bullet Given a state S, to one delLocal operation, only one delRemote corresponds
- Given a state S, to one delRemote operation, only one delLocal corresponds

1.2.6 Log of operations

Associates to a state S a log L

- Is a sequence of entries (remoteOp, localOp), a remote operation and its local counterpart
- The sequence of remote operations, performed in order from a blank state S_{blank} , allows to recreate state S
- Each entry represented as remoteOp localOp in the following figures

1.2.7 Causal context

Associates to a state S a causal context cc

- \bullet Represents all operations known at state S
- Can use a version vector for example as an implementation

An example of the lifecycle of such a replicated data structure is shown in figure 1

2 rename operations

2.1 Motivation

- Identifiers growing over time
- Performances of the data structure thus decreasing over time




Figure 1: Insertion of elements in the replicated sequence

2.2 renameLocal

- Add an operation $renameLocal(S) = (S', mapIds, cc_S)$
 - Replace each identifier attached to elements of S with new ones
 - Return a map mapIds of the previous identifiers to the new ones
 - Also need to return the causal context cc_S of the state S to indicate on which state has been performed the renaming operation
 - view(S) = view(S') where $(S', _, _) = renameLocal(S)$
 - Represented by figure 2




Figure 2: Local renaming of identifiers of the replicated sequence

2.3 renameRemote

• Add an operation $renameRemote(S, L, mapIds, cc_{S'}) = (S", L")$

- Replace current state S by equivalent state S" and current log L by equivalent log L"
- Rename all identifiers $id \in S \cdot id \in S'$ using mapIds
- Also have to rename all identifiers $id \in S \cdot id \notin S'$ to preserve the current order of elements
- **Precondition:** $S \geq S'$ (S has seen all the operations seen by S' but may have seen more)
- view(S) = view(S") where $(S", _) = renameRemote(S, L, mapIds, cc_{S'})$

2.4 Usage

Given an operation $renameRemote(S, L, mapIds, cc_{S'})$, resulting from the execution of renameLocal(S') on another node, we have to perform the following steps to apply it:

- 1. Instantiate a blank state S" and its empty $\log L$ "
- 2. Generate a log L_{causal} made of all operations belonging to the causal context $cc_{S'}$
- 3. For each entry (remoteOp, localOp) of L_{causal}
 - (a) Update state S" by performing remoteOp(S", metadata)
 - (b) Add entry (remoteOp, localOp) to L"
- 4. Rename all identifiers of the data structure according to mapIds (at this point, S'' = S')
- 5. Generate a log $L_{concurrent}$ made of all operations of L not included in L_{causal}
- 6. For each entry (remoteOp, localOp) of $L_{concurrent}$
 - (a) Update state S" by performing $localOp(S"_{prev}) = (S"_{new}, metadata)$
 - (b) Build new remote operation remoteOp' given metadata
 - (c) Add entry (remoteOp', localOp) to L"
 - (d) Propagate remoteOp'

This algorithm is represented by figure 3

2.5 Limits

- Differents nodes, while performing the remote renaming operation, may replay at step 6.a the same operation
- Since there is no coordination between them, in the case of a addLocal, they will end up generating two different remote operations remoteOp' and remoteOp" during step 6.b
- We will have to deliver them both to each node to actually converge (the states would differ otherwise)
- This will result in the duplication of the user's intention (since the inserted element will end up being added twice)
- An example is show in figure 4




Figure 3: Renaming with concurrent operations

3 addRedo operation

3.1 Idea

- At step 6.a, if we can generate deterministically the resulting *id* for a given previous log entry (*addRemote*, *addLocal*), then we would not duplicate the user's intention
- \bullet Indeed, each node would thus generates the same operation addRemote' at step 6.b
- In that case, we would only need to deliver at least once addRemote' to the nodes to converge (or exactly-once if the addRemote is not idempotent)




Figure 4: Duplication of the intention of $localOp_3$

3.2 Research question

Can we define the following operation addRedo(S,(addRemote,addLocal))=(S',(id',elt)) such as .

- \bullet id' is generated deterministically
- view(S) = view(S') where (S, id) = addLocal(S", index, elt) and $(S', _) = addRedo(S", (addRemote(S", id, elt), addLocal(S", index, elt))$

This operation would be used at step 6.a instead of simply using addLocal and would solve the duplication effect.

4 Discussion

- Need to keep the log of operations (both remote and local)
- Performances of a *renameRemote* depend on the number of operations in the log and the number of concurrent operations
 - Have to replay all operations from the causal context of the renameRemote operation
 - Have to regenerate concurrent operations and propagate them
- Can propose mechanism to reduce the size of the log
 - By pruning causally stable entries and using snapshots

- ullet New identifiers generated by addRedo operations may be larger than the initial ones according to the chosen strategy
 - $-\,$ Can argue that they will shrink with the next rename
- \bullet Solving concurrent rename looks difficult
 - For now, can assume that only one node can perform such operations