

PROBABILITY THEORY II

Matthew Joseph, notes by Ramdas Singh

Second Semester

List of Symbols

Ω , a sample space.

ω , an element of a sample space.

EX , the expectation of the random variable X .

$\text{Var}X$, the variance of the random variable X .

$N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, a normal distribution with expectation μ and variance σ^2 .

Contents

1	1
1.1 The Law of Large Numbers	2
1.2 Simple Random Walk	3
Appendices	9
A Appendix	11
Index	13

Chapter 1

January 3rd.

We first start with some initial statements. Let Ω be a countable state space, and let each $\omega \in \Omega$ have a probability $P(\omega)$ associated with it.

Lemma 1.1. *For random variables X, Y such that $X(\omega) \leq Y(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Then, $EX \leq EY$.*

Proof. This can easily be seen by summing over all terms via the alternate definition of the expectation,

$$EX = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega)P(\omega) \leq \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} Y(\omega)P(\omega) = EY. \quad (1.1)$$

■

We now state Markov's inequality.

Theorem 1.2 (*Markov's inequality*). *If X is a non-negative random variable, then for $a > 0$, we have*

$$P(X > a) \leq \frac{EX}{a}. \quad (1.2)$$

Proof. Define an indicator function $I_a(\omega)$ as 1 if $X(\omega) \geq a$, and 0 if otherwise. We then have

$$I_a(\omega) \leq \frac{X(\omega)}{a} \implies P(X \geq a) = EI_a \leq \frac{1}{a}EX. \quad (1.3)$$

■

Remark 1.3. A better upper bound here may be found by starting with $I_a(\omega)X(\omega)$ instead of just $X(\omega)$.

If we have $X \sim N(0, 1)$, then we can find an upper bound for its probability density function.

$$P(X > a) = \int_a^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx \leq \int_a^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{x}{a} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx = \frac{e^{-\frac{a^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}a}. \quad (1.4)$$

Note that X here is a random variable over a continuous state space; the previous lemma and Markov's inequality also work here. We are to show them for the continuous case instead of the discrete one.

Proof. Here, we have $0 \leq X(\omega) \leq Y(\omega)$ for all ω in our continuous state space Ω . We see that $\{X > x\} \subseteq \{Y > x\} \implies P(X > x) \leq P(Y > x)$. Integrating both sides gives us $EX \leq EY$. ■

Theorem 1.4 (*Chebyshev's inequality*). *Let X be a random variable with finite mean $\mu = EX$ and finite variance $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(X)$. Then for $a > 0$,*

$$P(|X - \mu| > a) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{a^2}. \quad (1.5)$$

Proof. Start with the proof of Markov's inequality, replacing the indicator function with one that's unity when $|X - \mu| \geq a$. ■

Example 1.5. Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are n independent and identically distributed random variables, with $EX_i = \mu$ and $\text{Var}X_i = \sigma^2$. If $S_n = \sum X_i$, we then have

$$P(|S_n - n\mu| > a) \leq \frac{\text{Var}S_n}{a^2} = \frac{n\sigma^2}{a^2}. \quad (1.6)$$

If we replace a with $n^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$, we then have

$$P(|S_n - n\mu| > n^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{n^{2\varepsilon}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.7)$$

Proposition 1.6. If $\text{Var}(X) = 0$, then $P(X = EX) = 1$.

Proof. For all $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$P(|X - EX| > \varepsilon) \leq \frac{\text{Var}X}{\varepsilon^2} = 0. \quad (1.8)$$

Define A_n as $\{|X - EX| > \frac{1}{n}\}$. Taking $P(\bigcup A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(A_n)$, the proof follows. ■

1.1 The Law of Large Numbers

We start by stating the weak law of large numbers.

