

Note on Group Extensions

Matthew Warren

I try to give some results about maps between group extensions, following mainly [Lan96]. The purpose of this was so that I could construct the Weil group W_F of a class formation (most generally - but mainly for absolute Galois groups of local and global fields!) in order to better understand [Tat79]. In particular, Tate states that we can construct the Weil group as an inverse limit of relative Weil groups, which arise as the group extensions of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ by C_L .

In particular, to form an inverse system of relative Weil groups $W_{L/K}$, where L/LK is Galois, we need some map $W_{L'/K} \rightarrow W_{L/K}$, when $L \subset L'$. Hopefully, the theory explored here will provide detail on how this is constructed!

Group extensions

We begin recall some concepts from group cohomology.

Let G be a group, and M a G -module. An extension of M by G is an exact sequence of groups

$$1 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{\pi} G \rightarrow 1$$

such that the G -action on M is the same as that induced by conjugation by elements in E . That is - given $g \in G$ and $u \in E$ in the fibre $\pi^{-1}(g)$ we have

$$g \cdot m = umu^{-1}.$$

Warning! Usually for a module M we write the underlying abelian group operation additively, while here we tend to write this multiplicatively and view i as an inclusion in E !

Ok, we recall that two extensions

$$1 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{i_1} E_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} G \rightarrow 1$$

$$1 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{i_2} E_2 \xrightarrow{\pi_2} G \rightarrow 1$$

of M by G are equivalent if there is an isomorphism ϕ such that we have a commutative diagram;

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & E_1 & & & \\ & & \nearrow & \downarrow \phi & \searrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & M & & & G & \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \searrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & & \\ & & & E_2 & & & \end{array}$$

Let $E(G, M)$ denote the set of equivalence classes of extensions of M by G , then we have a bijection

$$E(G, M) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(G, M).$$

As stated in the introduction, I want to look at maps between group extensions, so consider two extensions

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\rightarrow M \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1 \\ 1 &\rightarrow M' \rightarrow E' \rightarrow G' \rightarrow 1 \end{aligned}$$

and homomorphisms $\phi : G \rightarrow G'$, $f : E \rightarrow E'$.

Question: Does there exist a homomorphism $E \rightarrow E'$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & f \downarrow & & \downarrow \exists f? & & \downarrow \phi & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & E' & \longrightarrow & G' & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

commutes?

Theorem 1 Such a homomorphism exists if and only if we have

- (i) f is a G -module map, where M' has G -action induced by ϕ ,¹
- (ii) $\phi^* \alpha' = f_* \alpha \in H^2(G, M')$, where ϕ_* , f_* are the maps on cohomology induced by the compatible pairs (id_G, f) and $(\phi, \text{id}_{M'})$, and α, α' the classes corresponding to E, E' respectively.

Proof. The proof is quite hands on - we shall use cocycles. Indeed, let $s : G \rightarrow E$ and $s' : G' \rightarrow E'$ be sections with $s(e_G) = e_E$ and $s'(e_{G'}) = e_{E'}$ (normalised sections). Then the class α is represented by the (normalised) 2-cocycle

$$\chi : G^2 \rightarrow M; (\sigma, \tau) \mapsto s(\sigma)s(\tau)s(\sigma\tau)^{-1},$$

and we define χ' similarly.

First let's outline what our two conditions (i), (ii) mean in this language;

- (i) this one is simple, it says that $f(\sigma \cdot m) = \phi(\sigma) \cdot f(m)$ for all $m \in M$ and $\sigma \in G$
- (ii) This second one is slightly more complicated, it requires that

$$\chi'(\phi(\sigma), \phi(\tau))f(\chi(\sigma, \tau))^{-1} = d\psi(\sigma, \tau)$$

for some $\psi : G \rightarrow M'$. In words we're saying that $f_* \chi$ and $\phi^* \chi'$ differ by a 2-coboundary.

