



CFA Institute®
CFA Program

ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENTS

CFA® Program Curriculum
2025 • LEVEL 1 • VOLUME 8

©2023 by CFA Institute. All rights reserved. This copyright covers material written expressly for this volume by the editor/s as well as the compilation itself. It does not cover the individual selections herein that first appeared elsewhere. Permission to reprint these has been obtained by CFA Institute for this edition only. Further reproductions by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and recording, or by any information storage or retrieval systems, must be arranged with the individual copyright holders noted.

CFA®, Chartered Financial Analyst®, AIMR-PPS®, and GIPS® are just a few of the trademarks owned by CFA Institute. To view a list of CFA Institute trademarks and the Guide for Use of CFA Institute Marks, please visit our website at www.cfainstitute.org.

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional service. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

All trademarks, service marks, registered trademarks, and registered service marks are the property of their respective owners and are used herein for identification purposes only.

ISBN 9781961409057 (paper)

ISBN 9781961409170 (ebook)

May 2024

CONTENTS

How to Use the CFA Program Curriculum	vii
CFA Institute Learning Ecosystem (LES)	vii
Designing Your Personal Study Program	vii
Errata	viii
Other Feedback	viii
Alternative Investments	
Learning Module 1	Alternative Investment Features, Methods, and Structures
	3
	Introduction
	3
	Alternative Investment Features
	6
	Alternative Investments: Features and Categories
	6
	Private Capital
	7
	Real Assets
	8
	Hedge Funds
	11
	Alternative Investment Methods
	12
	Alternative Investment Methods
	12
	Fund Investment
	13
	Co-Investment
	16
	Direct Investment
	16
	Alternative Investment Structures
	19
	Alternative Investment Ownership and Compensation Structures
	19
	Ownership Structures
	19
	Compensation Structures
	22
	<i>Practice Problems</i>
	29
	<i>Solutions</i>
	31
Learning Module 2	Alternative Investment Performance and Returns
	33
	Introduction
	33
	Alternative Investment Performance
	36
	Alternative Investment Performance Appraisal
	36
	Comparability with Traditional Asset Classes
	36
	Performance Appraisal and Alternative Investment Features
	36
	Alternative Investment Returns
	44
	Alternative Investment Returns
	45
	Alternative Investment Return Calculations
	46
	Relative Alternative Investment Returns and Survivorship Bias
	52
	<i>Practice Problems</i>
	58
	<i>Solutions</i>
	61
Learning Module 3	Investments in Private Capital: Equity and Debt
	65
	Introduction
	65
	Private Equity Investment Characteristics
	68
	Private Equity Investment Categories
	69

	Private Equity Exit Strategies	73
	Risk–Return from Private Equity Investments	77
	Private Debt Investment Characteristics	79
	Private Debt Categories	79
	Risk–Return of Private Debt	82
	Diversification Benefits of Private Capital	84
	<i>Practice Problems</i>	88
	<i>Solutions</i>	90
Learning Module 4	Real Estate and Infrastructure	93
	Introduction	93
	Real Estate Features	96
	Real Estate Investments	97
	Real Estate Investment Structures	98
	Real Estate Investment Characteristics	102
	Source of Returns	103
	Real Estate Investment Diversification Benefits	105
	Infrastructure Investment Features	107
	Infrastructure Investments	107
	Infrastructure Investment Characteristics	113
	Infrastructure Diversification Benefits	115
	<i>Practice Problems</i>	117
	<i>Solutions</i>	119
Learning Module 5	Natural Resources	121
	Introduction	121
	Natural Resources Investment Features	124
	Land Investments vs. Real Estate	124
	Features and Forms of Farmland and Timberland Investment	126
	Commodity Investment Forms	129
	Commodity Investment Features	130
	Distinguishing Characteristics of Commodity Investments	130
	Basics of Commodity Pricing	132
	Natural Resource Investment Risk, Return, and Diversification	135
	Commodities	136
	Farmland and Timberland	137
	Inflation Hedging and Diversification Benefits of Natural Resource Investments	138
	<i>Practice Problems</i>	142
	<i>Solutions</i>	144
Learning Module 6	Hedge Funds	147
	Introduction	147
	Hedge Fund Investment Features	150
	Equity Hedge Fund Strategies	152
	Event-Driven Strategies	154
	Relative Value Strategies	155
	Opportunistic Strategies	156

	Distinguishing Characteristics of Hedge Fund Investments	157
	Hedge Fund Investment Forms	160
	Direct Hedge Fund Investment Forms	160
	Indirect Hedge Fund Investment Forms	162
	Hedge Fund Investment Risk, Return, and Diversification	167
	Hedge Fund Investment Risks and Returns	169
	Diversification Benefits of Hedge Fund Investments	171
	<i>Practice Problems</i>	174
	<i>Solutions</i>	176
Learning Module 7	Introduction to Digital Assets	177
	Introduction	177
	Distributed Ledger Technology	181
	Proof of Work vs. Proof of Stake	183
	Permissioned and Permissionless Networks	184
	Types of Digital Assets	185
	Digital Asset Investment Features	188
	Distinguishing Characteristics of Digital Assets	189
	Investible Digital Assets	191
	Digital Asset Investment Forms	195
	Direct Digital Asset Investment Forms	198
	Indirect Digital Asset Investment Forms	199
	Digital Forms of Investment for Non-Digital Assets	201
	Digital Asset Investment Risk, Return, and Diversification	203
	Digital Asset Investment Risks and Returns	204
	Diversification Benefits of Digital Asset Investments	205
	<i>Practice Problems</i>	207
	<i>Solutions</i>	209
	Glossary	G-1

How to Use the CFA Program Curriculum

The CFA® Program exams measure your mastery of the core knowledge, skills, and abilities required to succeed as an investment professional. These core competencies are the basis for the Candidate Body of Knowledge (CBOK™). The CBOK consists of four components:

A broad outline that lists the major CFA Program topic areas (www.cfainstitute.org/programs/cfa/curriculum/cbok/cbok)

Topic area weights that indicate the relative exam weightings of the top-level topic areas (www.cfainstitute.org/en/programs/cfa/curriculum)

Learning outcome statements (LOS) that advise candidates about the specific knowledge, skills, and abilities they should acquire from curriculum content covering a topic area: LOS are provided at the beginning of each block of related content and the specific lesson that covers them. We encourage you to review the information about the LOS on our website (www.cfainstitute.org/programs/cfa/curriculum/study-sessions), including the descriptions of LOS “command words” on the candidate resources page at www.cfainstitute.org/-/media/documents/support/programs/cfa-and-cipm-los-command-words.ashx.

The CFA Program curriculum that candidates receive access to upon exam registration

Therefore, the key to your success on the CFA exams is studying and understanding the CBOK. You can learn more about the CBOK on our website: www.cfainstitute.org/programs/cfa/curriculum/cbok.

The curriculum, including the practice questions, is the basis for all exam questions. The curriculum is selected or developed specifically to provide candidates with the knowledge, skills, and abilities reflected in the CBOK.

CFA INSTITUTE LEARNING ECOSYSTEM (LES)

Your exam registration fee includes access to the CFA Institute Learning Ecosystem (LES). This digital learning platform provides access, even offline, to all the curriculum content and practice questions. The LES is organized as a series of learning modules consisting of short online lessons and associated practice questions. This tool is your source for all study materials, including practice questions and mock exams. The LES is the primary method by which CFA Institute delivers your curriculum experience. Here, candidates will find additional practice questions to test their knowledge. Some questions in the LES provide a unique interactive experience.

DESIGNING YOUR PERSONAL STUDY PROGRAM

An orderly, systematic approach to exam preparation is critical. You should dedicate a consistent block of time every week to reading and studying. Review the LOS both before and after you study curriculum content to ensure you can demonstrate the

knowledge, skills, and abilities described by the LOS and the assigned reading. Use the LOS as a self-check to track your progress and highlight areas of weakness for later review.

Successful candidates report an average of more than 300 hours preparing for each exam. Your preparation time will vary based on your prior education and experience, and you will likely spend more time on some topics than on others.

ERRATA

The curriculum development process is rigorous and involves multiple rounds of reviews by content experts. Despite our efforts to produce a curriculum that is free of errors, in some instances, we must make corrections. Curriculum errata are periodically updated and posted by exam level and test date on the Curriculum Errata webpage (www.cfainstitute.org/en/programs/submit-errata). If you believe you have found an error in the curriculum, you can submit your concerns through our curriculum errata reporting process found at the bottom of the Curriculum Errata webpage.

OTHER FEEDBACK

Please send any comments or suggestions to info@cfainstitute.org, and we will review your feedback thoughtfully.

Alternative Investments

LEARNING MODULE

1

Alternative Investment Features, Methods, and Structures

LEARNING OUTCOMES

<i>Mastery</i>	<i>The candidate should be able to:</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	describe features and categories of alternative investments
<input type="checkbox"/>	compare direct investment, co-investment, and fund investment methods for alternative investments
<input type="checkbox"/>	describe investment ownership and compensation structures commonly used in alternative investments

INTRODUCTION

1

Alternative Investments are grouped together not because they have similar features but instead because they have characteristics distinct from traditional investments. Investing in alternatives can be done through fund investing, co-investing, or direct investing. Alternative investments typically offer investors greater diversification and higher expected returns than traditional investments but often involve longer-term, illiquid investments in less efficient markets. Investing in alternatives requires specialized knowledge. Alternative investments typically rely on more complex and richer compensation structures than traditional investments in order to better align manager and investor incentives over longer periods.

LEARNING MODULE OVERVIEW



- Alternative investments are investments other than ownership of traditional asset classes (public equity and fixed-income instruments and cash) and include private capital, real assets, and hedge funds.
- Private capital includes private equity and private debt. Real assets include real estate, infrastructure, and natural resources. Hedge funds may invest across both traditional and alternative asset classes and are distinguished by their investment approach, which often includes leverage, derivatives, or other strategies.

- Investors often consider alternative investments in pursuit of greater portfolio diversification and/or increased expected returns. In doing so, they usually face longer investment periods, reduced liquidity, and less efficient markets than for more traditional assets.
- Alternative investment fund investors fully outsource the control and management of investments in exchange for relatively high fees, while co-investment and direct investment methods involve greater investor effort and control over the selection and management of assets in exchange for relatively lower fees.
- Another common type of alternative investment structure is a limited partnership in which responsibilities are flexibly allocated between investors and managers—with managers as general partners and investors as limited partners. Limited partnerships usually have more complex compensation structures, which include both management and performance fees.
- Additional alternative investment structures include trusts and limited liability companies.

LEARNING MODULE SELF-ASSESSMENT



1. Identify which of the following choices is *most likely* an alternative investment:
 - A. An investment in a hedge fund focused on traditional assets
 - B. Shares in a manufacturing firm traded on the Bursa Malaysia exchange
 - C. A euro foreign exchange future purchased on the Chicago Mercantile exchange

Solution:

The correct answer is A. An investment in a hedge fund, even one that purchases traditional exchange-traded assets, is considered an alternative investment. B is incorrect because shares traded on a public exchange, such as the Bursa Malaysia exchange, are considered traditional, not alternative, investments. C is incorrect because a euro foreign exchange future purchased on a public exchange, such as the Chicago Mercantile exchange, is considered a traditional, not an alternative, investment.

2. An advantage of investing in alternative investments *most likely* is:
 - A. high liquidity.
 - B. low investment fees.
 - C. higher expected returns.

Solution:

The correct answer is C. Investors are often attracted to alternative investments seeking greater diversification and/or higher expected returns. A is incorrect because investors usually face longer investment periods, reduced liquidity, and less efficient markets with alternative investments than with more traditional assets. B is incorrect because alternative investments often carry higher fees, including performance and/or incentive fees.

3. Investors with limited experience *most likely* enter into alternative investments through:

- A. co-investing.
- B. fund investing.
- C. direct investing.

Solution:

The correct answer is B. Investors with limited resources and/or experience generally enter into alternative investments through fund investing, where the investor contributes capital to a fund and the fund identifies, selects, and makes investments on the investor's behalf. A is incorrect because co-investing is more appropriate for investors who already have some experience investing in funds; in co-investing, the investor invests in assets indirectly through the fund but also possesses rights (known as co-investment rights) to invest directly in the same assets. C is incorrect because direct investing, which occurs when an investor makes a direct investment in an asset without the use of an intermediary, is typically reserved for larger and more sophisticated investors.

4. When an investor invests in an asset without the use of an intermediary, it is called:

- A. co-investing.
- B. fund investing.
- C. direct investing.

Solution:

The correct answer is C. In direct investing, an investor makes a direct investment in an asset without the use of an intermediary. A is incorrect because in co-investing, an investor invests in assets indirectly through a fund but also possesses rights (known as co-investment rights) to invest directly in the same assets. B is incorrect because for fund investing, an investor contributes capital to a fund and the fund, not the investor, identifies, selects, and makes investments on the investor's behalf.

5. Which statement regarding alternative investment partnership structures is *most* accurate?

- A. The fund manager has limited liability for anything that goes wrong.
- B. The fund manager is a limited partner, and investors are general partners.
- C. Investors' upfront cash outflow can be a small portion of their total commitment to the partnership.

Solution:

The correct answer is C. Limited partners (LPs) are outside investors who own a fractional interest in the partnership based on the amount of their initial investment and the terms set out in the partnership documentation. LPs commit to future investments, and their upfront cash outflow can be a small portion of their total commitment to the fund. A is incorrect because the fund manager is the fund's general partner (GP) who runs the business and theoretically bears unlimited liability for anything that goes wrong. B is incorrect because the fund manager is the fund's general partner, not a limited partner, and the investors are the limited partners.

6. After failing to meet the hurdle rate, which of the following would a general partner still *most likely* receive as compensation?

- A. Carried interest
- B. Management fee
- C. Committed capital

Solution:

The correct answer is B. Alternative investment funds are usually structured with a management fee typically ranging from 1% to 2% of assets under management (e.g., for hedge funds) or 1% to 2% of committed capital (e.g., for private equity funds). (Committed capital is the total amount of money that the limited partners have committed to the fund's future investments.) A performance fee (also referred to as an incentive fee, carried interest, or carry) is applied based on excess returns. The partnership agreement usually specifies that the performance fee is earned only after the fund achieves a return known as a "hurdle rate." The hurdle rate is a minimum rate of return that the general partner must exceed in order to earn the performance fee. A is incorrect because "carried interest" is another name for a performance fee, which is earned only after the fund achieves its hurdle rate. C is incorrect because committed capital is the total amount of money that the limited partners have committed to the fund's future investments, not a fee to the general partner.

2

ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENT FEATURES



describe features and categories of alternative investments

Alternative investments are investments other than ownership of public equity securities, fixed-income instruments, or cash that represent the more traditional asset classes. These investments are referred to as alternatives to traditional asset classes because of their characteristics and the way they are structured. Investors are often attracted to alternative investments when seeking greater diversification and/or higher expected returns in exchange for what are often longer-term, illiquid investments in less efficient markets. The features of these investments necessitate specific skills and information to evaluate their performance and include unique factors investors must consider if adding them to a portfolio.

Alternative Investments: Features and Categories

Some alternative investment features are shared with traditional public debt and equity securities, while others are significantly different. Features that may distinguish alternative investments include the following:

- The need for specialized knowledge to value cash flows and risks
- Typically low correlation of returns with more traditional asset classes
- Illiquidity, long investment time horizons, and large capital outlays

These features lead to the following alternative investment characteristics:

- Different investment structures due to the challenges of direct investment

- Incentive-based fees to address/minimize information asymmetry between managers and investors
- Performance appraisal challenges

For example, while many alternative investments have equity or debt characteristics, they often require a larger or longer financial commitment due to an underlying investment's extended life cycle or different investment methods and vehicles used to align the capabilities and incentives of managers and investors over time. Unlike individual securities, the size and type of some alternative investments may also be prohibitively large for certain investors. For these reasons, most investors limit alternative investments to that portion of their portfolio designated to fund obligations several years in the future. Sophisticated investors with the longest investment time horizons, such as large pension funds, sovereign wealth funds, and not-for-profit endowments, tend to allocate a larger share of their portfolio to these assets.

