## LINEAR ALGEBRA II

Anita Naolekar, notes by Ramdas Singh

Second Semester

# List of Symbols

## Contents

1	PERMUTATION GROUPS				
		Even and Odd Permutations			
	1.2	The Determinant	2		
2		ENVECTORS AND EIGENVALUES	7		
	2.1	A Brief Summary of Linear Transformers	7		
		2.1.1 Linear Operators			
	2.2	Eigenvectors and Eigenvalues	8		
Aı	ppend	lices	11		
A	App	endix	13		
In	dex		1.5		

### Chapter 1

### PERMUTATION GROUPS

January 3rd.

Let  $S_n$  denote the set of all bijections (permutations) on the set  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . If  $\sigma, \tau \in S_n$ , let us define  $\sigma\tau$  to be the bijection defined as

$$(\sigma\tau)(i) = \sigma(\tau(i)) \forall 1 \le i \le n. \tag{1.1}$$

This gives us a binary operation on  $S_n$  which is associative, and  $S_n$  will then contain the identity permutation 1 such that  $\sigma 1 = 1\sigma = \sigma$  for all  $\sigma \in S_n$ . For every such  $\sigma$ , we can also find a  $\sigma^{-1} \in S_n$  such that  $\sigma \sigma^{-1} = \sigma^{-1}\sigma = 1$ . The set  $S_n$  equipped with this binary operation, thus, forms a group. In this case, we call  $S_n$  as the *symmetric group* of degree n. We now define a cycle in regards to permutations.

**Definition 1.1.** A cycle is a a string of positive integers, say  $(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k)$ , which represents the permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$  (with  $k \leq n$ ) such that  $\sigma(i_j) = i_{j+1}$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ , and  $\sigma(i_k) = i_1$ , and fixes all other integers.

We also note that  $S_3$  is the smallest Abelian group possible, upto isomorphism.  $S_3$  is one of the only two groups of order 6, and can be written as

$$S_3 = \{1, \sigma = (1, 2, 3), \sigma^2 = (1, 3, 2), \tau = (1, 2), \sigma\tau = (1, 3), \tau\sigma = (2, 3)\}. \tag{1.2}$$

Some other observations arise. We find that  $\sigma^3 = \tau^2 = 1$ , and that  $\tau \sigma = \sigma^2 \tau$ . We notice another fact via this  $\sigma$ ;

**Remark 1.2.** A k-cycle  $\sigma = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$  is of order k, that is,  $\sigma^k = 1$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Two cycles in  $S_n$  are called disjoint if they have no integer in common.

We note that if  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are two disjoint cycles in  $S_n$  then  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  commute, that is,  $\sigma \tau = \tau \sigma$ .

**Proposition 1.4.** Every  $\sigma$  in  $S_n$  can be written uniquely as a product of disjoint cycles.

Every cycle can be written as a product of 2-cycles. 2-cycles are called *transpositions*. This can easily be seen as

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = (a_1, a_n)(a_1, a_{n-1}) \cdots (a_1, a_3)(a_1, a_2). \tag{1.3}$$

#### 1.1 Even and Odd Permutations

Let  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  be indeterminates, and let

$$\Delta = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (x_i - x_j). \tag{1.4}$$

Let  $\sigma \in S_n$ , and define

$$\sigma(\Delta) = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (x_{\sigma(i)} - x_{\sigma(j)}). \tag{1.5}$$

We find that  $\sigma(\Delta) = \pm \Delta$ . Based on this, we classify permutations as odd or even.

**Definition 1.5.** A permutation  $\sigma$  is said to be an *even permutation* if  $\sigma(\Delta) = \Delta$ , and is said to be an *odd permutation* if  $\sigma(\Delta) = -\Delta$ . The sign of a permutation  $\sigma$ , denoted by  $\epsilon(\sigma)$ , is +1 if  $\sigma$  is even, and is -1 if  $\sigma$  is odd. So,  $\sigma(\Delta) = \epsilon(\sigma)\Delta$ .

**Proposition 1.6.** The map  $\epsilon: S_n \to \{-1, +1\}$ , where  $\epsilon(\sigma)$  is the sign of  $\sigma$ , is a homomorphism, that is,  $\epsilon(\sigma\tau) = \epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\tau)$  for all  $\sigma, \tau \in S_n$ .