Theorem 1.7 (*Weak law of large numbers*). Let $\{X_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with $E|X_i| < \infty$. Let $\mu = EX_i$. Then for any $a > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left|\frac{X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n}{n} - \mu\right| > a\right) = 0. \quad (1.9)$$

Proof. For now, let us assume that Ω is countable. We begin with the case where the variance of X_i , σ^2 , is finite. Fix $a > 0$, and let $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$. Then,

$$P\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu\right| > a\right) = P(|S_n - n\mu| > na) \leq \frac{\text{Var}S_n}{n^2a^2} = \frac{n\sigma^2}{n^2a^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.10)$$

We now focus the case when the variance, σ^2 , is infinite. Assume that the expected value, μ , is 0; if it were non-zero, we would then instead work with $X_i - \mu$. Let $\delta > 0$; we shall choose a particular δ later. For each n , define n pairs of random variables, $U_1, V_1, \dots, U_n, V_n$, as $U_k = X_k, V_k = 0$ if $|X_k| \leq \delta n$, and $U_k = 0, V_k = X_k$ if $|X_k| > \delta n$. X_k can be rewritten as $U_k + V_k$. We then have

$$\{|X_1 + \dots + X_n| \geq na\} \subseteq \{|U_1 + \dots + U_n| \geq \frac{na}{2}\} \cup \{|V_1 + \dots + V_n| \geq \frac{na}{2}\} \quad (1.11)$$

$$\implies P(|X_1 + \dots + X_n| \geq na) \leq P\left(|U_1 + \dots + U_n| \geq \frac{na}{2}\right) + P\left(|V_1 + \dots + V_n| \geq \frac{na}{2}\right). \quad (1.12)$$

We focus on the first term on the right hand side. The U_i 's are independently and identically distributed, so

$$P\left(|U_1 + \dots + U_n| \geq \frac{na}{2}\right) \leq \frac{4E[|U_1 + \dots + U_n|^2]}{a^2n^2} = \frac{4}{a^2n^2} (\text{Var}(U_1 + \dots + U_n) + (nEU_i)^2). \quad (1.13)$$

For the variance, we have

$$\text{Var}(U_1 + \dots + U_n) = n\text{Var}U_i \leq nEU_i^2 \leq nE[|U_i||U_i|] \leq \delta n^2 E[|U_i|] \quad (1.14)$$

which transforms the previous equation as

$$P\left(|U_1 + \dots + U_n| \geq \frac{na}{2}\right) \leq \frac{4}{a^2n^2} (\delta n^2 E[|U_i|] + (nEU_i)^2). \quad (1.15)$$

A lemma (to be proven later) states that $E[|U_i|] = E[|X_i|]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $EU_i = EX_i = 0$ too. So,

$$P\left(|U_1 + \dots + U_n| \geq \frac{na}{2}\right) \leq \frac{4}{a^2n^2} (\delta n^2 E[|U_i|] + (nEU_i)^2) \leq \frac{4\delta E[|U_i|]}{a^2} + \frac{4}{a^2} (EU_i)^2. \quad (1.16)$$

For the second term on the right hand side, begin with

$$\begin{aligned} P(V_1 + \dots + V_n \neq 0) &\leq P(\{V_1 \neq 0\} \cup \dots \cup \{V_n \neq 0\}) \leq nP(V_i \neq 0) = n \sum_{|x| > \delta n} P(X_i = x) \\ &\leq n \sum_{|x| > \delta n} \frac{|x|}{\delta n} P(X_i = x) = \frac{1}{\delta} E[|V_i|]. \end{aligned} \quad (1.17)$$

The rightmost term here tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now choose δ to be $\frac{\varepsilon a^2}{6E[|X_i|]}$, and then choose N to be large enough such that for all $n > N$, both the terms are smaller than $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. ■

January 7th.

We now prove the lemma called upon earlier.

Lemma 1.8. *If X is a discrete random variable and takes values y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k , and $E[|X|] < \infty$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[|X| \cdot 1_{|X| \leq n}] = E[|X|]$.*

Proof. Notice that the terms on the left hand side and right hand side are $\sum_{y_k: |y_k| \leq n}$ and $\sum_{y_k} |y_k| P(Y = y_k)$. The condition for convergence may now be applied. ■

The above equation, begin inside absolute braces, must imply that the term $E[X \cdot 1_{|X| \leq n}]$ must also absolutely converge to EX .

