

Title

Max Varverakis

April 26, 2024

Contents

1	An Introduction to Representation Theory	3
1.1	Irreducibility and Invariant Subspaces	5
2	Examples in Physics	7
2.1	Rotations in a plane and the group $SO(2)$	7
2.1.1	The rotation group	7
2.1.2	Infinitesimal rotations	9
2.1.3	Irreducible representations of $SO(2)$	10
2.1.4	Multivalued representations	12
2.1.5	State vector decomposition	13
2.2	Continuous 1-dimensional translations	15
2.2.1	Irreducible representations of T_1	16
2.3	Symmetry, invariance, and conservation laws	17
2.4	Extend to $SO(3)!$	17
2	Topological Definitions	14
3	The Braid Group	17
3.1	Visualization of pure braids	17
3.2	General braids	19
3.3	Standard generators of the braid group	20
3.4	Automorphisms of the free group	21
3.5	One-dimensional representations of B_n	26
3.6	The Burau Representation	27
3.7	The Reduced Burau Representation	32
3.8	Unitary Representation Matrices	37

4	Anyons	40
4.1	Braiding action on a quantum system	40
4.2	Two Non-Interacting Anyons	42
4.3	Anyons in Harmonic Potential	44
4.4	Nontrivial braiding effects	46
3	tikz test	35
4	To-Do List	36
	References	39
A	Physics Background	40
A.1	Dirac notation	40
A.2	Ehrenfest's theorem and conserved quantities	40
B	Multi-anyon system with harmonic potential	42
B.1	Gauge Theory and the Hamiltonian	42
B.2	Hamiltonian Terms	42

Chapter 1

An Introduction to Representation Theory

Intro paragraph to lead into the definitions.

Definition 1.1 (Representation of a group). Let G be a group. A *representation* of G is a homomorphism from G to a group of operators on a linear vector space V . The dimension of V is the *dimension* or *degree* of the representation.

If X is a representation of G on V , then X is a map

$$g \in G \xrightarrow{X} X(g) \quad (1.1)$$

in which $X(g)$ is an operator on the vector space V . For a set of basis vectors $\{\hat{e}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we can realize each operator $X(g)$ as an $n \times n$ matrix $D(g)$.

$$X(g) |e_i\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n |e_j\rangle D(g)^j_i = |e_j\rangle D(g)^j_i, \quad (1.2)$$

where the first index j is the row index and the second index i is the column index. We use the Einstein summation convention, so repeated indices are summed over. Note that the operator multiplication is defined as

$$X(g_1)X(g_2) = X(g_1g_2), \quad (1.3)$$

which satisfies the group multiplication rules. Keep Dirac notation here? If so, reference appendix on Dirac notation.

Definition 1.2. If the homomorphism defining the representation is an isomorphism, then the representation is *faithful*. Otherwise, it is *degenerate*.

Example 1.1. The simplest representation of any group G is the *trivial* representation, in which every $g \in G$ is realized by $g \mapsto 1$. This representation is clearly degenerate.

Example 1.2. Consider the symmetric group S_n . The *defining* representation of S_n encodes each $\sigma \in S_n$ by placing a 1 in the j -th row and i -th column of the matrix $D(\sigma)$ if σ sends i to j , and 0 otherwise. For example, in S_3 , the permutation (23) has the matrix representation

$$D((23)) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

whereas the permutation (123) is realized by the matrix

$$D((123)) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The above example involves a finite group. Infinite groups can also have representations, as demonstrated in the following example.

Example 1.3. Let G be the group of continuous rotations in the xy -plane about the origin. We can write $G = \{R(\phi), 0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi\}$ with group operation $R(\phi_1)R(\phi_2) = R(\phi_1 + \phi_2)$. Consider the 2-dimensional Euclidean vector space V_2 . Then we define a representation of G on V_2 by the familiar rotation operation

$$\hat{e}'_1 = X(\phi)\hat{e}_1 = \hat{e}_1 \cdot \cos \phi + \hat{e}_2 \cdot \sin \phi \quad (1.4)$$

$$\hat{e}'_2 = X(\phi)\hat{e}_2 = -\hat{e}_1 \cdot \sin \phi + \hat{e}_2 \cdot \cos \phi, \quad (1.5)$$

where \hat{e}_1 and \hat{e}_2 are orthonormal basis vectors of V_2 . This gives us the matrix representation

$$D(\phi) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1.6)$$

To further illuminate this representation, if we consider an arbitrary vector $\hat{e}_i x^i = \vec{x} \in V_2$, then we have

$$\vec{x}' = X(\phi)\vec{x} = \hat{e}_j x'^j, \quad (1.7)$$

where $x'^j = D(\phi)^j_i x^i$. **Can probably simplify the notation**

Definition 1.3 (Equivalence of Representations). For a group G , two representations are *equivalent* if they are related by a similarity transformation. Equivalent representations form an equivalence class.

To determine whether two representations belong to the same equivalence class, we define the following.

Definition 1.4 (Characters of a Representation). The *character* $\chi(g)$ of an element $g \in G$ in a representation $X(g)$ is defined as $\chi(g) = \text{Tr } D(g)$.

