

BISYNTHETIC SPECTRA

MAXWELL JOHNSON AND PETER MAREK

1. GENERALIZING SYNTHETIC SPECTRA

Throughout this section we fix a presentably symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} . Our goal in this section is to generalize the original construction of synthetic spectra due to [Pst22] for a broader class of homology theories on stable ∞ -categories.

1.1. Homological Contexts. In order to set up a theory of synthetic spectra, we must first specify what the necessary data is. The original theory takes as input a (nice) ring spectrum E , but makes substantial use of the underlying structure present in $\mathcal{S}p$ and the concomitant properties of the induced homology theory E_* . We spell out below what we believe is a suitably general theory of homological contexts, i.e., theories which act sufficiently like functors $X \mapsto \pi_*(X \otimes E)$.

Definition 1.1. A local grading on a category \mathcal{D} is an auto-equivalence $-[1] : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. A category is said to be locally graded if it has a chosen local grading.

Example 1.2. All stable ∞ -categories are locally graded by the formal suspension $-[1] := \Sigma$.

Example 1.3. A graded category $\text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{D})$ where \mathbb{Z} is the discrete category on the integers is locally graded by the shift functor induced by $n \mapsto n \pm 1$ on \mathbb{Z} .

Both examples above frequently arise as special cases of the following:

Example 1.4 (Pic Grading). If \mathcal{D} is monoidal and X is a Picard-object, i.e., it is \otimes -invertible, then the functor $- \otimes X$ forms a local grading on \mathcal{D} .

Definition 1.5. Let \mathcal{D} be a presentably symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -category and let \mathcal{A} be an abelian 1-category equipped with a local grading. A functor $\pi_* : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is said to be a homotopy groups functor if it is conservative, lax monoidal, and additive, and if in addition it

- (1) sends cofibers in \mathcal{D} to exact sequences in \mathcal{A} ,
- (2) and intertwines the local gradings $H(\Sigma X) = H(X)[1]$ naturally.

I think this definition is in the Irakli-Piotr paper as a homology theory (Def. 2.8). We could just quote them on it

Remark 1.6. An important consequence of the above definition is that any such H will send a cofiber sequence $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$ in \mathcal{D} to a long exact sequence in \mathcal{A} as rotating the cofiber in \mathcal{D} results in a local-grading-shift in \mathcal{A} .

Remark 1.7. Note that if $\pi_* : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfies all of the above except that it fails to be conservative, we may pass to the localization $\mathcal{D}^{\text{cell}}$ of \mathcal{D} which, among other descriptions, can be taken to be the cofiber in $\text{Cat}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{ex}}$ of the inclusion of the objects in \mathcal{D} which are π_* -isomorphic to $0 \in \mathcal{A}$.

Example 1.8. The above definition is engineered not just to capture the classical examples of homotopy groups of spectra, but also the categories of (genuine) equivariant spectra, cellular motivic spectra, and cellular synthetic spectra. In general, we will want the extra flexibility of considering homotopy groups (and later homology theories) which are multigraded and have long exact sequences with respect to the formal suspension.

Definition 1.9. A *homological context* is the data of two presentably symmetric monoidal stable ∞ -categories \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} , a symmetric monoidal left adjoint $H : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, and a homotopy groups functor $\pi_* : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. If G is the right adjoint to H we write H_* for the composite $\pi_* \circ G \circ H$.

Is it important to have the data of two functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, rather than just one functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$? Again, I think this is the approach of Irakli-Piotr.

Example 1.10. All examples we study in this paper will be given by the following data. First we fix \mathcal{C} as above and assume \mathcal{C} has a homotopy groups functor π_* . We then let $R \in \mathcal{C}\text{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$ and put $H := - \otimes R$ valued in $\text{Mod}(R)$ where it becomes symmetric monoidal (and preserves colimits by assumption). Then H_* corresponds to taking the homotopy groups on the underlying \mathcal{C} -objects of $- \otimes R$.

1.2. The Adams Spectral Sequence of a Homological Context.

The material in this section is also covered by Irakli-Piotr I'm pretty sure

Here we elucidate some of our assumptions on a homological context $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, H, \pi_*)$ by explaining how to construct the relevant Adams spectral sequence which will have the form:

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}(H_*X, H_*Y) \Rightarrow \pi_* \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}$$

To construct this spectral sequence, we will use the Adams spectral sequence associated to an adjunction due to Krause [Krause]. Namely, let G denote the right adjoint to the functor H . Then the composition HG gives rise to an exact comonad on \mathcal{D} and we may consider the category $\text{Comod}(HG)$ of comodules¹ over this comonad. Note that any object in the image of H automatically acquires the structure of an HG -comodule and thus we may factor the functor

$$H : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Comod}(HG) \xrightarrow{\text{Forget}} \mathcal{D}$$

We will write \hat{H} for the first functor above.