Alternative investment categories include private capital, real assets, and hedge funds.

Private Capital

Private Capital is a broad term for funding provided to companies that is sourced from neither the public equity nor the public debt markets. Capital that is provided in the form of equity investments is called private equity, whereas capital that is provided as a loan or other form of debt is called private debt.

Private equity and private debt are alternative investments with features similar to public equity and public debt. For example, both private and public equity investors are company owners with residual claims to future cash flows and dividends. However, while investors in private equity may have full access to company information and latitude to influence day-to-day management and strategy decisions, investors in publicly traded equity receive only publicly available information, such as annual reports and periodic financial statements, with voting rights limited to decisions requiring shareholder approval.

Private equity refers to investment in privately owned companies or in public companies with the intent to take them private. In general, private equity is used in the *mature* life cycle stage or for firms in *decline*, with leveraged buyouts being a key approach. Private equity managers often use the greater control and flexibility of private versus public ownership to make management and strategy changes, including closing, selling, or reorganizing lines of business to increase profitability over a several-year period. **Venture capital** is a specialized form of private equity whereby ownership capital is used for non-public companies in the *early* life cycle or *startup* phase, where often an idea or business plan exists with a limited operation or customer base.

EXAMPLE 1

Venture Capital vs. Private Equity

Heartfield Digital is an early-stage digital media venture established 18 months ago. Heartfield plans to convert conventional music and art collection rights to digital form for sale and distribution. Its founders are seeking early-stage investors in order to conduct market research, build partnerships, and initiate operations.

In contrast, Arguston Inc. is a mid-sized manufacturing firm in a mature industry that is experiencing a decline in profitability. Arguston's share price has stagnated, and given its high-cost structure and dwindling operating cash flow, Arguston lacks the scale to make necessary technological upgrades to maintain competitiveness. A prospective private equity investor might consider an

investment plan to restructure Arguston's operations, acquire a smaller competitor, and/or create efficiencies, perhaps by updating the plant and equipment. In several years, Arguston may emerge as a more profitable independent company or as an attractive acquisition target for a competitor.

Technically, venture capital (VC) is a form of private equity. The main difference is that while private equity investors prefer stable companies, VC investors usually come in during the startup phase. Venture capital is usually given to small companies with huge growth potential, such as Heartfield Digital, while broader types of private equity financing would be more appropriate for a mature firm, such as Arguston.

For **private debt**, in addition to private loans or bonds, venture debt is extended to early-stage firms with little or no cash flow, while distressed debt (introduced in a separate fixed-income lesson) involves public or private debt of corporate issuers believed to be close to or in bankruptcy that could benefit from investors with capital restructuring skills.

Real Assets

In contrast to financial assets, **real assets** generally are tangible physical assets, such as real estate (for example, land or buildings) and natural resources, but also include such intangibles as patents, intellectual property, and goodwill. Real assets either generate current or expected future cash flows and/or are considered a store of value. **Real estate** includes borrowed or ownership capital in buildings or land. Developed land includes commercial and industrial real estate, residential real estate, and infrastructure. Commercial real estate includes land and buildings where private business activity is the primary cash flow source, whereas residential real estate's cash flows stem from rents or mortgage payments by households. Publicly traded forms of real estate include real estate investment trusts (REITS), which are issuers of equity securities, and mortgage-backed debt securities, which are introduced and discussed in a fixed-income lesson.

Infrastructure is a special type of real asset that typically involves land, buildings and other long-lived fixed assets that are intended for public use and provide essential services. Bridges and toll roads are common examples of tangible infrastructure assets. Infrastructure may be developed either solely by governments or through a **public-private partnership (PPP)** in which private investors also have a stake. For example, a public-private partnership might be used in order to attract long-term private investment for a broadband internet investment. Infrastructure assets create cash flows either *directly* in the form of fees, leases, or other compensation for access rights or *indirectly* by promoting economic growth and supporting a government's ability to generate increased tax revenue on future economic activity. When private investors are involved, a contract known as a **concession agreement** usually governs the investor's obligations to construct and maintain infrastructure as well as the exclusive right to operate and earn fees for a pre-determined period.

EXAMPLE 2

Public-Private Partnership for Infrastructure Projects in Indonesia

PT Indonesia Infrastructure Finance (IIF) is a private national company established in 2010 by the government of Indonesia to accelerate and improve private participation in infrastructure development in Indonesia. Together with the World Bank, the Asian Development Bank, and other institutions, the IIF

provides infrastructure financing and advisory services for commercially viable infrastructure projects. This approach has facilitated development of PPP projects, increased equity investment in Indonesian infrastructure projects, and increased institutional awareness and capacity to implement environmental, health, and safety and social issues. Looking ahead, the IIF anticipates the need to spend USD150 billion over a five-year period to construct power plants and toll roads. While the government will be able to fund 30% of this cost, the remainder will be financed by the private sector through PPPs.

Natural resources involve either less developed land, which itself is the source of economic value, or naturally occurring standardized products that are harvested, extracted, and/or refined. Less developed land includes farmland, timberland, or land for exploration for natural resource deposits, such as minerals or energy. Sources of return for these types of less developed land include expected price appreciation over time and cash flows. For example, farmland generates crop yields or agricultural lease payments, future timber harvests generate timberland income, and mineral or drilling rights to extract and refine natural resources can provide income. In some cases, these investments may be considered for environmental, social, and governance (ESG) purposes—for example, when promoting sustainable farming practices for agriculture or creating carbon offsets for timberland, as in the following example.

EXAMPLE 3

Using Timberland to Create Carbon Offsets

Companies eager to offset emissions are paying timberland owners not to cut acres of trees. Growing trees absorb carbon in the atmosphere.

- Companies that pay timber owners not to harvest trees receive credits in the form of carbon offsets. Large companies, which inevitably generate emissions in the course of doing business, can fund carbon offsets to demonstrate to their investors, customers, and others (including regulators) that they are serious about reducing pollution and helping the environment.
- For large and small owners of timberland, choosing not to harvest makes sense, too. Their trees continue to grow, leading to higher future volumes of timber, and they earn non-timber income in the meantime.

In other cases, undeveloped land may also have future potential for commercial, residential, or infrastructure development.

Standardized, traded goods known as **commodities** include plant, animal, energy, and mineral products used in goods and services production. Commodities do not themselves generate cash flows but, rather, are ultimately sold by commodity producers to commodity consumers for economic use. Investors seek to benefit from commodity price changes based on their future economic use as well as a lower correlation of returns versus other asset classes over the economic cycle. With their lower correlation of returns with other asset classes, commodities also can serve as a countercyclical holding and as an inflation hedge.

EXAMPLE 4**Rising Demand for Lithium, an Increasingly Important Commodity**

Demand for lithium is growing rapidly as demand for electric vehicles (EVs) climbs. Lithium is popular with battery manufacturers because, as the least dense metal, it stores a large proportion of energy relative to its weight.


The sales of battery electric and plug-in hybrid electric cars exceeded 2 million for the first time in 2019. China dominates this market, accounting for more than half of all current EV sales worldwide. An industry source has forecast that EV sales will grow from 2.5 million in 2020 to approximately 11 million in 2025 and will exceed 30 million by 2030, garnering approximately 32% of the total market share for new car sales at that time.

Demand for lithium will rise accordingly. The growth in lithium demand is expected to foster new lithium mining and more production in more countries worldwide.

Other real alternative assets include tangible collectible assets, such as fine art, wine, rare coins, watches, and other rare assets, as well as intangible assets, such as patents, and litigation, and so-called **digital assets**. “Digital assets” is the umbrella term covering assets that can be created, stored, and transmitted electronically and have associated ownership or use rights.

DIGITAL ASSETS

The term “digital assets” covers a wide variety of assets, such as cryptocurrencies, tokens (security and utility), and digital collectables (such as digital art). The following diagram provides a simple breakdown of digital assets.

Digital Assets diagram

Cryptocurrency and tokens utilize cryptography, an advanced encryption technique that assures the authenticity of crypto assets. The key difference between these two classes of digital asset is that cryptocurrencies have their own blockchains, whereas crypto tokens are built on an existing blockchain.

For example, cryptocurrencies are the native asset of a blockchain—such as Bitcoin (BTC) or Ethereum (ETH). However, tokens are created as part of a platform that is built on an existing blockchain, such as the many ERC-20 tokens that make up the Ethereum ecosystem. The Ethereum ecosystem is used as a network for decentralized applications, such as non-fungible token (NFT) marketplaces and decentralized finance (DeFi) projects.

Digital assets are covered in more detail in a subsequent alternative investments learning module.

Hedge Funds

Hedge funds are private investment vehicles that may invest in public equities or publicly traded fixed-income assets, private capital, and/or real assets, but they are distinguished by their investment *approach* rather than by the investments themselves. Hedge funds make frequent use of leverage, derivatives, short selling, and other investment strategies, which often results in a substantially different risk and return profile from that of merely buying and holding the underlying assets in an investment portfolio. Investors may also invest in a portfolio of hedge funds, often referred to as a **fund of funds**.

QUESTION SET



1. Tangible physical assets that generate current or expected future cash flows and/or are considered a store of value are *best* labeled as:

- A. real assets.
- B. private equity.
- C. venture capital.

Solution:

The correct answer is A. In contrast to financial assets, real assets are generally tangible physical assets that generate current or expected future cash flows and/or are considered a store of value. Major categories of real assets include real estate and natural resources, as well as intangibles such as patents. B is incorrect because private equity, considered an alternative investment, is non-publicly traded capital that is invested directly in private companies (or in public companies that are being taken private). It is typically used to invest in firms in the mature life-cycle stage or in decline. C is incorrect because venture capital is ownership capital used for non-public companies in their early life cycle or startup phase.

2. Contrast private equity and venture capital.

Solution:

Private capital is used at different times in a company's life cycle and in different forms. Most private equity is used in the mature life cycle stage or for firms in decline. Private equity managers often use the greater control and flexibility of private versus public ownership to make management and strategy changes including closing, selling, or reorganizing lines of business to increase profitability over a several-year period. In contrast, venture capital is used for non-public companies with high growth potential in their early life cycle or startup phase. Venture capital is essentially a specialized form of private equity; it represents a small portion of the entire private equity market by value.

3. Identify which statement about a digital asset is *most* accurate. A digital asset:

- A. includes digital art but not cryptocurrencies.

- B.** is anything that can be stored and transmitted electronically and has associated ownership or use rights.
- C.** must adhere to very specific designs or requirements in order to work within the limited types of technology that support it.

Solution:

The correct answer is B. Digital assets continue to evolve and vary in terms of design and application. Digital assets can be thought of as anything that can be stored and transmitted electronically and has associated ownership or use rights. A is incorrect because digital assets include cryptocurrencies, tokens (security and utility), and digital collectables (such as digital art). C is incorrect because digital assets may take many forms (such as digital tokens and virtual currencies) and may use various underlying technologies. They are not limited to specific designs or technology.

4. Determine the correct answers to fill in the blanks: Alternative investment categories include _____, _____, and _____.

Solution:

Alternative investment categories include *private capital*, *real assets*, and *hedge funds*.

3

ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENT METHODS



compare direct investment, co-investment, and fund investment methods for alternative investments

Investors seeking greater diversification and higher expected returns from alternative investments must consider how best to enter into such an investment. The long-term, illiquid nature of many alternative investments along with the specialized knowledge involved in evaluating and overseeing these investments make investors more dependent on manager decisions over longer time periods. Large, sophisticated investors can address this issue by taking greater control over the investment process, while other, less sophisticated investors must outsource this process and seek to align manager incentives with investor objectives.

Alternative Investment Methods


Investors can access alternative investments in three ways:

- Fund investment (such as a in a PE fund)
- Co-investment into a portfolio company of a fund
- Direct investment into a company or project (such as infrastructure or real estate)

Institutional investors typically begin investing in alternative investments via funds. Then, as they gain experience, they may begin to invest via co-investing and direct investing. The largest and most sophisticated direct investors (such as some

sovereign wealth funds) compete with fund managers for access to the best investment opportunities. Exhibit 1 shows an illustration of the three methods of investing in alternative investments. We will refer to this exhibit several times during this lesson.

Exhibit 1: Three Methods of Investing in Alternative Assets






Fund Investment

Investors with limited resources and/or experience generally enter into alternative investments through **fund investing**, where the investor contributes capital to a fund and the fund identifies, selects, and makes investments on the investor's behalf. For the fund's services, the investor is charged a management fee, plus a performance fee if the fund manager delivers superior results versus a hurdle rate or benchmark. In Exhibit 1, the investor invests in the "alternative investments fund." The fund itself invests in three investments: Investments 1, 2, and 3. Fund investing can be viewed as an indirect method of investing in alternative assets.

Fund investors have little or no leeway in the sense that their investment decisions are limited to either investing in the fund or not. Fund investors typically have neither the sophistication nor the experience to invest directly on their own. Furthermore, fund investors are typically unable to affect the fund's underlying investments. Note that fund investing is available for all major alternative investment types, including hedge funds, private capital, real estate, infrastructure, and natural resources.

Allocation to alternative assets requires distinct specialized skills that many investors likely do not possess. These investors can achieve this exposure through fund investing, where one or more investors contribute capital to an investment management company that identifies, selects, manages, and monitors investments on the investors' behalf, as shown in Exhibit 2.

Exhibit 2: Alternative Investment Fund Structure**A. Investments**

B. Returns

Fund investment structures for alternative investments differ substantially from traditional public equity and fixed-income fund or ETF investments. For example, alternative funds usually involve (1) the pre-commitment of funds prior to investment selection and an extended period during which the fund may not be sold, (2) higher management fees with more complex fee structures, and (3) less frequent transparency on periodic returns and fund positions versus equity or fixed-income funds. Investors in alternative funds therefore usually compensate managers using a performance-based, as opposed to flat, fee structure to better align manager and investor incentives over longer periods. Important terms are provided in a term sheet; a sample term sheet for fund investment with Tenderledge Investments LLC is provided in Exhibit 3. Further details of these terms are discussed in subsequent lessons.

**Exhibit 3: Tenderledge Investment Fund VIII Limited Partner Agreement
Term Sheet**
**Tenderledge Investment Fund VIII, L.P.
Term Sheet**

Fund	Tenderledge Investment Fund VIII, L.P
General Partner	Tenderledge Investment LLC
Fund Manager	Tenderledge Investments
Maximum Size	Not to exceed USD750 million
General Partner's Commitment	Equal to at least 2% of the aggregate Commitments of the Limited Partners
Initial Closing Date	The date the General Partner determines that aggregate Commitments equal or exceed USD500 million
Final Closing Date	Twelve (12) months from the Initial Closing Date
Term of the Fund	Ten (10) years from the Initial Closing Date
Investment Policy	To provide attractive, long-term investment return from a diversified portfolio of alternative investments
ESG	In accordance with the General Partner's environmental, social, and governance policy
Management Fee	1.5% per annum of the commitment of each limited partner
Hurdle Rate	A hard hurdle rate of 10%

**Tenderledge Investment Fund VIII, L.P.
Term Sheet**

Performance Fee	20% of fund returns in excess of the specified hard hurdle rate
Side Letters	If any Side Letter grants more favorable rights to any Partner than those provided to other Partners, the more favorable rights will be granted to all other Partners

Co-Investment

Once investors have some experience investing in funds, prior to investing directly themselves, many investors gain direct investing experience via **co-investing**, where the investor invests in assets *indirectly* through the fund but also possesses rights (known as co-investment rights) to invest *directly* in the same assets. Through co-investing, an investor is able to make an investment *alongside* a fund when the fund identifies deals; the investor is not limited to participating in the deal solely by investing in the fund. Exhibit 1 illustrates the co-investing method: The investor invests in one deal (labeled “Investment 3”) indirectly via fund investing while investing an additional amount directly via a co-investment. Co-investing allows investors to expand their investment knowledge, skills, and experience beyond what they would gain from taking a fund-only investment approach. Co-investing also provides investors access to an investment opportunity at a lower fee than they would owe as fund-only investors in the same asset. Co-investors can learn from the fund’s process to eventually pursue direct investments themselves. Co-investors weigh the benefits of greater control and lower fees versus higher oversight costs.