Since trace is independent of basis, the character serves as a class label.

1.1 Irreducibility and Invariant Subspaces

Vector space representations of a group have familiar substructures, which are useful in constructing representations of the group.

Definition 1.5 (Invariant Subspace). Let $X(G)$ be a representation of G on a vector space V , and W a subspace of V such that $X(g)|x\rangle \in W$ for all $\vec{x} \in W$ and $g \in G$. Then W is an *invariant subspace* of V with respect to $X(G)$. An invariant subspace is *minimal* or *proper* if it does not contain any non-trivial invariant subspace with respect to $X(G)$.

The identification of invariant subspaces on vector space representations leads to the following distinction of the representations.

Definition 1.6 (Irreducible Representation). A representation $X(G)$ on V is *irreducible* if there is no non-trivial invariant subspace in V with respect to $X(G)$. Otherwise, it is *reducible*. If $X(G)$ is reducible and its orthogonal complement to the invariant subspace is also invariant with respect to $X(G)$, then the representation is *fully reducible*.

Example 1.4. **Different example!** Under the group of 2-dimensional rotations, consider the 1-dimensional subspace spanned by \hat{e}_1 . This subspace is not invariant under 2-dimensional rotations, because a rotation of \hat{e}_1 by $\pi/2$ results in the vector \hat{e}_2 that is clearly not in the subspace spanned by \hat{e}_1 . A similar argument shows that the subspace spanned by \hat{e}_2 is not invariant under 2-dimensional rotations.

The irreducible representation matrices satisfy orthonormality and completeness relations. **Thm. 3.5?**

Schur's Lemmas?

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a finite group. The number of irreducible representations of G is equal to the number of conjugacy classes in G . Moreover, the degree of each irreducible representation is equal to the size of the corresponding conjugacy class in G .*

Proof. DO IT!!!

□

Corollary 1.1.1. *Let G be a finite abelian group. Then the irreducible representations of G are one-dimensional.*

Proof. Since G is abelian, the conjugacy classes of G are the elements of G themselves. By Theorem 1.1, the number of irreducible representations of G is equal to the number of conjugacy classes of G , which is equal to the number of elements of G . Furthermore, the degree of each irreducible representation is equal to the size of the corresponding conjugacy class in G , which is always 1. Therefore, the irreducible representations of G are one-dimensional. □

Chapter 2

Examples in Physics

Intro paragraph here?

2.1 Rotations in a plane and the group $SO(2)$

R vs U inconsistency from earlier notation

E vs *I* inconsistency with later on!

Resolve index notation at some point.

Intro paragraph here?

Reference appendix on Dirac notation somewhere in here.

2.1.1 The rotation group

Consider the rotations of a 2-dimensional Euclidean vector space about the origin. Let \hat{e}_1 and \hat{e}_2 be orthonormal basis vectors of this space. Using geometry, we can determine how a rotation by some angle ϕ , written in operator form as $R(\phi)$, acts on the basis vectors:

$$R(\phi)\hat{e}_1 = \hat{e}_1 \cos \phi + \hat{e}_2 \sin \phi \quad (2.1)$$

$$R(\phi)\hat{e}_2 = -\hat{e}_1 \sin \phi + \hat{e}_2 \cos \phi. \quad (2.2)$$

In matrix form, we can write

$$R(\phi) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.3)$$

which allows us to write Eqns. 2.1 and 2.2 in a condensed form

$$R(\phi)\hat{e}_i = \hat{e}_j R(\phi)^j{}_i, \quad (2.4)$$

where we are summing over $j = 1, 2$.

Let \vec{x} be an arbitrary vector in the plane. Then \vec{x} has components x^i in the basis $\{\hat{e}_i\}$, where $i = 1, 2$. Equivalently, we can write $\vec{x} = \hat{e}_i x^i$. Then under rotations, \vec{x} transforms in accordance to the basis vectors

$$\begin{aligned} R(\phi)\vec{x} &= R(\phi)\hat{e}_i x^i \\ &= \hat{e}_j R(\phi)^j{}_i x^i \\ &= (\hat{e}_1 R(\phi)^1{}_i + \hat{e}_2 R(\phi)^2{}_i) x^i \\ &= (\hat{e}_1 \cos \phi + \hat{e}_2 \sin \phi) x^1 + (\hat{e}_1 (-\sin \phi) + \hat{e}_2 \cos \phi) x^2 \\ &= (x^1 \cos \phi - x^2 \sin \phi) \hat{e}_1 + (x^1 \sin \phi + x^2 \cos \phi) \hat{e}_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Notice that $R(\phi)R^\top(\phi) = E$ where E is the identity matrix. This is precisely what defines *orthogonal matrices*. For 2-dimensional vectors in the plane, it is clear that these rotations do not change the length of said vectors. This can be verified by using Eqn. 2.5:

$$\begin{aligned} |R(\phi)\vec{x}|^2 &= |\hat{e}_j R(\phi)^j{}_i x^i|^2 \\ &= |(x^1 \cos \phi - x^2 \sin \phi) \hat{e}_1 + (x^1 \sin \phi + x^2 \cos \phi) \hat{e}_2|^2 \\ &= (x^1 \cos \phi - x^2 \sin \phi)^2 + (x^1 \sin \phi + x^2 \cos \phi)^2 \\ &= (\cos^2 \phi + \sin^2 \phi) x^1 x_1 + (\sin^2 \phi + \cos^2 \phi) x^2 x_2 \\ &= x^1 x_1 + x^2 x_2 = |\vec{x}|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Similarly, notice that for any continuous rotation by angle ϕ , $\det R(\phi) = \cos^2 \phi + \sin^2 \phi = 1$. In general, orthogonal matrices have determinant equal to ± 1 . However, the result of the above determinant of $R(\phi)$ implies that all continuous rotations in the 2-dimensional plane have determinant equal to

