

# Functional Idioms in C++

Alfons Haffmans

September 11, 2013

## Abstract

In this paper I review the implementation of functors, applicative functors and monads in C++.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Functional Programming . . . . .	3
1.2	Support in C++ . . . . .	4
1.3	Conventions and Notation . . . . .	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Functional Idioms</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	4
2.2	Currying . . . . .	5
2.3	Functor . . . . .	6
2.4	Applicative Functors and Brackets . . . . .	8
2.4.1	Applicatives . . . . .	8
2.4.2	Brackets . . . . .	8
2.5	Monads . . . . .	8
<b>3</b>	<b>The Functional Idioms in C++</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1	General Approach . . . . .	9
3.2	Functor . . . . .	10
3.3	Applicative Functor and Brackets . . . . .	10
3.3.1	Applicative . . . . .	10
3.3.2	Bracket . . . . .	10
3.4	Monad . . . . .	12

<b>4</b>	<b>Maybe</b>	<b>12</b>
4.1	Motivation . . . . .	12
4.2	Maybe Type Class . . . . .	13
4.3	Functor . . . . .	15
4.4	Applicative Functor . . . . .	17
4.5	Monad . . . . .	18
<b>5</b>	<b>Either</b>	<b>19</b>
5.1	Motivation . . . . .	19
5.2	Either Type Class . . . . .	19
5.3	Functor . . . . .	21
5.4	Applicative Functor . . . . .	21
5.5	Monad . . . . .	22
<b>6</b>	<b>Lists and ZipLists</b>	<b>23</b>
6.1	Functor . . . . .	23
6.2	Applicative Functor . . . . .	23
6.2.1	List . . . . .	23
6.2.2	ZipList . . . . .	24
6.3	Brackets . . . . .	25
6.4	Monad . . . . .	25
<b>7</b>	<b>Shared Pointers</b>	<b>26</b>
7.1	Shared pointers . . . . .	26
7.2	Functor . . . . .	27
7.3	Applicative Functor . . . . .	27
7.4	Monad . . . . .	27
<b>8</b>	<b>List of Shared Pointers</b>	<b>27</b>
8.1	Functor . . . . .	27
8.2	Applicative Functor . . . . .	28
8.3	Monad . . . . .	29
<b>9</b>	<b>Unary Operations</b>	<b>29</b>
9.1	Unary Operations and Curried Functions . . . . .	29
9.2	Functor . . . . .	29
9.3	Applicative Functor . . . . .	30
9.4	Monad . . . . .	30

<b>10 Stateful Computations</b>	<b>30</b>
10.1 Capturing State . . . . .	30
10.2 Functor . . . . .	32
10.3 Applicative Functor . . . . .	33
10.4 Monad . . . . .	34
<b>11 Futures and Future Values</b>	<b>37</b>
11.1 Futures and Async . . . . .	37
11.2 Capturing Future Values . . . . .	37
11.3 Functor . . . . .	38
11.4 Applicative Functor . . . . .	38
11.5 Monad . . . . .	38
<b>12 Discussion</b>	<b>38</b>

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Functional Programming

Functional programming emphasizes the use of immutable data structures and pure functions. A pure function's output is determined solely by its input. However, this does not capture all the complexity of realistic programs, like state, IO and exceptions.

In the late '80's and early 90's an approach was pioneered which incorporates impure features, like exceptions, side-effects and IO into functional programming languages. That approach relied heavily on concepts from category theory like functors and monads. Clearly C++ already supports impure features so does it therefore make sense to incorporate that framework into the language ? In this article I'll attempt to present an answer to that question.

## 1.2 Support in C++

## 1.3 Conventions and Notation

# 2 Functional Idioms

## 2.1 Introduction

To start off, let's try to model failure in a functional setting. A fairly straightforward approach is to have the function return a Boolean and a value as part of a pair. In Haskell's function notation the signature of that function is :

$$f :: a \rightarrow [bool, b]$$

The function  $f$  takes a value of type  $a$  and returns a pair of a Boolean and a value of type  $b$ . If the Boolean is true, an exception has occurred, and the other member of the pair is ignored. If it's false, the second slot contains the result of the computation. We can generalize this to a type class  $M$ :

$$f :: a \rightarrow Mb$$

$M$  represents the 'context' in which the computation occurs. Here are a few ways to apply a function to a value in context  $M$ :

$$\begin{aligned} fmap &:: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow M a \rightarrow M b \\ apply &:: M (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow M a \rightarrow M b \\ bind &:: M a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow M b) \rightarrow M b \end{aligned}$$

These are all higher-order functions, which apply a function to a value in a context.

$fmap$  takes a function  $a \rightarrow b$  and applies to a value of type  $a$  in context  $M$ . The result is a value of type  $b$  in the same context.  $fmap$  corresponds to mapping a function over a container of values.

$apply$  uses a function  $a \rightarrow b$  'lifted' into the context  $M$  and similarly applies it to a value in the context. Note that  $apply$  requires  $fmap$  to be implemented.  $apply$  is part of an applicative functor type class. It allows easier pipe-lining of functions working on values in contexts.

Lastly  $bind$  has a slightly different order in the type signature for historical reasons. It takes a value in a context, and feeds that value into the function  $(a \rightarrow M b)$ .  $bind$  implies the existence of  $apply$ .  $bind$  is part of the monad type

class. Note that the functions applied by *fmap* and *apply* are pure functions. *bind* takes a function which returns a value in a context. It allows you to combine computations in the most flexible way.

In addition to the type interface each implementation needs to satisfy a number of laws. The justification for these laws is not so obvious from the intuitive introduction above, and can be found in abstract algebra and category theory.

## 2.2 Currying

*Currying* turns any function into a higher order function of one variable [?]. The curry of the function returns a partially applied version of the original.

The operator *curry2* is a higher order function which takes a binary function as input and returns a unary higher order function. That function is the curry of the binary function.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{curry2} &:: ((a, b) \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c) \\ f &:: (a, b) \rightarrow c \Rightarrow (\text{curry2 } f) :: a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c \end{aligned}$$

When the curried version of *f* is called with an argument of type *a* it returns another unary function. Calling this function with an argument of type *b* returns the same value as *f*.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{plus} &:: (\text{int } x, \text{int } y) :: \text{int} = x + y \Rightarrow \text{cplus}(\text{int } x) :: (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}) \\ &\rightarrow (\text{int } y) :: \text{int} \rightarrow x + y \\ \text{plus}(5, 6) &= 11 \Leftrightarrow (\text{curry2 plus})(5)(6) = 11 \end{aligned}$$

*(curry2plus)* is the curried version of *plus*. *curry2 plus)(5)* returns a lambda which represents the *plus* function partially applied to 5. When this partially applied version of *plus* is called with 6 an unsurprising 11 is the result.

Listing 1 shows an implementation of *curry2* in C++:

```
template <typename R, typename T, typename U>
std::function<std::function<R (U)> (T)> cur (std::function<R (T, U)> f) {
    return [=] (T x) { return [=] (U y) {return op(x, y);}};
}

auto l = cur<int, int, int> ([&](int x, int y) { return (5 + x + y); });
std::cout << l(1)(1) << std::endl; //prints 6
```

Listing 1: curry for binary op

Currying plays an important role in functional programming [?]. It simplifies the design of higher order functions because we only have to consider unary functions. C++ does not provide a curry operator and functions are not written in curried form. Compare this to Haskell where functions are curried by default [?, ?].

However writing a curry operator or writing curried versions of a function has become a lot easier now that lambda's are supported.

## 2.3 Functor

Let's start with just a little bit of category theory.

A category consists of objects and maps or arrows between the objects. The maps or arrows are functions which take a value from the domain and to a value in the co-domain. A simple example is the function  $f(n) = 2 * n + y$  where  $n$  is a integer. Here domain and co-domain are the same.