Managers benefit from choosing one or more co-investors to

- accelerate investment timing when available funds and expected inflows are insufficient for a specific deal,
- expand the scope of available new investments, and
- increase diversification of an existing pool of fund investments.

EXAMPLE 5

Co-Investment Opportunity

Moreton Bay Pension Plan is an investor in Tenderledge LLC Alternatives Fund. Tenderledge has identified a take-private transaction in Fancy Roofing Co. that requires a USD1.5 billion capital investment. However, the fund concentration limit allows Tenderledge to invest only up to USD1 billion in any one investment. Tenderledge offers the additional USD0.5 billion to Moreton Bay Pension Plan and other investors in the fund as a co-investment on a reduced fee and no carry basis. In this case, the co-investment allows Tenderledge the ability to secure the investment without needing to bring an additional fund manager into the transaction, and the co-investors gain additional exposure to Fancy Roofing Company at a reduced management fee and zero performance fees.

Direct Investment

The largest, most sophisticated investors with sufficient skills and knowledge to manage individual alternative investments often do so via **direct investing** *without* the use of an intermediary, as previously shown in Exhibit 1 (labeled “Investment A”).


Direct investors retain maximum flexibility and control when it comes to investment choice, methods of financing, and timing. In the case of private equity, this involves the purchase of a direct stake in a private company without the use of a fund managed by an external asset manager or general partner. It also requires the direct investor to have the resources to provide the specialized knowledge, skills, and oversight capabilities that direct investment requires. Although the direct investment approach usually applies to private capital and real estate, some very large investors, such as pensions and sovereign wealth funds, also invest directly in infrastructure and natural resources.

EXAMPLE 6**Direct Investment in Renewable Energy**

Singapore sovereign wealth fund GIC announced in 2021 that it will directly invest USD240 million in a Singapore-based energy firm, Arctic Green Energy, becoming an equity partner in the firm. The investment will help Arctic Green Energy expand its global operations and increase its capability in geothermal energy. Geothermal energy is derived from hot underground springs and is a renewable, economically competitive, and sustainable alternative to using fossil fuels for heating and cooling. Arctic Green Energy uses geothermal resources to generate power and produce clean heat. GIC has noted that investing sustainably is one of its core long-term investment mandates.

QUESTION SET

1. Referring to the diagram below, identify the label that best corresponds to the three methods of investing in alternative assets:



- | | |
|----|---------------------|
| A. | 1. Co-investing |
| B. | 2. Fund investing |
| C. | 3. Direct investing |

Solution (as seen in Exhibit 1 of this lesson):

A. 2 is correct. In fund investing, the investor contributes capital to a fund and the fund identifies, selects, and makes investments on the investor's behalf.

B. 1 is correct. In co-investing, the investor invests in assets indirectly through the fund but also possesses rights (known as co-investment rights) to invest directly in the same assets.

C. 3 is correct. In direct investing, an investor makes a direct investment in an asset (labeled “Investment X” in the diagram) without the use of an intermediary.

2. Determine the correct answers to fill in the blanks: The _____ and _____ nature of many alternative investments and the _____ required to evaluate and oversee these investments make investors more dependent on manager decisions over longer time periods.

Solution:

The *long-term* and *illiquid* nature of many alternative investments and the *specialized knowledge* required to evaluate and oversee these investments make investors more dependent on manager decisions over longer time periods.

3. Identify two reasons investment managers offer co-investment opportunities to investors. Managers might choose to add co-investors for such reasons as:

- 1.
- 2.

Solution:

Managers might choose to add co-investors for such reasons as:

- accelerating investment timing when available funds and expected inflows are insufficient for a specific deal.
- expanding the scope of available new investments.
- increasing diversification of an existing pool of fund investments.

4. Identify one reason an investor would elect to participate in each of the following alternative investment methods:

1. Fund investing
2. Co-investing
3. Direct investing

Solution:

1. Fund investing	Fund investing gives investors with limited resources or experience an entrance into alternative investing.
2. Co-investing	Investors who have better specific skills and greater ability to allocate investments to individual assets may select a more active investment approach while retaining manager involvement. This results in lower fees for the investor than for a purely fund-based approach. Also, co-investors select and manage an investment jointly with a general fund manager, which potentially gives them greater control and higher returns than they could earn in a fund-only structure.
3. Direct investing	Direct investing offers investors flexibility and control when it comes to choosing their investments, selecting their preferred methods of financing, and planning their approach.

ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENT STRUCTURES

4



describe investment ownership and compensation structures commonly used in alternative investments

Beyond the direct or indirect method of investing in alternatives, the illiquidity, complexity, and long-term nature of these investments require more complex structures to bridge potential gaps between manager and investor interests. Alternative investment structures may explicitly address both the roles and responsibilities of investors and managers to address these gaps. In addition, alternative investment structures tailor the distribution of returns between these two parties to better align the incentives (or interests) between manager and investor.

For example, managers may require investors to be responsible for future capital contributions, while investors may place restrictions on manager investment selection to avoid conflicts of interest or hostile takeovers among other investment criteria. Performance-based compensation structures, which can include minimum return requirements for investors, delayed payouts, and/or the ability to reclaim incentive compensation in the event of poor fund performance, encourage managers to maximize returns in the best interest of investors.

Alternative Investment Ownership and Compensation Structures


In this section, we discuss the ownership and compensation structures of alternative investments.

Ownership Structures

Alternative investment vehicles often take the form of partnerships in order to maximize flexibility in the investment structure to allocate business risk and return and to distribute special responsibilities between investors and managers as required. Limited partnerships, introduced in a corporate issuer lesson, involve at least one general

partner (GP) with theoretically unlimited liability who is responsible for managing the fund. Limited partners (LPs) are outside investors who own a fractional interest in the partnership based on the amount of their initial investment and the terms set out in the partnership documentation. Exhibit 4 shows the basic GP/LP structure together with the various investment approaches (fund, co-investment, direct) introduced earlier.


Exhibit 4: Basic GP/LP Structure and Various Investment Approaches




LPs commit to future investments, and the upfront cash outflow can be a small portion of their total commitment to the fund. Funds set up as limited partnerships typically have a limit on the number of LPs allowed to invest in the fund. LPs play passive roles and are not involved with the management of the fund (although co-investment rights allow for the LPs to make additional direct investments in the portfolio companies); the operations and decisions of the fund are controlled solely by the GP.

Limited partners (LPs) have their liability capped at the amount of their investment in the partnership, as shown in Exhibit 5.

Exhibit 5: Example Limited Partnership Structure



A restricted number of limited partners hold a fractional interest in the fund. LP investors must generally meet certain minimum regulatory net worth, institutional, or other requirements, as so-called **accredited investors**, to access these investments, which are less regulated than general public offerings. The GP (Tenderledge Investments LLC in Exhibit 6) agrees to manage the fund's operations under an agreed standard of care and perform such activities as buying or selling assets, borrowing funds, establishing reserves, or entering into contracts on behalf of the fund.

Exhibit 6: Limited Partnership (Tenderledge Investment Fund)

A GP may manage multiple funds at a time. A **limited partnership agreement (LPA)** establishes terms of an LP as governed by a limited partnership agreement.

Key features of an LPA include the distribution of profits and losses (covered in detail below); manager roles and responsibilities, such as investment criteria and restrictions; and terms governing transfers, withdrawals, and dissolution of the agreement.

Adjustments to LP terms are sometimes made to address the unique legal, regulatory, or reporting requirements of a specific investor. In this case, a supplemental document known as a **side letter** is issued between a GP and one or more LPs with terms that override or modify the original LPA terms. These terms might include such features as increased investor ability to transfer investments to a related or successor fund; first right of refusal and other similar clauses to outline potential treatment (regarding fees, co-investment rights, secondary sales, and potentially other matters) in comparison to other LPs; ability to forgo a contractual capital contribution (known as an excusal right); or ability to receive additional investment reporting. One feature of a side letter might include a “most favored nation” clause ensuring any more favorable or additional terms negotiated outside of the LPA with other investors will also apply to a particular LP. The customized features of the LPA and any side letters stand in contrast to a standardized indenture applicable to all bond investors in the case of public fixed-income securities.

Different specialized structures are commonly adopted for other alternative investments. For example, infrastructure investors frequently enter into public–private partnerships, which are agreements between the public sector and the private sector to finance, build, and operate public infrastructure, as shown in Exhibit 7. Exhibit 8 shows the PPP structure for PT Indonesia Infrastructure Finance (IIF), as discussed in Example 2.



Exhibit 7: Public–Private Partnership

Exhibit 8: Public–Private Partnership Structure of IIF

Infrastructure projects often involve a special purpose entity that raises borrowed and ownership capital for the construction and operation of a specific road, bridge, or other long-lived asset under the terms of a concession agreement, after which the asset is sold or returned to a public sector entity.

Real estate or natural resource fund investors are often classified as unitholders in what is referred to as a **master limited partnership (MLP)**, which has similar features to the limited partnership described earlier but is usually a more liquid investment that is often publicly traded. Other forms of more liquid investments in alternative assets are real estate investment trusts (REITs), commodity funds, and various exchange-traded funds (ETFs). In the case of direct real estate investments, joint ventures are also a common partnership structure. Infrastructure and real estate will be discussed further in a subsequent alternative investment learning module.

Compensation Structures

The asymmetry in information between the general partner, with specialized knowledge and control, and the limited partners in alternative investments means that more complex compensation structures are used to better align general and limited partner incentives. Most funds that own public equity or debt securities charge management fees as a fixed percentage of assets under management. Alternative investment funds, in contrast, usually combine a higher management fee (often 1%–2% of assets under management) with a **performance fee** (also referred to as an incentive fee or **carried interest**) based on a percentage of periodic fund returns.

While hedge funds and REITs typically charge a management fee on assets under management, private equity funds often levy this fee on **committed capital**, which consists of the total amount that LPs have promised to fund future investments. Private equity funds raise committed capital and draw down on those commitments, generally over three to five years, when they have a specific investment to make. The life of a typical private equity fund is 10 years. Note that the management fee is typically based on committed capital, *not* invested capital; the committed-capital basis for management fees is an important distinction from hedge funds, whose management fees are based on assets under management (AUM). Using committed capital as the basis for management fee calculations reduces the incentive for GPs to deploy the committed capital as quickly as possible (in order to increase near-term management fees). This allows the GPs to be selective about deploying capital into investment

opportunities. In addition, since the GP has so much influence on the value of the assets, it would be inappropriate to pay management fees on the basis of the value of assets under management.

Performance fees are often subject to a minimum fund return or **hurdle rate** (also known as a “preferred return”), as well as other modifications, to align manager incentives as closely as possible with those of investors over long investment periods. Hurdle rate agreements sometimes distinguish between a **hard hurdle rate**, where the manager earns fees on annual returns in excess of the hurdle rate, or a **soft hurdle rate**, where the fee is calculated on the entire return when the hurdle is exceeded. With a soft hurdle, GPs are able to catch up performance fees once the hurdle threshold is exceeded.

If we ignore management fees and assume a single-period fund rate of return of r , a hard hurdle rate of r_h , and a GP performance fee (p) as a percentage of total return, then the GP's rate of return (r_{GP}) is as follows:

$$r_{GP} = \max[0, p(r - r_h)]. \quad (1)$$



For example, if a fund earns r of 18% over a period and receives a performance fee of 20% (p) of returns in excess of the hurdle rate, r_h , of 8%, then r_{GP} is equal to 2%:

$$2\% = \max[0, 20\%(18\% - 8\%)].$$

Performance fee modifications include rewarding managers for exceeding the hurdle rate or generating returns on specific deals or penalizing them by limiting or requiring the return of performance fees for declining performance over time. The acceleration of performance fees once a fund exceeds the soft hurdle rate is known as a **catch-up clause**, as illustrated in Exhibit 9. Under the catch-up clause using the prior example, the GP earns an *immediate* 2% (catch-up return of r_{cu}) once the hurdle is exceeded, resulting in a 1.6% higher return than without the catch-up feature. In other words, the LP will receive 100% of all cash distributions until it earns the hurdle rate of 8%. Then, the GP will receive 100% of distributions until the split of profit is 80%/20%. The remaining distributions will be split 80%/20%. The GP's rate of return (r_{GP}) from Equation 1 with a catch-up clause becomes

$$r_{GP} = \max[0, r_{cu} + p(r - r_h - r_{cu})]. \quad (2)$$

$$3.6\% = \max[0, 2.0\% + 20\%(18\% - 8\% - 2\%)].$$

Exhibit 9: Catch-Up Clause Illustration**A. GP Returns with a Catch-Up Clause****B. GP Returns without a Catch-Up Clause**

In other instances, managers are penalized with fee reductions in cases of poor or declining performance. One example of this in the case of hedge funds is the use of a so-called **high-water mark**, which reflects the fund's peak value as of a performance calculation date net of fees. If the fund's value subsequently declines below the high-water mark, the hedge fund manager may not charge performance fees until the fund value exceeds the previous high-water mark. The use of high-water marks seeks to reward managers for sustained performance and protect LPs from paying twice for the same returns.

A **clawback** provision, in contrast, actually grants LPs the right to reclaim a portion of the GP's performance fee. Clawback provisions are usually activated when a GP exits successful deals early on but incurs losses on deals later in the fund's life. For most alternative investments, investor high-water marks carry over into new calendar years, but in the case of hedge funds, an investor may no longer claw back incentive fees paid for a prior calendar year if portfolio losses are incurred later. Given the generally more illiquid and longer-term nature of their holdings, private equity and real estate investments are more likely to contain clawback clauses for the entire life of the portfolio.

Finally, as in the case of asset-backed securities described in fixed-income lessons, alternative investments often use a waterfall structure to determine the distribution of cash flows to GPs and LPs. In alternative investments, GPs usually receive a disproportionately larger share of the total profits relative to their initial investment, which incentivizes them to maximize profitability.

There are two types of waterfalls: *deal-by-deal* (or *American*) waterfalls and *whole-of-fund* (or *European*) waterfalls.

- Deal-by-deal waterfalls are more advantageous to the GP because performance fees are collected on a per-deal basis, allowing the GP to get paid before LPs receive both their initial investment *and* their preferred rate of return (i.e., the hurdle rate) on the entire fund.
- In whole-of-fund waterfalls, all distributions go to the LPs as deals are exited and the GP does not participate in any profits until the LPs receive their initial investment and the hurdle rate has been met. In contrast to deal-by-deal waterfalls, whole-of-fund waterfalls occur at the aggregate fund level and are more advantageous to the LPs.

Exhibit 10 and Exhibit 11 illustrate how the cash flow to the GP differs in a deal-by-deal waterfall with a clawback provision versus a whole-of-fund waterfall, respectively. In the first instance, the fund initially grants the GP payouts of \$2 million, \$3 million, and \$8 million because Investments 1, 2, and 3 generate a profit when sold. However, all subsequent investments either break even or return a loss, meaning that over the course of the fund's life, it breaks even at an aggregate level. Thus, the GP must return all early payouts, to compensate for subsequent losses.