+1. These are the *special orthogonal matrices of rank 2*. This family of matrices is denoted $\text{SO}(2)$. Furthermore, there is a one-to-one correspondence with $\text{SO}(2)$ matrices and rotations in a plane.

We define the group of continuous rotations in a plane by letting $R(0) = E$ be the identity element corresponding to no rotation (i.e., a rotation by angle $\phi = 0$), and defining the inverse of a rotation as $R^{-1}(\phi) = R(-\phi) = R(2\pi - \phi)$. Lastly, we define group multiplication as $R(\phi_1)R(\phi_2) = R(\phi_1 + \phi_2)$ and note that $R(\phi) = R(\phi \pm 2\pi)$, which can be verified geometrically. Although $\text{SO}(2)$ is technically a 2-dimensional representation of a more abstract rotation group, it is often just referred to as the rotation group due to the nature of the construction. Thus, group elements of $\text{SO}(2)$ can be labelled by the angle of rotation $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$.

2.1.2 Infinitesimal rotations

Consider an infinitesimal rotation labelled by some infinitesimal angle $d\phi$. This is equivalent to the identity plus some small rotation, which can be written as

$$R(d\phi) = E - id\phi J \quad (2.7)$$

where the scalar quantity $-i$ is introduced for later convenience and J is some quantity independent of the rotation angle. If we consider the rotation $R(\phi + d\phi)$, then there are two equivalent ways to interpret this rotation

$$R(\phi + d\phi) = R(\phi)R(d\phi) = R(\phi)(E - id\phi J) = R(\phi) - id\phi R(\phi)J, \quad (2.8)$$

$$R(\phi + d\phi) = R(\phi) + dR(\phi) = R(\phi) + d\phi \frac{dR(\phi)}{d\phi}, \quad (2.9)$$

where the second equation can be thought of as a Taylor expansion of $R(\phi + d\phi)$ about ϕ . Equating the two expressions for $R(\phi + d\phi)$ yields

$$dR(\phi) = -id\phi R(\phi)J. \quad (2.10)$$

Solving this differential equation (with boundary condition $R(0) = E$) provides us with an equation for any group element involving J :

$$R(\phi) = e^{-i\phi J}, \quad (2.11)$$

where J is called the *generator* of the group.

The explicit form of J is found as follows. To first order in $d\phi$, we have

$$R(d\phi) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -d\phi \\ d\phi & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Comparing to Eqn. 2.7,

$$E - id\phi J = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -d\phi \\ d\phi & 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Notice that $J^2 = E$, which implies that even powers of J equal the identity matrix and odd powers of J equal J . Taylor expanding $e^{-iJ\phi}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} R(\phi) = e^{-iJ\phi} &= E - iJ\phi - E\frac{\phi^2}{2!} - iJ\frac{\phi^3}{3!} + \dots \\ &= E \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\phi^{2n}}{(2n)!} \right) - iJ \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\phi^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \right) \\ &= E \cos \phi - iJ \sin \phi \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the generator J can be used to recover the rotation matrix for an arbitrary angle ϕ . Clearly, the map $R(\phi) \mapsto e^{-iJ\phi}$ is a valid homomorphism that respects the periodic nature of $\text{SO}(2)$.

2.1.3 Irreducible representations of $\text{SO}(2)$

Equipped with the generator J , we can construct the irreducible representations of $\text{SO}(2)$. First, consider a representation U of $\text{SO}(2)$ defined on a finite dimensional vector space V . Then $U(\phi)$ is the corresponding representation of $R(\phi)$. The same argument as in Section 2.1.2 can be applied to an infinitesimal rotation to give

$$U(\phi) = e^{-iJ\phi},$$

which is an operator on V (for convenience, the same symbol J is used to denote the generator of the representation).

Since U is a representation of rotations that preserves the length of vectors, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|a|^2 = |U(\phi)a|^2, \forall |a\rangle \in V &\iff \langle a|a\rangle = \langle U(\phi)a|U(\phi)a\rangle = \langle a|U(\phi)^\dagger U(\phi)|a\rangle \\
&\iff U(\phi)^\dagger U(\phi) = E \\
&\iff e^{iJ^\dagger\phi} e^{-iJ\phi} = e^{-i(J-J^\dagger)\phi} = 1 \\
&\iff J = J^\dagger.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, not only must U be unitary, but the generator J must be Hermitian. This fact becomes especially important in the **physical interpretation of the representations of $SO(2)$** in Section 2.4.