*Proof.* Start with  $\tau(\Delta)$ ;

$$\tau(\Delta) = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (x_{\tau(i)} - x_{\tau(j)}). \tag{1.6}$$

Let there be k factors of this polynomial where  $\tau(i) > \tau(j)$  with i < j. We find that  $\tau(\Delta) = (-1)^k \Delta$ , and so,  $\epsilon(\tau) = (-1)^k$ . Now,  $\sigma\tau(\Delta)$  has exactly k factors of the form  $x_{\sigma(j)} - x_{\sigma(i)}$ , with j > i. Bringing out a factor  $(-1)^k$ , we find that  $\sigma\tau(\Delta)$  has all factors of the form  $x_{\sigma(i)} - x_{\sigma(j)}$ , with j > i. Thus,

$$\epsilon(\sigma\tau)\Delta = \sigma\tau(\Delta) = (-1)^k \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (x_{\sigma(i)} - x_{\sigma(j)}) = (-1)^k \sigma(\Delta) = (-1)^k \epsilon(\sigma)\Delta = \epsilon(\tau)\epsilon(\sigma)\Delta. \tag{1.7}$$

Cancelling out the  $\Delta$ , we find  $\epsilon(\sigma\tau) = \epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\tau)$ .

 $\epsilon$  is a homomorphism to an Abelian group, so  $\epsilon(\sigma\tau) = \epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\tau) = \epsilon(\tau)\epsilon(\sigma)$ .

**Proposition 1.7.** If  $\lambda = (i, j)$  is a transposition, then  $\epsilon(\lambda) = -1$ .

*Proof.* If  $\lambda = (1,2) \in S_n$ , it is easy to show that

$$\lambda(\Delta) = (x_1 - x_2) \cdots (x_1 - x_n)(x_2 - x_3) \cdots (x_2 - x_n) \cdots = (-1)(\Delta). \tag{1.8}$$

Now, if  $\sigma = (i, j)$ , with  $(i, j) \neq (1, 2)$ , then  $(i, j) = \lambda(1, 2)\lambda$  where  $\lambda$  interchanges 1 and i, and interchanges 2 and j. Using that fact that  $\epsilon$  is a homomorphism,  $\epsilon(\sigma) = -1$ .

A cycle  $\sigma$  of length k is an even permutation if and only if k is odd. This is because it can be decomposed into k-1 transpositions, and we would then have  $\epsilon(\sigma) = (-1)^{k-1} = 1$  (using the fact that  $\epsilon$  is a homomorphism). Some more corollaries of the previous proposition include the fact that  $\epsilon$  is a surjective map, and that  $\epsilon(\sigma^{-1}) = \epsilon(\sigma)$ .

If, for  $\sigma \in S_n$ ,  $\sigma$  can be decomposed as  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_k$ , where  $\sigma_i$  is a  $m_i$ -cycle, then  $\epsilon(\sigma_i) = (-1)^{m_i-1}$ , and  $\epsilon(\sigma) = (-1)^{(\sum m_i)-k}$ .

**Proposition 1.8.**  $\sigma$  is an odd permutation if and only if the number of cycles of even length in its cycle decomposition is odd.

#### 1.2 The Determinant

**Definition 1.9.** If  $A = (a_{ij})$  is a square matrix of order n, then the determinant of A is defined as

$$\det A = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) a_{1\sigma(1)} a_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)}. \tag{1.9}$$

Using this definition of the determinant of a square matrix, one may derive the usual determinant properties with ease.

January 7th.

Remark 1.10. The following properties may be inferred:

- If A contains a row of zeroes, or a column of zeroes, then  $\det A = 0$ .
- $\det I_n = 1$ .
- The determinant of a diagonal matrix is the product of the diagonal elements. This is because if  $\sigma \in S_N$  is not the identity permutation, then there exists at least one element in the corresponding term where  $i \neq \sigma(i)$ , and  $a_{i\sigma(i)}$  makes the term zero. For the identity transformation, it contains only those elements of the form  $a_{ii}$ .

Other non-trivial properties may also be shown with ease.

Corollary 1.11. If A is an upper triangular matrix, then det A is the product of the diagonal entries.

