

As shown in figure, we propose STEVE-2 a hierarchical knowledge distillation process employing DPO loss [REF] on Multi-Agent System with Extra Expert module. After distillation, STEVE-2 can develop efficient embodied agents to accomplish fine-grained open-ended tasks without expert guidance with one model. We summarize our contributions as follows: - We design STEVE-2, hierarchical knowledge distillation for multi-modal multi-agent training. Multi-agents cooperate in a hierarchical auto-organizing system for fine-grained Chain-of-Thoughts and efficient deployment. Each agent is trained hierarchically by mirrored teachers for simulating dynamics and aligning tasks at multiple levels of granularity, allowing efficient cooperation using only one MLM. - We develop an extra expert model based on our distillation method, which allows us to add extra multi-modal knowledge to parallel teachers and implicitly transfer knowledge to the inference model. By keeping the knowledge within the model, there is no need for additional priori or expert guidance during reasoning, and the model can handle multi-agent cooperation flexibly and efficiently. - We achieve state-of-the-art performance on the asynchronous multi-modal navigation and creation tasks in Minecraft's open-ended environment, with *MATH* - *MATH* in performance.

The Hierarchical architecture consists of two primary operational domains: higher-order centralized planning, which is managed by the manager multi-modal language model (*MATH*), and ground-level decentralized execution, which is conducted by the conductor model (*MATH*), then the action *MATH* of the conductor can be obtained as follows, *MATH* where *MATH* and *MATH* represent the multi-modal language models of the conductor and manager agents. Actor agents *MATH* auto-organized by *MATH* are optional for additional actions: *MATH*. To achieve this, we draw inspiration from recent advances of large pretrained MLMs [REF; REF]. As shown in figure, each teacher agent plays the above three different MLMs through different prompts in the hierarchical multi-agent system. After hierarchical knowledge distillation by DPO loss [REF], STEVE-2 acquires the performance of these three scale multi-modal language models *MATH* for the manager, conductor, and actor agents. STEVE-2 is modularized into three components: - The pretrained Vision Transformer (ViT) acts as the vision encoder that encodes visual input data (represented as *MATH*) into visual embeddings. - The Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) layer aligns the embeddings produced by the ViT with the language space. - The pretrained Language Model (LLM) is utilized as the language decoder, taking the concatenation of instruction tokens and the output of the linear projection layer as input and generates a textual action *MATH*. This textual action is then used to retrieve code action *MATH*.

The Multi-modal Teacher Model *MATH*, consisting of three different types of MLM of the manager, conductor and actor agents. As shown in figure, *MATH*, which consists of Planner, Descriptor, Critic, and Skill module for the manager, conductor and actor agents. They formulate aligned task plans, condense and translate multi-modal data, refine strategies through feedback, and assign and direct agent subtasks. Then, they translate strategic plans into executable actions, orchestrate dynamic group formations, and distribute tasks across agents, ensuring alignment with centralized directives and facilitating continuous learning and adaptation through a curriculum of complex tasks. A multi-modal memory is maintained to store the long-term memory of the description of multi-modal information generated by *MATH*, the specific planning trajectories generated by *MATH* corrected by *MATH*.

Our goal is to develop a system of hierarchical MLM agents, and each assigned a specific function represented by a student MLM  $\pi_{\theta}$ , that can learn and replicate the behavior of teacher MLMs  $\pi_{\theta^*}$  with extra knowledge:  $\pi_{\theta^*}$  and  $\pi_{\theta^*}$ . We employ a similar approach to our system in training the Manager, Conductor, and Actor agents in STEVE-2 using a hierarchical MLM teacher. The objective function, which is based on the state distribution induced by  $\pi_{\theta^*}$ , is minimized to accomplish this.  $\pi_{\theta}$  where the loss function  $\mathcal{L}$  is the knowledge distillation loss. Specifically, we adopt DPO loss [1] as the distillation loss in the experiment. It uses the relative log probability of a response to the non-preferred response, with a dynamic per-sample weight to prevent model degradation, and is proven better than cross-entropy for aligning language models with teacher preferences. Therefore, Equation can be extended to the following formulation:  $\mathcal{L}$  where a logistic function ( $\sigma$ ) and a hyperparameter ( $\beta$ ) are to control the deviation from a reference agent  $\pi_{\theta^*}$ . The reference agent is obtained by behavior cloning on a dataset produced by a rule-based teacher. The model is trained using Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) with an additional regularization term to prevent the agent from deviating too far from the teacher’s accurate distribution, maintain generation diversity, and avoid premature convergence to easy tasks. To stabilize the training process, the MLM agent  $\pi_{\theta}$  is initialized to  $\pi_{\theta^*}$  as well. However, since we cannot compute the distribution of  $\pi_{\theta^*}$ , we must use the agent to sample  $\pi_{\theta^*}$ . We used DAgger [2] to converge to the optimal agent  $\pi_{\theta^*}$  and overcome cumulative error and distribution shift issues instead of Naive behavior. Note that we use GPT-4V(ision) [3] to generate a sequence of actions, represented by  $\pi_{\theta^*}$  in equation DPO [1] for a given task. With extra expert, these actions may be closer to optimal.