Exhibit 10: Deal-by-Deal (American) Waterfall Example—with Clawback Provision

Investment no.	Year		Amount (\$mm)		Profit		
	Invested	Sold	Invested	Sold	\$mm	%	GP at 20%
1	1	4	\$10	\$20	\$10	26.0%	\$2
2	2	5	\$20	\$35	\$15	20.5%	\$3
3	2	7	\$40	\$80	\$40	14.9%	\$8
4	3	7	\$20	\$20	—	—	—
5	3	8	\$35	\$25	(\$10)	neg	(\$2)
6	4	9	\$25	\$20	(\$5)	neg	(\$1)
7	5	9	\$30	\$—	(\$30)	neg	(\$6)
8	5	10	\$20	\$—	(\$20)	neg	(\$4)
Total	1	10	\$200	\$200	—	—	—

In the second instance, the GP would receive no payouts until the LPs have received their initial investment and the hurdle rate has been met at the aggregate level. Since the fund only breaks even at the aggregate level, the GP would receive no performance payouts.

Exhibit 11: Whole-of-Fund (European) Waterfall Example

Investment no.	Year		Amount (\$mm)		Profit		
	Invested	Sold	Invested	Sold	\$mm	%	GP at 20%
1	1	4	\$10	\$20	\$10	26.0%	—
2	2	5	\$20	\$35	\$15	20.5%	—
3	2	7	\$40	\$80	\$40	14.9%	—
4	3	7	\$20	\$20	—	—	—

Investment no.	Year		Amount (\$mm)		Profit		
	Invested	Sold	Invested	Sold	\$mm	%	GP at 20%
5	3	8	\$35	\$25	(\$10)	neg	—
6	4	9	\$25	\$20	(\$5)	neg	—
7	5	9	\$30	\$—	(\$30)	neg	—
8	5	10	\$20	\$—	(\$20)	neg	—
Total	1	10	\$200	\$200	—	—	—

QUESTION SET



1. Compensation structures for alternative investments *most likely* are:

- A. less complex than those of traditional investments.
- B. equally complex as those of traditional investments.
- C. more complex than those of traditional investments.

Solution:

C is correct. The illiquidity, complexity, and long-term nature of alternative investments require more complex structures to bridge potential gaps between manager and investor interests. Alternative investment structures may explicitly address both the roles and responsibilities of investors and managers to address these gaps. In addition, alternative investment structures tailor the distribution of returns between these two parties to minimize the divergence of incentives between manager and investor. A is incorrect because the compensation structures for alternative investments are more complex, not less complex, than those for traditional investments. B is incorrect because the compensation structures for alternative investments are more complex than, not equally complex as, those for traditional investments.

2. Identify the following statement as true or false: Limited partners (LPs) are involved in the management of the alternative investment fund in which they invest; they assist the general partner (GP) in the operations and decisions of the fund.

- A. True
- B. False

Solution:

False. LPs play passive roles and are not involved in the management of the fund (although co-investment rights allow LPs to make additional direct investments in the portfolio companies); the operations and decisions of the fund are controlled solely by the GP.

3. Calculate the general partner's performance fee earned based on the following terms:

Single-period fund rate of return	20%
Hard hurdle rate	10%

GP performance fee	18%
Catch-up clause	none

A. 1.6%

B. 1.8%

C. 2.0%

Solution:

The correct answer is B, 1.8%, determined as follows:

A GP's rate of return when there is no catch-up clause is calculated as

$$r_{GP} = \max[0, p(r - r_h)],$$

where

Symbol	Stands for
r_{GP}	GP's rate of return
p	GP's performance fee
r	Single-period fund rate of return
r_h	Hard hurdle rate

Using the terms noted results in a rate of return for the GP of 1.8%:

$$r_{GP} = \max[0, 18\%(20\% - 10\%)].$$

$$r_{GP} = 1.8\%.$$

A is incorrect because it is calculated using a single-period fund rate of return of 18%, a hard hurdle rate of 10%, and a GP performance fee of 20%.

C is incorrect because it is calculated using a single-period fund rate of return of 18%, a hard hurdle rate of 8%, and a GP performance fee of 20%.

4. Determine the correct answers to fill in the blanks: A _____ agrees to manage a fund's operations under an agreed standard of care and to perform such activities as buying or selling assets, borrowing funds, establishing reserves, or entering into contracts on behalf of the fund. A _____ establishes the terms of a limited partnership and governs the actions and decisions of the limited partnership.

Solution:

A general partner agrees to manage a fund's operations under an agreed standard of care and to perform such activities as buying or selling assets, borrowing funds, establishing reserves, or entering into contracts on behalf of the fund. A limited partnership agreement (LPA) establishes the terms of a limited partnership and governs the actions and decisions of the limited partnership.

5. Identify the investment type in which each of the following investments *best* fits.

Investment	Traditional Investment	Alternative Investment
A. Alibaba shares traded on the Frankfurt Stock Exchange		
B. Real estate holdings		
C. Hedge fund shares invested in common stock		
D. An investment in an infrastructure project via a public–private partnership		
E. The most junior tranche in an asset-backed security		


Solution:

Investment	Traditional Investment	Alternative Investment
A.	Publicly traded equity shares are a traditional, not an alternative, investment.	
B.		Real estate is a real, tangible physical asset that will generate current and/or future cash flows.
C.		Hedge funds are private investment vehicles, not traditional ones, even though they may invest in public equities or fixed income, private capital, and/or real assets. They are distinguished by their investment approach rather than the types of investments they make via the fund.
D.		Infrastructure is a special type of real asset typically involving land, buildings, and other long-lived fixed assets that are intended for public use and provide essential services. When private investors get involved in such projects, they participate through a public–private partnership.
E.	The most junior tranche in an asset-backed security is still a fixed-income instrument. Fixed-income instruments are traditional investments.	

PRACTICE PROBLEMS

1. Which of the following documents provides tailored terms for a specific investor?
 - A. A side letter
 - B. An excusal right
 - C. A limited partnership agreement
2. Identify the fee approach that *most directly* encourages private equity fund managers to invest selectively, not just quickly. Management fees:
 - A. based on committed capital
 - B. combined with an incentive fee
 - C. based on a fixed percentage of assets under management
3. Which performance fee modification grants limited partners the right to reclaim a portion of the general partner's performance fee?
 - A. Catch-up clause
 - B. High-water mark
 - C. Clawback provision
4. Which of the following features is *most likely* associated with an alternative investment rather than a traditional one?
 - A. Illiquidity
 - B. Smaller capital outlays
 - C. Shorter investment time horizons
5. Assets developed through a public–private partnership typically involve:
 - A. long-lived fixed assets intended for public use.
 - B. ownership capital used in the early life cycle of a venture.
 - C. commercial real estate where private business activity is the primary cash flow source.

6.



Referring to the diagram above, identify the label (A, B, or C) that *best* corresponds to each of the following financial measures

A.	1. Management fee
B.	2. Net return
C.	3. Gross return

7. Which of the following options is the *most likely* ranking of the alternative investment methods—from most desirable to least—for the following pension fund? A well-endowed university pension fund with significant in-house knowledge, skills, and oversight capabilities is seeking alternative investments to diversify its existing asset portfolio and produce higher returns than more traditional investments while retaining as much control as possible.
- fund investment, co-investment, direct investment
 - direct investment, co-investment, fund investment
 - co-investment, direct investment, fund investment
8. From the following options, identify the *most important* reason that investors in alternative funds compensate managers using a performance-based fee structure. To:
- penalize managers for poor or declining performance over time
 - better align manager and investor incentives over longer periods
 - protect themselves from paying managers twice for the same returns

SOLUTIONS

1. The correct answer is A. In addition to limited partnership agreements (LPAs), a supplemental document known as a side letter also may be negotiated. Side letters are agreements between the general partner and one or more limited partners that exist outside the LPA and whose terms override or modify the original limited partnership agreement terms. A side letter is negotiated to address the unique legal, regulatory, or reporting requirements of a specific investor. B is incorrect because an excusal right gives an investor the right to forgo a capital contribution or to not participate in a particular type of investment. An excusal right is granted when it has been stipulated in a side letter, not independently. C is incorrect because the limited partnership agreement establishes and governs the terms of a limited partnership; its terms apply to all limited partners, not just to a specific investor who requires tailored terms.
2. The correct answer is A. Private equity funds typically calculate their management fee based on committed capital, which is the total amount that the limited partners have promised to fund future investments, rather than based on assets under management. The committed-capital basis for management fees is an important distinction from hedge funds, whose management fees are typically based on assets under management. Having committed capital as the basis for management fee calculations reduces the incentive for GPs to deploy the committed capital as quickly as possible to grow their fee base and thus allows the GPs to be selective about deploying capital into investment opportunities. B is incorrect because alternative investment funds usually combine a management fee with a performance fee paid when fund returns exceed a specified hurdle rate. Although this combined fee approach is typical for alternative investment funds, it is not what encourages managers to invest selectively, rather than quickly. C is incorrect because typically hedge funds and REITs charge a management fee on assets under management, while private equity funds instead levy management fees on committed capital.
3. C is correct. A clawback provision grants limited partners the right to reclaim a portion of the general partner's performance fee. Clawback provisions are usually activated when a GP exits successful deals early on but incurs losses on deals later in the fund's life. A is incorrect because a catch-up clause allows a general partner to accelerate performance fees once a fund exceeds a specified soft hurdle rate. B is incorrect because the high-water mark identifies the fund's peak value as of a performance calculation date net of fees; it is not a performance fee modification.
4. A is correct. Investors are often attracted to alternative investments if they are seeking greater diversification and higher expected returns than traditional investments can deliver; alternative investments are often longer-term, illiquid investments in less efficient markets. B is incorrect because alternative investments often require larger capital outlays, not smaller ones than traditional investments. C is incorrect because alternative investments typically require long investment time horizons compared to those of traditional investments.
5. A is correct. In a public-private partnership (PPP), both governments and private investors are involved in funding and completing long-lived fixed assets intended for public use and/or to provide essential services. B is incorrect because ownership capital used for non-public companies in the early life cycle of a venture pertains to venture capital, not to public-private partnerships. C is incorrect because typically a public-private partnership is used to fund and develop infrastructure (i.e., long-lived fixed assets intended for public use and to provide

essential services), not commercial real estate for private business activity.

6. A. 2 is correct. In alternative fund investing, the fund manager pays the net return (gross return less management fees) to investors.
B. 3 is correct. The returns generated by fund investments are gross returns. From these, management deducts its fees, paying the remainder (net fees) to fund investors.
C. 1 is correct. Management fees and performance fees are how alternative fund managers are compensated for managing the fund and its investments.
7. B is correct. It shows the three alternative investment methods from most control to least. Direct investing offers investors maximum flexibility and control when it comes to investment choice, methods of financing, and timing. Co-investors gain more control than fund-only investors but not as much as direct investors. Fund investors have the least control; their decisions are limited to either investing in the fund or not. A is incorrect because it ranks the three investment methods from least control to most. C is incorrect because it puts co-investment, the alternative investment method with some control but not the most, in front of direct investment, which offers maximum control and flexibility.
8. B is correct. Investors in alternative funds usually compensate managers using a performance-based, versus flat, fee structure to better align manager and investor incentives over longer periods. A is incorrect because while performance fees/fee structures can penalize managers for declining performance, that is not the most important reason for a performance-based fee structure. C is incorrect because although calculation of a manager's performance may include reference to a fund's peak value (high-water mark) in order to avoid paying twice for the same returns, this is not the most important reason that investors in alternative funds compensate using a performance-based fee structure.

LEARNING MODULE

2

Alternative Investment Performance and Returns

LEARNING OUTCOMES

<i>Mastery</i>	<i>The candidate should be able to:</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	describe the performance appraisal of alternative investments
<input type="checkbox"/>	calculate and interpret alternative investment returns both before and after fees

INTRODUCTION

1

Investment performance measurement on common asset classes, such as public equity and debt, is relatively straightforward. In alternative investments, there are unique features that make this asset class somewhat complicated when it comes to measurement of investment risk and return. In this learning module, we first discuss these unique characteristics and the challenges they pose to performance appraisal. Then we explain the various features in the complex fee arrangement in alternative investments and the nuances when it comes to calculating investor returns.

LEARNING MODULE OVERVIEW



- Alternative investments differ from traditional asset classes in that they involve longer time horizons, unique patterns of cash flows, the use of leverage, illiquid positions, more complex fee structures, different tax and accounting treatment, and so on. In addition, returns are usually less normally distributed for alternative investments than for traditional investments.
- The investment life cycle is usually longer and involves three phases: capital commitment, capital deployment, and capital distribution.
- Internal rate of return (IRR) is often the preferred measure for alternative investment returns. The multiple of invested capital (MOIC) is often used as a shortcut measure, but it ignores the timing of cash flows.
- Customized and complex compensation arrangements seek to align manager and investor incentives. Special provisions also exist for the lockup and redemption of capital from investors.

- In addition to a base management fee, alternative investments often charge additional performance fees based on a percentage of periodic fund returns. When calculating fees and investors' net returns, different features have to be considered, such as founder share class, either/or fee structure, hurdle rate, and high-water mark and clawback clauses.
- It is difficult to generalize performance appraisal for these investments because returns may vary depending on how and when a particular investor invested in a particular vehicle.

LEARNING MODULE SELF-ASSESSMENT



1. In which part of the investment life cycle of a private equity investment should investors generally expect a positive cash flow?

- A. Capital commitment
- B. Capital deployment
- C. Capital distribution

Solution:

C is correct. In the initial capital commitment phase, fees and expenses are immediately incurred prior to capital deployment, and assets may generate little or no income during this first phase. In the capital deployment phase, cash outflows typically exceed inflows as funds are deployed. Only in the capital distribution phase can excess income be generated from the invested properties and substantial capital gains be realized upon the sale of assets.

2. Why is IRR preferred for performance measurement for alternative investments?

- A. IRR is commonly used for other asset classes.
- B. IRR is easy and intuitive to calculate.
- C. IRR takes into account the timing of cash flows in long-lived alternative investments.

Solution:

C is correct. IRR is seldom used to measure investment performance of other asset classes with publicly quoted market prices. Although IRR is complicated to calculate and involves assumptions on opportunity costs and reinvestment rates, it is the best metric to evaluate long-lived alternative investments because it takes into account the unique timing of cash flows in the investment life cycle of alternative investments.

3. Which of the following statements regarding hedge fund fee structure is correct?

- A. The periodic returns of all investors in the same fund must be identical.
- B. Hedge funds usually charge a performance fee based on a percentage of periodic return above a certain threshold.

- C. The management and performance fee rates are always the same for all investors in the same fund.

Solution:

B is correct. A hedge fund usually charges both a flat management fee and an additional performance fee based on a percentage of periodic fund returns. Periodic performance results may vary based on which investor has invested and when the investor invested into the fund. Besides, a particular investor may face significantly lower incentive fees if she invests more capital in a fund at an earlier phase or is willing to accept greater restrictions on redemptions.

4. A \$100 million hedge fund charges all its investors a 2% management fee and a 20% performance fee if the periodic return, net of management fee, exceeds a 5% hard hurdle rate. All fees are deducted based on the end-of-year value. If the fund makes a gross return (before fees) of 8% for the year, what is the investor's return, net of fees, *closest* to (ignoring any high-water mark provisions)?

- A. 4.67%
B. 5.67%
C. 5.84%

Solution:

B is correct. If the hedge fund makes 8% gross return for the year, its net asset value has grown to \$108 million before any fees are deducted.

Management fee = $\$108 \times 2\% = \2.16 million.

Performance fee = $[(\$108 - \$2.16) - (\$100 \times \$1.05\%)] \times 20\% = \$0.168$ million.

Net asset value after fee deduction = $\$108 - \$2.16 - \$0.168 = \105.672 million.

Net investor return = $(\$105.672 - \$100)/\$100 \approx 5.67\%$.