According to Corollary 1.1.1, the abelian nature of $SO(2)$ implies that all of its irreducible representations are one-dimensional. Then for any $|\alpha\rangle \in V$, the minimal subspace containing $|\alpha\rangle$ that is invariant under $SO(2)$ is one-dimensional. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
J|\alpha\rangle &= \alpha|\alpha\rangle, \\
U(\phi)|\alpha\rangle &= e^{-iJ\phi}|\alpha\rangle = e^{-i\alpha\phi}|\alpha\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where the (real) number α is used as a label for the eigenvector of J with eigenvalue α . The periodicity conditions of $SO(2)$ imply that $|\alpha\rangle = U(2\pi)|\alpha\rangle$, or equivalently, $e^{-i\alpha 2\pi} = 1$. This implies that α must be an integer, as $e^{i2\pi m} = 1$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then U has a corresponding 1-dimensional representation for an integer m , defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
J|m\rangle &= m|m\rangle, \\
U^m(\phi)|m\rangle &= e^{-im\phi}|m\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Though already true by Corollary 1.1.1, these representations are clearly irreducible, as there is no way to reduce the dimension of a 1-dimensional representation.

In general, the *single-valued irreducible representations of $SO(2)$* are defined as

$$U^m(\phi) = e^{-im\phi}, \quad (2.12)$$

for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If $m = 0$, then $R(\phi) \mapsto U^0(\phi) = 1$, which corresponds to the trivial representation. If instead $m = 1$, then $R(\phi) \mapsto U^1(\phi) = e^{-i\phi}$, which maps rotations

in $\text{SO}(2)$ to distinct points on the unit circle in the complex plane. The $m = 1$ representation is faithful because each rotation by ϕ has a unique image under $U^1(\phi)$, which is clear when interpreting rotations of unit vectors geometrically. As ϕ ranges from 0 to 2π , U^1 traces over the unit circle in \mathbb{C} in the counterclockwise direction. Similarly, U^{-1} traces over the unit circle in the clockwise direction because $U^{-1}(\phi) = e^{i\phi}$. The $m = -1$ case is therefore faithful as well. In general, U^n covers the unit circle $|n|$ times as ϕ ranges from 0 to 2π , and is not faithful for $n \neq \pm 1$.

The irreducible representations of $\text{SO}(2)$ are orthonormal in the sense that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (U^m(\phi))^\dagger U^n(\phi) d\phi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i(n-m)\phi} d\phi = \delta_{nm}.$$

2.1.4 Multivalued representations

If we restrict the periodic condition on U to $U(2n\pi) = E$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the resulting 1-dimensional irreducible representations of $\text{SO}(2)$ become multivalued. Consider the same construction of U^m in Section 2.1.3, but now with $m \in \mathbb{Q}$. For $m = \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$U^{1/2}(2\pi + \phi) = e^{-i\pi - i\frac{\phi}{2}} = -e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}} = -U^{1/2}(\phi).$$

Hence, the rotation $R(\phi)$ is assigned to both $\pm e^{i\phi/2}$ in the $U^{1/2}$ representation. For this reason, it can be said that $U^{1/2}$ is a *two-valued* representation of $\text{SO}(2)$.

Despite this ambiguity in the realization of rotations in $\text{SO}(2)$, the periodicity condition is still satisfied, as $U^{1/2}(4\pi) = e^{i2\pi} = 1$. In other words, the double-valued representation of $\text{SO}(2)$ traverses the unit circle twice before returning to the identity. In general, $U^{n/m}$ is an m -valued representation of $\text{SO}(2)$ for $\frac{n}{m} \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\gcd(n, m) = 1$.

The physical implications of these irreducible representations will become clear when generalizing to rotations in 3-dimensional space in Section 2.4. Next, a similar construction will be done for the group of continuous translations in one dimension.

2.1.5 State vector decomposition

The concept of J generating 2-dimensional rotations is summarized in the following example. Consider a particle in a plane with polar coordinates (r, ϕ) . The state vector of this particle is $|\phi\rangle$, where the coordinate r is suppressed in the vector notation, as the action of $\text{SO}(2)$ preserves vector lengths. Note that the state vector $|\phi\rangle$ belongs to some Hilbert space V that is not necessarily the same as the physical space of the particle.

In general, we have $U(\theta)|\phi\rangle = |\theta + \phi\rangle$, as expected. Then $|\phi\rangle$ can be decomposed as

$$|\phi\rangle = U(\phi)|\mathcal{O}\rangle = e^{-iJ\phi}|\mathcal{O}\rangle,$$

where $|\mathcal{O}\rangle$ is a “standard” state vector aligned with a pre-selected x -axis. The triviality of this result must not be overlooked, for it is important to note that any arbitrary state vector can be decomposed into $e^{-iJ\phi}$ acting on $|\mathcal{O}\rangle$ [15]. This notion generalizes beyond the 2-dimensional case, and will be revisited in the more general case of rotations in 3 spatial dimensions in Section 2.4.

