LINEAR ALGEBRA II

Anita Naolekar, notes by Ramdas Singh

Second Semester

List of Symbols

Contents

1	PER	RMUTATION GROUPS	1			
	1.1	Even and Odd Permutations	1			
	1.2	The Determinant	2			
Aı	pend	lices	5			
A Appendix						
In	dex		9			

Chapter 1

PERMUTATION GROUPS

January 3rd.

Let S_n denote the set of all bijections (permutations) on the set $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$. If $\sigma, \tau \in S_n$, let us define $\sigma\tau$ to be the bijection defined as

$$(\sigma\tau)(i) = \sigma(\tau(i)) \forall 1 \le i \le n. \tag{1.1}$$

This gives us a binary operation on S_n which is associative, and S_n will then contain the identity permutation 1 such that $\sigma 1 = 1\sigma = \sigma$ for all $\sigma \in S_n$. For every such σ , we can also find a $\sigma^{-1} \in S_n$ such that $\sigma \sigma^{-1} = \sigma^{-1}\sigma = 1$. The set S_n equipped with this binary operation, thus, forms a group. In this case, we call S_n as the *symmetric group* of degree n. We now define a cycle in regards to permutations.

Definition 1.1. A cycle is a a string of positive integers, say (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k) , which represents the permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ (with $k \leq n$) such that $\sigma(i_j) = i_{j+1}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k-1$, and $\sigma(i_k) = i_1$, and fixes all other integers.

We also note that S_3 is the smallest Abelian group possible, upto isomorphism. S_3 is one of the only two groups of order 6, and can be written as

$$S_3 = \{1, \sigma = (1, 2, 3), \sigma^2 = (1, 3, 2), \tau = (1, 2), \sigma\tau = (1, 3), \tau\sigma = (2, 3)\}. \tag{1.2}$$

Some other observations arise. We find that $\sigma^3 = \tau^2 = 1$, and that $\tau \sigma = \sigma^2 \tau$. We notice another fact via this σ ;

Remark 1.2. A k-cycle $\sigma = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$ is of order k, that is, $\sigma^k = 1$.

Definition 1.3. Two cycles in S_n are called disjoint if they have no integer in common.

We note that if σ and τ are two disjoint cycles in S_n then σ and τ commute, that is, $\sigma \tau = \tau \sigma$.

Proposition 1.4. Every σ in S_n can be written uniquely as a product of disjoint cycles.

Every cycle can be written as a product of 2-cycles. 2-cycles are called *transpositions*. This can easily be seen as

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = (a_1, a_n)(a_1, a_{n-1}) \cdots (a_1, a_3)(a_1, a_2). \tag{1.3}$$

1.1 Even and Odd Permutations

Let x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n be indeterminates, and let

$$\Delta = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (x_i - x_j). \tag{1.4}$$

Let $\sigma \in S_n$, and define

$$\sigma(\Delta) = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (x_{\sigma(i)} - x_{\sigma(j)}). \tag{1.5}$$

We find that $\sigma(\Delta) = \pm \Delta$. Based on this, we classify permutations as odd or even.

Definition 1.5. A permutation σ is said to be an *even permutation* if $\sigma(\Delta) = \Delta$, and is said to be an *odd permutation* if $\sigma(\Delta) = -\Delta$. The sign of a permutation σ , denoted by $\epsilon(\sigma)$, is +1 if σ is even, and is -1 if σ is odd. So, $\sigma(\Delta) = \epsilon(\sigma)\Delta$.

Proposition 1.6. The map $\epsilon: S_n \to \{-1, +1\}$, where $\epsilon(\sigma)$ is the sign of σ , is a homomorphism, that is, $\epsilon(\sigma\tau) = \epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\tau)$ for all $\sigma, \tau \in S_n$.

Proof. Start with $\tau(\Delta)$;

$$\tau(\Delta) = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (x_{\tau(i)} - x_{\tau(j)}). \tag{1.6}$$

Let there be k factors of this polynomial where $\tau(i) > \tau(j)$ with i < j. We find that $\tau(\Delta) = (-1)^k \Delta$, and so, $\epsilon(\tau) = (-1)^k$. Now, $\sigma\tau(\Delta)$ has exactly k factors of the form $x_{\sigma(j)} - x_{\sigma(i)}$, with j > i. Bringing out a factor $(-1)^k$, we find that $\sigma\tau(\Delta)$ has all factors of the form $x_{\sigma(i)} - x_{\sigma(j)}$, with j > i. Thus,

$$\epsilon(\sigma\tau)\Delta = \sigma\tau(\Delta) = (-1)^k \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (x_{\sigma(i)} - x_{\sigma(j)}) = (-1)^k \sigma(\Delta) = (-1)^k \epsilon(\sigma)\Delta = \epsilon(\tau)\epsilon(\sigma)\Delta. \tag{1.7}$$

Cancelling out the Δ , we find $\epsilon(\sigma\tau) = \epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\tau)$.

 ϵ is a homomorphism to an Abelian group, so $\epsilon(\sigma\tau) = \epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\tau) = \epsilon(\tau)\epsilon(\sigma)$.

Proposition 1.7. If $\lambda = (i, j)$ is a transposition, then $\epsilon(\lambda) = -1$.

Proof. If $\lambda = (1,2) \in S_n$, it is easy to show that

$$\lambda(\Delta) = (x_1 - x_2) \cdots (x_1 - x_n)(x_2 - x_3) \cdots (x_2 - x_n) \cdots = (-1)(\Delta). \tag{1.8}$$

Now, if $\sigma = (i, j)$, with $(i, j) \neq (1, 2)$, then $(i, j) = \lambda(1, 2)\lambda$ where λ interchanges 1 and i, and interchanges 2 and j. Using that fact that ϵ is a homomorphism, $\epsilon(\sigma) = -1$.