¹Not to be confused with the very similar condition called *compatibility of maps*, where we have $\phi : G' \rightarrow G$ and $f : M \rightarrow M'$ are compatible if f is a G' -module homomorphism. By using cocycles we can show that such maps will induce a homomorphism on cohomology $H^r(G, M) \rightarrow H^r(G', M')$.

Let's begin by supposing that there exists a homomorphism F such that the diagram above commutes. Then we have for any $\sigma \in G$, that

$$\pi'(F(s(\sigma))) = \phi(\pi(s(\sigma))) = \phi(\sigma),$$

and so $s'(\phi(\sigma))(F(s(\sigma)))^{-1} \in M'$ for all $\sigma \in G$. Therefore we may define a map $\psi : G \rightarrow M'$ by

$$\psi(\sigma) = s'(\phi(\sigma))(F(s(\sigma)))^{-1},$$

which as you may have guessed, will be the ψ that we required to show that (ii) holds.

To show that (i) holds, note that $f(\sigma \cdot m) = F(s(\sigma)ms(\sigma)^{-1})$, and since F is a homomorphism we can expand this to get $F(s(\sigma))f(m)F(s(\sigma))^{-1}$. Then using ψ to replace the $F(s(\sigma))$'s by $\psi(\sigma)^{-1}s'(\phi(\sigma))$, we find that

$$f(\sigma \cdot m) = \psi(\sigma)^{-1}(s'(\phi(\sigma))f(m)s'(\phi(\sigma))^{-1})\psi(\sigma) = s'(\phi(\sigma))f(m)s'(\phi(\sigma))^{-1} = \phi(\sigma) \cdot f(m)$$

as required.

Now for (ii), which is rather messy. (I suppose I could use different notation to clean this up, but sometimes this just obscures the point. In reality calculations with co-cycles are always a bit messy!)

We want to show that $\chi'(\phi(\sigma), \phi(\tau))f(\chi(\sigma, \tau))^{-1} = d\psi(\sigma, \tau)$, so let's first expand the right hand side of this equation;

$$\begin{aligned} d\psi(\sigma, \tau) &= (\sigma \cdot \psi(\tau))\psi(\sigma\tau)^{-1}\psi(\sigma) \\ &= s'(\phi(\sigma))s'(\phi(\tau))(F(s(\tau)))^{-1}s'(\phi(\sigma))^{-1}F(s(\sigma\tau))s'(\phi(\sigma\tau))^{-1}s'(\phi(\sigma))(F(s(\sigma)))^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and we want to show that this is equal to

$$\chi'(\phi(\sigma), \phi(\tau))f(\chi(\sigma, \tau))^{-1} = s'(\phi(\sigma))s'(\phi(\tau))s'(\phi(\sigma\tau))^{-1}F(s(\sigma\tau))F(s(\tau))^{-1}F(s(\sigma))^{-1}.$$

Cool. We can immediately cancel a few of the end terms to reduce this to showing that

$$F(s(\tau))^{-1}s'(\phi(\sigma))^{-1}F(s(\sigma\tau))s'(\phi(\sigma\tau))^{-1}s'(\phi(\sigma)) = s'(\phi(\sigma\tau))^{-1}F(s(\sigma\tau))F(s(\tau))^{-1}$$

which requires some finicky manipulation. Multipling on the left by $s(\phi(\sigma\tau))$ gives

$$s'(\phi(\sigma\tau))F(s(\tau))^{-1}s'(\phi(\sigma))^{-1}F(s(\sigma\tau))s'(\phi(\sigma\tau))^{-1}s'(\phi(\sigma)) = F(s(\sigma\tau))F(s(\tau))^{-1}$$

and notice that the first three terms of the left hand side multiply to give an element in M' (To see this, just apply π' and you'll get $e_{G'}$). Similarly the term $F(s(\sigma\tau))s'(\phi(\sigma\tau))^{-1}$ on the left hand side lies in M' (indeed, this is just

$\psi(\sigma\tau)^{-1}$), hence we can swap these terms by commutativity in M' .