Since the set of eigenvectors of J form a basis for V , an arbitrary state $|\phi\rangle$ can be decomposed into a linear combination of the eigenvectors of J :

$$|\phi\rangle = \left(\sum_m |m\rangle \langle m| \right) |\phi\rangle = \sum_m \langle m|\phi\rangle |m\rangle,$$

where

$$\langle m|\phi\rangle = \langle m|U(\phi)|\mathcal{O}\rangle = \langle U^\dagger(\phi)m|\mathcal{O}\rangle = e^{-im\phi} \langle m|\mathcal{O}\rangle$$

is the projection of $|\phi\rangle$ onto the eigenvector $|m\rangle$ of J . Note that m is left unspecified, as the allowable values of m depend on the representation of $\text{SO}(2)$ and thus the vector space V .

By construction, the eigenstates of J are invariant under rotations, so we are free to modify them up to a phase factor (i.e., pick different representatives from the eigenspaces). For example, we can choose the basis vector $|m\rangle$ to instead be $e^{ikm}|m\rangle$ for some $k \in \mathbb{R}$. With this strategy, all eigenvectors $|m\rangle$ can be oriented along the direction of $|\mathcal{O}\rangle$ so that $\langle m|\mathcal{O}\rangle = 1$. Again, note that the inner product $\langle m|\mathcal{O}\rangle$ is a projection of the *state* $|m\rangle$ onto the *state*

$|\mathcal{O}\rangle$, not to be confused with the projection of position vectors in the physical space of this system.

Thus, we can write the state vector $|\phi\rangle$ as

$$|\phi\rangle = \sum_m e^{-im\phi} |m\rangle. \quad (2.13)$$

As a result, the action of J on the state $|\phi\rangle$ can be written as

$$J|\phi\rangle = \sum_m e^{-im\phi} J|m\rangle = \sum_m m e^{-im\phi} |m\rangle = \sum_m i \frac{d}{d\phi} (e^{-im\phi} |m\rangle) = i \frac{d}{d\phi} |\phi\rangle.$$

For fixed m , multiplying Eqn. 2.13 by $\frac{1}{2\pi} e^{im\phi}$ and integrating over ϕ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{im\phi} |\phi\rangle d\phi &= \sum_n \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i(n-m)\phi} \right) |n\rangle \\ &= \sum_n \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (U^m(\phi))^\dagger U^n(\phi) \right) |n\rangle \\ &= \sum_n \delta_{mn} |n\rangle = |m\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then for an arbitrary state $|\psi\rangle \in V$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi\rangle &= \sum_m \langle m|\psi\rangle |m\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\sum_m e^{im\phi} \langle m|\psi\rangle \right) |\phi\rangle d\phi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\sum_m \langle \phi|m\rangle \langle m|\psi\rangle \right) |\phi\rangle d\phi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle \phi| \underbrace{\left(\sum_m |m\rangle \langle m| \right)}_{\text{identity}} |\psi\rangle |\phi\rangle d\phi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle \phi|\psi\rangle |\phi\rangle d\phi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \psi(\phi) |\phi\rangle d\phi, \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle$ is written as the *wavefunction* $\psi(\phi)$ since ϕ is a continuous parameter.

Add wavefunction description (to appendix?) for uncountably infinite-dim Hilbert space. How you can write $\langle x | \Psi \rangle$ as just the *wavefunction* $\Psi(x)$ since x is a continuous variable. Also, add QM citations. Textbooks, etc.

Then the action of J generalizes to

$$\langle \phi | J | \psi \rangle = \langle J^\dagger \phi | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{d\phi} \langle \phi | \psi \rangle = -i \frac{d}{d\phi} \psi(\phi).$$

If we let x and y be the Cartesian coordinates of the plane, then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi = \arctan\left(\frac{y}{x}\right) &\implies \frac{d}{d\phi} = \frac{d}{dx} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \phi} + \frac{d}{dy} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \phi} \\ &= -y \frac{d}{dx} + x \frac{d}{dy} \\ &= \vec{r} \times \vec{\nabla}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\vec{r} = (x, y)$ and $\vec{\nabla} = \left(\frac{d}{dx}, \frac{d}{dy}\right)$. Therefore, the general form of J is

$$J = -i \frac{d}{d\phi} = -i \left(\vec{r} \times \vec{\nabla} \right).$$

This result is of particular significance to quantum mechanics, as J has the same form as the orbital *angular momentum operator* \hat{L}_z (normalized to \hbar here), where z is the axis of rotation of the plane.

2.2 Continuous 1-dimensional translations

Consider the group of continuous translations in one dimension, denoted by T_1 , and let V be a 1-dimensional vector space with coordinate axis x . Then a vector $|x_0\rangle \in V$ is analogous to the point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ on the real line. The translation of $|x_0\rangle$ by some amount x is described by the operator $T(x)$ in which

$$T(x) |x_0\rangle = |x + x_0\rangle.$$

The operator $T(x)$ has the expected group properties

$$T(0) = E, \quad (2.14)$$

$$T(x)^{-1} = T(-x), \quad (2.15)$$

$$T(x_1)T(x_2) = T(x_1 + x_2). \quad (2.16)$$

Consider an infinitesimal translation $T(dx)$. This derivation is identical to finding the generator J for $\text{SO}(2)$ in Section 2.1.2. Thus, we rewrite

