

# **REAL ANALYSIS II**

Jaydeb Sarkar, notes by Ramdas Singh

Second Semester

# List of Symbols

$[a, b]$ , the set of all real numbers  $x$  such that  $a \leq x \leq b$ .

$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ , the set of all natural numbers.

$\mathbb{Z}_+$ , defined as  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

$\mathcal{B}[a, b]$ , the set of all boundary functions defined as  $\{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$ . It is a vector space (also an algebra) over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

$\mathcal{P}[a, b]$ , the set of all partitions of the set  $[a, b]$ .

$I_j$ , the  $j^{\text{th}}$  subinterval of  $[a, b]$ , controlled by a partition set.

$L(f, P)$ , the lower Riemann sum for a function  $f$  and partition  $P$ .

$U(f, P)$ , the upper Riemann sum for a function  $f$  and partition  $P$ .

$\int_a^b f$ , the lower Riemann integration for a function  $f$ .

$\int_a^{\bar{b}} f$ , the upper Riemann integration for a function  $f$ .

$\mathcal{R}[a, b]$ , the set of all Riemann integrable functions over the set  $[a, b]$ .

# Contents

1	THE RIEMANN INTEGRAL	1
1.1	On The Path of Definitions . . . . .	1
	Appendices	5
A	Appendix	7
	Index	9

## Chapter 1

# THE RIEMANN INTEGRAL

### 1.1 On The Path of Definitions

*January 6th.*

**Definition 1.1.** A *partition* of  $[a, b]$  are all the points  $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$ . These points within are termed *nodes*, and there are  $n - 1$  of them. The set  $I_j$ , defined by  $[x_{j-1}, x_j]$  denotes the  $j^{\text{th}}$  subinterval.

**Definition 1.2.** If  $I = (a, b), [a, b], (a, b], [b, a)$ , then the *length of the interval*  $I$  is denoted by  $b - a$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{P}[a, b]$ , the set of all partition sets of  $[a, b]$ . For  $P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$ , with  $n - 1$  nodes, the length of  $[a, b]$  will be  $|[a, b]| = \sum_{j=1}^n I_j$ . We also note that for all  $P, \tilde{P} \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$ ,  $P \cup \tilde{P} \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$ . Note that here we consider  $n$  to be finite.

**Example 1.3.** The set  $\{\frac{1}{n}\}_{n \geq 1} \cup \{0\}$  does not belong to the set of all partitions of the unit interval,  $\mathcal{P}[0, 1]$ .

Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}[a, b]$ , and  $P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$ . Suppose  $P$  has the nodes  $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$ . For all  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , define  $m_j = \inf_{x \in I_j} f(x)$  and  $M_j = \sup_{x \in I_j} f(x)$ . Finally, denote by  $m$  the value of  $\inf_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$  and  $M$  to be  $\sup_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$ . These are all real values.

Note that for all valid  $j$ ,  $m \leq m_j \leq M_j \leq M$  always holds. This must mean that

$$\begin{aligned} m |I_j| &\leq m_j |I_j| \leq M_j |I_j| \leq M |I_j| \\ m(b-a) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n m_j |I_j| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n M_j |I_j| \leq M(b-a). \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}[a, b]$ . For  $P (a = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = b) \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$ , the *lower Riemann sum* and the *upper Riemann sum* are defined as

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{j=1}^n m_j |I_j| \quad \text{and} \quad U(f, P) = \sum_{j=1}^n M_j |I_j|, \quad (1.2)$$

respectively. Thus,  $m(b-a) \leq L(f, P) \leq U(f, P) \leq M(b-a) \forall P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$ .

**Remark 1.5.** Clearly,  $L(f, P), U(f, P) \in \mathbb{R}$  for all partitions  $P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$  and all boundary functions  $f \in \mathcal{B}[a, b]$ . In fact,  $L(f, P), U(f, P) \in [m(b-a), M(b-a)]$ .

**Definition 1.6.** For  $f \in \mathcal{B}[a, b]$ , the *lower Riemann integration* is defined as

$$\int_a^b f = \sup \{L(f, P) | P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]\}. \quad (1.3)$$

Subsequently, the *upper Riemann integration* is defined as

$$\int_a^b f = \inf \{U(f, P) | P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]\}. \quad (1.4)$$

**Remark 1.7.** Note that both  $\int_a^b f$  and  $\int_a^{\bar{b}} f$  belong to the set  $[m(b-a), M(b-a)]$ .

**Definition 1.8.** A function  $f \in \mathcal{B}[a, b]$  is *Riemann integrable* if the lower and the upper Riemann integration are equal, that is,  $\int_a^b f = \int_a^{\bar{b}} f$ . We denote this value by  $\int_a^b f$ , and call it the integration of  $f$  over  $[a, b]$ . We then say that  $f \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$ .