Maps can be combined to form other maps. This composition operation is denoted as  $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$  and is read as  $g$  after  $f$ . The objects A,B,C,.. and maps f,g,h.. form a category if they satisfy :

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ id_A &= id_B \circ f \\ (h \circ g) \circ f &= h \circ (g \circ f) \end{aligned}$$

Of particular interest are mappings between categories themselves.

A very simple category is the monoid category  $\mathbb{1}$ . It consists of a single element  $\star$  and the identity  $id$ . It's domain and co-domain are the same. We can construct maps and label them as  $1_+, 2_+, ..n_+...$ . The composite of two maps is defined as  $m_+ \circ n_+ = (n + m)_+$  so that  $5_+ \circ 3_+ = 8_+$ .

We can interpret this construction by letting  $\star$  correspond to  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Each map  $n_+$  in the monoid corresponds to a map  $f_n$  such that  $f_n(x) = n + x$ , which is a curried  $+$  operator. It's easy to see that this correspondence respects function composition :  $(f_m \circ f_n)(x) = f_m(f_n(x)) = m + n + x = f_{n+m}(x)$ . and that  $f_0$  is the identity operator.

Note that this interpretation of the monoid  $\star$  is also a category. What we have just defined is a mapping from one category (the monoid  $(\star, n_+)$ ) to an other category :  $(\mathbb{Z}, (n+))$ .

A mapping between categories is called a functor. A functor  $\mathcal{F}$  maps objects and arrows or maps from one category to an other:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(f : A \rightarrow B) &= \mathcal{F}(f) : \mathcal{F}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(B) \\ \mathcal{F}(id_A) &= id_{\mathcal{F}(A)} \\ \mathcal{F}(g \circ f) &= \mathcal{F}(g) \circ \mathcal{F}(f) \end{aligned}$$

We can create an alternative interpretation using lists of integers of size  $n$  :  $L_n = (a_1, ..., a_n)$ . The identity element is the empty list  $L_0$ . A natural operation

on lists is concatenation  $\oplus$  which appends the elements of one list to the other.

We define the function  $f_n(x) = L_n \oplus [x]$

The interpretation of the mappings  $1_n$  is that of a curried concatenation to a list of size  $n$   $L_n$ . Function combination is easily verified  $(L_m \circ L_n)(x) = L_{m+n}(x)$ . This interpretation satisfies the conditions of a functor between the monoid  $(\star, n_+)$  and the monoid  $(\mathcal{L}, (L_n \oplus))$ .

We can now also define a functor between the category  $(\mathbb{Z}, f)$  and the category  $(\mathcal{L}, (L_n \oplus))$ . What that functor does is apply functions defined between elements of  $\mathbb{Z}$  to computations involving lists of integers. The functor preserves the structure of the category of  $(\mathbb{Z}, f)$ .

Can we construct a functor mapping between  $(\mathbb{Z}, f)$  and  $(\mathcal{L}, (L_n \oplus))$  ?. The Functor  $\mathcal{F}$  preserves the structure (what does that mean ??) of the category  $(\mathbb{Z}, f)$  if it satisfies :

$$\mathcal{F} \circ f = \mathcal{F}(f) \circ \mathcal{F}$$

A reasonable choice of  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $x \rightarrow [x]$  i.e. we map each element of  $\mathbb{Z}$  to a single list. In that case we would have  $(\mathcal{F} \circ f)(x) = \mathcal{F}(f(x)) = [f(x)]$ . So that  $\mathcal{F}(f) : [x] \rightarrow [f(x)]$ .

In other words the functor would apply the mapping (or function)  $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  to the element in the list. It's easy to see that this mapping  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies the requirement of a functor :  $\mathcal{F}(id(x)) = [id(x)] = [x] = [] \oplus [x]$ .

I've obviously ignored a lot of details. That said , it's important to note that this approach relies strictly on statements about function composition.

Functors in functional programming generalize the concept of mapping a function over values in a container of typeclass M. The typeclass in Haskell is :

```
class Functor f where
    fmap :: (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
```

The *fmap* function generalizes the simple functor  $\mathcal{F}$ .  $fmap :: a \rightarrow b$  corresponds to  $\mathcal{F}(f)$ . In terms of *fmap* the functor requirements read

$$\begin{aligned} fmap\ id &= id \\ fmap(g \circ f) &= (fmap\ g) \circ (fmap\ f) \end{aligned}$$

Note that the *id* function on the left-hand side takes an value of type *a* whereas the one on the right-hand side take a container of type *a*.

## 2.4 Applicative Functors and Brackets

### 2.4.1 Applicatives

Applicative functors address an obvious limitation of functors: What if we wanted to apply a function to multiple effectful results ? They were first discussed by McBride and Patterson [...], although they were documented before that. In Haskell's notation applicative functors have the following type class

class (Functor f)  $\Rightarrow$  Applicative f where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pure} &:: a \rightarrow f\ a \\ (< \star >) &:: f\ (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow f\ a \rightarrow f\ b \end{aligned}$$

Here  $f$  represent the container. The applicative functor adds the infix operation  $< \star >$  and operation  $\text{pure}$  to those of the functor.

$\text{pure}$  puts a value of type  $a$  into the container.

There is a close relation ship between functors and applicative functors :

$$\text{fmap}\ f\ x = \text{pure}\ f\ < \star > x$$

Lifting a function  $f$  into an environment using  $\text{pure}$  and applying to a value in a context is the same as using  $\text{fmap}$  to apply  $f$  directly.

### 2.4.2 Brackets

In their paper [...] McBride and Paterson introduce a convenient 'bracket' notation to capture the application of a pure function  $f$  to a sequence of sub computations :

$$\llbracket f\ L_1 \dots L_n \rrbracket = f\ < \star > L_1\ < \star > \dots\ < \star > L_n$$

$\text{pure}$  lifts the pure function  $f$  into the applicative functor, which is then applied to the containers in the remainder of the bracket. Note that the structure of the computation remains fixed. This makes brackets easier to use than using applicatives directly. The essence of the bracket notion is captured in listing 4

## 2.5 Monads

The monad type class has the following declaration in Haskell :

class Monad m where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{return} &:: a \rightarrow m\ a \\ (>=>) &:: m\ a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow m\ b) \rightarrow m\ b[\dots] \end{aligned}$$



Functors and applicative functors apply pure functions to values in containers. Pure functions have their place. They are easily combined and their results are easily verified. However pure functions don't capture all the complexity of a program. For example the computation defined in the body of the function may fail. We may want to combine functions like that as part of a multi step computation. In the case of failure in one of the steps the remainder of the computation should be discarded. An other example is IO. The return type of these functions is the IO channel, so these functions cannot be pure. We may want to combine functions which write data to an IO channel. Yet we do want to combine functions like that in a meaningful way. Monads need to satisfy certain laws, the justification of which can be found in category theory. [TBD]...

## 3 The Functional Idioms in C++

### 3.1 General Approach

I try to provide a sketch on how to implement the functional idioms discussed in section 2. The implementations require that some of the C++11 standards are supported by the compiler, notably variadic templates and lambda's. The approach is to provide a definition in the form of a templated struct. Typically this struct will have curried and n uncurried version. I use stl's *std::function* template to highlight the interface and return type. However, the specialization may in fact be written to support lambda's because lambda's are now automatically converted to *std::function*. In some cases the return type can be cumbersome to explicitly state and *auto* is used instead.

## 3.2 Functor

```
template <template<typename T1, typename... D> class F>
struct functor {
    template<typename A, typename B>
    static std::function < F<B> (F<A>>) fmap(std::function <B (A)> f);

    template<typename A, typename B>
    static F<B> fmap(std::function <B (A)> f, F<A> L) {
        return fmap(f)(L);
    }
};
```

Listing 2: functor in C++

Listing 2 shows a template which defines the functor *fmap* from type *A* to type *B* for a type class *F*. The class *F* can have more than one template parameter, as indicated by the variadic template. This allows specialization for containers since they have more than one template parameter.

