

Study	Author	Hedge's g	[g% CI]
blinding = 0			
Anil		-0.17	[-0.41; 0.06]
Christie		1.28	[0.68; 1.89]
deZambotti		0.89	[0.40; 1.38]
Dekker		0.57	[0.25; 0.89]
Dempster		0.37	[0.12; 0.62]
Domingos_3		0.05	[-0.25; 0.36]
Domingos_4		0.72	[0.34; 1.09]
Emmert_2		0.24	[-0.07; 0.54]
Emmert_1		0.21	[-0.09; 0.51]
Goksin		0.42	[0.06; 0.77]
Guleken		1.16	[0.59; 1.72]
Kober_5		0.41	[0.05; 0.77]
Krogmeier		-0.05	[-0.31; 0.21]
Mayeli		-0.01	[-0.28; 0.26]
Patel		-0.04	[-0.37; 0.28]
Studer_2		0.09	[-0.17; 0.35]
Studer_1		0.37	[0.09; 0.65]
vanSon_1		0.44	[0.07; 0.80]
vanSon_2		-0.87	[-1.33; -0.41]
Zhang		0.31	[-0.02; 0.63]
Random effects model (HK)			0.33 [0.15; 0.51]
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 74\%$, $p < 0.01$			
Test for effect in subgroup: $t_{18} = 3.91$ ($p < 0.01$)			
blinding = 1			
Cheng		0.59	[0.17; 1.00]
Gevensleben		0.25	[-0.10; 0.60]
Hellrung_1		0.14	[-0.14; 0.43]
Hellrung_2		0.17	[-0.10; 0.44]
Keynan_4		0.69	[0.44; 0.93]
Keynan_5		0.49	[0.34; 0.64]
Kober_3		0.21	[-0.10; 0.52]
Kober_4		0.31	[-0.01; 0.63]
Naas		0.65	[0.32; 0.99]
Pimenta_2		0.30	[-0.04; 0.63]
Pimenta_1		1.04	[0.54; 1.54]
Shibata_1		0.42	[0.08; 0.76]
Shibata_2		0.19	[-0.12; 0.51]
Tribat		0.02	[-0.17; 0.22]
Weiss		0.21	[-0.05; 0.47]
Random effects model (HK)			0.35 [0.22; 0.49]
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 65\%$, $p < 0.01$			
Test for effect in subgroup: $t_{14} = 5.53$ ($p < 0.01$)			
Random effects model (HK)			0.34 [0.23; 0.45]
Prediction interval			[-0.16; 0.84]
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 71\%$, $p < 0.01$			
Test for subgroup differences: $\chi^2_1 = 0.05$, $df = 1$ ($p = 0.82$)			