This gives

$$F(s(\sigma\tau))s'(\phi(\sigma\tau))^{-1}s'(\phi(\sigma\tau))F(s(\tau))^{-1}s'(\phi(\sigma))^{-1}s'(\phi(\sigma)) = F(s(\sigma\tau))F(s(\tau))^{-1}$$

from which the result follows immediately by cancellation!

Okay, so we've proven that the existence of such an F implies the conditions (i),(ii) must hold.

Conversely, if the conditions hold we can use the fact that any $x \in E$ can be written uniquely as $x = ms(\sigma)$, where $\sigma = \pi(x)$ and $m \in M$, to define F . Indeed, by condition (ii) there exists some $\psi : G \rightarrow M'$ such that $\chi'(\phi(\sigma), \phi(\tau))f(\chi(\sigma, \tau))^{-1} = d\psi(\sigma, \tau)$, then set

$$F(x) = F(ms(\sigma)) = f(m)\psi(\sigma)^{-1}s'(\phi(\sigma))$$

Working backwards through our proof above then shows that this is indeed a homomorphism. We'll explicitly work through this for some peace of mind!

Consider $x = ms(\sigma), y = ns(\tau)$ in E , where $\sigma = \pi(x)$ and $\tau = \pi(y)$, then we have

$$xy = ms(\sigma)ns(\tau) = m(\sigma \cdot n)s(\sigma)s(\tau) = m(\sigma \cdot n)\chi(\sigma, \tau)s(\sigma\tau)$$

so applying F gives

$$\begin{aligned} F(xy) &= f(m)f(\sigma \cdot n)f(\chi(\sigma, \tau))\psi(\sigma\tau)^{-1}s'(\phi(\sigma\tau)) \\ &= f(m)[\phi(\sigma) \cdot f(n)][d\psi(\sigma, \tau)^{-1}\chi'(\phi(\sigma), \phi(\tau))]\psi(\sigma\tau)^{-1}s'(\phi(\sigma\tau)) \\ &= f(m)[\phi(\sigma) \cdot f(n)]\psi(\sigma)^{-1}\psi(\sigma\tau)[\phi(\sigma) \cdot \psi(\tau)^{-1}]\psi(\sigma\tau)^{-1}\chi'(\phi(\sigma), \phi(\tau))s'(\phi(\sigma\tau)). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that almost all of these terms - all except $s'(\phi(\sigma\tau))$ - are in M' , hence we can swap them around at will. Doing so, and expanding the G -actions as conjugation actions, we do indeed find that

$$F(xy) = [f(n)\psi(\sigma)^{-1}s'(\phi(\sigma))][f(m)\psi(\tau)^{-1}s'(\phi(\tau))] = F(x)F(y),$$

and so F is a homomorphism! The final checks that F makes the diagram are actually far less laborious, so we'll omit them and move on with our lives. \square

Notice that in the theorem, F is uniquely determined by how it acts on the values $s(\sigma)$ where $\sigma \in G$, and we have

$$F(s(\sigma)) = \psi(\sigma)^{-1}s'(\phi(\sigma)).$$

Thus - having already chosen s, s' and hence the cocycle representatives χ, χ' of E, E' - the homomorphism F relies *only* on the 1-cochain ψ that we chose, who's coboundary $d\psi$ is the difference between $f_*\chi$ and $\phi^*\chi'$. We can therefore modify ψ by any 1-cocycle of $Z^1(G, M')$. Conversely, given two homomorphisms

F, F' , with corresponding cochains ψ, ψ' we have that the quotient of these cochains is

$$\lambda(\sigma) = \psi(\sigma)^{-1}\psi'(\sigma) = F(s(\sigma))s'(\phi(\sigma))^{-1}s'(\phi(\sigma))F'(s(\sigma))^{-1} = F(s(\sigma))F'(s(\sigma))^{-1}$$

is a 1-cocycle in $Z^1(G, M')$. Moreover, this is independent of our choice of section s , since if we replace $s(\sigma)$ by $ms(\sigma)$, then

$$F(as(\sigma))F'(as(\sigma))^{-1} = aa^{-1}F(s(\sigma))F'(s(\sigma))^{-1} = \lambda(\sigma).$$

Question: When should we consider this new homomorphism as ‘equivalent’ to the old one?!