Definition 1.11 ([Definition 2.24][Krause].) An object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is HG -complete if the induced map

$$\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, X) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\text{Comod}(HG)}(\hat{H}(Z), \hat{H}(X))$$

is an equivalence for all Z .

The full subcategory of HG -complete objects in \mathcal{C} is a localization of \mathcal{C} , we refer to the completion functor as HG -completion [Krause]. The comonad HG allows us to resolve (the HG completions of) objects of \mathcal{C} via the cobar resolution:

Definition 1.12. The cobar complex of HG is the functor $\text{cb}_{HG} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$ which sends an object X to the cosimplicial object $(GH)^{\circ n+1}$. This produces a cosimplicial object in \mathcal{A} after applying π_* levelwise which we denote cb_{H_*} .

Remark 1.13. The comodule structure above should be viewed as a generalization of remembering that the E -homology of a spectrum X comes equipped with the structure of a comodule over the E -cooperations.

Definition 1.14. The H -Adams Spectral sequence for the mapping object from Z to X is the Bousfield-Kan spectral sequence obtained by applying the functor $\pi_* \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{C}}(Z, -)$ to $\text{cb}_{HG}(X)$. It converges conditionally to $\pi_* \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{C}}(Z, X_{\hat{H}G})$.

Note that in the above discussion we made substantial use of the fact that we had both the left adjoint $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ as well as the functor $\pi_* : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Our general theory of "homology theories" differs from much of the literature in that we ask for both of these data, however, we believe that most examples of interest in nature arise in this fashion anyway.

1.3. H-finite sites. In this section we fix a homological context (Definition 1.9)

$$\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{H} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \mathcal{A}$$

whose composition is denoted H_* . To such a context we will associate a site \mathcal{C}_H^{ω} which will encode the relevant properties of the H -Adams spectral sequence.

Definition 1.15. With notation as above, the H -finite site of \mathcal{C} , denoted \mathcal{C}_H^{ω} , is the full subcategory of \mathcal{C}^{ω} consisting of objects X such that $H(X)$ is dualizable in \mathcal{A} . The coverings in \mathcal{C}_H^{ω} are the single maps $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $H(f)$ is an epimorphism, which we call H -epimorphisms for short.

Remark 1.16. Note that in a symmetric monoidal abelian category \mathcal{A} , the condition of an object P being dualizable is equivalent to be finitely generated, in the sense that there is an epimorphism $1_{\mathcal{A}}^{\oplus n} \rightarrow P$, and projective.

Lemma 1.17. Let $Q, R, P \in \mathcal{C}_H^{\omega}$. Suppose $f : Q \rightarrow P$ is an H -epimorphism and $g : R \rightarrow P$ is arbitrary. Let $X = Q \times_P R$ denote the pullback in \mathcal{C} . Then X is again in \mathcal{C}_H^{ω} and $X \rightarrow R$ is an H -epimorphism.

¹Sometimes referred to as HG -coalgebras, but we prefer the terminology of [Krause] since such an object is essentially an object of \mathcal{D} with a coaction of HG .

Proof. First we note that the pullback may equivalently be described via the fiber sequence

$$X \rightarrow Q \oplus R \xrightarrow{f-g} P$$

and as a result is compact as the fiber of a map between compact objects. Moreover, because $H(Q) \rightarrow H(P)$ is an H -epimorphism and because $H(Q)$ is projective, we get a lift $\tilde{g} : H(R) \rightarrow H(P)$ which then splits the long exact sequence. As a result, the long exact sequence breaks up into short exact sequences, and we use the 2-out-of-3 property to claim that $H(X)$ is therefore dualizable. That $H(X) \rightarrow H(R)$ is an epimorphism follows from the additional splitting. \square

Lemma 1.18. The tensor product on \mathcal{C} restricts to \mathcal{C}_H^ω and $H_* : \mathcal{C}_H^\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is monoidal.

