

1. τ - AND λ -BOCKSTEIN SPECTRAL SEQUENCES

In this section, we prove results about the τ - and λ -Bockstein spectral sequences in $\mathcal{B}\text{isyn}$. Let $\nu^2 : \mathcal{S}\text{p} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\text{isyn}$ denote the composition of the functors $\mathcal{S}\text{p} \xrightarrow{\nu_E} \mathcal{S}\text{yn}_E \xrightarrow{\nu_F} \mathcal{B}\text{isyn}$.

Remark 1.1. For this theorem, I'm using these assumptions:

- $\nu_F(\mathbb{S}_E^{k,w}) = \mathbb{S}^{k,w,k}$
- SES in $\nu_E F_{*,*}$ -homology induces cofiber sequence in ν^2
- ν^2 is symmetric monoidal on projective objects
- homotopy of $\nu^2(F)$ -module is λ -free
- λ^{-1} is symmetric monoidal and satisfies $\lambda^{-1} \circ \nu_F = \text{id}$
- inclusion or equivalence $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{B}\text{isyn}; C\lambda) \simeq \text{Stable}(\nu_E F_{*,*} \nu_E F)$

Theorem 1.2. For the bisynthetic spectrum $\nu_F(Y)$ with $Y \in \mathcal{S}\text{yn}_E$, the $\nu^2(F)$ -Adams spectral sequence is isomorphic to the λ -Bockstein spectral sequence.

Proof. Our proof of this follows very closely to the proofs in [BHS19, App. A]. Consider the canonical $\nu_E(F)$ -Adams tower

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & Y_2 & \longrightarrow & Y_1 & \longrightarrow & Y_0 & = & Y \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & Y_2 \otimes \nu_E(F) & & Y_1 \otimes \nu_E(F) & & Y_0 \otimes \nu_E(F) & & \end{array}$$

of $Y \in \mathcal{S}\text{yn}_E$. This tower is made up of cofiber sequences

$$Y_{n+1} \rightarrow Y_n \rightarrow Y_n \otimes \nu_E(F) \rightarrow \Sigma^{1,0} Y_{n+1}$$

such that the maps $Y_{n+1} \rightarrow Y_n$ induce the zero map on $\nu_E(F)_{*,*}$ -homology. By SES prop. of ν_F (!!!need this fact!!!), these become cofiber sequences

$$\Sigma^{-1} \nu_F(Y_{n+1}) \rightarrow \nu_F(Y_n) \rightarrow \nu_F(Y_n \otimes \nu_E(F)) \rightarrow \nu_F(\Sigma^{1,0} Y_{n+1}).$$

in $\mathcal{B}\text{isyn}$. By symmetric monoidality of ν_F on projective objects (!!!need this fact!!!), we can identify these cofiber sequences as

$$\Sigma^{0,0,1} \nu_F(Y_{n+1}) \rightarrow \nu_F(Y_n) \rightarrow \nu_F(Y_n) \otimes \nu^2(F) \rightarrow \Sigma^{1,0,1} \nu_F(Y_{n+1}).$$

Hence, the canonical $\nu^2(F)$ -Adams tower for $\nu_F(Y)$ can be written as

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^{0,0,2} \nu_F(Y_2) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^{0,0,1} \nu_F(Y_1) & \longrightarrow & \nu_F(Y_0) & = & \nu_F(Y) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \Sigma^{0,0,2} \nu_F(Y_2) \otimes \nu^2(F) & & \Sigma^{0,0,1} \nu_F(Y_1) \otimes \nu^2(F) & & \nu_F(Y_0) \otimes \nu^2(F) & & \end{array}$$

In the usual way, we get the Adams E_1 -page

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^2_F E_1^{f,k,w,v} &= \pi_{k,w,v}(\Sigma^{0,0,f} \nu_F(Y_f) \otimes \nu^2(F)) \\ &\cong \pi_{k,w,v-f}(\nu_F(Y_f) \otimes \nu^2(F)) \end{aligned}$$

Because of the equivalence

$$\nu_F(Y_n \otimes \nu_E(F)) \simeq \nu_F(Y_n) \otimes \nu^2(F),$$

and the fact that the homotopy of a $\nu^2(F)$ -module is λ -free (!!!need this fact!!!), we can identify the E_1 -page as

$$\nu^2_F E_1^{f,k,w,v} \cong \nu_E F E_1^{f,k,w} \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\lambda],$$

where $x \in \nu_E F E_1^{f,k,w}$ lives in quad-degree $(f, k, w, k + f)$ (I'm pretty sure, but this is just a guess!!! double check when we know about homotopy of $\nu^2 F$ -modules better).