*Proof.* If  $a_{1\sigma(1)}\cdots a_{n\sigma(n)}\neq 0$ , then  $a_{n\sigma(n)}\neq 0$ , that is,  $\sigma(n)=n$ , as  $a_{ni}=0$   $\forall$  i< n. Again,  $\sigma_{(n-1)\sigma(n-1)}\neq 0$  leads us to conclude that  $\sigma(n-1)=n-1$  as  $\sigma$  is a bijection and has to lead to a non-zero element. By similar logic,  $\sigma(i)=i$  for all valid i. So,  $\sigma$  is the identity permutation.

**Corollary 1.12.** If A is a lower triangular matrix, then det A is the product of the diagonal entries.

*Proof.* The proof of this is similar to the previous proof if we consider that the determinant of the transpose of a matrix is equal to the determinant of said matrix.

**Theorem 1.13.** The determinant of a matrix is equal to the determinant of its transpose, that is,  $\det A = \det A^t$  for a square matrix A.

*Proof.* The proof is left as an exercise to the reader.

**Proposition 1.14.** Let B be obtained from A by multiplying a row (or column) of A by a non-zero scalar,  $\alpha$ . Then,  $\det B = \alpha \det A$ .

*Proof.* The proof is left as an exercise to the reader.

**Proposition 1.15.** If B is obtained from A by interchanging any two rows (or columns) of A, then  $\det B = -\det A$ .

*Proof.* Let B be obtained from A by interchanging the rows k and l, with k < l. We then have

$$\det B = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) b_{1\sigma(1)} b_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots b_{n\sigma(n)}$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) a_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{(k-1)\sigma(k-1)} a_{l\sigma(k)} \sigma_{(k+1)\sigma(k+1)} \cdots a_{k\sigma(l)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)}. \tag{1.10}$$

As  $\sigma$  runs through all elements in  $S_n$ ,  $\tau = \sigma(k, l)$  also runs through all  $S_n$ . Hence, via  $\epsilon(\tau) = -\epsilon(\sigma)$ , the equation now looks like

$$\det B = -\sum_{\tau \in S_n} \epsilon(\tau) a_{1\tau(1)} \cdots a_{l\tau(l)} \cdots a_{k\tau(k)} \cdots a_{n\tau(n)} = -\det A.$$
(1.11)

**Proposition 1.16.** If two rows (or columns) of A are equal, then  $\det A = 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that the rows k and l of A are equal. Interchanging will alter the determinant by -1, so  $\det A = -\det A \implies 2\det A = 0 \implies \det A = 0$  if  $2 \neq 0$  in the field F from where the elements of A arrive.

If 2=0 in F, that is, F is of characteristic 2, we pair the  $\sigma$  term in the expression of det A with the term  $\tau$  where  $\tau = \sigma(k, l)$ . The terms corresponding to  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  in the expressions are the same, differing in only the sign. Hence, det A=0.

**Theorem 1.17.** For a fixed k, let the row k of A be the sum of the two row vectors  $X^t$  and  $Y^t$ , that is,  $a_{kj} = x_j + y_j$  for all  $1 \le j \le n$ . Then  $\det A = \det B + \det C$  where B is obtained from A by replacing the row k of A by the row vector  $X^t$ , and C is obtained from A by replacing the row k of A by the row vector  $Y^t$ .

*Proof.* We utilize the fact that  $a_{kj} = x_j + y_j$ . We have

$$\det A = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) a_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{k\sigma(k)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)}$$

$$= \left( \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) a_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{\sigma(k)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)} \right) + \left( \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) a_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)} \right)$$

$$= \det B + \det C.$$

3

**Proposition 1.18.** If a scalar multiple of a row (or column) is added to a row (or column) of a matrix, the determinant remains unchanged.

*Proof.* The proof follows immediately from the previously proved properties.

January 10th.

**Definition 1.19.** For  $a_{ij} \in A$ , the *cofactor* of  $a_{ij}$  is  $A_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det M_{ij}$ , where  $M_{ij}$  is the  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  matrix obtained from A by deleting the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row and  $j^{\text{th}}$  column of A.

**Lemma 1.20.** Fix k, j. If  $a_{kl} = 0$  for all  $l \neq j$ , then  $\det A = a_{kj}A_{kj}$ .

*Proof.* Take A to be a  $n \times n$  matrix. We deal in cases.