Proof. The tensor product automatically restricts to \mathcal{C}^ω , so it is only necessary to show that if $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_H^\omega$ then $H_*(X \otimes Y)$ is dualizable, which itself would follow from the monoidality claim on H_* . But then even if only one of X or Y were projective, the lax-monoidality map

$$H_*(X \otimes Y) \rightarrow H_*(X) \otimes H_*(Y)$$

is an isomorphism via Kunneth spectral sequence:

$$\mathrm{Tor}_{\mathcal{A}}(H(X), H(Y)) \Rightarrow H(X \otimes Y)$$

which is concentrated in the 0-line isomorphic to $H(X) \otimes H(Y)$ due to the projectivity of either factor. \square

I definitely believe this Kunneth SS exists in this generality, but is there a source for that? If not, we might want to write down a proof.

Remark 1.19. Recall from [Pst22] that a site is *additive* if the coverings are provided by singletons and the underlying category is additive.

Definition 1.20 ([Pst22]). An additive site is site whose underlying category is additive and whose coverings are all single maps. Such a site is in addition excellent if it is equipped with a symmetric monoidal structure in which every object has a dual and such that the functors $- \otimes P$ preserve coverings for all P in the site.

Proposition 1.21. The category \mathcal{C}_H^ω is an excellent site.

Proof. By definition the underlying category is additive and by Lemma 1.17 we know that it forms a site which whose coverings are single maps by definition. Finally by Lemma 1.18 we already know the tensor product restricts and all objects have duals. All that remains is to show that for all $P \in \mathcal{C}_H^\omega$ the functor $- \otimes P$ preserves coverings. But this again follows from the proof of Lemma 1.18 as the tensor product of epimorphisms is again an epimorphism. \square

1.4. H-Synthetic Spectra. Again we fix a homological context $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with notation as in all previous sections. Recall that a presheaf $F : \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is said to be spherical if for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ the natural map

$$F(X \amalg Y) \rightarrow F(X) \times F(Y)$$

is an equivalence. A sheaf is said to be spherical if the underlying presheaf is, and the sheafification functor when it exists sends spherical sheaves to spherical presheaves. Spherical presheaves are very well behaved when the category \mathcal{C} is additive. In particular, in this case we get canonical lifts to grouplike commutative monoids in \mathcal{D} , so long as these make sense. As a result, spherical sheaves of spaces on \mathcal{C} lift canonically to spherical sheaves of connective spectra.

Definition 1.22. The category of Synthetic Spectra with respect to the context above is the category of spherical sheaves of spectra $\mathrm{Sh}_\Sigma(\mathcal{C}_H^\omega, \mathrm{Sp})$ on the H -finite site. We will often drop much of the context data and refer to this as the category Syn_H of H -synthetic spectra.

Proposition 1.23. The category Syn_H is presentably symmetric monoidal and comes equipped with a synthetic analog functor $\nu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Syn}_H$ defined by lifting the Yoneda embedding. The functor ν preserves filtered colimits and direct sums. It is in addition lax monoidal and for any $P \in \mathcal{C}_H^\omega$ the natural map $\nu(- \otimes X) \rightarrow \nu(-) \otimes \nu(P)$ is an equivalence.

Overall, I think this general definition of "synthetic spectra" seems to hold up. I'm surprised that it works in this generality and that this wasn't written down already by the likes of Piotr/Irakli/Robert etc.

(couldn't fit this in a todo environment) I have a few more thoughts about all this and where you could go from here:

- Like I said in some of the earlier comments, I think it would be a good idea to simplify some of the definitions and make sure we reference people (e.g. Piotr/Irakli) who have already come up with some of these notions.
- Some other Piotr/Irakli stuff we could quote from here include thread structure/tau-localization/Ctau-mod
- With these notions all set up, it should be straightforward then to start writing down all the categories we need that come out of bisynthetic spectra; e.g. Ctau/Clambda-mod, tau/lambda inverted categories, etc. and the categories these module categories are equivalent to
- Question: for this def. of $\mathrm{Sh}_\Sigma(\mathcal{C}_H^\omega, \mathcal{S}p)$, is it still true that Ctau-mod embeds in some "stable comodule" category related to H? Would have to make the stable comodule category precise. This is probably related to the derived categories that Irakli/Piotr deal with

1.5. Thread Structures and τ .

1.6. Relationship to the H-Adams Spectral sequence.

2. BISYNTHETIC SPECTRA

3. SPECIALIZATIONS BY τ, λ

4. THE CATEGORIFIED MILLER SQUARE