Well, the group of 1-cocycles $Z^1(G, M')$ acts transitively on the set of such homomorphisms $\{F\}$ by

$$\lambda \cdot F(s(\sigma)) = \lambda(\sigma)F(s(\sigma)).$$

So, what does it look like when we act by a 1-coboundary? Given $m' \in M$, let's act on F by $\lambda = \sigma \mapsto (\sigma \cdot m')m'^{-1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda \cdot F)(s(\sigma)) &= (\sigma \cdot m')m'^{-1}F(s(\sigma)) \\ &= \phi(s(\sigma))m'\phi(s(\sigma))^{-1}m'^{-1}F(s(\sigma)) \\ &= \phi(s(\sigma))\phi(s(\sigma))^{-1}m'^{-1}F(s(\sigma))m' \\ &= m'^{-1}F(s(\sigma))m', \end{aligned}$$

where we have used commutativity in M' . Aha, so homomorphisms F, F' differ by the action of a coboundary if and only if they differ by the conjugation automorphism $c_{m'} : E' \rightarrow E'$ given by some $m' \in M'$.

With this in mind, we define F, F' to be *equivalent* exactly when this happens, i.e there exists $m' \in M'$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & & & \downarrow & \searrow F' & \\ & & & & & & E' \\ & & & & \downarrow F & \nearrow c_{m'} & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & E' & \longrightarrow & G' \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & & & \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ & & & & E' & \longrightarrow & G' \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

commutes. This definition is constructed exactly so that the following theorem holds.

Theorem 2 Let f, ϕ be as in Theorem 1, then $H^1(G, M')$ acts freely and transitively on the set X of equivalence classes of homomorphisms $F : E \rightarrow E'$ (as in Theorem 1).² The action of $H^1(G, M')$ on X is given by

$$(\beta \cdot F)(u) = \beta(\pi(u))F(u).$$

Corollary 3 If $H^1(G, M') = 0$, then all homomorphisms F, F' as in Theorem 1 are equivalent.

Initial attempt to construct the Weil Group

Let $(G, \{G_E\}, A, \text{inv}_E)$ be a class formation. We'll use language assuming that G is a Galois group, but this needn't necessarily be the case! Note that in keeping with the exposition of [Ser79], we have F/E will be finite unless otherwise stated.

There are fundamental classes $u_{F/E} \in H^2(F/E)$ for all F/E Galois, which are the unique classes such that $\text{inv}_E(u_{F/E}) = \frac{1}{n} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$. A natural thing to do - considering we've just talked about group extensions - is to look at the group extensions associated to these classes. With this in mind, define $W_{F/E}$ to be the group extension

$$1 \rightarrow A_F \rightarrow W_{F/E} \rightarrow G(F/E) \rightarrow 1$$

corresponding to the fundamental class.

Aim: Show that the groups $W_{F/E}$ form an inverse system for the directed set $\{F/E \text{ Galois extensions}\}$ where E is fixed and F are ordered by inclusion.

We first need to define the transition maps from $W_{F/E} \rightarrow W_{F'/E}$ whenever $F \supset F' \supset E$ with F, F' Galois over E . To do so, consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & A_F & \longrightarrow & W_{F/E} & \longrightarrow & G(F/E) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & N \downarrow & & \downarrow \exists T? & & \downarrow p \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & A_{F'} & \longrightarrow & W_{F'/E} & \longrightarrow & G(F'/E) \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

and ask if there exists a transition map T such that it commutes. The map p is the projection map by restricting automorphisms to F' , and the map $N = N_{F/F'}$ is the norm map.

