



(f) (in) (y)

### **Mocking Requests**

```
cy.intercept('GET', 'http://localhost:4200/holidays', {
  body: {
   holidays: [
        title: 'Cambodia',
        teaser: 'Discover old temples and learn about the great Khmer Empire',
        imageUrl: 'https://eternal-app.s3.eu-central-1.amazonaws.com/assets/AngkorWatSmall.jpg',
        description:
          'Travel to Siem Reap in Cambodia and visit the...'
});
```



#### 3 Level Architecture

**Tests** Page Object Models **Utility Functions** 

#### Page Object Model

```
class Sidemenu {
  click(name: "Customers" | "Holidays"): Chainable {
    cy.get("mat-drawer a").contains(name).click();
  }
}
export const sidemenu = new Sidemenu();
```





# Reusing Harnesses

## cy.task

- Run commands in Node.js
- Far more possibilities:
  - Writing to the filesystem (logging)
  - Connecting to a database
  - Combine Cypress with other E2E Tools



#### Cypress.Commands.add

- Extends the cy object
- Needs to be done two times (TS declaration and implementation)
- Combines existing cy commands
- No need to return data





#### Cypress Commands Example

```
declare namespace Cypress {
  interface Chainable<Subject> {
    getByAttr(selector: string): Chainable<JQuery<HTMLElement>>;
  }
}

Cypress.Commands.add(
  'getByAttr',
  (selector: string) => cy.get(`[data-testid=${selector}]`)
);
```











#### Indirect "Transitive" Coupling



#### Copy Strategy from non-E2E???







Test Seeded Database & done???



#### Issues with Test Seed


- "One size fits all" approach
- Tight Coupling → Not scalable
- Fast Reseeding not always possible
- Multiple Databases
- Data from External Systems → no Seeding possible




#### Individual Scope - Best Case Scenario

- Data is referenced to a particular entity
  - User
  - Product
  - 0 ...
- Multi-Tenant Systems
- Customer-Centric Systems
  - Insurances
  - Banks














Test Setup !== Test



#### API Arrange Possibilities: Normal Requests

- Default Case
- Call same endpoints as the Frontend
- Don't use the frontend directly!
- cy.task() as alternative



#### API Arrange Possibilities: Dedicated Test API

- Backend provides special API for test mode
- Shortcuts possible, e.g.
  - o merge chain of requests into one
  - Overcome Security Issues
- Best Option



#### Testing Scopes

- Individual Scope
  - All data depends on a certain ID
  - e.g. Personalised Data
  - Best Option in Combination with Test API (Sign Up & In)
- Global Scope
  - Tests Affect each other
  - Challenging Parallel Runs
  - Not so easy to solve...



# Global Pattern I: Independent Tests

- Read-Only Character
- No Arranging required
- Rely on Test Seed
- Smoke Tests
- Tests for Static Elements



### Global Pattern II: Intelligent Tests

- "I'll create and find it"
- Flexible
- Requires more code



#### Global Pattern III: Dependent Test Group

- Default Group
- Logical Group of Unit Tests
- Internal knowledge about other tests
- Order is important
- Database Reset after each Group Run



#### Global Scope IV: Simulated Individual Context

- Mock all APIs
- Transforms a global into an individual context



## Global Scope V: Integration Tests

Don't test it all and rely on integration tests





Arrange Act & Assert







#### Summary

- You will not have completely isolated tests
- Try to minimize loose coupling
- Always prefer Backend API over Test Seed
- Look out for opportunities with individual scope