STEVE-2 is a novel framework that overcomes limitations of multi-modal language models (MLMs) in open-ended embodied tasks. It uses a hierarchical structure for nuanced task division, a mirrored distillation approach for harnessing parallel simulation data, and an imagination model to infuse extra contextual knowledge into simulations. This boosts the autonomy and effectiveness of embodied agents, bridging the gap between task understanding and execution, and dynamically adapting to open-ended environments. STEVE-2 is more sophisticated, flexible, and efficient in complex, real-world applications, advancing the field of artificial intelligence and embodied systems.

In shared autonomy, arbitration of human and autonomous action commands, which jointly form the input to the robot/machine system, is of prominent importance. In this regard, the available schemes in literature can be categorized into two main groups. The first is referred to as policy blending, where the human action and autonomous action are treated as two separate signals and an arbitration function is used to decide how to blend these two signals [\*REF\*]. Despite wide application due to its simplicity and efficacy, the policy blending approach has some drawbacks that stem from the fact that it attempts to blend two signals that might be different in nature and their meaning [\*REF\*]. To address the latter issue, in [\*REF\*], the authors suggested a latent-action representation from human's low-dimensional actions to high-dimensional inputs. They next combined the latter with the assistance signal in order to fine-tune the robot behavior. The other limitation is the inherent "predict-then-go\" nature of the system architecture to implement the policy blending approach [\*REF\*]. In some respects, the resulting autonomous agent in an inherently "predict-then-go\" setting can be viewed as a Sisyphus or an absurd hero [\*REF\*], with a perpetual though successful struggle, that resets after every cue by the human agent.

One of the strategies in the second category involves conditioning the robot action on the human signal. The authors of [\*REF\*] defined an augmented (autonomous) state consisting of the (overall) state of the robot and human's goal. It was assumed that the human policy that acts based on the augmented state is modeled and known, for which they used the Maximum Entropy (MaxEnt) Inverse Optimal Control (IOC) framework. The autonomous action is based on the overall robotic system state as well as the human action, and is defined such that it minimizes a cost function dependent on the human action and goal. It was furthermore assumed that a goal *\*MATH\** is partially observable and the human state is the same as the autonomous state. In [\*REF\*], the authors developed a deep Reinforcement Learning (RL) algorithm to learn a model-free policy that maps the augmented state of the robot to the (autonomous) action. The augmented state comprised the state of the overall robotic system and the human signal. The latter was either the intended goal -- inferred using Bayesian inference under an inverse RL scheme -- if such information was available, or the raw low-level human inputs, otherwise. The purpose in [\*REF\*] was to find an optimal autonomous action close to the human action to deliver high performance, while keeping the human as a high-quality input source in the loop. It was demonstrated that incorporating an inference algorithm resulted in a better overall performance despite the additional computational cost. However, the authors of [\*REF\*] did not assume a model for human policy and the human signal was part of an augmented state definition for the autonomous policy. A model-free RL algorithm was used to find the optimal autonomous action while keeping it close to the human action.

