

Study	Author	Hedge's g	[g% CI]
<b>motivation_yn = 1</b>			
Anil		-0.17	[-0.41; 0.06]
Cheng		0.59	[0.17; 1.00]
Christie		1.28	[0.68; 1.89]
deZambotti		0.89	[0.40; 1.38]
Dekker		0.57	[0.25; 0.89]
Dempster		0.37	[0.12; 0.62]
Domingos_3		0.05	[-0.25; 0.36]
Domingos_4		0.72	[0.34; 1.09]
Emmert_2		0.24	[-0.07; 0.54]
Emmert_1		0.21	[-0.09; 0.51]
Gevensleben		0.25	[-0.10; 0.60]
Goksin		0.42	[0.06; 0.77]
Guleken		1.16	[0.59; 1.72]
Hellrung_1		0.14	[-0.14; 0.43]
Hellrung_2		0.17	[-0.10; 0.44]
Keynan_4		0.69	[0.44; 0.93]
Keynan_5		0.49	[0.34; 0.64]
Kober_5		0.41	[0.05; 0.77]
Kober_3		0.21	[-0.10; 0.52]
Kober_4		0.31	[-0.01; 0.63]
Krogmeier		-0.05	[-0.31; 0.21]
Mayeli		-0.01	[-0.28; 0.26]
Naas		0.65	[0.32; 0.99]
Patel		-0.04	[-0.37; 0.28]
Studer_2		0.09	[-0.17; 0.35]
Studer_1		0.37	[0.09; 0.65]
Tribat		0.02	[-0.17; 0.22]
vanSon_1		0.44	[0.07; 0.80]
vanSon_2		-0.87	[-1.33; -0.41]
Weiss		0.21	[-0.05; 0.47]
Zhang		0.31	[-0.02; 0.63]
<b>Random effects model (HK)</b>		<b>0.32</b>	<b>[0.21; 0.44]</b>
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 72\%$ , $p < 0.01$			
Test for effect in subgroup: $t_{29} = 5.73$ ( $p < 0.01$ )			
<b>motivation_yn = 0</b>			
Pimenta_2		0.30	[-0.04; 0.63]
Pimenta_1		1.04	[0.54; 1.54]
Shibata_1		0.42	[0.08; 0.76]
Shibata_2		0.19	[-0.12; 0.51]
<b>Random effects model (HK)</b>		<b>0.45</b>	<b>[-0.11; 1.01]</b>
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 63\%$ , $p = 0.04$			
Test for effect in subgroup: $t_3 = 2.55$ ( $p = 0.08$ )			
<b>Random effects model (HK)</b>			
<b>Prediction interval</b>			
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 71\%$ , $p < 0.01$			
Test for subgroup differences: $\chi^2_1 = 0.44$ , $df = 1$ ( $p = 0.51$ )			