• Case I: k = j = n. In the expansion of the determinant,

$$\det A = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) a_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)},$$

only those  $\sigma$ 's survive where  $\sigma(n) = n$ . These  $\sigma$ 's can be thought of as permutations of  $S_{n-1}$  instead. The sign of  $\sigma \in S_n$  and  $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$  is the same as n is fixed. Thus, we get

$$a_{nn} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n-1}} \epsilon(\sigma) a_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{(n-1)\sigma(n-1)} = a_{nn} \det M_{nn} = (-1)^{n+n} a_{nn} A_{nn} = a_{nn} A_{nn}.$$
 (1.12)

• Case II:  $(k, j) \neq (n, n)$ . We construct a matrix B by interchanging n - k rows and n - j columns to bring  $a_{ij}$  to the position (n, n). Thus, we have  $\det B = (-1)^{n-k+n-j} \det A = (-1)^{k+j} \det A$ . But  $B = a_{kj} \det M_{kj}$ , so

$$\det A = (-1)^{k+j} a_{kj} \det M_{kj} = a_{kj} A_{kj}. \tag{1.13}$$

**Theorem 1.21.** Let A be a  $n \times n$  matrix, and let  $1 \le k \le n$ . Then,  $\det A = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{kj} A_{kj}$ , expansion by the  $k^{th}$  row.

*Proof.* Write out the  $k^{\text{th}}$  row of A as  $x_1^t + \ldots + x_n^t$ , where  $x_i = (0, \ldots, 0, a_{ki}, 0, \ldots, 0)^t$ , and all the other rows remaining are the same. Writing the matrix A as the sum of n matrices where each matrix is the same as A but with a row that looks like  $x_i^t$ , we can easily show that  $\det A = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{kj} A_{kj}$ .

Example 1.22. Let 
$$n \ge 1$$
, and let  $A_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_1^{n-1} & a_1^{n-2} & \dots & a_1 & 1 \\ a_2^{n-1} & a_2^{n-2} & \dots & a_2 & 1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_n^{n-1} & a_n^{n-2} & \dots & a_n & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then, det  $A_n = \prod_{1 \le i \le j \le n} (a_i - a_j)$ .

*Proof.* If  $a_i = a_j$  for some  $i \neq j$ , then det  $A_n = 0$  as two rows are then identical. Hence, assume that the  $a_i$ 's are distinct. Now construct

$$B_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1}^{n-1} & x_{1}^{n-2} & \dots & x_{1} & 1\\ a_{2}^{n-1} & a_{2}^{n-2} & \dots & a_{2} & 1\\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots\\ a_{n}^{n-1} & a_{n}^{n-2} & \dots & a_{n} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(1.14)$$

Notice that  $\det B_n \in F[x]$ , where F is the field, and x is an indeterminate.  $\det B$  is also of degree (n-1); let us call this polynomial f(x). Each of  $a_2, \ldots, a_n$  are roots of f(x), so f(x) must be of the form  $f(x) = C(x - a_2) \ldots (x - a_n)$ . Equating coefficients of  $x^{n-1}$ , we get

$$C = \prod_{2 \le i < j \le n} (a_i - a_j) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_2^{n-2} & \dots & a_2 & 1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_n^{n-2} & \dots & a_n & a_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (1.15)

Thus, we must have

$$f(x) = \left(\prod_{2 \le i < j \le n} (a_i - a_j)\right) (x - a_2) \cdots (x - a_n)$$

$$\implies \det A_n = f(1) = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (a_i - a_j).$$

$$(1.16)$$

$$\implies \det A_n = f(1) = \prod_{1 \le i \le j \le n} (a_i - a_j). \tag{1.17}$$

**Example 1.23.** Show that there exists a unique polynomial of degree n that takes arbitrary prescribed values at the (n+1) points  $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n$ .

5

### Chapter 2

### EIGENVECTORS AND EIGENVALUES

### 2.1 A Brief Summary of Linear Transformers

Let  $\mathcal{B} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$  be a basis of vector space V and  $\mathcal{C} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  be a basis of a vector space W. As these are bases, given a  $v \in V$ , there exists a unique  $X \in F^n$  such that  $v = \mathcal{B}X$ , called the *coordinate* vector of v with respect to the basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . We note that since the mapping from a  $v \in V$  to a  $X \in F^n$  is linear in nature and is bijection, the vector spaces V and  $F^n$  are isomorphic to each other. Similarly, a mapping that takes  $w \in W$  to  $Y \in F^m$  shows that W and  $F^m$  are isomorphic to each other.

