

# Weekly Assignment 8: Divide-and-Conquer Algorithms

1. Alice and Bob both come up with their own algorithm for a notoriously hard problem. Alice's algorithm has time complexity

$$T_A(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 2T_A(n-1) + 37 & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

and Bob's algorithm has time complexity

$$T_B(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 3T_B(n-1) + 42 & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

Alice claims the faster algorithm. But Bob responds: "But the difference is only a few constants, asymptotically these are the same: we have  $T_A \in \Theta(T_B)$ !". Alice disagrees, and states that only  $T_A \in \mathcal{O}(T_B)$  but *not*  $T_A \in \Theta(T_B)$ .

- (a) Who is right? Explain your answer, so that both Alice and Bob will be convinced. In particular, give the  $\Theta$ -class to which  $T_A$  and  $T_B$  belong (no proof needed).
- (b) In a collaborative effort, Alice and Bob create a new algorithm, with the following complexity:

$$T_C(n) = \begin{cases} 37 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 2T_C(\frac{n}{2}) + 42n & \text{if } n = 2^k, k > 0 \end{cases}$$

Compare this with the previous solutions, by again giving the  $\Theta$ -class to which it belongs.

2. Given a sorted array of distinct integers  $A[1 \dots n]$ , you want to find out whether there is an index  $i$  for which  $A[i] = i$ . Give a divide-and-conquer algorithm that runs in time  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ . Explain why your algorithm is correct, and analyse the complexity.
3. You are given two sorted arrays of size  $m$  and  $n$ . Give an  $\mathcal{O}(\log m + \log n)$  time algorithm for computing the  $k$ th smallest element in the union of the two arrays.
4. Suppose we want to install software packages from a given set  $P$  of  $n$  packages. At most  $d$  packages in  $P$  (we don't know which ones) may have a *defect*: these packages can not be part of any successful installation. Assume there is a procedure `install( $Q$ )`, which attempts to install all the packages from a subset  $Q \subseteq P$ . This procedure has two possible outcomes: **success** when all packages of  $Q$  have been successfully installed, or **fail** in case the attempt was unsuccessful.
  - (a) Assume an installation attempt `install( $Q$ )` is successful if and only if none of the packages in  $Q$  has a defect. Give an algorithm that uses at most  $\mathcal{O}(d \log n)$  calls to `install` to successfully install a maximal subset of  $P$ .
  - (b) Now assume that there may also be (known) *dependencies* between packages: we have a directed acyclic graph (DAG)  $G = (P, K)$  such that for any pair  $(p, q) \in K$  any installation that includes  $q$  but excludes  $p$  will fail. In this setting, an installation attempt `install( $Q$ )` is successful if and only if none of the packages in  $Q$  has a defect and moreover, for each dependency  $(p, q) \in K$ ,  $q \in Q$  implies  $p \in Q$ . Again give an algorithm that uses at most  $\mathcal{O}(d \log n)$  calls to procedure `install` to successfully install a maximal subset of  $P$ .