## 3.3 Applicative Functor and Brackets

### 3.3.1 Applicative

In C++ we don't have the same leeway in constructing functions names and fixity as we do in Haskell. I'll call ( $\star$ ) *apply* and use it in prefix mode. The *apply* or  $\star$  operation of the applicative functor looks similar to *fmap*, except that the function  $a \rightarrow b$  is inside the 'container' *f*.

```
template <template<typename T1, typename... D> class F>
struct applicative_functor : public functor <F>
{
    template <typename A>
    static F<A> pure(A val);

    template<typename A, typename B>
    static std::function < F<B> (F<A>>) apply(F <std::function<B(A)>> f );
};
```

Listing 3: applicative functor template in C++

3 shows the applicative functor as a template in C++. Each individual container needs to provide its own implementation.

### 3.3.2 Bracket

A bracket is a convenience function which applies an *n* – *ary* pure function to *n* containers using applicative functors.

```
template <template<typename Tx, typename... D> class Cont, typename F, typename... T>
auto bracket (F f, Cont<T>... L)
{
    auto cf = curry<decltype(f), T...>(>(f));
    return bracket_helper<sizeof...(T), Cont, decltype(cf), T...>::bracket(cf, L...);
}
```

```

}
[...]
template<template<typename Tx, typename... D> class Cont, typename F, typename T1, typename T2>
struct bracket_helper<2, Cont, F, T1, T2> {
    static auto bracket(F cf, Cont<T1> L1, Cont<T2> L2) {
        return bracket(cf)(L1)(L2);
    }

    static auto bracket(F cf) {
        typedef decltype(cf(T1()))(T2()) ret_t;
        return [cf] (Cont<T1> L1) {
            auto C = bracket_helper<1, Cont, F, T1>::bracket(cf)(L1);
            return [C](Cont<T2> L2) {
                applicative_functor<Cont> APF;
                auto J = APF.template apply<T2, ret_t>(C)(L2);
                return J;
            };
        };
    };
};
}
[...]

```

Listing 4: applicative brackets in c++

Listing 4 shows the function *bracket* implemented using the *bracket\_helper* struct. The template of *bracket* has several classes. The first class is the type of the container. The second type is the type of the function or functor object. A variadic template is used to refer to the remaining types of the container instances. The *sizeof...* operator is used to determine the number of container instances passed in.

First we convert n-ary the function  $f$  by currying it :  $f :: (x, y, z) \rightarrow k \Rightarrow f_c :: x \rightarrow y \rightarrow z \rightarrow k$ .  $f_c$  is lifted into the container using pure  $f_c = Lx \rightarrow y \rightarrow z \rightarrow k$ . This is then applied to the first container  $L_1$  and results in a lifted partially applied function  $Ly \rightarrow z \rightarrow k$ . That is we have taken the function  $f_c$  and applied it to the value in the first container. The result is a container of a function with a cardinality one less then  $f_c$ .

We keep doing this until a result is returned. The implementation below applies the curried function recursively to a the containers. The bottom of the recursion is reached when the last container is processed.

The value of the *sizeof...* operator, i.e. the number of container instances passed in determines which specialization of *bracket\_helper* to call.

## 3.4 Monad

```
template <template<typename T1, typename... D> class F>
struct monad : public applicative_functor <F>
{
    template <typename A> static F<A> mreturn (A val) {
        return applicative_functor<F>::pure(val);
    }

    template<typename A, typename B>
    static std::function < F<B> (std::function< F<B> (A) > ) > bind(F<A> val);
};
```

Listing 5: monad defintion in C++

Monads extend applicative functors and that's reflected in the definition shown in listing 5. I've used *mreturn* for *return* and *bind* for  $>>=$ . *mreturn* is identical to the *pure* function for applicative functors. I use a variadic template to allow monad to be specialized for stl containers which have multiple template arguments. The bind method in 5 is curried : It takes a monad of type *A* and return a function. This function takes an argument of type *A* and returns a monad of type *B*, just like haskell's monad definition shown above.

## 4 Maybe

### 4.1 Motivation

A simple way to capture failure without throwing an exception is to have the fucntion return a value and a boolean as part of a tuple. If the boolean is true, the computation succeeded and the vlaue is valid. Otherwise, an exception has occured. Function composition would also not be so obvious. The first function in the chain would have a simple type as an argument, bout it would return a tuple. The second function in the chain would now need to accept this tuple as an argument.

Another drawback is that This is not a type safe approach. The type of this tuple would be a data type and a boolean. Nothing would indicate that this is a special tuple, signifying a failed computation.

Haskell introduces the *Maybe* type class to handle this. The Maybe type class ha two constructors or value :

Maybe *a* = None|Just *a*

Here *a* is the data type whose value we compute. A successful computation returns *Just a* and a failed computation return *None*. Such computataions

would have the following function signature :  $a \rightarrow \text{Maybe } b$ .

The functor would apply the function to the value 'inside' *Just*, or return *None* if the input was *None*. The Monad allows you to combine functions which return a *Maybe* type.

## 4.2 Maybe Type Class

The Maybe template class (or struct) in listing 6 is an attempt to capture the spirit of Haskell's *Maybe* type class. The Maybe class is in fact a wrapper around a *std::pair*  $\langle A, \text{bool} \rangle$ , where A is the template class. If the boolean member of this pair is false, the Maybe represents a failed computation. Otherwise it represents a successful one and the dereference operator  $\star$  can be used to get the value.

The Maybe class has two constructors. The default constructor creates a 'failed' state. The other constructor takes a value of type A and instantiates a successful computation.

The constructors are private and the caller has to use the *Just* or *None* factory methods to instantiate the appropriate *Maybe* instance.

The Maybe structure returned by *None()* as presented here is different from Haskell's *None*. In C++ this failure still has a type associated with it. Its type is *Maybe*  $\langle A \rangle$  and it's the internal state which indicates that the computation failed.

This means that we need to instantiate a different Maybe object in the Functor, even if the input is a *maybe* representing a failed computation.

However the comparison of two failed computations should succeed, regardless of the class type. This is done by overloading the comparison operator as shown in Listing 7. In contrast the comparison of two *Maybe* instances should fail if they represent a successful computation but of different value types. The *eq* method is used to determine equality if the type classes are the same.

In addition to the comparison operator listing 7 shows convenience function *just* and *none* to tersely instantiate *Maybe*'s. Use of these functions is shown in listing

8.

```
template <typename A>
struct Maybe {
    typedef A value_type;

    Maybe(const Maybe& o) : val(o.val){}

    void operator=(const Maybe& o) = delete;

    std::ostream& pp(std::ostream& strm) const {
        strm << "Maybe<" << typeid(A).name() << ">";
        if (val.second) {
            strm << " [Just(" << val.first << ")]";
        }
        else {
            strm << " [None]";
        }
        return strm;
    }

    static Maybe Just(const A& a) {
        return Maybe(a);
    }

    static Maybe None() {
        return Maybe();
    }

    bool eq (const Maybe& m) const {
        return (val.second == m.val.second) && (val.first == m.val.first);
    }

    const A& operator*() {
        return val.first;
    }

private:
    Maybe() : val(std::make_pair(A(), false)) {}
    explicit Maybe(const A& a) : val(std::make_pair(a, true)){}
    const std::pair<A, bool> val;
};
```

Listing 6: Implementation of the Maybe class

```

template<typename A>
Maybe<A> just(A val) {
    return Maybe<A>::Just(val);
}

template<typename A>
Maybe<A> none() {
    return Maybe<A>::None();
}

template<typename A>
std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream& strm, const Maybe<A>& M)
{
    M.pp(strm);
    return strm;
}

template<typename A, typename B>
bool operator==(const Maybe<A>& l, const Maybe<B>& r) {
    if (l.eq(l.None()) && r.eq(r.None())) return true;
    return false;
}

template<typename A>
bool operator==(const Maybe<A>& l, const Maybe<A>& r) {
    return l.eq(r);
}

template<typename A, typename B>
bool operator!=(const Maybe<A>& l, const Maybe<B>& r) {
    return !(l==r);
}

```

Listing 7: auxilliary function for the Maybe class

```

[...]
auto val = just("hello");
std::cerr << val << std::endl;

auto val2 = none<int>();
std::cerr << val2 << std::endl;
return 0;
[...]
```

Listing 8: Example of the use of Maybe

## 4.3 Functor

The *Maybe* structure can be thought of as a value container. The functor method *fmap* can be used to apply a pure function to the value contained in the *Maybe* without explicitly pulling the value out of the container. If the functor is applied to a failed computation a failed computation is returned.