Now suppose that there exists a linear map that takes  $v \mapsto Tv$  with  $v \in V$  and  $Tv \in W$ . This transformer T is with respect to the bases  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  of V and W, respectively. We construct the  $m \times n$  matrix A so that the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column of A is the coordinate vector of  $Tv_j$  with respect to the basis  $\mathcal{C}$ . We will then have  $T(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{C}A$ . For any vector  $v \in V$ , we have

$$v = \mathcal{B}X = v_1 x_1 + \dots v_n x_n$$

$$\implies T(v) = T(v_1)x_1 + \dots + T(v_n)x_n = (T(v_1), \dots, T(v_n)) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \dots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = T(\mathcal{B})X = (\mathcal{C}A)X$$
 (2.1)

$$= (w_1, \dots, w_m) A X; \tag{2.2}$$

the coordinate vector of Tv with respect to the basis AX. In fact, if we denote the isomorphism from V to  $F^n$  by  $\phi_{\mathcal{C}}$  and the isomorphism from W to  $F^m$  by  $\phi_{\mathcal{C}}$ , we get  $\phi_{\mathcal{C}} \circ T = (\text{mult. by } A) \circ \phi_{\mathcal{B}}$ .

The next theorem will be divided into two parts.

- **Theorem 2.1.** 1. The vector space form. Let  $T: V \to W$  be a linear mapping between finite dimensional vector spaces V and W, of dimensions n and m respectively. There are bases  $\mathcal B$  and  $\mathcal C$  of V and W respectively such that the matrix of T with respect to the bases  $\mathcal B$  and  $\mathcal C$  looks like  $\begin{pmatrix} I_r & O_{r\times (n-r)} \\ O_{(m-r)\times r} & O_{(m-r)\times (n-r)} \end{pmatrix}_{m\times n}.$ 
  - 2. The matrix form. If A is a  $m \times n$  matrix, then there exists an invertible matrix  $Q_{m \times m}$  and an invertible matrix  $P_{n \times n}$  such that  $Q^{-1}AP$  is of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , where r is the rank of A.
  - 3. In fact, both these forms of the theorem are equivalent.
- *Proof.* 1. Let  $(u_1, \ldots, u_{n-r})$  be a basis of  $\ker T$ . We can extend this to a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  by appending independent vectors that do not belong to the kernel of T, that is,  $(v_1, \ldots, v_r, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-r})$ . Let  $(Tv_1, \ldots, Tv_r)$  be a basis of  $\operatorname{Im} T$ . We can extend this to a basis of W, say  $\mathcal{C} = (w_1, \ldots, w_r, w_{r+1}, \ldots, w_m)$ , where  $w_i = Tv_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . These bases are the desired ones.

- 2. P is a sequence of column operations, multipled to form a matrix, and  $Q^{-1}$  is a sequence of row operations, multiplied to form a matrix, that get the matrix A into the desired form. These are our desired P and Q.
- 3. Suppose the vector space form holds. Let A be a  $m \times n$  matrix over F, with  $A: F^n \to F^m$  defined as  $X \mapsto AX$ . There then exists a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $F^n$  and a basis  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $F^m$  such that the linear map A with respect to ther bases  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  has the desired matrix. We then have  $\mathcal{B} = I_n P_{n \times n}$  and  $\mathcal{C} = I_m Q_{m \times m}$ , with both P and Q invertible. We claim that the matrix of the linear mapping A with respect to the bases  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $Q^{-1}AP$ .

January 16th.

**Proposition 2.2.** 1. Let  $T: V \to W$  be a linear map, and A the matrix of T with respect to the bases  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  of V and W respectively. Let  $\mathcal{B}'$  and  $\mathcal{C}'$  be new bases of V and W respectively, and let the change of basis matrices be given by  $\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B}P$  and  $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C}Q$ . Then the matrix of T with respect to  $\mathcal{B}'$  and  $\mathcal{C}'$  is  $Q^{-1}AP$ .

