Milestone 8 Bewijs in PDF

Matthias Vermeiren - TIFLE

Overzicht vergelijking:

```
DBMS_STATS.GATHER_TABLE_STATS( OWNNAME: 'PROJECT', TABNAME: 'ARTISTS');

DBMS_STATS.GATHER_TABLE_STATS( OWNNAME: 'PROJECT', TABNAME: 'RECORDING_STUDIOS');

DBMS_STATS.GATHER_TABLE_STATS( OWNNAME: 'PROJECT', TABNAME: 'ROOMS');

DBMS_STATS.GATHER_TABLE_STATS( OWNNAME: 'PROJECT', TABNAME: 'EQUIPMENT');

== END;

-- Table size

SELECT segment_name, segment_type, sum(bytes/1024/1024) MB,

(SELECT COUNT(*) FROM EQUIPMENT) as table_count

FROM DBA_SEGMENTS

WHERE SEGMENT_NAME = 'EQUIPMENT'

GROUP BY segment_name, segment_type;
```

Tabel info voor partitionering:

Query:

```
-- Overview of average rent per hour for equipment where the recording studios are located in Brussel.

SELECT s.STUDIO_NAME, s.ADDRESS, r.ROOM_NAME, ROUND(AVG(e.RENTPERHOUR)) AS "Average Rent Per Hour"

FROM RECORDING_STUDIOS S

JOIN ROOMS R ON s.STUDIO_CODE = R.RECORDING_STUDIOS_STUDIO_CODE

JOIN EQUIPMENT e ON e.ROOMS_ROOM_CODE = r.ROOM_CODE

WHERE upper(S.LOCATION) = 'BRUSSEL'

GROUP BY s.STUDIO_NAME, s.ADDRESS, r.ROOM_NAME

ORDER BY s.STUDIO_NAME, r.ROOM_NAME;
```

Explain plan

NA partitionering:

Partitie script + uitleg partitie sleutel

```
-- Partitionering
DROP TABLE EQUIPMENT CASCADE CONSTRAINTS PURGE;
CREATE TABLE EQUIPMENT
      equipment_code INTEGER GENERATED ALWAYS AS IDENTITY,
      rentperhour NUMBER(3), --M6
      equipmentname VARCHAR2(30 CHAR), --M6
      mixing_console VARCHAR2(4 CHAR),
                      VARCHAR2(10 CHAR),
       monitors
       hardware
                      VARCHAR2(50),
                      VARCHAR2(10 CHAR),
                     VARCHAR2(50 CHAR),
       software
                      VARCHAR2(50 CHAR),
       synths
       vocal_mic VARCHAR2(10),
       rooms_room_code INTEGER NOT NULL,
       ro_rec_stu_code INTEGER NOT NULL
    PARTITION BY RANGE(rooms_room_code)
    INTERVAL(50)
       partition equipment_50 VALUES LESS THAN (50)
    );
```

Tabel info NA partitionering:

	■ SEGMENT_NAME	‡	■■ SEGMENT_TYPE	‡	■∄ MB ÷	■■ TABLE_COUNT ÷
1	EQUIPMENT		TABLE PARTITION		80.125	400000

Query: → moet dezelfde zijn

Explain plan na partitionering

Operation				
✓ ← Select				cpu_cost = 162727195, io_cost = 1276
→ Order By (SORT ORDER BY)				cpu_cost = 162727195, io_cost = 1276
✓ (≡) Group By (HASH GROUP BY)				cpu_cost = 162727195, io_cost = 1276
✓ Hash Join Ha		3649	1279.0	cpu_cost = 99622630, io_cost = 1276
✓ ▼ Nested Loops		3649	1279.0	cpu_cost = 99622630, io_cost = 1276
✓ Unknown (STATISTICS COLI				cpu_cost = null, io_cost = null
∨ <u>▼</u> Hash Join			6.0	cpu_cost = 974564, io_cost = 6
	CCESS table: RECORDING_STUDIOS;			cpu_cost = 42807, io_cost = 3
	CCESS table: ROOMS;			cpu_cost = 251607, io_cost = 3
✓ Unknown (PARTITION RANGE)			159.0	cpu_cost = 12331008, io_cost = 159
	ESS FU table: EQUIPMENT;		159.0	cpu_cost = 12331008, io_cost = 159
✓ Unknown (PARTITION RANGE)	JOIN-FIL		159.0	cpu_cost = 12331008, io_cost = 159
☐ Full Scan (TABLE ACCES)	S FULL) table: EQUIPMENT;		159.0	cpu_cost = 12331008, io_cost = 159

Conclusie:

De kosten van de resources zijn lager na het partitioneren, aangezien de database niet meer door de gehele databank moet zoeken. Na partitionering zijn de kosten van de resources gedaald met -48,20%. Het geheugen is echter toegenomen met 11,28%. Hieruit kan worden afgeleid dat we via partitionering met een trade-off zitten tussen een daling van de cost van de resources en een toename in het geheugengebruik.