Listing 9 shows the specialization of the functor template introduced in section 2.3. The specialization shows both a curried and uncurried version of the *fmap* method.

The expression *decltype(f(A()))* is used to determine the return type, where *f* is the function or lambda passed in and *A* is the type of the input parameter.

```

template<>
struct functor<Maybe> {

    template<typename A, typename lambda>
    static auto fmap(lambda f) -> std::function<Maybe<decltype(f(A()))> (Maybe<A>) > {
        return [f](Maybe<A> m) -> Maybe<decltype(f(A()))> {
            if (m == m.None()) {
                return Maybe<decltype(f(A()))>::None();
            }
            return just(f(*m));
        };
    }

    template<typename A, typename lambda>

    static auto retval(lambda f, Maybe<A> m) -> Maybe<decltype(f(A()))>;

    template<typename A, typename lambda>
    static auto fmap(lambda f, Maybe<A> m) -> Maybe<decltype(f(A()))> {
        return fmap<A, lambda>(f)(m);
    }
};

```

Listing 9: Maybe Functor

A si

```

[...]
auto f = [] (int x) {
    return "xxxxxx";
};

auto v1 = just(10);
auto v2 = functor<Maybe>::fmap(f, v1);
auto v3 = functor<Maybe>::fmap<int>(f)(v1);
std::cerr << v1 << std::endl;
std::cerr << v2 << std::endl;
std::cerr << v3 << std::endl;
if (v2 == v3) {
    std::cerr << v2 << " == " << v3 << std::endl;
}
[...]
```

Listing 10: example of the maybe functor



## 4.4 Applicative Functor

```
template<>
struct applicative_functor<Maybe> : public functor<Maybe>
{
    template<typename A>
    static Maybe<A> pure(A val) {
        return just(val);
    };

    template<typename A, typename lambda>
    static auto apply(Maybe<lambda> F , Maybe<A> m) -> decltype(functor<Maybe>::retval(*F, m)) {
        // I can't call F.None() because lambda's don't have a default constructor...;
        //std::function<typename decltype(functor<Maybe>::retval(*F, m))::value_type (A)> ff = *F;
        return functor<Maybe>::fmap(*F, m);
    };

    template<typename A, typename lambda>
    static auto apply(Maybe<lambda> F) -> std::function<decltype(functor<Maybe>::retval(*F, Maybe<A>::
        Just(A())) (Maybe<A>)> {
        return [F] (Maybe<A> m) {
            return apply(F,m);
        };
    };
};
```

Listing 11: AMaybe applicative functor

```
[...]
typedef std::pair<int, std::string> arg_t;

Maybe<arg_t> L = just(std::make_pair(9, std::string("hello")));

auto get_string = [] (arg_t arg) {
    return arg.second;
};

auto lm = applicative_functor<Maybe>::pure(get_string);

auto v1 = applicative_functor<Maybe>::apply(lm, L);
std::cerr << v1 << std::endl;

auto v2 = applicative_functor<Maybe>::apply<arg_t>(lm)(L);
std::cerr << v2 << std::endl;
[...]
```

Listing 12: example of the maybe applicative

## 4.5 Monad

```
template <
struct monad<Maybe> : public applicative_functor <Maybe>
{
    template <typename A>
    static Maybe<A> mreturn (A val) {
        return applicative_functor<Maybe>::pure(val);
    }

    template<typename A, typename lambda>
    static auto bind(Maybe<A> m) {
        return [&m](lambda F) {
            if (m == m.None()) {
                //constructors are private so I do this in a bit of a rond-about way.
                return Maybe<typename decltype(F(A()))::value_type>::None();
            }
            return F(*m);
        };
    };

    template<typename A, typename lambda>
    static auto bind(Maybe<A> m, lambda F) -> decltype(Maybe<typename decltype(F(A()))::value_type>::
        None()) {
        return bind<A, lambda>(m)(F);
    };
};
```

Listing 13: Maybe monad

```
[...]
auto val1 = just(56);
auto lambda = [](int x) {
    if ( x > 0) return just(std::string("good !"));
    return none<std::string>();
};
auto val2 = monad<Maybe>::bind(val1, lambda);
std::cerr << val1 << std::endl;
std::cerr << val2 << std::endl;

std::cerr << monad<Maybe>::bind(just(-89), lambda) << std::endl;

std::cerr << monad<Maybe>::bind(monad<Maybe>::bind(just(-89), lambda),
    [](const std::string& val) {
        std::cerr<<"==> val" << std::endl;
        return just(val);
    });
std::cerr << std::endl;
std::cerr << monad<Maybe>::bind(monad<Maybe>::bind(just(89), lambda),
    [](const std::string& val) {
        std::cerr<<"==> val " << val << std::endl;
        return just(val);
    });
[...]
```

Listing 14: Maybe monad example

## 5 Either

### 5.1 Motivation

### 5.2 Either Type Class

```
template <typename L, typename R>
struct Either {

    typedef L left_value_type;
    typedef R right_value_type;

    Either(const Either& o) : value(o.value) {}

    void operator=(const Either&) = delete;

    static Either Left(const L& l) {
        return Either(l, true);
    }

    static Either Right(const R& r) {
        return Either(r);
    }

    bool Left() const {
        return value.second;
    }

    bool Right() const {
        return (! Left());
    }

    const L& left() const {
        return value.first.first;
    }

    const R& right() const {
        return value.first.second;
    }

    std::ostream& pp(std::ostream& strm) const {
        strm << "Either<" << typeid(L).name() << ", " << typeid(R).name() << ">";
        if (value.second) {
            strm << "[" << value.first.first << ", null]";
        }
        else {
            strm << "[null, " << value.first.second << "]";
        }
        return strm;
    }

    bool eq(const Either& o) const {
        auto equal = [this] (const value_t& l, const value_t& r) {
            if (value.second) return l.first == r.first;
            return l.second == r.second;
        };
        return ((value.second == o.value.second)
            && equal(value.first, o.value.first));
    }

private :
    Either (L val, bool left) : value(std::make_pair(std::make_pair(val,R()), true)) {}
    Either (R val) : value(std::make_pair(std::make_pair(L(),val) , false)) {}

    //Either (L val, bool left) : value(std::make_pair(value_t(val) , true)) {}
    //Either (R val) : value(std::make_pair(value_t(val) , false)) {}

    /*
    typedef struct {
        L first;
        R second;
    } value_t;
    */

    typedef std::pair<L,R> value_t;
    std::pair<value_t, bool> value;
};
```

```

template<typename A, typename B>
std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream& strm, const Either<A,B>& E)
{
    E.pp(strm);
    return strm;
}

template<typename A, typename B>
Maybe<A> Left(const Either<A,B>& e)
{
    if (e.Left()) {
        return just(e.left());
    }
    return none<A>();
}

template<typename A, typename B>
Maybe<B> Right(const Either<A,B>& e)
{
    if (e.Right()) {
        return just(e.right());
    }
    return none<B>();
}

template<typename A, typename B, typename C, typename D>
bool operator==(const Either<A,B>& a, const Either<C,D>& b) {
    return false;
}

template<typename A, typename B>
bool operator==(const Either<A,B>& a, const Either<A,B>& b) {
    return a.eq(b);
}

template<typename A, typename B, typename C, typename D>
bool operator!=(const Either<A,B>& a, const Either<C,D>& b) {
    return !(a==b);
}