In analyzing human behavior, it is important to note that, in general, to assume that the state definition *\*MATH\** is the same between the human agent and the autonomous agent is not valid. The reason lies in the fact that we do not have access to internal perception and state definition of a human, i.e., *\*MATH\**. Therefore, assuming that a human policy maps from *\*MATH\** to *\*MATH\** is conceptually inaccurate, in general. In this paper, we assume that a human has an internal state that is comprised of *\*MATH\** and *\*MATH\**; hence, *\*MATH\**. The latter denotes the partially observable part of a human's state. This point becomes even more important when we have a hierarchy of tasks. The notion of including the human signal in the augmented state definition, as proposed in [\*REF\*], makes sense in this light. If the human signal is defined as the

low-level actions, then this implicitly enforces the Markovian assumption, i.e., the history is ignored. In contrast, it can be argued that conditioning the shared policy \*MATH\* on a rich signal from the human, such as the goal space and if feasible the intended goal, is critical, as it effectively reflects the human history of actions. This argument is also supported by the results in [\*REF\*] for an unstructured user input, where raw (i.e., unconditioned) low-level human inputs were used and poor performance was reported. Consequently, the performance of a collaboration scheme highly depends on a relatively successful encoding of human's internal state variable(s) \*MATH\*. It is worth noting that goal inference algorithms, in general, are based on a history of human inputs. The goal/intent inference requires a knowledge of goal space, which, in turn, requires domain knowledge. We encode the latter in \*MATH\* without assuming direct knowledge of the intended goal, but only as a measure of goal space.

From another perspective, since the autonomous agent is human-inspired by design, with a shared autonomy mindset, the autonomous actions are comprehensible to the human agent and vice versa. Hence, this shared mental model [\*REF\*; \*REF\*] is not only necessary for proper collaboration but more importantly provides a road map to the design of any modern framework involving humans and (semi-)autonomous agents. Our approach has the capability to correct and help train a novice human operator in a safe and efficient manner. On the other hand, with the designed hierarchical learning and planning algorithms, full autonomy is also achievable.

Finding a long-term match in this scenario is quite a complex task. Therefore, we propose multi-agent reinforcement learning (MARL) as an alternative paradigm where agents must learn how to find a match based on their experiences interacting with others. We equip each agent with their own reinforcement learning (RL) module. We use SARSA, a model-free, online RL algorithm. Instead of a common reward signal, each agent has a separate intrinsic reward signal. Therefore, we model this problem as a stochastic/Markov game [REF], which is useful in modeling multi-agent decentralized control where the reward function is separate for each agent. Agents learn to operate in the environment with the goal of increasing expected total reward, by getting into a long-term, stable, or close-to-stable and fair match. We impose search cost as a small negative reward (-1) for each step whenever an agent is not in a match.

As mentioned earlier, we propose a multi-agent reinforcement learning (MARL) approach that enables each agent to learn independently to find a good match for itself. A reinforcement learning agent learns by interacting with its environment. The agent perceives the state of the environment and takes an action, which causes the environment to transition into a new state at each time step. The agent receives a reward reflecting the quality of each transition. The agent's goal is to maximize the expected cumulative reward over time [REF]. In our system, although agents learn independently and separately, their actions affect the environment and in turn affect the learning process of other agents as well. As agents receive separate intrinsic rewards, we modeled our problem as a Markov game. Stochastic/Markov games [REF] are used to model multi-agent decentralized control where the reward function is separate for each agent, as each agent works only towards maximizing its own total reward.

A Markov game with  $M$  players specifies how the state of an environment changes as the result of the joint actions of  $M$  players. The game has a finite set of states  $S$ . The observation function  $o_i: S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_i$  specifies a  $d_i$ -dimensional view of the state space for each player. We write  $\mathcal{O}_i$  to denote the observation space of player  $i$ . From each state, players take actions from the set  $\mathcal{A}_i$  (one per player). The state changes as a result of the joint action  $a$ , according to a stochastic transition function  $P: S \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Delta(S)$ , where  $\Delta(S)$  denotes the set of probability distributions over  $S$ . Each player receives an individual reward defined as  $r_i$  for player  $i$ . In our multi-agent reinforcement learning approach, each agent learns independently, through its own experience, a behavior policy  $\pi_i: \mathcal{O}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_i$  (denoted  $\pi_i^*$ ) based on its observation  $o_i$  and reward  $r_i$ . Each agent's goal is to find policy  $\pi_i^*$  which maximizes a long term discounted reward [REF].

\*MATH\* MuJoCo Ant-dir: We trained models for performing the task on an episode of 200 timesteps and found that MetODS can adapt in a few time-steps, similar to memory-based models such as \*MATH\*, (Figure [1]-f) thanks to its continual adaptation mechanism. By design, MAML and PEARL do not present such a property, and they need multiple episodes before being able to perform adaptation correctly. We still report MAML performance after running its gradient adaptation at timestep  $t=100$ . We further note that our agent overall performance in a single episode is still superior to MAML performance reported in [\*REF\*; \*REF\*] when more episodes are accessible for training.