5. A €100 million private equity fund has a preferred return of 5% per annum, 20% carried interest with full catch-up, and standard clawback clauses. The fund realizes a gross gain of 50% in two years before it distributes all its capital back to its LPs. Ignoring management fees, the total carried interest to the GP for the two years is *closest* to:

- A. €8 million.
B. €10 million.
C. €16 million.

Solution:

B is correct. After two years, the net asset value of the fund has grown to $\text{€}100 \text{ million} \times 150\% = \text{€}150 \text{ million}$ shortly before distribution. The preferred return to the LPs for the two years totaled $\text{€}100 \text{ million} \times 5\% \times 2 = \text{€}10 \text{ million}$. (Note that annual preferred return is typically not compounded.) Next, the GP is allowed full catch-up until the GP's carried interest has caught up to the 20% of the total profit accounted for so far, or €2.5 million ($\text{€}10 \text{ million} / 0.8 \times 0.2$). The remaining profit of $50 - 10 - 2.5 = \text{€}37.5 \text{ million}$ is then split 80/20 between the LPs and GP; that is, the GP will get another €7.5 million ($\text{€}37.5 \text{ million} \times 20\%$). Therefore, the total carried interest to the GP is $2.5 + 7.5 = \text{€}10 \text{ million}$, which is exactly 20% of the €50 million gain.

2

ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE



describe the performance appraisal of alternative investments

The unique features, form, and structure of alternative investments must be considered when evaluating the relative performance *between* alternative investments and when comparing their performance to that of more common asset classes over time. In particular, such features as staggered capital commitments over time, longer required investment horizons, reduced liquidity, and less efficient markets highlighted in prior lessons must be factored into the performance appraisal for alternative investments. Alternative investment returns are usually less normally distributed and therefore require different measures of risk and return than those used for more traditional asset classes.

Alternative Investment Performance Appraisal

Appraising the performance of alternative investments requires more scrutiny in certain areas than traditional asset classes do.

Comparability with Traditional Asset Classes

Public equity and debt securities share several characteristics that facilitate the comparison of their performance over a particular period. These standardized claims involve no further required capital commitments and provide identical claims to periodic cash flows, such as dividends in the case of shareholders or contractual bond coupons and principal for debtholders. Prices of publicly traded securities are often continuously quoted, with large peer groups of similar investments available and common indexes used to benchmark returns. Performance appraisal of publicly traded securities is thus straightforward to implement and evaluate.

In contrast, alternative investments are customized investments whose distinctive features complicate performance appraisal between investments and across asset classes. These features include

- the *timing* of cash inflows and outflows for specific investments,
- the use of borrowed funds,
- the *valuation* of individual portfolio positions over specific phases of the investment life cycle, and
- more complex fee structures and tax and accounting treatment.


Performance Appraisal and Alternative Investment Features

When appraising alternative investments, four areas to focus on include the life cycle phase of the investment, the amount of borrowed funds used to maintain the market position, the valuation of the assets, and the fee structure of the fund.

Investment Life Cycle

Unlike public debt or equity securities purchased in the primary or secondary market, alternative investments usually involve a longer investment life cycle with distinct phases characterized by net cash outflows and inflows that complicate periodic return comparisons. Life cycle phases and timing vary across alternative investment types but generally fall into three distinct periods, as shown in Exhibit 1.

Exhibit 1: Investment Life Cycle



- **Capital commitment:** Alternative managers identify and select appropriate investments with either an immediate or a delayed commitment of capital (known as a capital call) that may be in an early-stage company in the case of venture capital, a more mature firm for private equity, or one or more properties in the case of real estate. Returns are usually negative over this phase because fees and expenses are immediately incurred prior to capital deployment and assets may generate little or no income during this first phase.
- **Capital deployment:** Over this second phase, alternative managers deploy funds to engage in construction or make property improvements in the case of real estate or infrastructure, incur expenses in the turnaround phase of a mature company in the case of private equity, or initiate operations for a startup using venture capital. Cash outflows typically exceed inflows, with management fees further reducing returns.
- **Capital distribution:** When the turnaround strategy, startup phase, or property improvements are completed and if the investment is successful, the underlying assets appreciate in price and/or generate income in excess of costs, causing fund returns to accelerate. The fund may realize substantial capital gains from liquidating or exiting its investments, which may involve an initial public offering (IPO) for venture capital or the sale of properties in the case of real estate.

The so-called **J-curve effect** (because it resembles the letter J) shown in Exhibit 1 represents the initial negative return in the capital commitment phase followed by an acceleration of returns through the capital deployment phase. Returns often level off as capital is distributed to investors, investments are sold, and the fund is closed.

As a result of the cash inflows and outflows that occur over the investment life cycle, an internal rate of return is often used as an initial approach to calculate investment returns for these investments, which include private equity and real estate investments.

The performance assessment in both private equity and real estate investments depends far more on the timing and magnitude of cash flows in and out of the investments, and these are often hard to standardize and anticipate. Given the long time horizon, the application of different tax treatments can have a non-trivial impact on after-tax investment returns.

As a general rule, the best way to start evaluating such investments is with the IRR, taking into account the respective cash flows into an investment and the timing thereof, versus the magnitude and the timing of the cash flows returned by the investment (inclusive of tax benefits).

In an independent, fixed-life private equity fund, the decisions to raise money, take money in the form of capital calls, and distribute proceeds are all at the discretion of the private equity manager. Timing of cash flows is an important part of the investment decision process. The private equity manager should thus be rewarded or penalized for the results of those timing decisions, and the calculation of an IRR is key for doing so.

Although the determination of an IRR involves certain assumptions about a financing rate to use for outgoing cash flows (typically a weighted average cost of capital) and a reinvestment rate to use for incoming cash flows (which must be assumed and may or may not actually be earned), the IRR is the key metric used to assess longer-term alternative investments in the private equity and real estate worlds. IRR calculations will be covered in more depth in the Corporate Issuers topic area.

EXAMPLE 1

Peterburgh Capital, LLC

Peterburgh Capital, LLC, a private equity vehicle, is considering investment in various companies and is expecting the following cash flow pattern from these investments:

Year	Cash Inflow (USD)	Cash Outflow (USD)
0	—	2,500,000
1	—	4,000,000
2	—	1,700,000
3	500,000	1,000,000
4	1,000,000	—
5	3,000,000	—
6	12,500,000	—

IRR can be calculated by the standard formula $\sum_{t=0}^n \frac{CF_t}{(1+r)^t} = 0$, or using a financial calculator, $r \approx 14.596\%$.

As is true for any IRR calculation, assumptions regarding the opportunity cost of outgoing cash flows and the reinvestment rate for incoming cash flows will affect the results.

Because of this complexity, a shortcut methodology often used by both private equity and real estate managers involves simply citing a **multiple of invested capital (MOIC)**, or money multiple, on total invested capital (which is paid-in capital less management fees and fund expenses). Here, one simply measures the total value of all realized investments and residual asset values (assets that may still be awaiting their ultimate sale) relative to an initial total investment. MOIC is calculated as follows:

$$\text{MOIC} = (\text{Realized value of investment} + \text{Unrealized value of investment}) / \text{Total amount of invested capital.}$$

(1)

Although the MOIC ignores the timing of cash flows, it is easier to calculate, and it is intuitively easier to understand when someone says he received two or three times his initial investment. But how long it takes to realize this value does matter. A 2× return on one's initial investment would be great if the return were collected over two years but far less compelling if it took 15 years to realize.

In general, because private equity and real estate investments involve longer holding periods, there is less emphasis on evaluating them in terms of shorter-term portfolio correlation benefits. After a private equity fund has fully drawn in its monetary commitments, interim accounting values for a private equity partnership become less critical for a period of time because no incoming or outgoing cash flows may immediately hinge on such valuations. During this “middle period” in the life of a private equity fund, accounting values may not always be particularly reflective of the future potential realizations (and hence the expected returns) of the fund. It is not that the value of the investments is not actually rising and falling in the face of economic influences; rather, accounting conventions simply leave longer-lived investments marked at their initial cost for some time or make only modest adjustments to carrying value until clearer impairments or realization events take place.

KNOWLEDGE CHECK: MOIC CALCULATION



Himitsu, a private equity firm, makes an initial investment of JPY3.8 billion into ZZZ company in Year 0. Eight years later, it sells its stake in ZZZ for JPY8.5 billion. Additional capital investments were made in Year 2 and in Year 3 for JPY1.2 billion and JPY200 million, respectively.

1. Calculate the MOIC.

Solution:

$$\text{MOIC} = 8.5 / (3.8 + 1.2 + 0.2) = 1.63\times.$$

	Amount	Year
Invested Capital	(3,800,000)	0
	—	1
Additional Capital	(1,200,000)	2
Additional Capital	(200,000)	3
Liquidity Event	8,500,000	8
MOIC	1.63×	
IRR	20%	

Use of Borrowed Funds

Alternative investments may use borrowed funds to increase investment returns. This form of financial leverage has the effect of magnifying both gains and losses by allowing investors to take a market position that is larger than the capital committed. Consider a cash investment V_c with a periodic rate of return r . If we assume an investor is able to borrow at a periodic rate of r_b to increase the size of its investment by borrowed funds of V_b , we can calculate a simple *leveraged* rate of return r_L for the period as follows:

$$r_L = \text{Leveraged portfolio return/Cash position} = [r \times (V_c + V_b) - (V_b \times r_b)] / V_c. \quad (2)$$

We can rearrange Equation 2 to show the relationship between the cash portfolio return, r , and the leveraged rate of return, r_L , as follows:

$$r_L = r + V_b/V_c(r - r_b). \quad (3)$$

Hedge funds leverage their portfolios by using derivatives or borrowing capital from **prime brokers**, negotiating with them to establish margin requirements, interest, and fees in advance of trading. In a typical **margin financing** arrangement, the prime broker essentially lends the hedge fund the shares, bonds, or derivatives, and the hedge fund deposits cash or other collateral into a margin account with the prime broker based on certain fractions of the investment positions. The margin account represents the hedge fund's net equity in its positions. The minimum margin required depends on the riskiness of the investment portfolio and the creditworthiness of the hedge fund.

Leverage is a large part of the reason that some hedge funds either earn larger-than-normal returns or suffer significant losses. If the margin account or the hedge fund's equity in a position declines below a certain level, the lender initiates a margin call and requests that the hedge fund put up more collateral. An inability to meet margin calls can have the effect of magnifying or locking in losses because the hedge fund may have to liquidate (close out) the losing position. This liquidation can lead to further losses if the order size is sufficiently large to move the security's market price before the fund can sufficiently eliminate the position. Under normal conditions, the application of leverage may be necessary for yielding meaningful returns from given quantitative, arbitrage, or relative value strategies. But with added leverage comes increased risk.

EXAMPLE 2

Leverage by Lupulus Opportunity Fund LLC

Lupulus Opportunity Fund LLC, a hedge fund that has USD100 million of capital, ordinarily uses leverage to invest in a variety of equity-linked notes.

Scenario 1: Suppose Lupulus's underlying positions return 8%. If it could add leverage of USD50 million to the portfolio at a funding cost of 4%, what would have been the leveraged return?

Using Equation 3, the leveraged return can be calculated as follows:

$$V_c = 100; V_b = 50.$$

$$r_L = 0.08 + (50/100)(0.08 - 0.04) = 10\%.$$

Scenario 2: Suppose Lupulus's underlying positions incur a loss of 2% instead of earning a gain. What would have been the leveraged return if Lupulus had borrowed USD50 million at 4%?

Again, using Equation 3, $V_c = 100$ and $V_b = 50$

$$r_L = -0.02 + (50/100)(-0.02 - 0.04) = -5\%.$$

In other words, Lupulus would have magnified its portfolio loss from -2% to -5% by adding leverage of USD50 million.

Scenario 3: If Lupulus's underlying positions make a gain of 6% , what is the breakeven borrowing rate at which Lupulus is indifferent to adding leverage to the portfolio?

From Equation 3, Lupulus would be indifferent about adding leverage when the magnification effect of leverage equals 0; that is,

$$\text{when } r_L = r, \text{ or } (V_b/V_c)(r - r_b) = 0,$$

$$r_b = r = 6\%.$$

As the previous example shows, the leveraged rate of return will exceed that of an equivalent cash portfolio if the market return on assets exceeds the borrowing rate. This example assumes the investor has unlimited access to borrow freely on an unsecured basis. The access to borrowed funds or lack thereof is an important factor in evaluating the risk of leveraged investments.

Valuation

Alternative assets are often characterized by illiquidity, which makes performance appraisal over time challenging and periodic comparison with common asset classes difficult. Accounting rules dictate that investments must be recorded at their **fair value** for financial reporting purposes. The fair value of an investment is a market-based measure based on observable or derived assumptions to determine a price that market participants would use to exchange an asset or liability (often referred to as the *exit price* for a seller) in an orderly transaction at a specific time. Assumptions used to measure fair value follow a three-level hierarchy, as shown in Exhibit 2:

Exhibit 2: Fair Value Hierarchy

Level	Description	Sample Application and Method
Level 1	Quoted prices in active markets for identical asset/liability that may be accessed as of measurement date	Exchange-traded public equity securities (observed closing market price)
Level 2	Inputs other than quoted market prices in Level 1 that are directly or indirectly observable for an asset/liability	Over-the-counter interest rate derivatives (pricing model using quoted market prices)
Level 3	Unobservable inputs are used to measure fair value for asset/liability in which there is little, if any, market activity as of the measurement date	Private equity or real estate investments (cash flow projection models with reasonably available market participant assumptions)

While common asset classes often rely on Level 1 inputs, the interim valuation of private equity, real estate, and other less frequently traded assets based on Level 3 inputs is more challenging. Interim accounting values may be less critical for the partnership itself over a period where no incoming or outgoing cash flows are expected. The lack of new market information over time may thus anchor the value of these long-lived investments at or near initial cost, with adjustments to carrying value when impairments or realization events occur. The relatively stable accounting valuations of

these strategies may give investors a false sense that they are less correlated with and less volatile than other investments, although a more realistic picture may emerge if managers are forced to liquidate a portfolio prematurely.

For Level 3 asset pricing, regardless of the model used by a manager in such circumstances, that model should be independently tested, benchmarked, and calibrated to industry-accepted standards to ensure a consistency of approach. Because of the potential for conflicts of interest when applying estimates of value, hedge funds must develop procedures for in-house valuation, communicate these procedures to clients, and adhere to them consistently.

Notwithstanding best practice, the very nature of assets that can be valued only on a “mark-to-model” basis can and should be a focus for the alternative asset investor. A model may reflect an imperfect theoretical valuation and not a true liquidation value. The illiquid nature of these assets means that estimates, rather than observable transaction prices, may well have factored into any valuation. As a result, returns may be smoothed or overstated and the volatility of returns, understated. As a generalized statement, any investment vehicle that is heavily involved with Level 3 priced assets deserves increased scrutiny and due diligence.

Fees

Alternative investment fees also vary from those for common asset classes, which typically involve a flat management fee. Alternative investments often levy additional performance fees based on a percentage of periodic fund returns. Performance appraisal for these investments can be difficult to generalize, because results may vary significantly based on *which* investor has invested *when* in a particular vehicle.

For example, an investor may face significantly lower incentive fees if she invests *more* capital in a fund at an *earlier* phase or is willing to accept *greater* restrictions on redemptions. Also, an investor entering an alternative fund following a sharp drop in value may incur performance fees if the fund rises, while an earlier investor who experienced the sharp decline in value from its peak may be exempt from such fees for the same period. These and other details of these complex alternative investment fee arrangements and their effect on investment return are the subject of the following lesson.

QUESTION SET



1. Which of the following is *not* a factor that makes comparison of performance between alternative investments and public securities difficult?
 - A. Alternative investments charge higher fees.
 - B. The use of leverage in alternative investments magnifies their risk and return measures.
 - C. The fair value of portfolio positions in alternative investments may not be readily available.