The differentials in this spectral sequence are of the form

$$d_r : \nu^2_F E_r^{f,k,w,v} \rightarrow \nu^2_F E_r^{f+r,k-1,w,v}.$$

Since $\lambda^{-1} \circ \nu_F = \text{id}$ and λ is symmetric monoidal, the λ -inverted $\nu^2(F)$ -Adams tower is the $\nu_E(F)$ -Adams tower, and, in particular, the λ -inverted differentials

$$d_{r,\lambda^{-1}} : \nu_E F E_r^{f,k,w} \rightarrow \nu_E F E_r^{f+r,k-1,w}$$

are exactly the differentials of the $\nu_E(F)$ -Adams spectral sequence. Just as in [BHS19], this implies that if $d_{r,\lambda^{-1}}(x) = y$ is a $\nu_E(F)$ -Adams differential, then

$$d_r(x) = \lambda^{r-1}y.$$

Using the equivalence (inclusion?)

$$\text{Mod}(\text{Bisyn}; C\lambda) \simeq \text{Stable}(\nu_E F_{*,*} \nu_E F),$$

we see that the λ -Bockstein E_1 -page

$${}_{\lambda}E_1^{f,k,w,v} = \pi_{k,w,v}(\Sigma^{0,0,-f} \nu_F(Y) \otimes C\lambda)$$

and Adams E_2 -page are, up to a degree shift, isomorphic. Because they have the same formula for differentials, they must be isomorphic as spectral sequences. \square

Remark 1.3. We might actually need to prove a little bit more. [BHS19] cites their Thm. 9.19(1) when they prove their version of this, but I'm not sure how exactly they're using that. Probably need to assume everything is nilpotent/lambda complete too, but don't feel like thinking about this for now. They also prove that the *filtrations* are literally the same, not just that the spectral sequences are isomorphic.

Remark 1.4. For the next lemmas and theorem, I need these assumptions:

- $\nu_F(\mathbb{S}_E^{k,w}) = \mathbb{S}^{k,w,k}$
- E Adams-type and ring map $E \rightarrow F$
- $\nu_E P$, with P finite E_* -projective, is "finite" in Syn_E
- ν^2 is symmetric monoidal on projective objects
- SES in $\nu_E F_{*,*}$ -homology induces cofiber sequence in ν^2
- Homotopy of $\nu^2 E$ -module is τ -free
- Commuting diagram of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Bisyn} & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-1}} & \text{Syn}_F \\ \nu_F \uparrow & & \uparrow \nu_F \\ \text{Syn}_E & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-1}} & \text{Sp} \end{array}$$

Lemma 1.5. If a spectrum $X \in \text{Sp}$ is E_* -projective, then it is F_* -projective. In particular for any spectrum $Y \in \text{Sp}$,

$$\nu_F(Y \otimes E) \simeq \nu_F Y \otimes \nu_F E.$$

Proof. By the assumption that E is Adams-type and F is an E -module, by a Künneth spectral sequence argument we have a natural isomorphism of functors

$$F_*(-) \xrightarrow{\cong} E_*(-) \otimes_{E_*} F_*.$$

In particular, if $E_* X$ is projective over E_* then $F_* X \cong E_* X \otimes_{E_*} F_*$ is projective over F_* .