A cycle σ of length k is an even permutation if and only if k is odd. This is because it can be decomposed into k-1 transpositions, and we would then have $\epsilon(\sigma) = (-1)^{k-1} = 1$ (using the fact that ϵ is a homomorphism). Some more corollaries of the previous proposition include the fact that ϵ is a surjective map, and that $\epsilon(\sigma^{-1}) = \epsilon(\sigma)$.

If, for $\sigma \in S_n$, σ can be decomposed as $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_k$, where σ_i is a m_i -cycle, then $\epsilon(\sigma_i) = (-1)^{m_i-1}$, and $\epsilon(\sigma) = (-1)^{(\sum m_i)-k}$.

Proposition 1.8. σ is an odd permutation if and only if the number of cycles of even length in its cycle decomposition is odd.

1.2 The Determinant

Definition 1.9. If $A = (a_{ij})$ is a square matrix of order n, then the determinant of A is defined as

$$\det A = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) a_{1\sigma(1)} a_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)}. \tag{1.9}$$

Using this definition of the determinant of a square matrix, one may derive the usual determinant properties with ease.

January 7th.

Remark 1.10. The following properties may be inferred:

- If A contains a row of zeroes, or a column of zeroes, then $\det A = 0$.
- $\det I_n = 1$.
- The determinant of a diagonal matrix is the product of the diagonal elements. This is because if $\sigma \in S_N$ is not the identity permutation, then there exists at least one element in the corresponding term where $i \neq \sigma(i)$, and $a_{i\sigma(i)}$ makes the term zero. For the identity transformation, it contains only those elements of the form a_{ii} .

Other non-trivial properties may also be shown with ease.

Corollary 1.11. If A is an upper triangular matrix, then det A is the product of the diagonal entries.

Proof. If $a_{1\sigma(1)}\cdots a_{n\sigma(n)}\neq 0$, then $a_{n\sigma(n)}\neq 0$, that is, $\sigma(n)=n$, as $a_{ni}=0$ \forall i< n. Again, $\sigma_{(n-1)\sigma(n-1)}\neq 0$ leads us to conclude that $\sigma(n-1)=n-1$ as σ is a bijection and has to lead to a non-zero element. By similar logic, $\sigma(i)=i$ for all valid i. So, σ is the identity permutation.

Corollary 1.12. If A is a lower triangular matrix, then det A is the product of the diagonal entries.

Proof. The proof of this is similar to the previous proof if we consider that the determinant of the transpose of a matrix is equal to the determinant of said matrix.

Theorem 1.13. The determinant of a matrix is equal to the determinant of its transpose, that is, $\det A = \det A^t$ for a square matrix A.

Proof. The proof is left as an exercise to the reader.

Proposition 1.14. Let B be obtained from A by multiplying a row (or column) of A by a non-zero scalar, α . Then, $\det B = \alpha \det A$.

Proof. The proof is left as an exercise to the reader.

Proposition 1.15. If B is obtained from A by interchanging any two rows (or columns) of A, then $\det B = -\det A$.

Proof. Let B be obtained from A by interchanging the rows k and l, with k < l. We then have

$$\det B = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) b_{1\sigma(1)} b_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots b_{n\sigma(n)}$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) a_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{(k-1)\sigma(k-1)} a_{l\sigma(k)} \sigma_{(k+1)\sigma(k+1)} \cdots a_{k\sigma(l)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)}. \tag{1.10}$$

As σ runs through all elements in S_n , $\tau = \sigma(k, l)$ also runs through all S_n . Hence, via $\epsilon(\tau) = -\epsilon(\sigma)$, the equation now looks like

$$\det B = -\sum_{\tau \in S_n} \epsilon(\tau) a_{1\tau(1)} \cdots a_{l\tau(l)} \cdots a_{k\tau(k)} \cdots a_{n\tau(n)} = -\det A.$$
(1.11)

Proposition 1.16. If two rows (or columns) of A are equal, then $\det A = 0$.

Proof. Suppose that the rows k and l of A are equal. Interchanging will alter the determinant by -1, so $\det A = -\det A \implies 2\det A = 0 \implies \det A = 0$ if $2 \neq 0$ in the field F from where the elements of A arrive.

If 2=0 in F, that is, F is of characteristic 2, we pair the σ term in the expression of det A with the term τ where $\tau = \sigma(k, l)$. The terms corresponding to σ and τ in the expressions are the same, differing in only the sign. Hence, det A=0.

Theorem 1.17. For a fixed k, let the row k of A be the sum of the two row vectors X^t and Y^t , that is, $a_{kj} = x_j + y_j$ for all $1 \le j \le n$. Then $\det A = \det B + \det C$ where B is obtained from A by replacing the row k of A by the row vector X^t , and C is obtained from A by replacing the row k of A by the row vector Y^t .

Proof. We utilize the fact that $a_{kj} = x_j + y_j$. We have

$$\det A = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) a_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{k\sigma(k)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) a_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{\sigma(k)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)} \right) + \left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) a_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)} \right)$$

$$= \det B + \det C.$$

3

Proposition 1.18. If a scalar multiple of a row (or column) is added to a row (or column) of a matrix, the determinant remains unchanged.

Proof. The proof follows immediately from the previously proved properties.

Appendices

Chapter A

Appendix

Extra content goes here.

Appendix

Index