1.2 Simple Random Walk

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent and identically distributed random variables, with $X_i = 1$ with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ and $X_i = -1$ with probability $\frac{1}{2}$. Now define $S_0 = 0$ and $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. The sequence $(S_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a *simple random walk*.

Note that $S_0 = k_0 = 0, S_1 = k_1, \dots, S_n = k_n$ can occur if and only if $|k_i - k_{i+1}| = 1$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. The sequence $(k_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a *simple path* of the simple random walk. By the event $\{S_n = k\}$, we are concerned with the event that the random walk visits k at step n . If $(k_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is given we have $X_i = k_i - k_{i-1}$. Because the X_i 's are independent and identically distributed, each event $\{X_1 = l_1, X_2 = l_2, \dots, X_n = l_n\}$, where $l_i = \pm 1$, is equally likely with probability $\frac{1}{2^n}$. Thus,

$$P(S_n = k) = \frac{N_n(k)}{2^n} \quad (1.18)$$

where $N_n(k)$ is defined as the number of distinct of path that start at 0 and end at k at step n . We also define $N_n^+(k)$ to be the number of distinct paths that end at k at step n and stay above the x -axis up to time $n-1$. The probability of the corresponding event is

$$P(\{S_1 > 0, S_2 > 0, \dots, S_{n-1} > 0, S_n = k\}) = \frac{N_n^+(k)}{2^n}. \quad (1.19)$$

Lemma 1.9. *Suppose a, a', b, b' are integers, with $0 \leq a < a'$. Then the number of distinct path from (a, b) to (a', b') depends only on $a' - a = n$ and $b' - b = k$, and is given by $\binom{n+k}{2}$.*

Proof. Notice that we need $x+1$'s and $y-1$'s to appear, satisfying $x+y = a' - a$ and $x-y = b' - b$. Solving, we get $x = \frac{n+k}{2}$ and $y = \frac{n-k}{2}$. Thus, the number of paths is given by $\binom{n+k}{2}$. ■

Using this lemma, we find that $N_n(k) = \binom{n+k}{2}$. The following convention is now followed; if t is not an integer, then $\binom{n}{t} = 0$.

Lemma 1.10 (The *method of images*). *Suppose a, a', b, b' are integers, with $0 \leq a < a'$ and $b, b' > 0$. Then the number of distinct paths from (a, b) to (a', b') that intersect the x -axis is equal to the number of paths from $(a, -b)$ to (a', b') .*

Proof. Consider any path $(b = k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}, k_n = b')$, from (a, b) to (a', b') , that intersects the x -axis. Let j be the smallest index for which $k_j = 0$. For ease, denote (a, b) by A , (a', b') by A' , $(a+j, 0)$ by B , and $(a, -b)$ by A'' . Reflect the segment from A to B about the x -axis to obtain a 'mirrored-path' from A'' to B ; $(-b = -k_0, -k_1, \dots, -k_{j-1}, k_j = 0, k_{j+1}, \dots, k_n = b')$. There is now a one-to-one correspondence between the paths from A to A' that intersect the x -axis, and the paths from A'' to A' . ■

We can now easily compute $N_n^+(k)$; it simply the number of paths from $(1, 1)$ to (n, k) that do not intersect the x -axis.

Theorem 1.11 (*Ballot theorem*). *The number of paths that progress from $(0, 0)$ to (n, k) through strictly positive values is given by $N_n^+(k) = \frac{k}{n} N_n(k)$.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} N_n^+(k) &= \text{number of paths from } (1, 1) \text{ to } (n, k) - \text{number of such paths that intersect the } x\text{-axis} \\ &= N_{n-1}(k-1) - N_{n-1}(k+1) \\ &= \binom{n-1}{\frac{n+k}{2}-1} - \binom{n-1}{\frac{n+k}{2}} = \frac{k}{n} \binom{n}{\frac{n+k}{2}} = \frac{k}{n} N_n(k). \end{aligned} \quad (1.20)$$