*January 8th.*

**Example 1.9.** Note that  $\mathcal{R}[a, b] \subseteq \mathcal{B}[a, b]$ . In fact, it is a proper subset; for there exists the *Dirichlet function*  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1], \\ 0 & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

Clearly,  $f$  is a boundary function but not a continuous one. Now pick a partition  $P$  with  $x_0 = 0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = 1$ . Now,  $m_j = 0 \forall j \implies L(f, P) = 0 \forall P \implies \int_0^1 f = 0$ . If we consider that  $M_j$  is always 1, we get  $\int_0^1 f = 1$ .  $f$  does not belong to the set of Riemann integrable functions.

**Example 1.10.** We show that  $\mathcal{R}[a, b]$  is not empty. Simply pick  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(x) = c$  for all valid  $x$ . For every partition of this interval, we  $m_j = M_j = c$  for all  $j$ . Finally, after computing the lower Riemann and upper Riemann sums, we get  $\int_a^b f = \int_a^{\bar{b}} f = c(b-a)$ .

**Example 1.11.** There exists a function  $f \in \mathcal{B}[a, b]$  such that  $|f| \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$  but  $f \notin \mathcal{R}[a, b]$ . Indeed, simply pick a modification of the Dirichlet function defined as

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [a, b], \\ 1 & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

**Definition 1.12.** Let  $P, \tilde{P} \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$ . We say  $\tilde{P} \supset P$ , or  $\tilde{P}$  is a *refinement* of  $P$  if the nodes of  $P$  are a subset of the nodes of  $\tilde{P}$ .

**Example 1.13.** For all  $P, \tilde{P} \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$ , we have  $P \cup \tilde{P} \supset P, \tilde{P}$ .

**Proposition 1.14.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}[a, b]$ , and  $P, \tilde{P} \in cP[a, b]$ . Suppose  $\tilde{P} \supset P$ . Then

$$L(f, P) \leq L(f, \tilde{P}) \leq U(f, \tilde{P}) \leq U(f, P). \quad (1.7)$$

*Proof.* Note that it is sufficient to prove the first inequality; the second one is already true and the third one is analagous to the first one. Set  $\tilde{P} = P \cup \{\tilde{x}\}$  with  $\tilde{x} \notin P$ , and set  $P$  as  $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$ . As  $\tilde{x}$  is not part of  $P$ , there must exist some  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\tilde{x} \in (x_{j-1}, x_j)$ .

For this  $j$ , let  $\tilde{m}_{j-1} = \inf_{[x_{j-1}, \tilde{x}]} f$  and let  $\tilde{m}_j = \inf_{[\tilde{x}, x_j]} f$ . Therefore, we shall have

$$\begin{aligned} L(f, \tilde{P}) - L(f, P) &= \tilde{m}_{j-1}(\tilde{x} - x_{j-1}) + \tilde{m}_j(x_j - \tilde{x}) - m_j(x_j - x_{j-1}) \\ &= \tilde{m}_{j-1}(\tilde{x} - x_{j-1}) + \tilde{m}_j(x_j - \tilde{x}) - m_j(x_j - \tilde{x}) - m_j(\tilde{x} - x_{j-1}) \\ &= (\tilde{m}_j - m_j)(x_j - \tilde{x}) + (\tilde{m}_{j-1} - m_j)(\tilde{x} - x_{j-1}) \geq 0 \\ \implies L(f, \tilde{P}) - L(f, P) &\geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

Induction may now be applied to make any refinement  $\tilde{P}$  of  $P$ . A similar logic applies to the upper Riemann sums. ■

**Corollary 1.15.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}[a, b]$ . Then, for all  $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$ ,  $L(f, P) \leq U(f, Q)$ .

