DEDA CHECKLIST

Privacy and consent:
Determine if the data being gathered contains personally identifiable information (PII) and whether consent is required.
When required, get informed consent from individuals and explicitly express the purpose and scope of data collection.
Fairness and bias:
Identify and mitigate any data biases to ensure fairness in algorithm development and execution.
Assess the algorithm's influence on various demographic groups and correct any potential biases or prejudice.
Legal Obligation:
☐ Ensure that appropriate rules, regulations, and ethical norms, such as data protection and privacy legislation, are followed.
Consult with legal specialists to understand the legal consequences of building and employing the prediction algorithm.
Transparency and comprehensibility:
□ Document the data sources, methods of collection, and any data pretreatment or cleaning activities that were used.
Explain to stakeholders the algorithm's approach, variables, and decision-making processes in detail.
<u>Data security</u>
☐ Encrypt sensitive data while it is being sent and stored.
To safeguard confidentiality, establish standards for data exchange both inside the project team and with external stakeholders.
Risk assessment:
 Conduct a thorough risk assessment to detect any negative repercussions or unforeseen outcomes of the algorithm.
Implement risk-mitigation strategies, such as monitoring for algorithmic bias and assessing and updating the model on a regular basis.
Communication:
Communicate the algorithm's aim, advantages, and restrictions to stakeholders, including the municipality and the general public.
☐ Provide transparency about the algorithm's intended application and answer any concerns or inquiries from stakeholders.

Evaluation

Monitor the algorithm's performance on a regular basis to guarantee its correctness
fairness, and efficiency in anticipating and distributing resources.
Evaluate the algorithm's societal impact and make any required improvements to
mitigate any unforeseen repercussions.

ALTAI Checklist

1. Human Agency and Oversight:

Could the AI system generate confusion for some or all end-users or subjects regarding the origin of algorithmic decisions?
Are end-users or subjects adequately informed that a decision, content, advice, or outcome is the result of an algorithmic decision?
Could the AI system lead to over-reliance by end-users, potentially affecting human autonomy?
Have procedures been established to prevent unintended interference with human autonomy?
Are human stakeholders involved in the decision-making process of the project?
Is there a clear understanding of the roles and responsibilities of human stakeholders?
Are there mechanisms in place for human oversight and intervention in the prediction process?
Is there a process to review and override automated decisions if necessary?
Are there provisions for accountability in case of errors or negative impacts?

<u>2. </u>	Technical Robustness and Safety:
	 Could the AI system pose risks or threats due to design or technical faults, defects, outages, attacks, misuse, inappropriate or malicious use? Have potential forms of attacks and vulnerabilities been assessed? Are measures in place to ensure the integrity, robustness, and overall security of the AI system against potential attacks throughout its lifecycle? Is there a mechanism to evaluate when changes to the AI system warrant a new review of its technical robustness and safety? Is the prediction model robust and reliable, producing accurate and consistent results? Are there measures in place to ensure the safety and integrity of the data used for predictions? Has a risk assessment been conducted to identify and mitigate potential risks and hazards associated with the prediction model?
<u>3. </u>	Privacy and Data Governance:
	 ☐ Have you considered the impact of the AI system on the right to privacy? ☐ Have mechanisms been established to flag privacy-related issues related to the AI system? ☐ Have you implemented measures mandated by data protection regulations? ☐ Have you implemented the right to withdraw consent, the right to object, and the right to be forgotten into the development of the AI system? ☐ Have you considered the privacy and data protection implications of data collected, generated, or processed throughout the AI system's life cycle? ☐ Are there measures in place to protect personal data and comply with relevant data protection regulations? ☐ Has a data governance framework been established to ensure responsible data handling practices?
<u>4.</u>	 Transparency: Have you implemented measures to address the traceability of the AI system throughout its entire lifecycle? Can you trace back which data was used by the AI system to make specific decisions or recommendations? Can you trace back which AI model or rules led to the decisions or recommendations of the AI system?