■

Suppose $n = 2\nu$. Define $u_{2\nu}$ to be $P(S_{2\nu} = 0) = \frac{\binom{2\nu}{\nu}}{2^{2\nu}}$. The question we ask is to compute the probability that the first return to 0, if at all, occurs after step n . It can be found out as

$$P(\text{first return to } 0 \dots) = P(S_1 \neq 0, S_2 \neq 0, \dots, S_{2\nu} \neq 0) \quad (1.21)$$

$$= P(S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{2\nu} > 0) + P(S_1 < 0, \dots, S_{2\nu} < 0)$$

$$= 2P(S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{2\nu} > 0)$$

$$= 2 \sum_{k \text{ even}, k > 0} P(S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{2\nu-1} > 0, S_{2\nu} = k)$$

$$= \frac{2}{2^{2\nu}} \sum_{k \text{ even}, k > 0} N_{2\nu}^+(k)$$

$$= \frac{2}{2^{2\nu}} \sum_{k \text{ even}, k > 0} N_{2\nu-1}(k-1) - N_{2\nu-1}(k+1)$$

$$= \frac{2}{2^{2\nu}} N_{2\nu-1}(1) = u_{2\nu}. \quad (1.22)$$

We state this down as a lemma.

Lemma 1.12 (*Basic lemma*). *For n even, the probability that the first return to 0, if at all, occurs after step n is the same as the probability that the location at step n is 0. For n odd, it is the probability that the location at step $n-1$ is 0.*

We ask another question; for a fixed n , where does the random walk achieve its first maximum upto time n ? For this, denote by M_n the index m at which the walk S_0, S_1, \dots, S_n , over n steps, achieves its maximum for the first time.

For $0 < m < n$, $M_n = m$ if and only if $S_m > S_0, S_m > S_1, \dots, S_m > S_{m-1}$ and $S_m \geq S_{m+1}, S_m \geq S_{m+2}, \dots, S_m \geq S_n$. Notice that the first of these two conditions depends only on X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m , and the second condition depends only on $X_{m+1}, X_{m+2}, \dots, X_n$. So, $P(M_n = m) = P(S_m > S_0, S_m > S_1, \dots, S_m > S_{m-1}) \cdot P(S_m \geq S_{m+1}, S_m \geq S_{m+2}, \dots, S_m \geq S_n)$.

The key idea here is to consider the *reversed walk*; define a new walk with $X'_1 = X_m, X'_2 = X_{m-1}, \dots, X'_m = X_1$. Also define $S'_k = X'_1 + \dots + X'_k$. From here, we can deduce that $S_m > S_{m-i}$ is true if and only if $X_m + \dots + X_{m-i}$ is true, which is true if and only if $S'_i > 0$ is true. So, $P(S_m > S_0, S_m > S_1, \dots, S_m > S_{m-1}) = P(S'_1 > 0, S'_2 > 0, \dots, S'_m > 0)$. If we now define $S''_k = X_{m+1} + \dots + X_{m+k}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(S_m \geq S_{m+1}, S_m \geq S_{m+2}, \dots, S_m \geq S_n) &= P(X_{m+1} \leq 0, X_{m+1} + X_{m+2} \leq 0, \dots, X_{m+1} + \dots + X_n \leq 0) \\ &= P(S''_1 \leq 0, S''_2 \leq 0, \dots, S''_{n-m} \leq 0) \\ &= P(S''_1 \geq 0, S''_2 \geq 0, \dots, S''_{n-m} \geq 0) \end{aligned}$$

The first of the terms discussed, $P(S'_1 > 0, S'_2 > 0, \dots, S'_m > 0)$, can be computed for $m = 2\nu, 2\nu + 1$; it is simply $\frac{1}{2} u_{2\nu}$. For the latter of these terms, we introduce a new random variable \tilde{X} which has the same distribution as the X_i 's and is independent. Also define \tilde{S}_i to be $\tilde{X} + X_1 + \dots + X_{i-1}$ and \tilde{S}_0 to be 0.