To address the challenges of adapting the multimodal LLMs to the dynamic and information-rich financial trading tasks, we present FinAgent, a multimodal foundation agent that integrates both textual and visual information for a comprehensive analysis of market dynamics and historical trading patterns. Specifically, FinAgent’s market intelligence module processes multimodal data, such as numerical, textual, and visual, to provide precise analysis of financial market trends, offering insights for future trading tasks (Ch1). A uniquely designed dual-level reflection module is developed, capable of not only rapidly adapting to market dynamics but also enhancing the agent’s ability to learn from historical data and improve its decision-making process (Ch2). FinAgent introduces a diversified memory retrieval system for the market intelligence and reflection modules, separating trading and retrieval tasks to enhance focus on their specific functions and minimize noise in the results (Ch3). Finally, the decision-making module incorporates expert knowledge, comprising both supplementary expert guidance and auxiliary expert strategies, to guide the agent’s decisions. This emphasis on providing reasoned explanations for actions fosters trust in its financial decisions (Ch4 & Ch5). Specifically, our contributions are four-fold:

We first introduce the Markov Decision Process (MDP) formulation of financial trading. Later on, we provide the formal formulation where  $rt$  is the reward at the time step  $t$  that depends on the environmental state  $st$  and action  $at$ .  $\mu$  are specialized modules that encapsulate beneficial internal reasoning processes. Note that a state contains multimodal information including textual, numerical, and visual data. Faced with a task  $\lambda$  and equipped with a memory daily data about the macro environment, current market conditions or investors’ sentiments that inform investment and trading decisions. In FinAgent, we harness the power of both the latest and historical news, financial reports, and asset prices related to the where  $\phi$  is a task-relevant prompt generator. The prompt is then passed to a multimodal LLM, from which a response is generated. Finally, the response is parsed through the task-specific action parsing function  $\lambda$  to perform compatible actions in the environment. FinAgent is a multimodal LLMs agent in this framework specifically designed for financial trading, which contains five core modules, namely market intelligence module (M), memory module (Mem), low-level reflection module (L), high-level reflection module (H) and decision-making module (D). We can define the  $\mu t$  and targeted asset in order to inform and optimize trading decisions. Latest Market Intelligence. This module mainly consists of asset news and daily asset prices. However, it is not confined to these elements alone. Any information impacting the market can be encompassed within our framework as part of the latest market intelligence. The objective of this component is to evaluate the sentiment of each market intelligence item regarding its influence on future asset prices and to provide a detailed summary of whether the market has recently exhibited bearish or bullish tendencies, thereby assisting in informed decision-making.

This paper presents RepairAgent, the first autonomous, LLM-based agent for automated program repair. Our approach treats the LLM as an autonomous agent capable of planning and executing actions to achieve the goal of fixing a bug. To this end, we equip the LLM with a set of bug repair-specific tools that the models can invoke to interact with the code base in a way similar to a human developer. For example, RepairAgent has tools to extract information about the bug by reading specific lines of code, to gather repair ingredients by searching the code base, and to propose and validate fixes by applying a patch and executing test cases. Importantly, we do not hard-code how and when to use these tools, but instead let the LLM autonomously decide which tool to invoke next, based on previously gathered information and feedback from previous fix attempts.



In this paper, we present HYDRA, a HYper agent for Dynamic compositional visual ReAsoning, an innovative framework designed to address the aforementioned challenges. HYDRA is composed of three main modules: planner, controller (Reinforcement Learning-based agent (RL)) and reasoner. Notably, in the planner, upon receiving textual queries, unlike prior compositional approaches, we employed LLM to generate some instruction samples with varying depths based on a distribution, instead of relying on a single instruction sample. Furthermore, we integrate a hyper RL agent to dynamically interact with some modules to make a high-level decision on the instruction samples generated by LLM in the planner to evaluate their validity. If the RL agent detects any invalid instruction samples, a request is sent back to the planner for alternative suggestions. Conversely, if the instruction samples are considered valid, the chosen instruction sample is forwarded to the reasoner. In the reasoner, the selected instruction sample undergoes analysis by LLM, and the resulting tailored code is sent to the code generator. The code generator employs Python API code to utilize VFMs for additional visual content processing. If the reasoner output is incomplete or fails, the output is converted to textual format in the textualizer module and then stored in State Memory Bank. Afterwards, another request is then sent back to the planner to generate new instructions, which are again fed to the controller module to select an instruction sample. This iterative process continues incrementally until the final desired output is achieved. The design of HYDRA integrates not only the incremental storage of information from previous states (incremental reasoning), considered by the RL agent, but also the capability to utilize feedback from VFMs acquired from earlier perception processes. This enables dynamic adjustment of actions and responses based on feedback from visual perception modules. This innovative design facilitates hyper decision-making by the hyper RL agent, thereby refining reasoning capabilities and overall effectiveness. The overall design of HYDRA compared with the previous compositional approach is shown in Figure \*REF\*. We evaluated our framework on several popular VR datasets and compared it with the advanced models, showing state-of-the-art performance. In sum, the key contributions of this work are as follows:

The specific learning agent that is considered in this paper is based on the Projective Simulation (PS) model for RL. PS is a physics-motivated framework for artificial intelligence developed in Ref. [1]. The core component of a PS agent is its clip network which is comprised of units of episodic memory called clips (see Fig. [4]). There are two sets of clips constituting the basic network, percept and action clips. In an interactive RL scenario, an agent perceives the environment through the activation of a percept clip  $p_i$  and responds with an action. The latter, in turn, is triggered by an action clip  $a_j$ . Percept clips can be regarded as representations of the possible states of the environment, as perceived by the agent. Similarly, action clips can be seen as internal representations of operations an agent can perform on the environment. A two-layered clip network as in Fig. [4] can be represented by a directed, bipartite graph where the two disjoint sets comprise the percepts  $p_i$  and actions  $a_j$ , respectively. In this network each percept (clip)  $p_i$ ,  $p_i$  (where  $p_i$  is the number of percepts at time step  $p_i$ ) is connected to an action (clip)  $a_j$ ,  $a_j$  (with  $a_j$  being the number of actions available for a percept  $p_i$ ) via a directed edge  $e_{ij}$  which represents the possibility of taking action  $a_j$  given the situation  $p_i$  with probability  $w_{ij}$ . The agent's policy governing its behavior in this RL setting is defined by the transition probabilities in the episodic memory. Learning is manifested through the adaption of the clip network via the creation of new clips and the update of transition probabilities. Each time a new percept is encountered, it is included into the set  $p_i$ . A time-dependent weight, called  $w_{ij}$ -value,  $w_{ij}$  is associated with each edge  $e_{ij}$ . The transition probability from percept  $p_i$  to action  $a_j$  is given by the so-called softmax function [1] of the weight  $w_{ij}$  where  $\beta$  is the softmax parameter.

When a percept is triggered for the first time all  $w_{ij}$ -values are set to  $w_{ij}$  such that the transition probabilities are initially uniform. That is, the agent's behavior is fully random in the beginning. Since random behavior is rarely optimal, changes in the transition probabilities are required. The environment reinforces such changes by issuing nonnegative rewards  $r_j$  in response to an action of the agent. Then, the agent must ask the question, given a percept  $p_i$  which is the action  $a_j$  that will maximize the received reward. Therefore, the transition probabilities are updated in accordance to the environment's feedback such that the chance of the agent to receive a reward in the future is increased. In other words, the environment's feedback  $r_j$  controls the update of the  $w_{ij}$ -matrix with entries  $w_{ij}$ . However, there are many other contributions to the update independent of the environment's immediate feedback. Particularly noteworthy are contributions that reinforce exploratory over exploitative behavior. A detailed description of how the feedback is processed in the agent's memory is given in Appendix [8].

We define the individual elements of the Duckietown Multi-Agent Autonomous Driving Environment as follows:

- Agents  $\ast$ MATH $\ast$ : Each Duckiebot is treated as an individual agent. All agents are independent, have a local observation of the environment and obtain a unique reward.
- Observation  $\ast$ MATH $\ast$ : The local observation of each Duckiebot is composed by the steering angle, the distance to the center of the lane, the angle with respect to a tangent to the current lane, local distances to the closest Duckiebots in the same and opposite lane, their respective longitudinal velocities, and a binary variable that shows whether the agent is off the track or not.
- Action  $\ast$ MATH $\ast$ : A discrete action space with four high-level possible decisions -- accelerate ( $0.25 \ast$ MATH $\ast$ ); brake ( $0.25 \ast$ MATH $\ast$ ); change lane; keep previous velocity (no acceleration). In each step agents can accelerate, brake, change lane or do nothing.
- Reward function  $\ast$ MATH $\ast$ :  $\ast$ MATH $\ast$ ; where  $\ast$ MATH $\ast$  is the agent's measure velocity ( $\ast$ MATH $\ast$ ), and binary variables  $\ast$ MATH $\ast$  representing a collision,  $\ast$ MATH $\ast$  capturing if the agent is out of the track, and  $\ast$ MATH $\ast$  if the agent is changing lanes.