The second statement follows from the fact that E is a filtered colimit of finite, F_* -projective spectra and [Pst22]. \square

Lemma 1.6. The synthetic spectrum $\nu_E E \in \text{Syn}_E$ is a filtered colimit of finite, $\nu_E F$ -projectives. In particular, for $Y \in \text{Syn}_E$,

$$\nu_F(Y \otimes \nu_E E) \simeq \nu_F(Y) \otimes \nu^2(E).$$

Proof. Let $E \simeq \text{colim}_{\alpha} E_{\alpha}$ where each E_{α} is a finite, E_* -projective spectrum. Since $\nu_E(E) \simeq \text{colim}_{\alpha} \nu_E E_{\alpha}$, it suffices to show that each $\nu_E E_{\alpha}$ is a finite, $\nu_E F$ -projective synthetic spectrum. Now, $\nu_E E_{\alpha}$ is automatically finite (!!!make sure we define what this means!!!). For projectivity, we see that

$$\nu_E E_{\alpha} \otimes \nu_E F \simeq \nu_E(E_{\alpha} \otimes F),$$

with homotopy groups $\pi_{*,*}(\nu_E E_{\alpha} \otimes \nu_E F) \cong F_* E_{\alpha}[\tau]$. By Lemma ??, $F_* E_{\alpha}$ is projective over F_* . Tensoring with $\mathbb{Z}[\tau]$ preserves projectivity, so that $F_* E_{\alpha}[\tau]$ is projective over $\nu_E F_{*,*} \cong F_*[\tau]$. \square

Lemma 1.7. Suppose

$$\nu_E X \rightarrow \nu_E Y \rightarrow \nu_E Z$$

is a cofiber sequence in Syn_E , induced by a cofiber sequence $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$ in Sp . If the cofiber sequence in Syn_E induces a short exact sequence in $\nu_E E_{*,*}$ -homology, then it induces a short exact sequence in $\nu_E F_{*,*}$ -homology.

Proof. By the assumption that E is Adams-type and F is an E -module, there are natural isomorphisms of functors

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_E F_{*,*}(\nu_E(-)) &\cong F_*(-)[\tau] \\ &\cong (E_*(-) \otimes_{E_*} F_*)[\tau] \\ &\cong \nu_E E_{*,*}(\nu_E(-)) \otimes_{\nu_E E_{*,*}} \nu_E F_{*,*}.\end{aligned}$$

Consider a map $Z \rightarrow \Sigma X$ induced by the cofiber sequence in $\mathcal{S}p$. Note that $\nu_E(\Sigma X) \simeq \Sigma^{1,1} \nu_E X$ and the map $\nu_E Z \rightarrow \Sigma^{1,0} \nu_E X$ sits in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\nu_E Z & \xrightarrow{f} & \Sigma^{1,0} \nu_E X \\ & \searrow g & \downarrow \tau \\ & & \nu_E(\Sigma X) \simeq \Sigma^{1,1} \nu_E X\end{array}$$

with the horizontal and diagonal maps inducing the zero map in $\nu_E E_{*,*}$ -homology. Since everything is τ -free when we apply $\nu_E F_{*,*}(-)$ and the map $\nu_E F_{*,*}(g)$ is zero by the natural isomorphism above, then $\nu_E F_{*,*}(\tau)$ is injective so that $\nu_E F_{*,*}(f)$ is also the zero map. \square

Theorem 1.8. For the bisynthetic spectrum $\nu^2(X)$ with $X \in \mathcal{S}p$, the $\nu^2(E)$ -Adams spectral sequence is isomorphic to the τ -Bockstein spectral sequence.

Proof. The proof starts off similarly to the proof for the $\nu^2(F)$ -Adams SS and the λ -Bockstein SS. Consider the canonical E -Adams tower

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}\cdots & \longrightarrow & X_2 & \longrightarrow & X_1 & \longrightarrow & X_0 = X \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & X_2 \otimes E & & X_1 \otimes E & & X_0 \otimes E\end{array}$$

of $X \in \mathcal{S}p$. This tower is made up of cofiber sequences

$$X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow X_n \otimes E \rightarrow \Sigma X_{n+1}$$

such that the maps $X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_n$ induce the zero map on E_* -homology. Applying ν_E to these cofiber sequences, as in [BHS19] we get cofiber sequences

$$\Sigma^{0,1} \nu_E(X_{n+1}) \rightarrow \nu_E(X_n) \rightarrow \nu_E(X_n) \otimes \nu_E(E) \rightarrow \Sigma^{1,1} \nu_E(X_{n+1}).$$