We then have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}P(S_0 \geq 0, \dots, S_{n-m} \geq 0) &= P(\tilde{X} = 1) \cdot P(S_0 \geq 0, \dots, S_{n-m} \geq 0) \\
&= P(\tilde{X} = 1, S_0 \geq 0, S_0 \geq 0, \dots, S_{n-m} \geq 0) \\
&= P(\tilde{S}_1 = 1, \tilde{S}_2 > 0, \dots, S_{n-m+1} > 0) \\
&= P(S_1 > 0, S_2 > 0, \dots, S_{n-m+1} > 0).
\end{aligned} \tag{1.23}$$

Thus, we get

$$P(M_n = m) = \frac{1}{2}u_{2k}u_{2\nu-2k} \tag{1.24}$$

where m is of the form $2k$ or $2k + 1$, and n is of the form 2ν , with $1 < k < \nu$.

January 10th.

Plugging in $m = 0$, we get $P(M_n = 0) = P(S_1 \leq 0, \dots, S_{2\nu} \leq 0) = \frac{1}{2}u_{2\nu}$. For $m = n$, we have $P(M_n = n) = P(S_1 \leq 0, \dots, S_{2\nu} \leq 0) = \frac{1}{2}u_{2\nu}$. Let us first compute u_{2k} .

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{2k} = P(2k = 0) &= \frac{\binom{2k}{k}}{2^{2k}} = \frac{(2k)!}{(k!)^2 2^{2k}} \\
&\sim \frac{(2k)^{2k+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-2k} \sqrt{2\pi}}{(\sqrt{2\pi} k^{k+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-k})^2 2^{2k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi k}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.25}$$

For $0 < a < b < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P(an \leq M_n \leq bn) &= \sum_{m=an}^{bn} P(M_n = m) = \sum_{k=a\nu}^{b\nu} u_{2k}u_{2\nu-2k} \\
&\sim \sum_{k=a\nu}^{b\nu} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(\nu-k)}} = \sum_{k=a\nu}^{b\nu} \frac{1}{\nu \sqrt{\pi \frac{k}{\nu}} \sqrt{\pi(1 - \frac{k}{\nu})}} \\
&\rightarrow \frac{1}{\pi} \int_a^b \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}} = \frac{2}{\pi} (\arcsin \sqrt{b} - \arcsin \sqrt{a}).
\end{aligned} \tag{1.26}$$

In fact, this is the *arcsin law for maxima*; for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\frac{M_n}{n} \leq t\right) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{t}. \tag{1.27}$$

If we look at this as a cumulative density function, the probability density function becomes $\frac{d}{dt} \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{t} = \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{t(1-t)}}$.

We are now interested in \tilde{M}_n , the last time when maximum up to time n is attained. We can just look at the walk backwards again; in this case, we get

$$P\left(\frac{\tilde{M}_n}{n} \leq t\right) = P\left(\frac{n - \tilde{M}_n}{n} \leq t\right) \rightarrow \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{t}. \tag{1.28}$$

We now ask the probability that the random walk of $n = 2\nu$ steps last visit 0 at time $2k$. We denote by K_n the location of the last return to 0 in a walk of n steps. Now look at

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{2k, 2\nu} = P(K_n = 2k) &= P(S_{2k} = 0, S_{2k+1} \neq 0, \dots, S_{2\nu} \neq 0) \\
&= P(S_{2k} = 0) \cdot P(X_{2k+1} \neq 0, \dots, X_{2k+1} + \dots + X_{2\nu} \neq 0) \\
&= P(S_{2k} = 0) \cdot P(S_1 \neq 0, \dots, S_{2\nu-2k} \neq 0) = u_{2k}u_{2\nu-2k}.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.29}$$

We can also state an *arcsin law for last visit* here; for $0 < t < 1$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(K_n \leq tn) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{t}. \tag{1.30}$$

If we set the an additional limit that says t tends to 0, replacing t by an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(K_n = 0) = 0. \quad (1.31)$$

Given enough time, a simple random walk must return to 0.