[Recovery strategy.] To fully describe the behavior of our Q-learning agents, we have to prescribe their policy from the void state *\*MATH\**. This is problematic because turbulent plumes are full of holes thus the void state can occur anywhere both within and outside the plume, Figure A. As a consequence, the optimal action *\*MATH\** from the void state is ill defined. We address this issue by using a separate policy called “recovery strategy”. Inspired by path integration as defined in biology [*\*REF\**; *\*REF\**; *\*REF\**], we propose the backtracking strategy consisting in retracing the last *\*MATH\** steps after the agent lost track of the odor. If at the end of backtracking the agent is still in the void state, it activates Brownian motion. Backtracking requires that we introduce memory of the past *\*MATH\** actions. This timescale *\*MATH\** for activating recovery is conceptually distinct from the duration of the sensing memory -- however here we set *\*MATH\** for simplicity.

[Characterization of the optimal policies.] To understand how different recoveries affect the agent’s behavior, we characterize the optimal policies obtained using the three recovery strategies. We visualize the probability to encounter each of the 16 olfactory states, or occupancy (circles in Figure), and the spatial distribution of the olfactory states. In the void state, the agent activates the recovery strategy. Recovery from the void state affects non-void olfactory states as well: their occupancy, their spatial distribution, and the action they elicit (Figure and Supplementary Figure 3). This is because the agent computes its olfactory state online, according to its prior history which is affected by encounters with the void state. However, for all recoveries, non-void states are mostly encountered within the plume and largely elicit upwind motion (Figure , top, center). Thus macroscopically, all agents learn to surge upwind when they detect any odor within their memory, and to recover when their memory is empty. This suggests a considerable level of redundancy which may be leveraged to reduce the number of olfactory states, thus the computational cost.

In this paper, I adopt a different method called evolving self-supervised neural networks (SSNNs) (which was presented in [\*REF\*]). This technique differs from what has been presented so far in this section in that a SSNN consists of two separate network modules, namely action module and reinforcement module. The agent learns as the reinforcement module produces a signal to update the weights of the action module. This happens inside the agent whenever the agent moves and senses the environment, in need of no external supervision or reward, thus intrinsically motivated. This technique also differs greatly from traditional supervised learning in which a learning machine is provided with labels, and from reinforcement learning in which a reward function is set by the system engineer.

Each agent is controlled by a fully-connected neural network to determine its movements in the environment as shown in Figure [1](a). All neurons except the inputs use a sigmoidal activation function. All connections (or synaptic strengths) are initialised as Gaussian(0, 1). These weights are first initialised as innate, but also have the potential to change during the lifetime of that agent. Please note that what an agent decides to do changes the world the agent lives in, changing the next sensory information it receives, hence the next behaviour. This forms a sensory-motor dynamics and a neural network acts as a situated cognitive module having the role to guide an agent to behave effectively and adaptively. This is situated cognition or situated intelligence [\*REF\*].

To allow for self-supervision, the neural controller for each agent now has two modules, namely Action Module and Reinforcement Module. The action module is the same network as previously shown in Figure [1](a). This module takes as sensory inputs and produce motor outputs. The reinforcement module has the same set of inputs as the action module, but has separate hidden and output neurons. The goal of reinforcement network is to provide reinforcement signals to guide the behaviour of each agent through a self-supervised learning process. This architecture allows for an intrinsic learning process within the agent itself, no need for external supervision provided by the experimenter or reward like in DRL. Moreover, unlike actor-critic DRL the reinforcement module here does not provide reward signal and memory to update the action network, and it is not updated by changing the role of two networks [\*REF\*]. We shall see it is evolution that creates the self-supervision ability and the reinforcement signals, to make the evolved agent learns better. The description of the self-supervised neural network is visualised in Figure [1](b). Simulations are described below.