$$T(dx) = E - idxP,$$

where, for the moment, P is an arbitrary quantity. Eqns. 2.8 and 2.9 apply to $T(x)$ with P replacing J , T replacing R , and x replacing ϕ . This yields the familiar differential equation

$$\frac{dT(x)}{T(x)} = -iPdx, \quad (2.17)$$

along with the boundary condition Eqn. 2.14, which implies

$$T(x) = e^{-iPx}. \quad (2.18)$$

The exponential form of $T(x)$ satisfies the group properties of T_1 and is a valid representation of the group. Therefore, P generates T_1 . A similar decomposition of state vectors as in Section 2.1.5 can be done for T_1 . Specifically, for $|x\rangle \in V$, we have

$$|x\rangle = e^{-iPx} |\mathcal{O}\rangle.$$

2.2.1 Irreducible representations of T_1

Consider a unitary representation U of T_1 on a finite dimensional vector space V . As before, U can be reduced to $U(x) = e^{-iPx}$, where P is the generator of the representation. The unitarity of U requires that P be Hermitian, as in the case of J for $\text{SO}(2)$. It follows that the eigenvalues of P , labeled by p , are real. Similar to Section 2.1.3, the irreducible representation $U^p(x)$ of $T(x)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} P |p\rangle &= p |p\rangle, \\ U^p(x) |p\rangle &= e^{-iPx} |p\rangle = e^{-ipx} |p\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The above description satisfies Eqns. 2.14–2.16 with no further restrictions on p . Notice that the eigenvalues of P are continuous, in contrast to the discrete eigenvalues of J for $\text{SO}(2)$ which were a result of the periodicity condition.

2.3 Symmetry, invariance, and conservation laws

According to Ehrenfest’s theorem (see Appendix A.2), if a physical system represented by a Hamiltonian H is invariant under ($\text{SO}(2)$) rotations, then $[H, R(\phi)] = 0$ for all $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$ and hence $[H, J] = 0$. Therefore, angular momentum is conserved.

2.4 Extend to $\text{SO}(3)$!

The generalization of the $\text{SO}(2)$ group to quantum mechanics is the unitary group $\text{U}(1)$, which is the group of continuous phase transformations. The group elements of $\text{U}(1)$ are complex numbers of unit modulus, similar to that of the irreducible representations of $\text{SO}(2)$ found in Section 2.1.3. The requirement of unitary matrices in quantum mechanics is a consequence of the fact that physical transformations, such as rotations and translations, must preserve probabilities. In quantum mechanics, probabilities are encoded in the norm of the state vectors. By definition, unitary transformations preserve the norm of vectors, which is why they represent physical transformations in quantum mechanics. **Move that second half to appendix and combine with discussion of bracket notation et al.?**

- **Discuss Lie groups/algebras specifically?**
- The real generalization is to 3 spatial dimensions, $\text{SO}(3)$, which then has the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ with generators J_i and familiar commutation relations.
- The eigenvalues of J are real since it is Hermitian, and so they correspond to physical observables. In particular, the eigenvalues m of J correspond to the angular momentum of a quantum system (really it’s a projection of the total angular momentum onto the axis of rotation

normalized to \hbar). When m is an integer, the representation U^m corresponds to integer-spin particles, such as bosons or gravitons. When m is a half-integer, the representation U^m corresponds to half-integer-spin particles, such as fermions.

- The **quantization of angular momentum in quantum mechanics** is a direct consequence of the representation theory of $SO(2)$ (really $SO(3)$)!!! The allowable values of angular momentum are quantized because the eigenvalues of the generator J are quantized. Moreover, for eigenvalue m , the possible spin states with angular momentum m correspond to the multiple values (U^m 's satisfying the periodicity condition for the eigenvector $|m\rangle$): $-m, -m + 1, \dots, m - 1, m$ (normalized to \hbar). Jumping between spin states is done by the ladder operators J_{\pm} in $SO(3)$.
- Regarding the above bullet point, need to do $SO(3)$ Lie algebra stuff in order to discuss ladder operators and hence the connection to the discretized angular momentum values!
- Not until $SO(3)$. Example for $U^{1/2}$, or in physics $j = \frac{1}{2}$. The spin state of an electron can either be up $+U^{1/2}$ or down $-U^{1/2}$, which corresponds to the two-valued-ness of the representation. A rotation of 2π results in a change of sign (a change in spin state). Moreover, the spin state of a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particle is described by a *spinor* (a two-component complex-valued vector). The purely mathematical consequences of double-valued representations of $SO(2)$ explains the emergent behavior of spinors under coordinate rotations.

Chapter 4

To-Do List

Potential committee members:

- Anton Kaul
- Patrick Orson
- Eric Brussel
- *Rob Easton*

-
- Redo the Chapter 1 with nicer notation and stray away from Tung's notation when possible.
 - More straightforward examples of representations
 - At least briefly discuss $U(n)$ either here or in braid rep chapter.
 - Finish/modify irreducible rep. example in Chapter 1.
 - Fix out equation numbers
 - **Sections to add:** $SO(3)$ and related applications to QM
 - Do a little more context on the physics: describe what the heck a quantum Hilbert space is and bracket notation.
 - Also note why we care about unitary matrices, in appendix as well.