	Have you put in place measures to continuously assess the quality of the AI system's outputs?
Г	Have you explained the decisions of the AI system to the users?
	Have you provided appropriate training materials and disclaimers to users on how to
	adequately use the AI system?
	Is the prediction model transparent and explainable?
	Are explanations provided to stakeholders on how their data is used and how
	predictions are made?
<u>5.</u>	Diversity, Non-discrimination, and Fairness:
	Have you established a strategy or procedures to avoid creating or reinforcing unfair bias in the AI system, both regarding the use of input data and algorithm design?
Г	Have you considered diversity and representativeness of end-users and/or subjects
	in the data?
] Have you tested for specific target groups or problematic use cases?
	Have you assessed and implemented processes to test and monitor for potential
_	biases throughout the AI system's lifecycle?
L	Have you implemented educational and awareness initiatives to help AI designers and developers be more aware of possible biases in the AI system?
	Have you ensured a mechanism for flagging issues related to bias, discrimination, or poor performance of the AI system?
	Have you established clear steps and communication channels for raising such issues?
	Have you assessed whether the AI system's user interface is usable by those with
	special needs or disabilities or those at risk of exclusion?
	Have you ensured that information about the AI system and its user interface is
	accessible and usable to users of assistive technologies?
L	Have you involved or consulted with end-users or subjects in need of assistive
	technology during the planning and development phase of the Al system?] Have you considered the impact of the Al system on potential end-users and/or
	subjects?
	Have you assessed whether the team involved in building the AI system engaged
	with possible target end-users and/or subjects?
	Have you assessed whether there could be groups who might be disproportionately
_	affected by the outcomes of the AI system?
	Have you assessed the risk of possible unfairness of the system on the end-users' or
_	subjects' communities?
L	Have you considered a mechanism to include the participation of a wide range of stakeholders in the Al system's design and development process?

6. Societal and Environmental Well-being:

Are there potential negative impacts of the AI system on the environment?
Which potential impacts do you identify?
Where possible, have you established mechanisms to evaluate the environmental impact of the AI system's development, deployment, and/or use (e.g., energy consumption, carbon emissions)?
Have you defined measures to reduce the environmental impact of the Al system throughout its lifecycle?
Does the AI system impact human work and work arrangements?
Did you inform and consult with impacted workers and their representatives (e.g., trade unions, work councils) in advance of introducing the AI system in your organization?
Have you adopted measures to ensure a clear understanding of the impacts of the Al system on human work?
Did you ensure that workers understand how the AI system operates and its capabilities?
Could the AI system create the risk of de-skilling the workforce?
Have you taken measures to counteract de-skilling risks?
Does the system promote or require new (digital) skills?
Have you provided training opportunities and materials for re- and up-skilling?
Could the AI system have a negative impact on society at large or democracy?
Have you assessed the societal impact of the AI system's use beyond the end-users and subjects, considering potentially indirectly affected stakeholders or society at large?
Have you taken action to minimize potential societal harm caused by the AI system?
Have you taken measures to ensure that the AI system does not negatively impact democracy?
Has the potential impact of the prediction on society and the environment been considered?
Are there measures in place to mitigate any negative consequences or externalities?
Does the project align with sustainable and ethical practices in urban planning and neighborhood development?
Has the project considered the potential social and environmental impacts of predicting public nuisance?
Are there mechanisms in place to address and mitigate any negative social or environmental consequences?
Has the project considered the broader well-being and quality of life of the neighborhoods and communities involved?

7. Accountability:

Did you establish mechanisms that facilitate the AI system's auditability (e.g.,
traceability of the development process, sourcing of training data, logging of
processes, outcomes, positive and negative impact)?
Did you foresee any external guidance or third-party auditing processes to oversee
ethical concerns and accountability measures?
Did you consider establishing an AI ethics review board or a similar mechanism to
discuss overall accountability and ethics practices, including potential unclear areas?
Did you establish a process to discuss, continuously monitor, and assess the Al
system's adherence to this Assessment List for Trustworthy AI (ALTAI)?
Does this process include identification and documentation of conflicts between the
requirements or between different ethical principles, and explanation of the "trade-off"
decisions made?
Did you provide appropriate training to those involved in the process, including
coverage of the legal framework applicable to the AI system?
For applications that can adversely affect individuals, have redress-by-design
mechanisms been put in place?
Is there a clear framework for accountability and responsibility within the project?
Are there mechanisms in place for addressing any concerns or issues that may arise?
Is there a process for addressing complaints, concerns, or disputes related to the predictions made?
•
Are there mechanisms in place to track and evaluate the impact and effectiveness of the prediction model over time?
·