```

Listing 16: Auxilliary functions for the Either type class

```

[...]
auto val = Either<std::string, std::string>::Left("hello");
std::cerr << val << std::endl;

auto v2 = Either<std::string, std::string>::Right("hello");
std::cerr << v2 << std::endl;

auto v3 = v2;
std::cerr << v3 << std::endl;
[...]
```

Listing 17: Example of the use of Either

## 5.3 Functor

```
template <
struct functor<Either> {

    //curried version
    template<typename T, typename A, typename lambda>
    static auto fmap(lambda f) -> std::function< Either<T, decltype(f(A()))> (Either<T,A>)> {
        return [&f](Either<T,A> e) {
            return fmap(f, e);
        };
    }

    // uncurried, for functions..

    template<typename T, typename A, typename lambda>
    static auto fmap(lambda f, Either<T,A> e) -> Either<T, decltype(f(A()))> {
        if (e.Left()) {
            return Either<T, decltype(f(A()))>::Left(e.left());
        }
        return Either<T, decltype(f(A()))>::Right(f(e.right()));
    };
};
```

Listing 18: Either Functor

```
[...]
auto v1 = Either<std::string, int>::Right(45);
auto v2 = Either<std::string, int>::Left("a value");
auto l = [](int x) {
    return 2*x;
};
std::cerr << v1 << " -> " << functor<Either>::fmap(l, v1) << std::endl;
std::cerr << v2 << " -> " << functor<Either>::fmap(l, v2) << std::endl;
[...]
```

Listing 19: Example of the Either functor

## 5.4 Applicative Functor

```
template <
struct applicative_functor<Either> : public functor<Either>
{

    template <typename T, typename A>
    static Either<T,A> pure(A val) {
        return Either<T,A>::Right(val);
    }

    template<typename T, typename A, typename lambda>
    static auto apply(Either<T, lambda> F, Either<T, A> m) {
        return functor<Either>::fmap(F.right(), m);
    };

    template<typename T, typename A, typename lambda>
    static auto apply(Either<T, lambda> F) {
        return [F] (Either<T, A> m) {
            return apply(F,m);
        };
    };
};
```

Listing 20: Either applicative functor

```
[...]
auto v1 = Either<std::string, int>::Right(45);
auto v2 = Either<std::string, int>::Left("a value");

auto l = [](int x) {
    return 2+x*3;
};

auto ll = applicative_functor<Either>::pure<std::string>(l);
std::cerr << v1 << " -> " << applicative_functor<Either>::apply(ll, v1) << std::endl;
std::cerr << v2 << " -> " << functor<Either>::fmap(l, v2) << std::endl;
[...]
```

Listing 21: Either applicative

## 5.5 Monad

```
template <>
struct monad<Either> : public applicative_functor <Either>
{
    template <typename T, typename A>
    static Either<T, A> mreturn (A val) {
        return applicative_functor<Either>::pure<T,A>(val);
    }

    template<typename T, typename A, typename lambda>
    static auto bind(Either<T,A> e) {
        return [e](lambda F) {
            return bind(e, F);
        };
    }

    template<typename T, typename A, typename lambda>
    static auto bind(Either<T,A> e, lambda F) {
        if (e.Left()) {
            return Either<T,typename decltype(F(A()))::right_value_type>::Left(e.left());
        }
        return F(e.right());
    }
};
```

Listing 22: Either monad

```
int eim_2()
{
    std::function< Either<std::string, int> (int)> f = [](int x) {
        if (x < 0) {
            return Either<std::string, int>::Left(std::string("smaller than 0"));
        }
        return Either<std::string, int>::Right(1.15*x-10);
    };

    std::function< Either<std::string,int> (int, Either<std::string, int>> repeat = [&](int n, Either<
        std::string, int> e) {
        if ( n == 0) return e;
        std::cerr << n << " : " << e << std::endl << " -> ";
        return repeat(n-1, monad<Either>::bind(e,f));
    };

    auto v = repeat(12, Either<std::string, int>::Right(45));
    std::cerr << v << std::endl;
    return 0;
}
```

Listing 23: Example of the Either monad

## 6 Lists and ZipLists

### 6.1 Functor

For lists like *std::list* and *std::forward\_list* *fmap* corresponds to *map* and is equivalent to iteration over the elements in the list.

### 6.2 Applicative Functor

#### 6.2.1 List

For lists there are two possible implementations for the applicative functor. In both cases a list of functions is applied to a list of values, but in one case we apply each function to every value and in the other we apply a function only if we have a value in the corresponding position in the argument list. In the latter case we 'zip' the list of the functions and values.

```
template<> struct
applicative_functor<std::forward_list> : public functor<std::forward_list>{

    template<typename A>
    static std::forward_list<A> pure(A v) {
        return std::forward_list<A>(1,v);
    }
    [...]
    template<typename A, typename B, typename lambda>
    static std::function< std::forward_list<B> (std::forward_list<A>> apply (std::forward_list<lambda>
    F) {
        return [F](std::forward_list<A> L) {
            std::forward_list<B> acc;
            for (auto& func : F) {
                for (auto& arg : L) {acc.push_front(func(arg));}
            }
            acc.reverse();
            return acc;
        };
    };
};
```

Listing 24: *std::forward\_list* is an applicative functor

The applicative functor for *std::forward\_list* is shown in listing 24. The body of *apply* consists of two nested loops traversing the input lists.

## 6.2.2 ZipList

```
template<typename A> using zip_list = std::list<A>;
[...]  
template<>  
struct applicative_functor<zip_list> : public functor<zip_list>{  
  
    template<typename A>  
    static zip_list<A> pure(A v) {  
        return applicative_functor<std::list>::pure<decltype(v)>(v);  
    }  
  
    [...]  
    template<typename A, typename B, typename lambda>  
    static std::function< zip_list<B> ( zip_list<A>> )> apply( zip_list<lambda> F) {  
        return [F]( zip_list<A> L) {  
            zip_list<B> acc;  
            auto it1 = F.begin();  
            auto it2 = L.begin();  
            while ( it1 != F.end() && it2 != L.end()) {  
                auto func = *it1;  
                auto arg = *it2;  
                acc.push_front( func(arg));  
                it1++;  
                it2++;  
            }  
            acc.reverse();  
            return acc;  
        };  
    };  
};  
};
```

Listing 25: ziplist is an applicative functor

In listing 25 I show the implementation of the applicative functor for the zip list based on `std::list`. The implementation of the *functor* is a straight forward map and is not shown. In the body of the *apply* method, the two lists are traversed in parallel. The traversal stops when either one of the lists has run out of elements.

```
forward_zip_list<int> L = {2, 5, 10};  
auto f = [] (const int& c) { std::cerr << c << ", "; return c; };  
auto lifted_lambda_1 = applicative_functor<std::list>::pure(f);  
applicative_functor<std::list>::apply<int, int>(lifted_lambda_1)(L);  
std::cerr << std::endl;  
//prints 2,5,10  
[...]  
auto lifted_lambda = applicative_functor<zip_list>::pure(f);  
applicative_functor<zip_list>::apply<int, int>(lifted_lambda)(L);  
//prints 2,
```

Listing 26: the applicative functor for list and ziplist

Listing 26 shows a simple example of how the applicative functors for list and zip list differ. A simple lambda function is lifted into a list and applied to a list of integers. For the list case all the elements are printed. For the zip list only one element is printed because the list of functions has only one element.