-
- Show $\psi_n(\sigma_i)$ invertible? Yes, eventually
 - derive $\psi_n^r(\sigma_i)$ matrices or state?
 - Show $\psi_n^r(\sigma_i)$ invertible? Yes, eventually
 - Explicitly show why Burau isn't able to be made unitary? [3]
 - Separate chapters into braid group and braid group reps.?
-

- Concluding paragraph on first section to lead into the more physics-y stuff.
 - ~~Show the additional cross terms from $N = 2$ to $N = 3$ and beyond.~~
 - Add paragraph on gauge theory/motivation.
 - Anyon fusion rules
 - τ anyon/Fibonacci anyon example. Relate to singlet/triplet states in spin-1/2 system.
 - ~~Move anyon calculations to appendix?~~
 - Spend some time on MATLAB thing
-

- Conclusion/future of anyons/braid group in physics.
 - Abstract
 - Title
 - Acknowledgements
-

Format!!

References

- [1] E. Artin. Theory of braids. *The Annals of Mathematics*, 48(1):101, January 1947.
- [2] G. Date, M. V. N. Murthy, and Radhika Vathsan. Classical and quantum mechanics of anyons, 2003.
- [3] Colleen Delaney, Eric C. Rowell, and Zhenghan Wang. Local unitary representations of the braid group and their applications to quantum computing, 2016.
- [4] Avinash Deshmukh. An introduction to anyons.
- [5] W. Fulton. *Algebraic Topology: A First Course*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 1997.
- [6] Juan Gonzalez-Meneses. Basic results on braid groups, 2010.
- [7] Brian C. Hall. *Quantum Theory for Mathematicians*. Springer New York, 2013.
- [8] Christian Kassel and Vladimir Turaev. *Homological Representations of the Braid Groups*, page 93–150. Springer New York, 2008.
- [9] Avinash Khare. *Fractional Statistics and Quantum Theory*. WORLD SCIENTIFIC, February 2005.
- [10] K Moriyasu. *An Elementary Primer for Gauge Theory*. WORLD SCIENTIFIC, October 1983.
- [11] Chetan Nayak, Steven H. Simon, Ady Stern, Michael Freedman, and Sankar Das Sarma. Non-abelian anyons and topological quantum computation. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 80(3):1083–1159, September 2008.

- [12] Dale Rolfsen. Tutorial on the braid groups, 2010.
- [13] Craig C. Squier. The burau representation is unitary. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 90(2):199–202, 1984.
- [14] Jean-Luc Thiffeault. The burau representation of the braid group and its application to dynamics. Presentation given at Topological Methods in Mathematical Physics 2022, Seminar GEOTOP-A, September 2022.
- [15] Wu-Ki Tung. *Group theory in physics: An introduction to symmetry principles, group representations, and special functions in classical and quantum physics*. World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, Singapore, January 1985.
- [16] Frank Wilczek. Quantum mechanics of fractional-spin particles. *Physical Review Letters*, 49(14):957–959, October 1982.

Appendix A

Physics Background

A.1 Dirac notation

Bra-ket notation, “Hilbert space”, inner product, etc.

A.2 Ehrenfest’s theorem and conserved quantities

Possible reference here [7]!

Suppose G is an operator on a quantum Hilbert space of states. The quantity $\langle G \rangle$ is conserved if

$$\frac{d\langle G \rangle}{dt} = 0.$$

Recall the time-dependent Schrödinger equation

$$\hat{H}\psi = i\hbar \frac{d\psi}{dt} \implies \frac{d\psi}{dt} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \hat{H}\psi.$$

Then if G is time-independent we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\langle G \rangle}{dt} &= \frac{d}{dt} \langle \psi | G | \psi \rangle \\
&= \left\langle \frac{d\psi}{dt} \middle| G \middle| \psi \right\rangle + \left\langle \psi \middle| G \middle| \frac{d\psi}{dt} \right\rangle + \left\langle \psi \middle| \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} \middle| \psi \right\rangle \xrightarrow{0} \\
&= \left\langle \frac{1}{i\hbar} \hat{H} \psi \middle| G \middle| \psi \right\rangle + \left\langle \psi \middle| G \middle| \frac{1}{i\hbar} \hat{H} \psi \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{i}{\hbar} \left(\langle \hat{H} \psi | G | \psi \rangle - \langle \psi | G | \hat{H} \psi \rangle \right) \\
&= \frac{i}{\hbar} \left(\langle \psi | \hat{H}^\dagger G | \psi \rangle - \langle \psi | G \hat{H} | \psi \rangle \right) \\
&= \frac{i}{\hbar} \left(\langle \psi | \hat{H} G | \psi \rangle - \langle \psi | G \hat{H} | \psi \rangle \right) \text{ because } \hat{H} \text{ is Hermitian} \\
&= \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle \psi | (\hat{H} G - G \hat{H}) | \psi \rangle \\
&= \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle \psi | [\hat{H}, G] | \psi \rangle = 0 \iff [\hat{H}, G] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

(linear in the second argument). (See Ehrenfest's theorem).