Since $F_*(-) \cong E_*(-) \otimes_{E_*} F_*$ and the maps $\Sigma^{0,1} \nu_E(X_{n+1}) \rightarrow \nu_E(X_n)$ induce the zero map on $\nu_E(E)_{*,*}$ -homology, the maps $\Sigma^{0,1} \nu_E(X_{n+1}) \rightarrow \nu_E(X_n)$ also induce zero on $\nu_E(F)_{*,*}$ -homology. This, together with Lemma 1.5, implies that there are cofiber sequences

$$\Sigma^{0,1,1} \nu^2(X_{n+1}) \rightarrow \nu^2(X_n) \rightarrow \nu^2(X_n) \otimes \nu^2(E) \rightarrow \Sigma^{1,1,1} \nu^2(X_{n+1}).$$

Regrading by letting $Z_n = \Sigma^{0,0,n} \nu^2(X_n)$, these become cofiber sequences

$$\Sigma^{0,1,0} Z_{n+1} \rightarrow Z_n \rightarrow Z_n \otimes \nu^2(E) \rightarrow \Sigma^{1,1,0} Z_{n+1}$$

which build up the canonical $\nu^2(E)$ -Adams tower

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}\cdots & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^{0,2,0} Z_2 & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^{0,1,0} Z_1 & \longrightarrow & Z_0 = \nu^2(X) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \Sigma^{0,2,0} Z_2 \otimes \nu^2(E) & & \Sigma^{0,1,0} Z_1 \otimes \nu^2(E) & & Z_0 \otimes \nu^2(E)\end{array}$$

for $\nu^2(X) \in \text{Bisyn}$. The $\nu^2(E)$ -Adams E_1 -page has the form

$$\begin{aligned}\nu^2 E_1^{f,k,w,v} &= \pi_{k,w,v}(\Sigma^{0,f,0} Z_f \otimes \nu^2(E)) \\ &\cong \pi_{k,w-f,v}(Z_f \otimes \nu^2(E)).\end{aligned}$$

Since $Z_f \otimes \nu^2(E)$ is a $\nu^2(E)$ -module, the E_1 -page is τ -free and via the τ -inversion functor τ^{-1} , we have an isomorphism

$$\nu^2 E_1^{f,k,w,v} \cong \nu_F E_1^{f,k,v} \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\tau]$$

\square

where $x \in {}_{\nu_E F} E_1^{f,k,v}$ lives in quad-degree $(f, k, k + f, v)$. The differentials in this spectral sequence are of the form

$$d_r : {}_{\nu^2 E} E_r^{f,k,w,v} \rightarrow {}_{\nu^2 E} E_r^{f+r,k-1,w,v}.$$

By Lemma ?? and the commutative diagram of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B}\text{isyn} & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-1}} & \text{Syn}_F \\ \nu_F \uparrow & & \uparrow \nu_F \\ \text{Syn}_E & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-1}} & \mathcal{S}\text{p} \end{array}$$

the symmetric monoidal τ -inversion functor $\tau^{-1} : \mathcal{B}\text{isyn} \rightarrow \text{Syn}_F$ sends the $\nu^2(E)$ -Adams tower to a $\nu_F E$ -Adams tower in Syn_F . In particular, the τ -localized differentials $d_{r,\tau^{-1}}$ are exactly the $\nu_F E$ -Adams spectral sequence differentials and, so, if $d_{r,\tau^{-1}}(x) = y$, then

$$d_r(x) = \tau^{r-1}y.$$

Using the equivalence (inclusion?)

$$\text{Mod}(\mathcal{B}\text{isyn}; C\tau) \simeq \text{Stable}(\nu_F E_{*,*} \nu_F E),$$

we see that the τ -Bockstein E_1 -page

$${}_{\tau} E_1^{f,k,w,v} = \pi_{k,w,v}(\Sigma^{0,-f,0} \nu^2(X) \otimes C\tau)$$

and Adams E_2 -page are, up to a degree shift, isomorphic. Because they have the same formula for differentials, they must be isomorphic as spectral sequences.

REFERENCES

- [BHS19] Robert Burklund, Jeremy Hahn, and Andrew Senger. *On the boundaries of highly connected, almost closed manifolds*. 2019. arXiv: [1910.14116](https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.14116).