## 6.3 Brackets

```
[...]
typedef int      T1;
typedef char     T2;
typedef std::string T3;
typedef applicative_functor<std::forward_list> apf_t;
m_t<T3> L3 = {std::string("hello"), std::string("goodbye")};
auto f3 = [] (T1 a, T2 b, T3 c) { return std::make_tuple(a,b,c);};
auto R3 = bracket(f3, L1, L2, L3);
std::cout << R3 << std::endl;
[...]
```

```
{(1,y,hello),(1,y,goodbye),(1,x,hello),(1,x,goodbye),(2,y,hello),(2,y,goodbye),(2,x,hello),(2,x,goodbye),(3,y,hello),(3,y,goodbye),(3,x,hello),(3,x,goodbye),}
```

Listing 27: applicative functor for the `std::forward_list` using brackets

Listing 27 I show how brackets can be used to apply a function to multiple lists.

```
template<typename a> using s_t = std::shared_ptr<a>;
typedef applicative_functor<std::shared_ptr> apf_t;
s_t<T1> L1 = apf_t::pure(1);
s_t<T2> L2 = apf_t::pure('a');
s_t<T3> L3 = apf_t::pure(std::string("hello"));
auto f3 = [] (T1 a, T2 b, T3 c) { return std::make_tuple(a,b,c);};
auto R3 = bracket(f3, L1, L2,L3);
std::cout << R3 << std::endl; //std::shared_ptr<St5tupleIlicSsEE>((1,a,hello))
```

Listing 28: applicative functor for shared pointers applied using bracket notation

In listing 28 the data in three separate pointers is combined to create a pointer to a tuple. Again notice that the function is pure in that it does not reference the container the data was in.

## 6.4 Monad

```
template<> struct monad<std::list> : public applicative_functor<std::list> {
    template<typename A, typename B>
    static std::function< std::list<B> (std::function< std::list<B> (A) > ) > bind(std::list<A> M) {
        return [M](std::function<std::list<B> (A)> f) {
            std::list<B> R;
            std::list<std::list<B>> res = map(f, M);
            for (auto& list : res) {
                R.insert(R.end(), list.begin(), list.end());
            }
            return R;
        };
    }
    [...]
};
```

Listing 29: monad for `std::list`

The implementation for the stl `std::list` container is shown in listing 29. I've elided the implementation of `mreturn`, which is passing its argument on to the applicative functor's pure method. Function  $f$  is mapped over list  $M$ . Since the return type of  $f$  is a list the result is a list of lists. This list is flattened, i.e. the lists are merged into a single list  $B$  which is then returned. This flattening

operation is an essential part of the monad. It allows monadic operations to be combined.

## 7 Shared Pointers

### 7.1 Shared pointers

A shared pointer is another example of a container which holds a value of a particular type. The functor implementation for shared pointers is shown in list 30. *fmap* returns a new shared pointer holding the result of the function application. We need to return a new shared pointer because the input and return types of *f* may be different. Also note that if the dereference fails, an empty shared pointer is returned, rather than an exception thrown.

```
template <>
struct functor<std::shared_ptr> {
    template<typename A, typename B>
    static std::function<std::shared_ptr<B> (std::shared_ptr<A>>)> fmap (std::function<B(A)> f) {
        return [=](std::shared_ptr<A> v) {
            if (v) {
                return std::make_shared<B>(f(*v));
            }
            return std::shared_ptr<B>(nullptr);
        };
    }

    template<typename A, typename B, typename F>
    static std::function<std::shared_ptr<B> (std::shared_ptr<A>>)> fmap (F f) {
        return [=](std::shared_ptr<A> v) {
            if (v) {
                return std::make_shared<B>(f(*v));
            }
            return std::shared_ptr<B>(nullptr);
        };
    }
};
```

Listing 30: shared pointer as container

## 7.2 Functor

## 7.3 Applicative Functor

## 7.4 Monad

```
template<> struct monad<std::shared_ptr> : public applicative_functor<std::shared_ptr> {  
    template<typename A, typename B>  
    static std::function< std::shared_ptr<B> (std::function< std::shared_ptr<B> (A) > ) > bind(std::  
        shared_ptr<A> M) {  
        return [M](std::function<std::shared_ptr<B> (A)> f) {  
            if (M) {  
                return f(*M);  
            }  
            return std::shared_ptr<B>();  
        };  
    };  
};  
[...]
```

Listing 31: monad implementation for `std::shared_ptr`

In 31 I show the monad implementation for `std::shared_ptr`. The validity of the shared pointer is checked before it is dereferenced and its value passed on to the function  $f$ . If the shared pointer is empty (or invalid), an empty shared pointer of type  $B$  is returned. This implies that a chain of monadic computations can return null if any one of its individual computations fails.

# 8 List of Shared Pointers

## 8.1 Functor

```
typedef std::tuple<int, std::string> C;  
std::list<std::shared_ptr<C>> L = {std::shared_ptr<C>(new C(10, "a")), std::shared_ptr<C>(new C  
    (20, "b"))}, std::shared_ptr<C>(new C(3467, "mnhjk"))};  
auto F = functor<std::shared_ptr>::fmap(std::function<C (C)>([](const C& c) { std::cerr << c <<  
    std::endl; return c; }));  
functor<std::list>::fmap(F, L);  
return 0;
```

Listing 32: mapping over a list of shared pointers

A functor gives the ability to apply a pure function to a value in a container. This decouples the values and the functions that operate on them from the containers these values may be in. For example, consider a list of shared pointers.

In listing 32 I define a function *show* which prints out the value of type  $C$ . I then combine two functors, one for each container to apply *show* to each shared pointer in the list. Lists of pointers are relatively standard in C++ programs. But functors can also be defined for unary functions  $a \rightarrow b$ .

## 8.2 Applicative Functor

Applicative functors can be extended to combinations of containers like lists of shared pointers. The code snippet in listing 33 shows the functor implementation for a list of shared pointers. *fmap* can be written as a straightforward combination of *fmap* for the shared pointer and the list container. Listing 33 shows a code snippet of the applicative functor definition for a forward list of shared pointers. In this case we can't write the applicative as a combination of two applicative functors. The function in the definition for the applicative functor for lists is not a reference. *apply* encapsulates the access to the data stored in the shared pointer elements of the list.

```
struct functor<forward_list_of_ptr> {  
[...]  
    template<typename A, typename B, typename F>  
    static std::function<forward_list_of_ptr<B>> (forward_list_of_ptr<A>> fmap (F f) {  
        auto F = functor<std::shared_ptr>::fmap<A,B>(f);  
        return [F](forward_list_of_ptr<A> L) {  
            return functor<std::forward_list>::fmap(F, L);  
        };  
    }  
};
```

Listing 33: fmap implementation for a list of shared pointers

```
template<typename A> using forward_list_of_ptr = std::forward_list<std::shared_ptr<A>>;  
[...]  
template<> struct  
    applicative_functor<forward_list_of_ptr> : public functor<forward_list_of_ptr> {  
[...]  
    template<typename A, typename B, typename lambda>  
    static std::function<forward_list_of_ptr<B>> (forward_list_of_ptr<A>> apply (forward_list_of_ptr<  
        lambda> F) {  
        return [F](forward_list_of_ptr<A> L) {  
            forward_list_of_ptr<B> acc;  
            for (auto& func : F) {  
                for (auto& arg : L) {  
                    auto res = applicative_functor<std::shared_ptr>::apply<A,B,lambda>(func)(arg);  
                    acc.push_front(res);  
                }  
            }  
            acc.reverse();  
            return acc;  
        };  
    }  
};
```

Listing 34: applicative functor for a list of shared pointers

```
forward_list_of_ptr<int> L = {std::make_shared<int>(5), std::make_shared<int>(15), std::make_shared  
    <int>(25), std::make_shared<int>(35)};  
auto f = [](const int& c) { std::cerr << c << ", "; return c; };  
  
functor<forward_list_of_ptr>::fmap<int, int>(f)(L);  
  
auto lifted_lambda = applicative_functor<forward_list_of_ptr>::pure(f);  
applicative_functor<forward_list_of_ptr>::apply<int, int>(lifted_lambda)(L);  
std::cerr << std::endl;
```

Listing 35: example for list of pointers

In listing 35 I show how a function can be mapped over a list of pointers, using its functor and applicative. The results are not too surprising.