This was exactly the problem that motivated Theorem 1, hence it should come as no surprise that we are going to invoke it now to prove that T exists! To do so, we need to check the conditions (i) and (ii) of the theorem. Unfortunately - I have not proven (ii) yet. I shall try and come back to this after reading

²Call X a principal homogeneous space of $H^1(G, M')$

through the constructions of the Weil groups in [Lan96] and [Art67].

For condition (i), we need to show that for any $x \in A_F$, and $\sigma \in G(F/E)$ we have

$$N_{F/F'}(\sigma(x)) = \sigma|_{F'}(N_{F/F'}(x)).$$

This is not difficult, noting that $G(F/F')$ is a normal subgroup of $G(F/E)$ (with quotient $G(F'/E)$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{F/F'}(\sigma(x)) &= \prod_{\tau \in G(F/F')} \tau \sigma(x), \\ &= \prod_{\tau \in G(F/F')} \sigma \sigma^{-1} \tau \sigma(x), \\ &= \sigma \left(\prod_{\tau' \in G(F/F')} \tau'(x) \right), \\ &= \sigma(N_{F/F'}(x)), \end{aligned}$$

as required.

Moreover, we need (ii), which is the statement that

$$p^*(u_{F'/E}) = N_*(u_{F/E}) \in H^2(G(F/E), A'_F).$$

Having assumed (ii), we have $T : W_{F/E} \rightarrow W_{F'/E}$ such that our diagram commutes. We shall now argue that these can be used as the transition maps. So consider $F \supset F' \supset F'' \supset E$, and we have maps $T_{F/F'}$, $T_{F/F''}$ and $T_{F'/F''}$ as described above. What we'd really like is that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & A_F & \longrightarrow & W_{F/E} & \longrightarrow & G(F/E) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow N & & \downarrow T_{F/F'} & & \downarrow p \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & A_{F'} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & W_{F'/E} & \longrightarrow & G(F'/E) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow N & & \downarrow T_{F'/F''} & & \downarrow p \\ & & & & \downarrow T_{F/F''} & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & A_{F''} & \longrightarrow & W_{F''/E} & \longrightarrow & G(F''/E) \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

commutes. But this needn't be the case due to the non-uniqueness of the maps T , indeed we can't really hope for this to commute - since we may always just apply some inner automorphism to $W_{F''/E}$ to modify one of our transition maps and mess things up.

As mentioned earlier, I haven't yet shown (ii) to be true. In fact I believe in [Art67] this is never shown explicitly, instead one looks at the quotient of $W_{F/E}/W_{F/F'}^c$, where the denominator is the commutator subgroup of $W_{F'/F} := \pi^{-1}(G(F/F')) \subset W_{F/E}$, and shows that this is an extension of $G(F'/E)$ by $A_{F'}$ corresponding to the fundamental class $u_{F'/E}$! Moreover this gives us a canonical homomorphism from $W_{F/E}$ to this quotient (which we can

take to be the relative Weil group $W_{F'/E}$) and from here we may build our inverse limit to get an absolute Weil group for E .

It seems that both [Lan96] and [Art67] begin with the case of finite formations, and then generalise using some compactness argument. I shall follow [Art67] to try and understand this.

Constructing the Weil Group - finite case



References

- [Art67] Emil Artin. *Class field theory / E. Artin and J. Tate (Harvard University)*. Mathematics lecture note series. 1967.
- [Lan96] Serge Lang. *Topics in cohomology of groups / Serge Lang*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1625. 1st ed. 1996. edition, 1996.
- [Ser79] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Local Fields*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 67. 1st ed. 1979. edition, 1979.
- [Tat79] John Tate. Number theoretic background. In *Automorphic forms, representations, and L-functions.*, Proceedings of symposia in pure mathematics ; v. 33, Providence, R.I., 1979. AMS Summer Research Institute (25th : 1977 : Oregon State University), American Mathematical Society.