Denote by f_{2n} the probability that the first return to 0 occurs at time $2n$.

$$\begin{aligned} f_{2n} &= P(S_1 \neq 0, \dots, S_{2n-1} \neq 0, S_{2n} = 0) \\ &= P(S_1 \neq 0, \dots, S_{2n-1} \neq 0) - P(S_1 \neq 0, \dots, S_{2n} \neq 0) \\ &= P(S_1 \neq 0, \dots, S_{2n-2} \neq 0) - P(S_1 \neq 0, \dots, S_{2n} \neq 0) \\ &= u_{2n-2} - u_{2n} = \frac{1}{2n-1} u_{2n}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.32)$$

Lemma 1.13. *With the usual notation,*

$$u_{2n} = f_2 u_{2n-2} + f_4 u_{2n-4} + \dots + f_{2n} u_0. \quad (1.33)$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} P(S_{2n} = 0) &= \sum_{k=1}^n P(S_{2n} = 0, \text{ first return at } 2k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n P(\text{first return at } 2k) \cdot P(S_{2n} = 0 \mid \text{first return at } 2k) \\ \implies P(S_n = 0) &= \sum_{k=1}^n f_{2k} u_{2n-2k}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.34)$$

■

Theorem 1.14. *The probability that in the time interval 0 to $n = 2\nu$, the random walk spends $2k$ amount of time on the positive side and $2\nu - 2k$ amount of time on the negative side is $\alpha_{2k, 2\nu}$.*

Corollary 1.15. *For $0 < t < 1$,*

$$P(\text{random walk spends less than } tn \text{ time on positive side}) \rightarrow \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{t}. \quad (1.35)$$

Proof. This is the proof of the theorem. We introduce $b_{2k, 2\nu}$; it is defined as the probability that the random walk of length 2ν and $2k$ sides above the x -axis. We need to show that $b_{2k, 2\nu} = \alpha_{2k, 2\nu}$. We have

$$b_{2\nu, 2\nu} = P(S_1 \geq 0, S_2 \geq 0, \dots, S_{2\nu} \geq 0) = u_{2\nu}, \quad (1.36)$$

$$b_{0, 2\nu} = P(S_1 \leq 0, \dots, S_{2\nu} \leq 0) = u_{2\nu}. \quad (1.37)$$

We are left to prove it for $1 \leq k \leq \nu - 1$. Assume that exactly $2k$ out of 2ν time are spent above the x -axis, with $1 \leq k \leq \nu - 1$. Suppose first return to 0 occurs at time $2r < 2\nu$. We deal in cases.

- Case I: $2r$ time units upto first return are on the positive side. Then, $r \leq k \leq \nu - 1$. The time from $2r$ to 2ν has to be above the x -axis, $2k - 2\nu$ time. The number of such paths is $(\frac{1}{2} 2^{2r} f_{2r})(2^{2\nu-2r} b_{2k-2r, 2\nu-2r})$.
- The $2r$ time units upto the first return are on the negative side. The nubmer of such paths is $(\frac{1}{2} 2^{2r} f_{2r})(2^{2\nu-2r} b_{2k, 2\nu-2r})$. Also, $\nu - r \geq k$.

Thus, we have

$$b_{2k, 2\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^k f_{2r} b_{2k-2r, 2\nu-2r} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{\nu-k} f_{2r} b_{2k, 2\nu-2r}. \quad (1.38)$$

We now proceed with induction on ν . We have already shown this for $\nu = 1$; assume that this is true for $\nu \leq V - 1$. By induction,

$$\begin{aligned} b_{2k, 2V} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^k f_{2r} \alpha_{2k-2r, 2V-2r} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{V-k} f_{2r} \alpha_{2k, 2V-2r} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} u_{2V-2k} \sum_{r=1}^k f_{2r} u_{2k-2r} + \frac{1}{2} u_{2k} \sum_{r=1}^{V-k} f_{2r} u_{2V-2k-2r} \\ &= u_{2k} u_{2V-2k} = \alpha_{2k, 2\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.39)$$



Appendices

Chapter A

Appendix

Extra content goes here.

Index

arcsin law for last visit, 5
arcsin law for maxima, 5

Ballot theorem, 4
Basic lemma, 4

Chebyshev's inequality, 1

Markov's inequality, 1

method of images, 3

reversed walk, 4

simple path, 3
simple random walk, 3

Weak law of large numbers, 2