Solution:

A is correct. Although an alternative investment may charge a higher fee, it is not the absolute fee level but the complexity of the fee arrangement that makes alternative investment appraisal unique compared to other common asset classes.

Alternative investments often involve the use of explicit leverage, which has the effect of magnified gains and losses. Alternative assets are often characterized by illiquidity with unobservable market prices, making performance appraisal over time and periodic comparison with common asset classes challenging.

2. Describe the J-curve effect in alternative investments.

Solution:

The J-curve effect in alternative investments describes the initial negative return in the capital commitment phase followed by an acceleration of returns through the capital deployment phase. Returns often level off as capital is distributed to investors, investments are sold, and the fund is closed.

3. A private equity closes a fund with a capital commitment of €750 million. It has a capital call of €500 million initially and another €250 million at the end of Year 1. The management fee is 2% per annum. At the end of Year 5, a total of €1.0 billion is distributed to its investors, and the fund is left with €500 million in asset value. The multiple of invested capital (MOIC) after five years is *closest* to:

- A. 1.3×
- B. 2.0×
- C. 2.2×

Solution:

C is correct. Using Equation 1, $MOIC = (\text{Realized value of investment} + \text{Unrealized value of investment}) / \text{Total amount of invested capital}$, where invested capital equals total paid-in capital less management fees and fund expenses. MOIC is different from the IRR measure because it ignores the timing of cash flows.

Total paid-in capital = $500 + 250 = 750$.

Total management fee for 5 years = $750 \times 0.02 \times 5 = 75$.

Total invested capital = $750 - 75 = 675$.

$MOIC = (1,000 + 500) / 675 \approx 2.2\times$.

4. If the market return of underlying portfolio positions is expected to be 10% and the fund manager adds 100% explicit leverage to its capital at a borrowing rate of 3%, what is the expected leveraged return?

Solution:

Using Equation 3,

$$r_L = r + (V_b/V_c)(r - r_b) = 0.10 + (100/100)(0.10 - 0.03) = 17\%.$$

5. Match the fair value classification of assets to their description for financial reporting purpose:

Fair Value Classification Description

1. Level 1 assets	(a) Inputs other than quoted market prices are directly or indirectly observable for valuation on measurement date
2. Level 2 assets	(b) Unobservable inputs are used to evaluate fair value for the assets
3. Level 3 assets	(c) Quoted prices in active markets for identical asset available on measurement date

Solution:

1. Level 1 assets: (c) Quoted prices in active markets for identical asset available on measurement date

2. Level 2 assets: (a) Inputs other than quoted market prices are directly or indirectly observable for valuation on measurement date
3. Level 3 assets: (b) Unobservable inputs are used to evaluate fair value for the assets

3

ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENT RETURNS



calculate and interpret alternative investment returns both before and after fees

Hedge funds often use complex strategies to achieve outsized returns with low correlation with the broader market. These more complex strategies require more sophisticated portfolio management tools and a larger range of skills, making them more expensive to run. Rather than paying a very high flat management fee, investors demand that some of the compensation is aligned to the performance delivered by the strategy in the form of a performance fee.

Apart from the performance fee, there are also other customized, complex compensation arrangements that seek to align manager and investor incentives. These structures are designed to reward investors for early involvement, larger investments, and/or longer lockup periods. Managers benefit from applying specialized knowledge and skills to achieve steadily rising returns in excess of a designated hurdle rate. These complex fee structures impact returns for different investors in the same fund, as well as returns before and after fees across various alternative investments.

Another factor that can lock in or magnify losses for hedge funds is investor redemptions. Redemptions frequently occur when a hedge fund is performing poorly. Redemptions may require the hedge fund manager to liquidate some positions and potentially receive particularly disadvantageous prices when forced to do so by redemption pressures, while also incurring transaction costs.

Funds sometimes charge a **redemption fee** to discourage redemption and to offset the transaction costs for remaining investors in the fund. A **notice period** is a period (typically 30–90 days) in advance that investors may be required to notify a fund of their intent to redeem some or all their investment. This notice period allows the fund manager an opportunity to liquidate a position in an orderly fashion without magnifying the losses. A **lockup period** is the minimum holding period before investors are allowed to make withdrawals or redeem shares from a fund. This provision is intended to allow the hedge fund manager the required time to implement and potentially realize a strategy's expected results. If the fund receives a drawdown request shortly after a new investment, the lockup period forces the investors who made the request to stay in the fund for a period of time rather than be allowed to immediately withdraw. In addition, funds sometimes impose a **gate**, a provision that when implemented limits or restricts redemptions for a period of time, usually at the discretion of the fund manager. Investors should be aware of their liquidity needs before investing in a fund with restrictive provisions.

A hedge fund's ability to demand a long lockup period while raising a significant amount of investment capital depends a great deal on the reputation of either the firm or the hedge fund manager. Funds of hedge funds may offer more redemption flexibility than is afforded to direct investors in hedge funds because of special redemption arrangements with the underlying hedge fund managers, the maintenance of added cash reserves, access to temporary bridge-loan financing, or the simple avoidance of less liquid hedge fund strategies.

Ideally, redemption terms should be designed to match the expected liquidity of the assets being invested in, but even with careful planning, an initial drawdown can turn into something far more serious when it involves illiquid and obscure assets. These left-tailed loss events are not easily modeled for hedge funds.

Alternative Investment Returns

Custom Fee Arrangements

Alternative investments often involve customized fee arrangements combining management and performance-based fees that vary based on the size, timing, and/or terms of investor participation in the investment over time. Examples include the following:

- **Fees based on liquidity terms and asset size:** Limited partnerships may charge different rates depending on the liquidity terms that an investor is willing to accept (longer lockups resulting in lower fees), and managers may discount their fees for larger investors or for placement agents who introduced these investors. Different investors in the same fund may face different fee structures. For example, management fees for large LPs might range from 0.5% to 1.5%, with incentive fees reduced to 10%–15%, depending on the mandate. Such reductions can be meaningful in terms of net realized returns. However, smaller funds with strong performance (and capacity constraints) are able to maintain higher fees and may even decline business from larger investors rather than agree to a lower fee.
- **Founders shares:** As a way to entice early participation in startup funds, managers sometimes offer incentives known as **founders class shares**. Founders shares entitle investors to a lower fee structure, such as a 1.5% management fee and a 10% performance fee rather than a more standard 2% management fee and a 20% performance fee, and may apply only to the first \$100 million in assets invested, although cutoff thresholds vary. Another alternative is to reduce the fees for early founders share investors once the fund achieves a critical mass or performance targets.
- **“Either/or” fees:** Major institutional investors, such as the Teacher Retirement System of Texas, have demanded that alternative investment funds accept an **either/or fee** agreement by choosing *between* fixed management and variable performance fees. Managers agree *either* to charge a lower, 1% management fee (to cover expenses during down years) *or* to accept a higher, 30% incentive fee above a mutually agreed-upon annual hurdle (to incentivize and reward managers during up years), whichever is greater. Major investors offering larger commitments may negotiate such novel fee structures designed to reward returns in excess of a benchmark, while smaller investors, such as high-net-worth individuals with smaller commitments, usually face more traditional fees.

Alternative Investment Return Calculations

Return calculations vary among alternative investments based on the form of the investments, as described in an earlier lesson. While more liquid alternative investments, such as REITs, commodity index exchange-traded funds, or other frequently traded investments, have a simple management fee structure similar to common assets, those with longer life cycles, illiquidity, and less transparency, such as private equity, hedge funds, and real estate, often use performance fees with modifications to create incentives for managers to act in the best interest of investors.

The impact of different fee arrangements and their effect on the resulting returns to investors is best illustrated using a series of examples. If we assume fixed GP management fees as a percentage of assets under management (AUM) of r_m , beginning-of-period assets of P_0 , end-of-period assets of P_1 , and a GP performance fee (p) that is a percentage of total return, the GP's return in currency terms (R_{GP}) is as follows:

$$R_{GP} = (P_1 \times r_m) + \max[0, (P_1 - P_0) \times p]. \quad (4)$$

And we may solve for an investor's periodic rate of return, r_i , as follows:

$$r_i = (P_1 - P_0 - R_{GP})/P_0. \quad (5)$$

EXAMPLE 3

Kettleside Timberland LP

Kettleside is a timberland investment management organization with \$100 million of initial investment capital. It charges a 1% management fee based on year-end AUM (r_m) and a 20% performance fee (p). In its first year, Kettleside generates a 30% return. Assume management fees are calculated using an end-of-period valuation.

1. What are Kettleside's fees if the performance and management fees are calculated independently? What is an investor's effective return given this fee structure?

Solution:

Using Equation 4 with P_0 equal to \$100 million, P_1 of \$130 million, $r_m = 1\%$, and $p = 20\%$,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{GP} &= \$130 \text{ million} \times 1\% + \max[0, (\$130 \text{ million} - \$100 \text{ million}) \times 20\%] \\ &= \$7.3 \text{ million.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_i &= (\$130 \text{ million} - \$100 \text{ million} - \$7.3 \text{ million})/\$100 \text{ million} \\ &= 22.7\%. \end{aligned}$$

2. What are Kettleside's fees if the performance fee is calculated from the return *net* of the management fee? What is an investor's net return given this fee structure?

Solution:

In order to solve for GP return where performance fee is calculated *net* of management fees, we must modify Equation 4 as follows:

$$R_{GP(Net)} = (P_1 \times r_m) + \max\{0, [P_1(1 - r_m) - P_0] \times p\}. \quad (6)$$

Using Equation 6 with P_0 of \$100 million, P_1 of \$130 million, $r_m = 1\%$, and $p = 20\%$,

$$R_{GP(Net)} = \$130 \text{ million} \times 1\% + \max\{0, [\$130 \text{ million}(0.99) - \$100 \text{ million}] \times 20\%\}$$

$$= \$7.04 \text{ million.}$$

$$r_i = (\$130 \text{ million} - \$100 \text{ million} - \$7.04 \text{ million})/\$100 \text{ million}$$

$$= 22.96\%.$$

The previous example demonstrates how fee calculations affect investor returns, with a higher return when fees are calculated on a net basis. Alternative investment databases and indexes usually report fund performance net of aggregated fees. If fee structures vary, the actual net-of-fee returns earned by various investors may vary from the quoted return.

One fee structure variation involves the modification of performance fees using hurdle rates and high-water marks. In the next example, we consider the effect of both on investor returns using the same details from the prior example.

EXAMPLE 4

Kettleside Timberland LP Performance Fee Modifications

As in the prior case, Kettleside Timberland LP has initial capital of \$100 million, charging a 1% management fee based on year-end AUM (r_m) and a 20% performance fee (p).

1. If Kettleside's fee agreement specifies a 6% hurdle rate with performance fees based on returns *in excess of* the hurdle rate, what are Kettleside's fees assuming the performance fee is calculated *net* of the management fee? What is an investor's net return given this fee structure?

Solution:

To solve for Kettleside's fees with a hard hurdle rate calculated net of management fees, we make a further adjustment to Equation 4 by incorporating the hurdle rate, r_h , as follows:

$$R_{GP(Net \text{ with Hurdle})} = (P_1 \times r_m) + \max\{0, [P_1(1 - r_m) - P_0 \times (1.06)] \times p\} \quad (7)$$

$$R_{GP(Net \text{ with Hurdle})}$$

$$= \$130 \text{ million} \times 1\% + \max\{0, [\$130 \text{ million}(0.99) - \$100 \text{ million}(1.06)] \times 20\%\}$$

$$= \$5.84 \text{ million.}$$

$$r_i = (\$130 \text{ million} - \$100 \text{ million} - \$5.84 \text{ million})/\$100 \text{ million}$$

$$= 24.16\%.$$

2. In the second year, Kettleside fund value declines to \$110 million. The fee structure is as specified in Question 1 but also includes the use of a high-water mark (P_{HWM}) computed net of fees. What are Kettleside's fees in the

second year? What is an investor's net return for the second year given this fee structure?

Solution:

We must again alter Equation 4 to include the high-water mark (P_{HWM}) provision, as follows:

$$R_{GP(High-Water\ Mark)} = (P_2 \times r_m) + \max[0, (P_2 - P_{HWM}) \times p], \quad (8)$$

where P_{HWM} is defined as the maximum fund value at the end of any *previous* period net of fees. We may solve for investor return r_i in Period 2 as follows:

$$r_i = (P_2 - P_1 - R_{GP})/P_1. \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{GP(High-Water\ Mark)} &= \$110 \text{ million} \times 1\% + \max[0, (\$110 \text{ million} - \$122.7 \text{ million}) \times 20\%] \\ &= \$1.1 \text{ million.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_i &= (\$110 \text{ million} - \$122.7 \text{ million} - \$1.1 \text{ million})/\$122.7 \text{ million} \\ &= -11.247\%. \end{aligned}$$

The beginning capital position in the second year for the investors is \$130 million – \$7.3 million = \$122.7 million. The ending capital position at the end of the second year is \$110 million – \$1.1 million = \$108.9 million.

3. In the third year, Kettleside's fund value increases to \$128 million. The fee structure is as specified in Questions 1 and 2 of Example 4. What are Kettleside's fees in the third year? What is an investor's net return for the third year given this fee structure?

Solution:

We amend Equations 8 and 9 to reflect returns for the third period and calculate as follows:

$$R_{GP(High-Water\ Mark)} = (P_3 \times r_m) + \max[0, (P_3 - P_{HWM}) \times p].$$

$$r_i = (P_3 - P_2 - R_{GP})/P_2.$$

Note that the high-water mark, P_{HWM} , is the highest value of the fund after fees in all previous years. In Kettleside's case, it was \$122.7 million, the ending value in the first year, P_1 .

Kettleside Timberland LP Performance Fee Modifications

Year	Fund Value (\$m), after Fees
0	100.00
1	122.70
2	108.90

High-Water Mark

$$\begin{aligned} R_{GP(High-Water\ Mark)} &= \$128 \text{ million} \times 1\% + \max[0, (\$128 \text{ million} - \$122.7 \text{ million}) \times 20\%] \\ &= \$2.34 \text{ million.} \end{aligned}$$

$$r_i = (\$128 \text{ million} - \$108.9 \text{ million} - \$2.34 \text{ million})/\$108.9 \text{ million}$$

= 15.39%.

The beginning capital position in the third year for the investors is \$110 million – \$1.1 million = \$108.9 million. The ending capital position for the third year is \$128 million – \$2.34 million = \$125.66 million, which represents a new high-water mark to be applied the following year for this investor.

Performance fee modifications may have similar or different effects on the periodic investor returns depending on the timing of an investment. For example, if two Kettleside investors were to purchase the fund at different times and had otherwise similar fee structures, they would both realize a fee reduction in the case of a hard hurdle equal to $P_t \times r_h \times p$, or the product of the end-of-period fund value for year t , the hurdle rate, and the performance fee. However, in the case of a high-water mark, the time-dependent nature of this fee modification gives different results for an investor who enters the fund at a later date, as in the following example.

EXAMPLE 5

Kettleside Timberland LP High-Water Mark for New Investor

At the end of Year 2, Kettleside Timberland LP has capital of \$108.9 million. Consider the Year 3 returns of a *new* investor assuming the same fund performance and fee structure—namely, a 1% management fee based on year-end AUM (r_m), a 20% performance fee (p), and a high-water mark provision.

$$R_{GP(\text{High-Water Mark})} = (P_3 \times r_m) + \max[0, (P_3 - P_{HWM}) \times p].$$

$$r_i = (P_3 - P_2 - R_{GP})/P_2.$$

$$R_{GP(\text{High-Water Mark})}$$

$$= \$128 \text{ million} \times 1\% + \max[0, (\$128 \text{ million} - \$108.9 \text{ million}) \times 20\%]$$

$$= \$5.1 \text{ million.}$$

$$r_i = (\$128 \text{ million} - \$108.9 \text{ million} - \$5.1 \text{ million})/\$108.9 \text{ million}$$

$$= 12.856\%.$$

Note that the new investor in Example 5 realizes *no* high-water mark reduction in fees as in the prior case and therefore faces a *lower* periodic return than the investor participating since the fund's inception.