## 8.3 Monad

# 9 Unary Operations

## 9.1 Unary Operations and Curried Functions

## 9.2 Functor

```
template <typename A, typename B> struct unary_op {
};
```

Listing 36: unary operator

First I define the unary operator type in 36. A unary operator  $\rightarrow$  constructs a unary function  $:(\rightarrow)r\ a \Rightarrow (r \rightarrow a)$ . Next I'll provide an implementation for unary operators in 37

```
template<>
struct functor<unary_op>
{
    template<typename A, typename B, typename R>
    static auto fmap (std::function<B(A)> f) {
        return [f](std::function<A(R)> g) {
            return [f,g] (R x) {
                return f(g(x));
            };
        };
    };

    template<typename A, typename B, typename R>
    static std::function<B (R)> fmap (std::function<B(A)> f, std::function<A(R)> g) {
        return [f,g](R x) -> B {
            return f(g(x));
        };
    };
};
```

Listing 37: functor for unary operators

For a unary operator  $f$  in  $fmap$  would be  $(\rightarrow)r$  and  $fmap$  :

$$fmap\ (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (r \rightarrow a) \rightarrow (r \rightarrow b)$$

$fmap$  takes a function  $(a \rightarrow b)$  and applies it *after* function  $(r \rightarrow a)$  to yield a function  $(r \rightarrow b)$ . This corresponds to function composition.

## 9.3 Applicative Functor

## 9.4 Monad

# 10 Stateful Computations

## 10.1 Capturing State

A change in state  $s$  is represented by a function  $s \rightarrow (a, s)$ .  $a$  is the value associated with the state change. The state  $s$  is mutable.

```
template<typename A, typename S>
struct state_tuple {
    explicit state_tuple (S s) : e(std::make_pair(A(), s)), set(false){}
    state_tuple (A a, S s) : e(std::make_pair(a, s)), set(true) {}
    state_tuple(const state_tuple& s) : e(s.e), set(s.set){}
    std::ostream& pp(std::ostream& strm) const {
        if (set) {
            strm << e;
        }
        else {
            strm << "(), " << e.second << " ";
        }
        return strm;
    }

    std::pair<A, bool> value() const {
        return std::make_pair(e.first, set);
    }

    std::pair<S, bool> state() const {
        return std::make_pair(e.second, true);
    }

private :
    std::pair<A, S> e;
    bool set;
};
```

Listing 38: state tuple

The state tuple in listing 38 is a thin wrapper around `std::pair` which adds a few convenience methods. A state computation takes a state of type  $S$  and returns a state tuple of types  $A$  and  $S$ .

```
template<typename A, typename S>
using state_computation = std::function< state_tuple<A, S> (S)>;
```

Listing 39: state computation albel

The state class shown in 40 encapsulates the state computation and adds a few convenience methods.

```

template <typename A, typename S>
struct state
{
    explicit state(state_computation<A,S> C) : C(C){}
    state(const state& o) : C(o.C){}
    state& operator= (const state& o) {
        if (&o == this) {
            return *this;
        }
        C = o.C;
        return *this;
    }
    std::ostream& pp(std::ostream& strm) const {
        strm << "[state < " << typeid(A).name() << ", " << typeid(S).name() << "]" ;
        return strm;
    }

    state_tuple<A,S> run_state(S state) {
        return C(state);
    }

private:
    state_computation<A,S> C;
};

```

Listing 40: state

```

template<typename A, typename S>
state_tuple<A,S> runState(state<A,S> M, S state)
{
    return M.run_state(state);
}

```

Listing 41: runState

The *run<sub>s</sub>tate* method is key to the use of the state class and the function *runState* executes this method by passing a state to it.

```

[...]
std::default_random_engine de;
std::uniform_int_distribution<int> di(10, 20);
state_computation<int, std::uniform_int_distribution<int>> getrand = [&de] (std::
    uniform_int_distribution<int> s) {
    auto val = s(de);
    return state_tuple<int, std::uniform_int_distribution<int>>(val, s);
};

state<int, std::uniform_int_distribution<int>> ST(getrand);
int n = 10;
auto S = runState(ST, di);
std::cerr << "iter : " << n << " " << S << std::endl;;
while ( n-- > 0) {
    S = runState(ST, S.state().first);
    std::cerr << "iter : " << n << " " << S << std::endl;;
}
[...]
```

Listing 42: example of the use of the state class

The use of the state class is illustrated in listing 42 using a random number generator. A number is drawn from a uniform distribution of integers. *getrand* is the state computation: It takes the current state of the uniform distribution and returns a state tuple containing a random value as well as the new state. In the while loop the state returned by the state computation is the used to generate the next state. A monad can be used to glue subsequent state computations

together.

The state in listing 40 contains a value of type A as well as a state of type S. This makes it a little different of the list or ptr containers which have a single type constructor. We going to make the reasonable assumption that the type of the state is not going to change between subsequent computations, although the type of the value could. For example we could change the example above to have *getrand* return a string in stead of an integer if the integer exceeds some threshold. We are less likely to want to combine results by different random number generators.

## 10.2 Functor

```
template <>
struct functor<state> {

    template<typename S, typename A, typename B>
    static state<B,S> fmap (std::function<B(A)> f, state<A,S> M) {
        state_computation<B,S> comp =[f,&M](S s) {
            auto next      = runState(M, s);
            auto value      = next.value();
            auto new_state  = next.state().first;
            if (value.second) {
                return state_tuple<B, S>(f(value.first), new_state);
            }
            return state_tuple<B, S>(new_state);
        };
        state <B, S> ST(comp);
        return ST;
    }
    // this doesn't work (yet)
    template<typename S, typename A, typename B>
    static std::function<state<B,S>(state<A,S>>> fmap (std::function<B(A)> f) {
        return [f] (state<A,S> M) {
            state_computation<B,S> comp =[f,&M](S s) {
                auto next      = runState(M, s);
                auto value      = next.value();
                auto new_state  = next.state().first;
                if (value.second) {
                    return state_tuple<B, S>(f(value.first), new_state);
                }
                return state_tuple<B, S>(new_state);
            };
            state <B, S> ST(comp);
            return ST;
        };
    }
};
```

Listing 43: State functor



```
[...]
std::default_random_engine de;
std::uniform_int_distribution<int> di(10, 20);
state_computation<int, std::uniform_int_distribution<int>> getrand = [&de] (std::
    uniform_int_distribution<int> s) {
    auto val = s(de);
    return state_tuple<int, std::uniform_int_distribution<int>>(val, s);
};

state <int, std::uniform_int_distribution<int>> ST(getrand);

std::function<char(int)> f = [] (int i) {
    if (i < 15) {
        return 'A';
    }
    return 'Z';
};

int n = 10;
while (n-- > 0) {
    auto SRT = functor<state>::fmap(f, ST);
    auto S = runState(SRT, di);
    std::cerr << S << std::endl;;
}

auto SRT = functor<state>::fmap<std::uniform_int_distribution<int>>(f, ST);
auto S = runState(SRT, di);
std::cerr << S << std::endl;;
[...]
```

Listing 44: Example of the state functor

## 10.3 Applicative Functor

```
template <
struct applicative_functor<state> : public functor <state>
{
    template <typename S, typename A> static state<A,S> pure(A val) {
        state_computation<A,S> comp = [val](S s) {
            return state_tuple<A, S>(val, s);
        };
        state <A, S> ST(comp);
        return ST;
    }

    template<typename S, typename A, typename B>
    static state<B,S> apply ( state<std::function<B(A)>, S> F, state<A,S> M) {

        state_computation<B,S> comp = [F,&M](S s) {
            auto rs1 = runState(F, s);
            auto resv = rs1.value();
            if (resv.second) {
                std::function<B(A)> f = resv.first;
                auto MT = functor<state>::fmap<S,A,B>(f, M);
                auto rs2 = runState(MT, s);
                auto value = rs2.value();
                auto new_state = rs2.state().first;
                if (value.second) {
                    return state_tuple<B, S>(value.first, new_state);
                }
                return state_tuple<B, S>(new_state);
            }
            return state_tuple<B, S>(s);
        };
        state <B, S> ST(comp);
        return ST;
    }
};
```

```

[...]  

istack L = {1,2,3,4};  

std::function<char(int)> f = [] (int i) {  

    if (i < 3) {  

        return 'A';  

    }  

    return 'Z';  

};  

stack_comp pop = [] (istack s) {  

    auto val = s.front();  

    s.pop_front();  

    return state_tuple<int, istack>(val, s);  

};  

state<int, istack> SM(pop);  

auto F = applicative_functor<state>::pure<istack>(f);  

std::cerr << F << std::endl;  

auto r1 = runState(SM, L);  

std::cerr << r1 << std::endl;;  

auto SC = applicative_functor<state>::apply<istack, int, char>(F, SM);  

auto r2 = runState(SC, L);  

std::cerr << r2 << std::endl;;

