***Present Committee Members***

*Student Group Representatives:*

*Matthew Widdop*

*Oishi Datta*

*Benedict Morgan*

*Payal Garg*

*Officers:*

*Lara Parker – Sports Officer, Co-chair*

*Supporting staff members:*

*Harriet Berrington-Hughes – Senior Student Group’s Co-ordinator*

*Samantha Macbeth – Student Activities Manager*

**Activities Committee**

**Sub Committee**

20th November

**Trigger Warnings: none**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Item No.** | **Item Title** | **Meeting Notes** | **Actions** |
| **Start** |  |  |  |
| **1** |  | LP: Welcome to the first subcommittee! |  |
| 2 | **New Groups** | **WII**  LP: guess it is WII games  MW: have VGS responded for feedback?  HBH: believe they have not responded  LP: 15 people interested?  MW: yes  LP: Guild reached out to VGS and they didn’t’ respond?  HBH: as far as I know  LP: are we happy to take that as they don’t have a problem  BM & MW: yes  Mw: objectives look distinct  BM: wii is specific type of game so it is distinct  LP: happy with committee roles?  BM: looks good  MW: oh check welfare  LP: do we want to go back and approve but they need to reword constitution to take responsibility off welfare officer  MW: chairs action, is this the right approach?  HBH: says this is best so role wording can be checked  LP: everyone happy for chairs action?  BM: minor thing, call the roles ‘officers’ rather than just the title  Result: LP, JD, MW, BM, OD, PG agree further information needed, can be then approved via chair’s action  Further info: Recommend rewording welfare officer’s responsibilities to make sure there isn’t too much responsibility on them  **Bubble Tea**  BM: to promote friendship, that’s cute  LP: what they plan to do, bubble tea and chill event. Lots of bubble tea activity  LP: publicity and sports sec  BM: what’s the boba sports team? Also interested in the films they will watch as this is in their constitution?  MW: seems fine  LP: happy with this, sounds lovely  Result: LP, JD, MW, BM, OD, PG approve new group  **Social Deduction**  Lp: what is social deduction?  BM: gives examples of games such as Among Us  HBH: shares crossover feedback, table top gaming concerned before this new group applied  LP: okay what does everyone think on crossover?  MW: I think it overlaps heavily, can we see TTG’s constitution?  HBH: shares constitution  LP: yes I think this is just taking an aspect of table top and renaming it  LP: I think they crossover too much  MQW: agree  LP: don’t think we should approve  Result: LP, JD, MW, BM, OD, PG agree to reject new group  **Nahda**  LP: unsure what this is?  SM: middle eastern and north African  BM: the middle east and north Africa  LP: what is Nahda then?  BM: think it is a specific word relating to that area  SM: refers to the Arab awakening or enlightenment  HBH: other groups were contacted, only group who came back Israel who are fine with the new group  MW: did we approve African groups previously?  HBH: Quick check, yes we do have East and North African  MW: can we refer back and contact them for crossover feedback?  LP; are they cultural, as these are academic it could be different?  MW: good point, still want to see crossover feedback  LP: shall we have a look at north African and see if they reference academics?  BM: their objectives do mention community but seems academic specific  MW: I would be keen to get African society viewpoints before we review any further  LP: I think that’s sensible, bring this back with crossover feedback  Result: LP, JD, MW, BM, OD, PG agree further information is needed  Further information: To get crossover feedback from African groups  **Levantine**  BM: FOP and Islamic have been contacted, I think as it is in the middle I think its fine  HBH: shares crossover feedback, isoc says its fine and fop didn’t come back  LP: are we happy with roles and everything? Happy that there’s no Lebanese soc that would need to be checked?  HBH: yes not active  LP: great, added a role to sec and they have added marketing and vice chair which looks fine  MW: sounds good to me  LP: all looks good, membership fee is fine, is everyone happy?  Result: LP, JD, MW, BM, OD, PG approve new group  **Bollywood**  LP: okay, is there anything immediately jumping out? What is crossover feedback?  HBH: explains crossover and Bollysoc’s explanations for why they are different, Indian soc are not happy  LP: I think I am happy to reject  MW: can we see Indian soc constitution?  HBH: shares constitution, brief objectives about representing culture and meeting others  MW: quite vague, maybe as they are old group. I think it’s a no, too similar  BM: yes if approved I think it would lead to conflict. There’s a clear lack of communication amongst these societies. There will be conflict, don’t want to approve  LP: agree, all happy to not approve?  Result: LP, JD, MW, BM, OD, PG reject new group  LP: As we are nearly out of time, move on to AOB and will find some time for another meeting to finish remaining groups |  |
| 6 | **AOB and Goodbyes** | HBH: nothing on the agenda  LP: AOB?  HBH: shares date of grant application, 11th November 10am  LP: will need people to be on time and crack on  MW: I will likely be 15 mins late to it but I am aware of process.  HBH: to get in touch about meeting to finish off remaining groups from this meeting.  LP thanks all and ends meeting |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |

**Date & Time of next meeting: 3rd December 3-4pm**