

HOMEWORK # 2

MAX SOLVING

QUESTION # 1

prove  $\frac{\partial LL(\beta)}{\partial \beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i (y_i - p(\bar{x}_i, \beta))$

where  $LL(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \log(p) + (1-y_i) \log(1-p)$   $\left( p = \frac{1}{1+e^{-\beta^T \bar{x}_i}} \right)$

$\hookrightarrow \log(p) = -\log(1+e^{-\beta^T \bar{x}_i})$

$\hookrightarrow \log(1-p) = \log(e^{-\beta^T \bar{x}_i}) - \log(1+e^{-\beta^T \bar{x}_i})$

$LL\beta = \sum_{i=1}^n (-y_i \log(1+e^{-\beta^T \bar{x}_i}) + (1-y_i) \log(e^{-\beta^T \bar{x}_i}) - (1-y_i) \log(1+e^{-\beta^T \bar{x}_i}))$   
 $= \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i \beta^T \bar{x}_i - \log(1+e^{-\beta^T \bar{x}_i}) - \beta^T \bar{x}_i)$

$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} (y_i \beta^T \bar{x}_i) = \bar{x}_i y_i$

$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} (\log(1+e^{-\beta^T \bar{x}_i})) = (1-p) (-\bar{x}_i)$

$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} (-\beta^T \bar{x}_i) = -\bar{x}_i$

Thus  $\frac{\partial LL\beta}{\partial \beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n [\bar{x}_i y_i - (1-p) (-\bar{x}_i) - (-\bar{x}_i)]$

$\hookrightarrow$

$\frac{\partial NLL\beta}{\partial \beta} = \sum \bar{x}_i (y_i - p)$

## QUESTION # 2

a) Prove  $LL(\beta)$  is concave

$$\frac{\partial LL(\beta)}{\partial \beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i (y_i - p)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 LL(\beta)}{\partial \beta^2} = - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i^T (p(1-p)) \rightarrow \text{hessian matrix}$$

-  $p(1-p)$  is always greater than zero ( $0 < p < 1$ )

-  $x_i x_i^T$  are scalars

- therefore  $\frac{\partial^2 LL(\beta)}{\partial \beta^2}$  is non positive because of the negative sign and thus negative semidefinite aka concave

b) complete separation of data results in concavity breaks down

↳ all we showed above was uniqueness of minimum but not necessarily distance

distance of minimum  $\beta$

### QUESTION #3

$$\text{let } \sigma(a) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}}$$

a) use  $\sigma(a)$  to formulate  $\frac{d(\sigma(a))}{da}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{da} \left( \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}} \right) &= \frac{d}{da} \left( (1+e^{-a})^{-1} \right) = -(1+e^{-a})^{-2} \frac{d}{da} (1+e^{-a}) \\ &= (1+e^{-a})^{-2} (e^{-a}) = \frac{e^{-a}}{(1+e^{-a})^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{\frac{d}{da} (\sigma(a)) = \left( \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}} \right) = \sigma(a) (1 - \sigma(a))}$$

b) show  $1 - \sigma(a) = \sigma(-a)$

$$1 - \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}} = \frac{1}{1+e^a}$$

$$\sigma(a) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-a}}$$

$$= \frac{e^a}{e^a + 1}$$

$$= \frac{e^a + 1 - 1}{e^a + 1}$$

$$= \frac{e^a + 1}{e^a + 1} - \frac{1}{e^a + 1}$$

$$= \boxed{1 - \sigma(-a)}$$

# QUESTION #4

Suppose  $\hat{Y} = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y = X \hat{B}$

show LDA using  $\hat{Y}$  instead of  $Y$  is identical to LDA in original space.

need to show new  $\mu$ ,  $\Sigma$  are the same

in  $\delta_K(x) = x^T \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \mu_K - \frac{1}{2} \mu_K^T \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \mu_K + \log \pi_K$

where  $\pi_K = N_K/N$

$\mu_K = \sum_{i:K} x_i / N_K$

$\hat{\Sigma} = \sum_i (x_i - \hat{\mu}_K)(x_i - \hat{\mu}_K)^T / (N - K)$

step 1:  $\pi_K$  new

$\pi_K = \pi_{K \text{ new}}$  since classification does not change

step 2:  $\mu_K$  new

$\hat{\mu}_{K \text{ new}} = \sum \frac{x_i B^T}{N_K} = B^T \hat{\mu}_K$

step 3:  $\Sigma$  new

$\Sigma_{\text{new}} = \sum_i (x_i B^T - \hat{\mu}_K)(x_i B^T - \hat{\mu}_K)^T / (N - K)$

$= \frac{1}{N - K} \sum_i B^T (x_i - \mu_K)(x_i - \mu_K)^T B$

$= B^T \hat{\Sigma} B$

new function is:  $\delta_{K \text{ new}} = (B^T X)^T (\hat{\Sigma}_{\text{new}})^{-1} \mu_{K \text{ new}} - \frac{1}{2} (\mu_{K \text{ new}})^T (\hat{\Sigma}_{\text{new}})^{-1} \mu_{K \text{ new}} + \log \pi_{K \text{ new}}$

which =  $\left| x^T \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \mu_K - \frac{1}{2} \mu_K^T \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \mu_K + \log \pi_K \right|$

QUESTION 4.5

already answered | 4.3 Before

$$l(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ y_i (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_0 + \beta_1 (x_i - x_0)) - \log(1 + e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_0 + \beta_1 (x_i - x_0)}) \right]$$

(hence)  $\beta_0 = -\beta_1 x_0$

$$l(\beta) = \underbrace{\sum_{i: x_i = x_0} \left[ -\log(1 + e^{\beta_1 (x_i - x_0)}) \right]}_{\text{Vanishes}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i: x_i \neq x_0} \left[ \beta_1 (x_i - x_0) - \log(1 + e^{\beta_1 (x_i - x_0)}) \right]}_{\infty}$$

as  $\beta_1 \rightarrow \infty$ , the maximised likelihood will never be achieved  
 $S_1, S_2$  subsets of  $X$

$$\begin{aligned} a) \quad l(\beta) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ y_i \beta^T x_i - \log(1 + e^{\beta^T x_i}) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i \in S_1} \beta^T x_i - \log(1 + e^{\beta^T x_i}) + \sum_{i \in S_2} \left[ -\log(1 + e^{\beta^T x_i}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for  $p=1$   $\beta^{\text{new}} \leftarrow \eta \beta^{\text{old}}$  with  $\eta \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow l(\beta) \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\begin{aligned} b) \quad l(\beta) &= \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \left[ \beta_k^T x_i - \log(1 + \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} e^{\beta_l^T x_i}) \right] + \\ &\quad \sum_{i \in S_K} \left[ -\log(1 + \sum_{l=1}^{K-1} e^{\beta_l^T x_i}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

then exists  $\beta_k$  for  $k=1 \dots K$  such that  $\beta_k^T x > 0$  for  $x \in S_k$

thus, increasing  $\beta_k$  in (a),  $l(\beta) \rightarrow +\infty$ .