*Proof.* Choose  $R = P \cup Q$  to be a refinement of both  $P$  and  $Q$ . Applying the previous proposition, we simply get  $L(f, P) \leq L(f, R) \leq U(f, R) \leq U(f, Q)$ . ■

**Corollary 1.16.** For all  $f \in \mathcal{B}[a, b]$ ,  $\int_a^b f \leq \int_a^{\bar{b}} f$  is always true.

*Proof.* The lower Riemann intergral is the supremum of all the lower Riemann sums, so it must be the lowest upper bound, and, thus, has to be lesser than the upper Riemann sums. Similarly, the upper Riemann integral is greater than the lower Riemann sums. Consequently, we get the desired inequality. ■

**Theorem 1.17.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}[a, b]$ . Then, for  $f$  to be Riemann integrable, the only necessary and sufficient condition is  $\int_a^b f \geq \int_a^b f$ .*

*Proof.* If the condition is satisfied, then we must conclude that the Riemann integrals have to be equal. The converse follows the opposite argument. ■

**Theorem 1.18.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}[a, b]$ . Then  $f \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$  if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$  such that  $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* We first prove the converse; assume that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $P$  satisfying  $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \varepsilon$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} L(f, P) &\leq \int f \leq \overline{\int f} \leq U(f, P) < \varepsilon + L(f, P) \leq \varepsilon + \int f \\ &\implies \overline{\int f} - \int f < \varepsilon \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

$$\implies \overline{\int f} = \int f. \tag{1.10}$$

To show that every Riemann integrable function satisfies this property, let  $f \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The Riemann integrals are a supremum and an infimum, so there must exist a  $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$  such that  $L(f, P_1) > \int f - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  and a  $P_2 \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$  such that  $U(f, P_2) < \int f + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Now choose  $P$  to be  $P_1 \cup P_2$ , a refinement of both  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, P) &\leq U(f, P_2) < \int f + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < (L(f, P_1) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq L(f, P) + \varepsilon \\ &\implies U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

■

**Definition 1.19.** Let  $P$  be a partition with  $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$ . We define the *norm* of  $P$ , or the *mesh* of  $P$ , as  $\|P\| = \max_j \{x_j - x_{j-1}\}$ .

**Theorem 1.20** (*Darboux's theorem*). *Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}[a, b]$ . Then  $f \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$  if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \varepsilon$  for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$  with  $\|P\| < \delta$ .*

**Remark 1.21.** To prove this, we define  $\eta : \mathcal{P}[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  by  $\eta(P) = U(f, P) - L(f, P)$  for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$ .

*Proof.* The proof of the converse is trivial and follows the same reasoning as before. To show that every Riemann integrable function satisfies this property, let  $f \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There exists a  $\tilde{P} \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$  such that  $U(f, \tilde{P}) - L(f, \tilde{P}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Denote the number of nodes in  $\tilde{P}$  by  $p$ , and set  $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{8pM}$ , where  $M$  is the supremum of  $f$  over  $[a, b]$ . Pick  $P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$  and assume that  $\|P\| < \delta$ . Now set  $\hat{P} = P \cup \tilde{P}$ ;  $\hat{P}$  has at most  $p$  points that are not in  $P$ .

For now, assume that  $p = 1$ . Then,  $\tilde{P} = P \cup \{\tilde{x}\}$  with  $\tilde{x} \notin P$ . Thus, with variables defined as before,

$$L(f, \hat{P}) - L(f, P) = (\tilde{m}_j - m_j)(x_j - \tilde{x}) + (\tilde{m}_{j-1} - m_j)(\tilde{x} - x_{j-1}). \tag{1.12}$$

Notice that  $(\tilde{m}_j - m_j), (\tilde{m}_{j-1} - m_j) < 2M$  and  $(x_j - \tilde{x}), (\tilde{x} - x_{j-1}) < \delta$ . In fact, in general, for an arbitrary  $p$ , we have

$$L(f, \hat{P}) - L(f, P) < 4pM\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \tag{1.13}$$

A similar story unfolds for the upper sums,

$$U(f, P) - U(f, \hat{P}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \tag{1.14}$$

Together, the equations combine to form

$$U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \varepsilon + U(f, \hat{P}) - L(f, \hat{P}) < \varepsilon + U(f, \tilde{P}) - L(f, \tilde{P}) < 2\varepsilon. \tag{1.15}$$

■



# Appendices





## Chapter A

# Appendix

Extra content goes here.



# Index

Darboux's theorem, 3

Dirichlet function, 2

length of the interval, 1

lower Riemann integration, 1

lower Riemann sum, 1

mesh, 3

nodes, 1

norm, 3

partition, 1

refinement, 2

Riemann integrable, 2

upper Riemann integration, 1

upper Riemann sum, 1