Thus, if $[\hat{H}, G] = 0$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{H} G - G \hat{H} = 0 &\iff \hat{H} G = G \hat{H} \\
&\iff G^{-1} \hat{H} G = \hat{H}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $G^{-1} \hat{H} G$ and \hat{H} share the same eigenvalues (observables), which is only true if \hat{H} is invariant under G . If G generates a group of transformations, then \hat{H} is invariant under the group of transformations generated by G . If G is unitary, this invariance is often expressed as

$$G^\dagger \hat{H} G = \hat{H}.$$

Running the argument in reverse, if \hat{H} is invariant under the transformations generated by G , then $[\hat{H}, G] = 0$, which, by the Ehrenfest theorem, implies that $\langle G \rangle$ is conserved.

Appendix B

Multi-anyon system with harmonic potential

B.1 Gauge Theory and the Hamiltonian

B.2 Hamiltonian Terms

The last term in Eqn. 4.22 is the result of squaring the canonical momentum in Eqn. 4.21. To see this, let's isolate one of the terms. Fix i . Then,

$$\left(\vec{p}_i - \vec{A}_i(\vec{r}_i)\right)^2 = p_i^2 - 2\vec{p}_i \cdot \vec{A}_i(\vec{r}_i) + A_i^2(\vec{r}_i).$$

By Eqn. 4.19, we have

$$\vec{A}_i^2(\vec{r}_i) = \left(\alpha \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{-y_{ij}\hat{x} + x_{ij}\hat{y}}{r_{ij}^2}\right)^2 = \alpha^2 \sum_{j,k \neq i} \frac{y_{ij}y_{ik} + x_{ij}x_{ik}}{r_{ij}^2 r_{ik}^2} = \alpha^2 \sum_{j,k \neq i} \frac{\vec{r}_{ij} \cdot \vec{r}_{ik}}{r_{ij}^2 r_{ik}^2},$$

which is the last term in Eqn. 4.22.

Moreover, the cross term in the expansion of $(\vec{p}_i - \vec{A}_i(\vec{r}_i))^2$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
-2\vec{p}_i \cdot \vec{A}_i(\vec{r}_i) &= -2\vec{p}_i \cdot \left(\alpha \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{-y_{ij}\hat{x} + x_{ij}\hat{y}}{r_{ij}^2} \right) \\
&= -2\alpha \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\vec{p}_i \cdot (-y_{ij}\hat{x} + x_{ij}\hat{y})}{r_{ij}^2} \\
&= -2\alpha \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{-p_{ix}y_{ij} + p_{iy}x_{ij}}{r_{ij}^2} \\
&= -2\alpha \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{(\vec{r}_{ij} \times \vec{p}_i) \cdot \hat{z}}{r_{ij}^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

For each j , there is a corresponding term in Eqn. 4.22 with

$$-2\alpha \frac{(\vec{r}_{ji} \times \vec{p}_j) \cdot \hat{z}}{r_{ji}^2} = -\alpha \frac{(\vec{r}_{ji} \times \vec{p}_j) \cdot \hat{z}}{r_{ij}^2} + \alpha \frac{(\vec{r}_{ij} \times \vec{p}_j) \cdot \hat{z}}{r_{ij}^2},$$

where we rewrote one of the two terms to have \vec{r}_{ij} instead of \vec{r}_{ji} . Then, for fixed i and j , the ij - and ji -term can be combined in the following manner:

$$\begin{aligned}
-2\alpha \frac{(\vec{r}_{ij} \times \vec{p}_i) \cdot \hat{z}}{r_{ji}^2} - 2\alpha \frac{(\vec{r}_{ji} \times \vec{p}_j) \cdot \hat{z}}{r_{ji}^2} &= -\alpha \frac{(\vec{r}_{ij} \times \vec{p}_i) \cdot \hat{z}}{r_{ij}^2} + \alpha \frac{(\vec{r}_{ji} \times \vec{p}_i) \cdot \hat{z}}{r_{ji}^2} \\
&\quad + \alpha \frac{(\vec{r}_{ij} \times \vec{p}_j) \cdot \hat{z}}{r_{ij}^2} - \alpha \frac{(\vec{r}_{ji} \times \vec{p}_j) \cdot \hat{z}}{r_{ji}^2} \\
&= -\alpha \frac{(\vec{r}_{ij} \times (\vec{p}_i - \vec{p}_j)) \cdot \hat{z}}{r_{ij}^2} \\
&\quad - \alpha \frac{(\vec{r}_{ji} \times (\vec{p}_j - \vec{p}_i)) \cdot \hat{z}}{r_{ji}^2} \\
&= -\alpha \frac{(\vec{r}_{ij} \times \vec{p}_{ij}) \cdot \hat{z}}{r_{ij}^2} + \alpha \frac{(\vec{r}_{ji} \times \vec{p}_{ji}) \cdot \hat{z}}{r_{ji}^2} \\
&= -\alpha \frac{\vec{\ell}_{ij}}{r_{ij}^2} - \alpha \frac{\vec{\ell}_{ji}}{r_{ji}^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, summing over all $i \neq j$ yields the second-to-last term in Eqn. 4.22.