In other instances, the timing of returns can have a meaningful impact on manager fees and investor returns, as shown in the following example of a clawback provision.

EXAMPLE 6

Tenderledge Opportunity Fund LP—Clawback Provision

Tenderledge Opportunity Fund makes \$20 million in new investments, evenly divided with \$10 million into Arguston Inc. (a leveraged buyout) and \$10 million to Heartfield Digital (an early-stage venture). One year later, Arguston is sold to a strategic buyer for \$22 million after costs. Two years later, Heartfield Digital fails and Tenderledge is unable to recoup any of its original investment.

1. If Tenderledge's fee agreement as general partner (GP) specifies a 20% performance fee of aggregate profits (p) with a clawback provision, which performance fees will Tenderledge accrue and what will it ultimately receive?

Solution:

Gain in the Arguston investment: \$22 million – \$10 million = \$12 million.

Loss in the Heartfield Digital investment: \$0 – \$10 million = –\$10 million.

Aggregate gain of Tenderledge after two years = \$12 million – \$10 million = \$2 million.

Tenderledge would initially accrue 20% of the \$12 million aggregate profit from the sale of Arguston at the end of the first year, or \$12 million \times 20% = \$2.4 million. This amount is typically held in escrow for the benefit of the GP but not paid.

The failure of Heartfield Digital in Year 2 reduces the original \$12 million gain by \$10 million, so the aggregate fund gain at the end of Year 2 is only \$2 million. This net profit results in a performance fee of \$400,000 (= \$2 million \times 20%). Tenderledge would then have to return \$2 million of the previously accrued performance fees to LP investor capital accounts due to the clawback provision.

KNOWLEDGE CHECK FEE AND RETURN CALCULATIONS



AWJ Capital is a hedge fund with \$100 million of initial investment capital. It charges a 2% management fee based on year-end AUM and a 20% incentive fee. In its first year, AWJ Capital has a 30% return. Assume management fees are calculated using end-of-period valuation.

1. What are the fees earned by AWJ if the incentive and management fees are calculated independently? What is an investor's effective return given this fee structure?

Solution:

AWJ fees:

\$130 million \times 2% = \$2.6 million management fee.

(\$130 million – \$100 million) \times 20% = \$6 million incentive fee.

Total fees to AWJ Capital = \$8.6 million.

2. What are the fees earned by AWJ assuming that the incentive fee is calculated from the return net of the management fee? What is an investor's net return given this fee structure?

Solution:

\$130 million \times 2% = \$2.6 million management fee.

(\$130 million – \$100 million – \$2.6 million) \times 20%
= \$5.48 million incentive fee.

Total fees to AWJ Capital = \$8.08 million.

Investor return = (\$130 million – \$100 million – \$8.08 million)/\$100 million

= 21.92%.

3. If the fee structure specifies a hurdle rate of 5% and the incentive fee is based on returns in excess of the hurdle rate, what are the fees earned by AWJ assuming the performance fee is calculated net of the management fee? What is an investor's net return given this fee structure?

Solution:

$\$130 \text{ million} \times 2\% = \$2.6 \text{ million management fee.}$

$(\$130 \text{ million} - \$100 \text{ million} - \$5 \text{ million} - \$2.6 \text{ million}) \times 20\%$
 $= \$4.48 \text{ million incentive fee.}$

Total fees to AWJ Capital = \$7.08 million.

Investor return = $(\$130 \text{ million} - \$100 \text{ million} - \$7.08 \text{ million})/\100 million
 $= 22.92\%.$

4. In the second year, the fund value declines to \$110 million. The fee structure is as specified for Question 1 but also includes the use of a high-water mark (computed net of fees). What are the fees earned by AWJ in the second year? What is an investor's net return for the second year given this fee structure?

Solution:

$\$110 \text{ million} \times 2\% = \$2.2 \text{ million management fee.}$

No incentive fee because the fund has declined in value.

Total fees to AWJ Capital = \$2.2 million.

Investor return = $(\$110 \text{ million} - \$2.2 \text{ million} - \$121.4 \text{ million})/\121.4 million
 $= -11.20\%.$

The beginning capital position in the second year for the investors is \$130 million – \$8.6 million = \$121.4 million. The ending capital position at the end of the second year is \$110 million – \$2.2 million = \$107.8 million.

5. In the third year, the fund value increases to \$128 million. The fee structure is as specified in Questions 1 and 4. What are the fees earned by AWJ in the third year? What is an investor's net return for the third year given this fee structure?

Solution:

$\$128 \text{ million} \times 2\% = \$2.56 \text{ million management fee.}$

$(\$128 \text{ million} - \$121.4 \text{ million}) \times 20\% = \$1.32 \text{ million incentive fee.}$

The \$121.4 million represents the high-water mark established at the end of Year 1.

Total fees to AWJ Capital = \$3.88 million.

Investor return = $(\$128 \text{ million} - \$3.88 \text{ million} - \$107.8 \text{ million})/\107.8 million
 $= 15.14\%.$ The ending capital position at the end of Year 3 is \$124.12 million. This amount is the new high-water mark.

Relative Alternative Investment Returns and Survivorship Bias

Investors seeking higher risk-adjusted returns with low correlation with common asset classes in alternative investments often track their performance based on *relative* returns. As is the case for more common asset classes, returns on individual alternative investments are usually compared to a benchmark of investments with similar features. These benchmarks may be interpreted differently or take on different characteristics in the case of alternative investments. For example, the use of a composite benchmark for private equity or real estate investments may be misleading if a specific investment is in a different life cycle phase than most of its peers. However, return comparisons between such investments of the same vintage year on an annual or “since inception” basis lead to more accurate results. That said, lockups and illiquidity may prevent an investor from reacting to underperformance by selling an investment.

Hedge fund indexes deserve greater scrutiny given changes to the universe of funds included in a benchmark over time. For example, studies suggest that over a quarter of all hedge funds fail within the first three years due to performance problems that lead to investor defections and fund closure. The exclusion of failed funds from a given benchmark is a form of selection bias that can lead investors to overly optimistic return expectations known as **survivorship bias**. Survivorship bias is a major problem among hedge fund indexes that include only current investment funds and exclude those funds that are no longer available. A second form of bias relates to how and when hedge fund returns are initially included in a benchmark index. For example, a fund manager may launch several hedge fund investments at once and include only the most successful funds in an index a couple of years after inception. The subsequent inclusion or “backfilling” of prior performance data on a selective basis serves to increase average reported returns in what is known as **backfill bias**. Because of survivorship and backfill biases, hedge fund indexes may not reflect actual average hedge fund performance but, rather, only the returns of those hedge funds that initially performed best and/or have not failed.

EXAMPLE 7

Comparison of Returns: Investment Directly into a Hedge Fund or through a Fund of Hedge Funds

An investor is contemplating investing €100 million in either the ABC Hedge Fund (ABC HF) or the XYZ Fund of Funds (XYZ FOF). XYZ FOF has a “1 and 10” fee structure and invests 10% of its AUM in ABC HF. ABC HF has a standard “2 and 20” fee structure with no hurdle rate. Management fees are calculated on an annual basis on AUM at the beginning of the year. For simplicity, assume that management fees and incentive fees are calculated independently. ABC HF has a 20% return for the year before management and incentive fees.

1. Calculate the return to the investor from investing directly in ABC HF.

Solution:

ABC HF has a profit before fees on a €100 million investment of €20 million ($= €100 \text{ million} \times 20\%$). The management fee is €2 million ($= €100 \text{ million} \times 2\%$), and the incentive fee is €4 million ($= €20 \text{ million} \times 20\%$). The return to the investor is 14% [$= (20 - 2 - 4)/100$].

2. Calculate the return to the investor from investing in XYZ FOF. Assume that the other investments in the XYZ FOF portfolio generate the same

return before management fees as those of ABC HF and that XYZ FOF has the same fee structure as ABC HF.

Solution:

XYZ FOF earns a 14% return, or €14 million profit after fees on €100 million invested with hedge funds. XYZ FOF charges the investor a management fee of €1 million ($= €100 \text{ million} \times 1\%$) and an incentive fee of €1.4 million ($= €14 \text{ million} \times 10\%$). The return to the investor is 11.6% $[= (14 - 1 - 1.4)/100]$.

3. Why would the investor choose to invest in a fund of funds instead of a hedge fund given the effect of the “double fee” demonstrated in the answers to Questions 1 and 2?

Solution:

This scenario assumes that returns are the same for all underlying hedge funds. In practice, this result will not likely be the case, and XYZ FOF may provide due diligence expertise and potentially valuable diversification. In addition, the underlying hedge fund might be closed to new investors and investing in the FOF may be the only way to access the hedge fund.

QUESTION SET



1. Soft Hurdle

A real estate investment fund has deployed \$100 million initial capital to purchase a property. The fund has a soft hurdle preferred return to investors of 8% per annum and an 80%/20% carried interest incentive split thereafter (with a standard catch-up clause). At the end of Year 2, the property is sold for a total of \$160 million.

Ignoring management fees, what are the correct distributions to the LPs and the GP?

Solution:

With a soft hurdle arrangement, the carried interest is calculated on the entire annual gross return as long as the set hurdle is exceeded. To calculate the distributions of gain, one needs to construct a waterfall of cash flows. First, the LPs would be due their \$100 million initial investment. Then, they would be due \$16 million (8% preferred return on initial capital for two years).

The soft hurdle has been met, and the GP is due the carried interest until 20% of the profits generated is received, or \$4 million (2% for two years), which would be paid to the GP next as a catch-up to the achieved hurdle return.

The residual amount would be \$160 million – \$100 million – \$16 million – \$4 million = \$40 million. This amount would then be split 80% to the LPs and 20% to the GP, or \$32 million and \$8 million, respectively.

So, the total payout with a soft annual hurdle of 8% of the \$160 million would end up with the following waterfall:

	LP	GP
Return of Capital	\$100 m	
8% Preferred per Annum	\$16 m	
GP Catch-Up 20%		\$4 m
80%/20% Split	\$32 m	\$8 m
Total Payout	\$148 m	\$12 m

2. Hard Hurdle

Following Question 1, how would the distributions to the LPs and GP have been different if the real estate investment fund had a hard hurdle of 8% per annum and no catch-up clause?

Solution:

If the fund had a hard hurdle rate instead (i.e., no catch-up clause), only the amount above the \$100 return of capital and \$16 million preferred return would be subject to the 20% carried interest incentive to the GP: $20\% \times \$44 \text{ million} = \8.8 million —quite a bit less than the carried interest payment with the soft hurdle. The LPs would be due the balance of \$35.2 million ($= \$44 \text{ million} - \$8.8 \text{ million incentive}$). This would result in the following total payout:

	LP	GP
Return of Capital	\$100 m	
8% Preferred per Annum	\$16 m	
80%/20% Split above Hurdle	\$35.2 m	\$8.8 m
Total Payout	\$151.2 m	8.8 m

3. Calculating Net Return

Capricorn Fund of Funds invests GBP100 million in each of Alpha Hedge Fund and ABC Hedge Fund. Capricorn Fund of Funds has a “1 and 10” fee structure. Management fees and incentive fees are calculated independently at the end of each year. After one year, net of their respective management and incentive fees, Capricorn’s investment in Alpha is valued at GBP80 million and Capricorn’s investment in ABC is valued at GBP140 million. The annual return to an investor in Capricorn Fund of Funds, net of fees assessed at the fund-of-funds level, is closest to:

- A. 7.9%.
- B. 8.0%.
- C. 8.1%.

Solution:

A is correct, because the net investor return is 7.9%, calculated as follows: First, note that “1 and 10” refers to a 1% management fee and a 10% incentive fee.

End-of-year capital = GBP140 million + GBP80 million = GBP220 million.

Management fee = GBP220 million \times 1% = GBP2.2 million.

Incentive fee = (GBP220 million – GBP200 million) × 10% = GBP2 million.

Total fees to Capricorn = GBP2.2 million + GBP2 million = GBP4.2 million.

Investor net return = (GBP220 – GBP200 – GBP4.2)/GBP200 = 7.9%.

If, however, the incentive fee is calculated after deduction of management fees (instead of being calculated independently), then the incentive fee would become (GBP220 million – GBP200 million – GBP2.2 million) × 10% = GBP1.78 million

Investor net return would have become (GBP220 – GBP200 – GBP2.2 – GBP1.78)/GBP200 ≈ 8.0%.

4. IRR vs. MOIC

Match the advantages and disadvantages of IRR and MOIC as performance measures for long-lived alternative investments:

Return Metrics	Advantages/Disadvantages
1. IRR	A. ignores timing of cash flows. B. considers timing of cash flows. C. is more complicated to calculate.
2. MOIC	D. is easy to calculate and understand. E. requires assumptions on opportunity costs and reinvestment rates. F. is the preferred measure for long-lived alternative investments. G. is commonly used by private equity and real estate investors as a shortcut.

Solution:

1. IRR (F) is the preferred measure for long-lived alternative investments, because it (B) considers timing of cash flows, but it (C) is more complicated to calculate and (E) requires assumptions on opportunity costs and reinvestment rates.
2. MOIC (G) is commonly used by private equity and real estate investors as a shortcut, because it (D) is easy to calculate and understand, but it (A) ignores timing of cash flows.

5. Either/or Fee Structure

A closed-end infrastructure fund with initial capital of €100 million has an either/or fee structure under which the GP can either charge a 1% management fee or accept a higher 25% incentive fee, whichever is higher. All fees are calculated based on end-of-period net asset value. Standard high-water mark provisions apply.

The fund returns for the first five years are as follows:

Year	NAV (€ millions)	Gross Return
1	98.00	-2%
2	93.10	-5%

Year	NAV (€ millions)	Gross Return
3	108.00	16%
4	129.60	20%
5	176.26	36%

Please calculate the fees received by the GP for each of the five years.

Solution:

The management and incentive fees that can be received by the GP in each year are tabulated as follows:

Year	NAV (€ millions)	Gross Return	Management Fee (%)	Incentive Fee (%)	Total Fee (€ millions)
1	98.00	-2%	1%	—	0.98
2	93.10	-5%	1%	—	0.93
3	108.00	16%	—	25% (108 - 100) × 25%	2.00
4	129.60	20%	—	25% (129.6 - 108.0) × 25%	5.40
5	176.26	36%	—	25% (176.26 - 129.60) × 25%	11.67
				Cumulative fees	20.98

Note that because the fund made a loss in Year 1 and in Year 2, there is no incentive fee and the GP can receive only the 1% management fee. In Year 3, when the fund made a profit, the incentive fee can be charged on the gain above the last high-water mark, which was the initial €100 million in Year 0. Since the management fee for Year 3 would have been just €1.08 million (= €108 million × 1%), the GP will be better off receiving the incentive fee of €2 million. Likewise, for Years 4 and 5, the GP can choose to receive the incentive fee at 25% of the profits in those two years without earning any management fee.

6. Hedge Fund Indexes

A common problem with hedge fund indexes is the upward bias due to:

- A. backfill bias only.
- B. survivorship bias only.
- C. both backfill and survivorship bias.

Solution:

C is correct. Both backfill bias and survivorship bias are common in hedge fund indexes.

Survivorship bias refers to the selection bias in the index due to the exclusion of failed funds from a given benchmark. It leads to overly optimistic return expectations. Backfill bias refers to hedge funds including only the most successful funds in an index a few years after inception. The subsequent inclusion or “backfilling” of prior performance data on a selective basis serves to increase average reported returns. Both of these biases result in an upward bias in hedge fund indexes because they may reflect not actual

average hedge fund performance but, rather, only the returns of those funds that initially performed best and/or have not failed.