```

Listing 45: Example of the state applicative functor

## 10.4 Monad

```

template<> struct monad<state> : public applicative_functor<state> {  

    template<typename S, typename A, typename B>  

    static state<B,S> bind(state<A,S>& M, std::function< state<B,S> (A)>& f) {  

        state_computation<B,S> comp = [&f,&M](S s) {  

            auto res = runState(M, s);  

            state<B,S> newval = f(res.value().first);  

            return runState(newval, res.state().first);  

        };  

        return state<B,S> (comp);  

    };  

    template<typename S, typename A> static state<A,S> mreturn (A val) {  

        return applicative_functor<state>::pure<S,A>(val);  

    }  

};

```

Listing 46: state monad

The state monad in listing 46 takes a function with an argument of type  $A$  and a state with a value of type  $A$  and state of type  $S$  and returns a state of the same type but with a value of type  $B$ . This state contains a computation constructed in the body of the *bind* method. In the body of *comp* a new state is generated by calling *runState* on  $M$ , which is the state passed into *bind*. The function  $f$  is then called on the value generated by the state computation. The result is a new state which is run with the new value. *comp* is returned as the new state in the *state* constructor.

```
[...]
typedef std::uniform_int_distribution<int> idist;
std::default_random_engine de;

state_computation<int, std::uniform_int_distribution<int>> getrand = [&de] (std::
    uniform_int_distribution<int> s) {
    auto val = s(de);
    return state_tuple<int, std::uniform_int_distribution<int>>(val, s);
};

state<int, idist> ST(getrand);

std::function<state<int, idist>(int)> f = [&de, &ST](int val) {
    std::cerr << val << std::endl;
    return ST;
};

auto S1 = monad<state>::bind<idist, int, int>(ST, f);
auto S2 = monad<state>::bind<idist, int, int>(S1, f);
auto S3 = monad<state>::bind<idist, int, int>(S2, f);
auto S4 = monad<state>::bind<idist, int, int>(S3, f);
auto S5 = monad<state>::bind<idist, int, int>(S4, f);
auto S6 = monad<state>::bind<idist, int, int>(S5, f);
auto S7 = monad<state>::bind<idist, int, int>(S6, f);
auto S8 = monad<state>::bind<idist, int, int>(S7, f);
auto Sf = monad<state>::bind<idist, int, int>(S8, f);

auto S = runState(Sf, idist(10, 20));
std::cerr << S << std::endl;
S = runState(Sf, idist(100, 200));
std::cerr << S << std::endl;
```

Listing 47: example of the state monad

The state monad is used to string various stateful computations together. In listing 47 I revisit the random number generator example discussed earlier. *getrand* is a computation which gets an integer from the random number generator *s* passed into it as an argument. *ST* is the initial state. The state monad is used to construct a state which represents 10 calls to *getrand*. The function *f* prints the result of the random number generator to *stderr*. Notice that when last state *Sf* is constructed no random numbers have been generated yet. That is done by the call to *runState*. First The resulting computation is called with a distribution engine with a range between 10 and 20, and next with one with a range from 100 to 200.

The results of the random number generation in listing 47 are not available for further processing. To collect the results we need to extend the state to include a list and update the list with the result of the number generator.

```

[...]  

typedef std::list<int>          icont_t;  

typedef std::uniform_int_distribution<int> idist_t;  

typedef std::pair<icont_t, idist_t>      state_t;  

typedef state_t tuple<int, state_t>      state_tuple_t;  
  

std::default_random_engine de((unsigned int)time(0));  
  

state_computation<int, state_t> getrand = [&de] (state_t s) {  

    auto val = s.second(de);  

    s.first.push_back(val);  

    return state_tuple_t(val, s);  

};  
  

std::function<state_computation<int, state_t> (int, int)> getrand2 = [&de](int f, int t) {  

    return [&de, f, t] (state_t s) {  

        auto val = s.second(de);  

        return state_tuple_t(val, std::make_pair(s.first, idist_t(f, t)));  

    };  

};  
  

state <int, state_t> ST(getrand);  
  

std::function<state<int, state_t>(int)> f = [&ST, &getrand2](int val) {  

    std::cerr << val << std::endl;  

    if (val % 7 == 0) {  

        return state<int, state_t> (getrand2(10000, 11456));  

    }  

    return ST;  

};  
  

auto S1 = monad<state>::bind<state_t, int, int>(ST, f);  

auto S2 = monad<state>::bind<state_t, int, int>(S1, f);  

[...]  

auto Sf = monad<state>::bind<state_t, int, int>(S12, f);  
  

auto S = runState(Sf, std::make_pair(icont_t(), idist_t(10, 20)));  

std::cerr << S << std::endl;  

S = runState(Sf, std::make_pair(icont_t(), idist_t(100, 200)));  

std::cerr << S << std::endl;  

[...]
```

Listing 48: extended state monad example

The value in the state monad is not being used. In listing 48 the state is extended to include a list of value. In the state computation *getrand* the random value is inserted into the list as well are returned as part of the state tuple. The second computation *getrand2* is a curried function whose first argument is a new range for the uniform distribution. It returns a state computation which uses this new distribution range. The monadic function *f* now returns a different state, depending on whether the value passed in was a multiple of 7.

## 11 Futures and Future Values

### 11.1 Futures and Async

### 11.2 Capturing Future Values

```
template <typename A, typename B>
struct future_value {
    explicit future_value(std::function<B(A)> f) : F(f){}
    future_value(const future_value<A,B>& o) : F(o.F) {}
    const future_value<A,B> operator=(const future_value<A,B>& o) {
        if (o == &this) return *this;
        F = o.F;
        return *this;
    }

    std::ostream& pp(std::ostream& strm) const {
        strm << "future_value <" << typeid(A).name()
            << ", " << typeid(B).name() << ">";
        return strm;
    }

    Maybe<B> operator()(A a) {
        try {
            auto f = std::async([=]{ return F(a);});
            return just(f.get());
        } catch (const std::exception& e) {
            std::cerr << "exception : " << e.what() << std::endl;
        }
        return none<B>();
    }
private :
    std::function<B(A)> F;
};

template<typename A, typename B>
std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream& strm, const future_value<A,B>& fv)
{
    return fv.pp(strm);
}

template<typename A, typename B>
Maybe<B> runFutureValue(future_value<A,B> fv, A arg)
{
    return fv(arg);
}
```

Listing 49: Future value type class

```
[...]
std::function<int(int)> f = [](int x) {
    std::cerr << "start..." << std::endl;
    int n = 5;
    while (n--) {
        std::this_thread::sleep_for(std::chrono::milliseconds(1000));
        std::cerr << ".";
    }
    std::cerr << std::endl;
    return x+45;
};
future_value<int,int> fv(f);
std::cerr << fv << std::endl;
auto res = runFutureValue(fv, 45);
std::cerr << res << std::endl;
return 0;
[...]
```

Listing 50: Example of the use of the future value type class

### **11.3 Functor**

### **11.4 Applicative Functor**

### **11.5 Monad**

## **12 Discussion**

The implementation of...