

Study	Author	Hedge's g	[g% CI]
device = 3			
Anil		-0.17	[-0.41; 0.06]
Cheng		0.59	[0.17; 1.00]
Christie		1.28	[0.68; 1.89]
deZambotti		0.87	[0.38; 1.35]
Dekker		0.57	[0.25; 0.89]
Dempster		0.37	[0.12; 0.62]
Domingos_3		0.05	[-0.25; 0.36]
Domingos_4		0.72	[0.34; 1.09]
Gevensleben		0.25	[-0.10; 0.60]
Goksin		0.34	[-0.00; 0.68]
Guleken		1.15	[0.59; 1.71]
Keynan_4		0.69	[0.44; 0.93]
Keynan_5		0.55	[0.40; 0.71]
Kober_5		0.41	[0.05; 0.77]
Kober_3		0.21	[-0.10; 0.52]
Kober_4		0.31	[-0.01; 0.63]
Krogmeier		-0.05	[-0.31; 0.21]
Naas		0.65	[0.32; 0.99]
Patel		-0.04	[-0.37; 0.28]
Pimenta_2		0.30	[-0.04; 0.63]
Pimenta_1		1.04	[0.54; 1.54]
Studer_2		0.09	[-0.17; 0.35]
Studer_1		0.37	[0.09; 0.65]
Tribat		0.02	[-0.17; 0.22]
vanSon_1		0.44	[0.07; 0.80]
vanSon_2		-0.85	[-1.31; -0.40]
Random effects model (HK)			0.37 [0.19; 0.54]
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 81\%$, $p < 0.01$			
Test for effect in subgroup: $t_{25} = 4.40$ ($p < 0.01$)			
device = 2			
Emmert_2		0.24	[-0.07; 0.54]
Emmert_1		0.19	[-0.11; 0.49]
Hellrung_1		0.14	[-0.14; 0.43]
Hellrung_2		0.17	[-0.10; 0.44]
Mayeli		-0.00	[-0.27; 0.26]
Shibata_1		0.42	[0.08; 0.77]
Shibata_2		0.18	[-0.14; 0.49]
Weiss		0.19	[-0.07; 0.45]
Zhang		0.31	[-0.02; 0.64]
Random effects model (HK)			0.19 [0.10; 0.28]
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, $p = 0.80$			
Test for effect in subgroup: $t_8 = 5.03$ ($p < 0.01$)			
Random effects model (HK)			0.32 [0.19; 0.44]
Prediction interval			[-0.29; 0.93]
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 76\%$, $p < 0.01$			
Test for subgroup differences: $\chi^2_1 = 3.72$, $df = 1$ ($p = 0.05$)			