2. If  $A' = Q_1^{-1}AP_1$ , where  $P_1$  and  $Q_1$  are  $n \times n$  and  $m \times m$  invertible matrices, respectively, then A' is the matrix of T with respect to the bases  $\mathcal{B}P_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}Q_1$ .

Proof. Let the coordinate vector of v with respect to the basis  $\mathcal{B}'$  be X'. We claim that the coordinate vector of Tv with respect to the basis  $\mathcal{C}'$  is Y', where  $Y' = (Q^{-1}AP)X'$ . We assume that  $\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B}P_{n \times n}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C}Q_{m \times m}$ , and  $T(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{C}A_{m \times n}$ . If  $v = \mathcal{B}X$ , then  $T(v) = \mathcal{C}(AX)$ . If we let  $v = \mathcal{B}'\mathcal{X}' = v_1'x_1' + \ldots + v_n'x_n'$ , then

$$T(v) = \mathcal{C}'Y' = (\mathcal{C}Q)' = \mathcal{C}(QY') = \mathcal{C}(APX') \implies QY' = APX' \implies Y' = (Q^{-1}AP)X' \tag{2.3}$$

To prove the second part, we will show that the first part implies it. Let  $A_{m \times n}$  be a matrix. Let  $T_A$  be the linear map from  $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  given by multiplication by A, that is  $T_A : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  given by  $X \mapsto AX$ . By the first part, there exist bases  $P_{n \times n}$  and  $Q_{m \times m}$ , both invertible, such that with respect P and Q, the matrix of  $T_A$  looks like  $\begin{pmatrix} I & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix}$ , that is,  $Q^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} I & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix}$ .

#### 2.1.1 Linear Operators

Let  $T: V_{\mathcal{B}} \to V_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Let A be the matrix of T with respect to the basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . The other matrices of T with respect to new bases are  $P^{-1}AP$ , where  $P_{n\times n}$  is invertible. Also, the fact that T is bijective, one-one, or onto are all equivalent for a finite dimensional vector space V.

### 2.2 Eigenvectors and Eigenvalues

**Definition 2.3.** A non-zero vector  $v \in V$  is said to be an eigenvector of T if  $T(v) = \lambda v$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ . If A is a  $n \times n$  matrix, a non-zero column vector X is said to be an eigenvector of A if  $AX = \lambda X$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ .  $\lambda$ , in both these cases, is called the eigenvalue of v and v respectively.

Usually, we always disregard the zero vector being an eigenvector. If v is an eigenvector of  $T: V \to V$ , and  $v = \mathcal{B}X$  with respect to some basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of V, then X is an eigenvector of the matrix of T with respect to the basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . In fact,

$$\mathcal{B}(AX) = (\mathcal{B}A)X = T(\mathcal{B}X) = T(\mathcal{B}X) = Tv = \lambda v = \lambda \mathcal{B}X = \mathcal{B}(\lambda X) \implies AX = \lambda X. \tag{2.4}$$

The converse is also true; if X is an eigenvector of  $A_{n\times n}$ , then X is also an eigenvector of  $T_A:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** 0 is an eigenvalue of  $A_{n\times n}$   $(T:V\to V)$  if and only if A (T) is non-invertible (not an isomorphism).

Suppose v is an eigenvector of  $T: V \to V$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Let W be the subspace spanned by v. Then every vector  $w \in W$  is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . The proof of this is left as an exercise.

**Definition 2.5.** Two matrices  $A'_{n\times n}$  and  $A_{n\times n}$  are called similar if there exists an invertible matrix  $P_{n\times n}$  such that  $P^{-1}AP = A'$ .

Again let  $T: V \to V$  be a linear operator, and let  $\mathcal{B} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ . Suppose, with respect to the basis  $\mathcal{B}$ , the matrix of T is  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $v_1$  is an eigenvector with eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$ .

## Appendices

### Chapter A

# Appendix

Extra content goes here.

Appendix

### Index

cofactor, 4 coordinate vector, 7 cycle, 1

 ${\rm determinant},\,2$ 

eigenvalue, 8 eigenvector, 8

even permutation, 2

k-cycle, 1

odd permutation, 2

symmetric group, 1

 $transpositions,\,1$