PRACTICE PROBLEMS

1. The following information applies to Rotunda Advisers, a hedge fund:

- \$288 million in AUM as of prior year end
- 2% management fee (based on year-end AUM)
- 20% incentive fee calculated:
 - Net of management fee
 - Using a 5% soft hurdle rate
 - Using a high-water mark (high-water mark is \$357 million)
- Current-year fund gross return is 25%.

The total fee earned by Rotunda in the current year is closest to:

- A. \$7.20 million.
 - B. \$20.16 million.
 - C. \$21.60 million.
2. A hedge fund with net capital of GBP500 million has borrowed an additional GBP200 million at 4.5% per annum. The current-year return of the fund is 15%. What would have been the return if the fund had not added any leverage?
- A. 10.7%
 - B. 12.0%
 - C. 19.2%
3. A common problem for the “mark-to-model” valuation of private equity funds is *most likely*:
- A. a violation of accounting rules.
 - B. an understatement of portfolio risk.
 - C. an understatement of interim portfolio return.
4. A commodity hedge fund has three investors:
- €100 million from Investor A invested at Year 0,
 - €100 million from Investor B invested at the beginning of Year 2, and
 - €100 million from Investor C invested at the beginning of Year 3.

The gross returns before fees of the fund are as follows:

Year	Annual Gross Return	Investor A's Investment (€ millions)	Investor B's Investment (€ millions)	Investor C's Investment (€ millions)
0	—	100		—
1	20%	—		—
2	–15%	—	100	—

Year	Annual Gross Return	Investor A's Investment (€ millions)	Investor B's Investment (€ millions)	Investor C's Investment (€ millions)
3	15%	—		100
4	10%	—		—

The management fee is 2% based on end-of-year value. The incentive fee is 20% above the high-water mark and is calculated based on end-of-year value net of management fee.

Which investor has earned the highest net return after the end of Year 4?

- A. Investor A
- B. Investor B
- C. Investor C

The following information relates to questions 5-6

Buyout Capital, LLC, is a private equity fund that has the following characteristics:

- Capital committed: \$200 million
- Preferred return: 8% soft hurdle, with full catch-up
- Fund distribution: after five years
- Management fee: none
- Carried interest: 20% above preferred return
- Waterfall structure: American (deal by deal) with clawback

The fund made five investments, tabulated as follows:

Investment No.	Year		Amount (\$ m)		Profit	
	Invested	Sold	Invested	Sold	\$ m	%
1	0	4	40	60	20	10.67%
2	0	4	40	100	60	25.74%
3	1	5	40	50	10	5.74%
4	1	5	40	120	80	31.61%
5	2	5	40	30	(10)	neg.
Total			200	360	160	12.47%

5. What is the total carried interest to the GP?

- A. \$30 million
- B. \$32 million
- C. \$34 million

6. Buyout Capital, LLC, is a private equity fund that has the following

characteristics:

- Capital committed: \$200 million
- Preferred return: 8% soft hurdle, with full catch-up
- Fund distribution: after five years
- Management fee: none
- Carried interest: 20% above preferred return
- Waterfall structure: European (whole of fund)

The fund made five investments that are tabulated as follows:

Investment No.	Year		Amount (\$ m)		Profit	
	Invested	Sold	Invested	Sold	\$ m	%
1	0	4	40	60	20	10.67%
2	0	4	40	100	60	25.74%
3	1	5	40	50	10	5.74%
4	1	5	40	120	80	31.61%
5	2	5	40	30	(10)	neg.
Total			200	360	160	12.47%

What is the total carried interest to the GP?

- A. \$30 million
- B. \$32 million
- C. \$34 million

7. A hedge fund has the following fee structure:

- Annual management fee based on year-end AUM: 2%
- Incentive fee: 20%
- Hurdle rate before incentive fee collection starts: 4%
- Current high-water mark: \$610 million

The fund has a value of \$583.1 million at the beginning of the year. After one year, it has a value of \$642 million before fees. The net percentage return to an investor for this year is closest to:

- A. 6.72%.
- B. 6.80%.
- C. 7.64%.

SOLUTIONS

1. A is correct. Although the gross return of Rotunda results in a \$360 million gross NAV, the deduction of the \$7.2 million management fee brings NAV to \$352.8 million, which is below the prior high-water mark. Rotunda earns a management fee of \$7.20 million but does not earn an incentive fee because the year-end fund value net of management fee does not exceed the prior high-water mark of \$357 million. Since Rotunda is still also below the prior-year high-water mark, the hurdle rate of return is also basically irrelevant in this fee calculation.

The specifics of this calculation are as follows:

End-of-year AUM = Prior year-end AUM \times (1 + Fund return) = \$288 million \times 1.25 = \$360 million.

\$360 million \times 2% = \$7.20 million management fee.

\$360 million – \$7.2 million = \$352.8 million AUM net of management fee.

The year-end AUM net of fees do not exceed the \$357 million high-water mark. Therefore, no incentive fee is earned.

2. B is correct.

Using Equation 2,

$$r_L = \text{Leveraged portfolio return/Cash position} = [r \times (V_b + V_c) - (V_b \times r_b)] / V_c.$$

Or, after re-arranging the formula,

$$r = \frac{(V_c \times r_L) + (V_b \times r_b)}{(V_c + V_b)}.$$

Substituting $r_L = 0.15$, $V_b = 200$, $V_c = 500$, $r_b = 0.045$,

$$r = (500 \times 0.15) + (200 \times 0.045) / (200 + 500) = 12\%.$$

Since leverage magnifies return when the borrowing cost is lower than asset returns, the unleveraged asset return must be lower than 15%.

3. B is correct. Accounting rules require that investments be recorded at their fair value for financial reporting purposes. The fair value of private equity that owns illiquid assets requires certain estimates, rather than observable transaction prices, to be factored into valuation. A model that relies on Level 3 inputs may reflect an imperfect theoretical valuation and not a true liquidation value. The lack of new market information over time may anchor the interim valuation at or near initial cost. The relatively stable accounting valuations may give investors a false sense that they are less volatile. At the same time, there is a potential conflict of interest for the GP to overstate interim return because of the implication for carried interest. As a result, returns may be smoothed or overstated and the volatility of returns understated.
4. C is correct. Despite investing for the shortest period of time in the fund (i.e., two years), Investor C has earned the highest net return compared to the other two investors. The following table illustrates the calculations based on the “2 and 20” fee structure and the high-water marks facing each investor in any particular year; in each case, the incentive fee is calculated using Equation 8:

$$R_{GP(\text{High-Water Mark})} = (P_2 \times r_m) + \max[0, (P_2 - P_{HWM}) \times p].$$

The net return is calculated using Equation 9:

$$r_i = (P_2 - P_1 - R_{GP})/P_1.$$

Year	Annual Gross Return	Investor A's Investment	Year-End AUM (Before Fee)	High-Water Mark	Management Fee (2%)	Incentive Fee (20%)	Year-End AUM (After Fee)
(Before Fee)							
0	—	100.00	100.00	—	—	—	100.00
1	20%	—	120.00	100.00	2.40	3.52	114.08
2	-15%	—	96.97	114.08	1.94	—	95.03
3	15%	—	109.28	114.08	2.19	—	107.10
4	10%	—	117.81	114.08	2.36	0.27	115.18
Investor A's net return							15.18%

Year	Annual Gross Return	Investor B's Investment	Year-End AUM (Before Fee)	High-Water Mark	Management Fee (2%)	Incentive Fee (20%)	Year-End AUM (Before Fee)
0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1	20%	—	—	—	—	—	—
2	-15%	100.00	85.00	100.00	1.70	—	83.30
3	15%	—	95.80	100.00	1.92	—	93.88
4	10%	—	103.27	100.00	2.07	0.24	100.96
Investor B's net return							0.96%

Year	Annual Gross Return	Investor C's Investment	Year-End AUM (Before Fee)	High-Water Mark	Management Fee (2%)	Incentive Fee (20%)	Year-End AUM (Before Fee)
0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1	20%	—	—	—	—	—	—
2	-15%	—	—	—	—	—	—
3	15%	100.00	115.00	100.00	2.30	2.54	110.16
4	10%	—	121.18	110.16	2.42	1.72	117.03
Investor C's Net Return							17.03%

5. A is correct. The distribution of profit of each investment is as follows:

Investment No.	Year		Amount (\$ m)		Profit			
	Invested	Sold	Invested	Sold	\$ m	%	LPs at 80%	GP at 20%
1	0	4	40	60	20	10.67%	16	4
2	0	4	40	100	60	25.74%	48	12
3	1	5	40	50	10	5.74%	10	0
4	1	5	40	120	80	31.61%	64	16

Investment No.	Year		Amount (\$ m)		Profit			
	Invested	Sold	Invested	Sold	\$ m	%	LPs at 80%	GP at 20%
5	2	5	40	30	(10)	neg.	(8)	(2)
Total			200	360	160	12.47%	130	30

Since the preferred return of the LP is 8%, Investments 1, 2, and 4 all meet the criterion and the profit is split 80/20 between the LPs and GP. Investment 3 does not earn the GP any carry because it fails to meet the preferred return; neither does Investment 5, whose profit is negative. Because of the clawback clause, the GP's carry is reduced by \$2 million (or 20% of the loss on Investment 5). Therefore, the total carried interest adds up to \$30 million, or $30/160 = 18.75\%$ of the total profit made by the fund.

6. B is correct. A European waterfall occurs at the aggregate fund level. As long as the fund exit IRR exceeds 8% after five years, the GP will be eligible for the full 20% carried interest on the profit made from all investments—that is, \$160 million $\times 20\% = \$32$ million.

Investment No.	Year		Amount (\$ m)		Profit			
	Invested	Sold	Invested	Sold	\$ m	%	LPs at 80%	GP at 20%
1	0	4	40	60	20	10.67%		
2	0	4	40	100	60	25.74%		
3	1	5	40	50	10	5.74%		
4	1	5	40	120	80	31.61%		
5	2	5	40	30	(10)	neg.		
Total			200	360	160	12.47%	128	32

7. C is correct. The management fee for the year is $\$642 \text{ million} \times 0.02 = \12.84 million.

Because the ending gross value of the fund of \$642 million exceeds the high-water mark of \$610 million, the hedge fund can collect an incentive fee on gains above this high-water mark but net of the hurdle rate of return. The incentive fee calculation becomes

$$\{\$642 - [\$610 \times (1 + 0.04)]\} \times 0.20 = \$1.52 \text{ million.}$$

The net return to the investor for the year is

$$[(\$642 - \$12.84 - \$1.52)/\$583.1] - 1 = 0.07638 \approx 7.64\%.$$

LEARNING MODULE

3

Investments in Private Capital: Equity and Debt

LEARNING OUTCOMES

<i>Mastery</i>	<i>The candidate should be able to:</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	explain features of private equity and its investment characteristics
<input type="checkbox"/>	explain features of private debt and its investment characteristics
<input type="checkbox"/>	describe the diversification benefits that private capital can provide

INTRODUCTION

1

This Learning Module and the subsequent four Learning Modules explain the investment characteristics of specific alternative asset types, starting with private equity and private debt. The subsequent Learning Modules focus on real assets, natural resources, hedge funds, and digital assets. Each Learning Module introduces core characteristics, distinguishing features, and risk–return characteristics for the specific asset class. Alternative assets differ from the traditional asset classes—debt and equity—due to their unique return, risk, and information profiles and historically show low levels of correlation with debt and equity. Moreover, alternative assets often require highly specialized knowledge to select, manage, and divest these assets. Since these alternative assets are generally considered to be less liquid than traditional asset classes, understanding the valuation and return characteristics is a specialized skill.

LEARNING MODULE OVERVIEW



- Private equity is a form of private capital funding sourced from outside public markets through non-traditional sources, such as venture capital and leveraged buyout firms. It can be injected at various stages of business development, from initial idea to final transition to public company status.
- The duration of a private equity investment also varies, with funds conducting their exits typically by the strategies of trade sales to strategic buyers or public listings through IPOs or special acquisition

companies (SPACs). Other strategies include recapitalizations, secondary sales, and liquidations, with all the strategies having their unique advantages and drawbacks.

- Compared to traditional investments, private equity can offer better returns combined with higher risks. This contrast is a function of private equity's distinct choice set, greater management control, and greater leverage. Data ambiguities make it challenging to reliably measure the benefits of private equity investing.
- Private debt primarily refers to the various forms of debt provided by investors directly to private entities. Its four major categories are direct lending, mezzanine loans, venture debt, and distressed debt, and it also includes unitranche debt of blended loans and other specialty loans.
- As in private equity investment, private debt can be arranged on a direct or indirect basis, with funds deployed over the corporate life cycle straight from an investor or intermediated through a fund. Investors receive interest payments and the return of principal after a designated term, with debt typically secured and having protections/covenants.
- Private debt has potentially higher returns and risks than traditional fixed income, with its investors needing specialized knowledge to adjust exposures for differences across company funding stages, debt structures, and underlying assets.
- Private debt and equity are distinct in terms of risks and performance from their public counterparts due to illiquidity and concentration risk and to the often-greater uncertainties of both their underlying businesses and the means to hedge away their risks. And a fundamental timing characteristic for private capital is its vintage year, with the valuation and economic environment at the origin of a private equity fund having a potentially substantial effect on realized results over the fund's set lifespan.
- To offset the potentially adverse performance effects of an ill-timed fund launch at an unfavorable stage of the business cycle, investors can diversify exposure across fund vintage years.
- Investments in private capital vary in terms of risk and return across the corporate capital structure hierarchy, with a diversified mix of private equity and debt investments potentially balancing private capital risks and returns. And when combined with public stocks and bonds, investments in private capital funds can add a moderate diversification benefit with opportunities for excess returns due to private capital's additional leverage, market, and liquidity risks.

SELF-ASSESSMENT



These initial questions are intended to help you gauge your current level of understanding of this learning module.

1. At the conclusion of a public company's leveraged buyout, the amount of its market-traded stock is substantially:

A. reduced.

- B. increased.
- C. unaffected.

Solution:

A is correct. After the transaction, the target company becomes or remains a privately owned company. Leveraged buyouts are sometimes called “going-private” transactions because after the acquisition of a publicly traded company, the target company’s equity is substantially no longer publicly traded.

2. Which of the following financing tools would *most likely* be used at the later stage of venture capital investment?

- A. Common stock
- B. Preferred stock
- C. Convertible debt

Solution:

B is correct. Preferred stock can be deployed as late into a company’s maturity as later-stage venture capital, when preferred stock can offer more protection to venture investors as a company transitions toward an IPO. A and C are incorrect because these instruments are more typically used in the earlier pre-seed and seed stages.

3. Which of the following transaction features is associated with mezzanine debt?

- A. Warrants
- B. Lines of credit
- C. Fixed payment schedules

Solution:

A is correct. Mezzanine debt often comes with additional features, such as warrants or conversion rights. These provide equity participation to lenders/investors. B is incorrect because lines of credit are associated with venture debt, which entrepreneurs may seek to obtain additional financing without further diluting shareholder ownership. C is incorrect because fixed payment schedules are associated with direct lending, in which, as with typical bank loans, payments are usually received on a fixed schedule.

4. In using private debt for a syndicated leveraged mortgage portfolio, the financial ratio of loan to value (LTV) is important at:

- A. origination and to the real estate fund sponsor.
- B. syndication and to the private debt fund lender.
- C. both transaction phases and to each of the parties.

Solution:

C is correct. LTV plays a significant role in both legs of this transaction. For a sponsor to be able to borrow and for a lender to be able syndicate the loans, the aggregate LTV ratio cannot be breached, and any deviation from LTV on an individual property level needs to be cured. As the loan amortizes, its outstanding principal declines, increasing LTV. However, if the value of the real estate were to drop, then the sponsor will be required to raise additional collateral to maintain the LTV level.

5. Vintage diversification is an advisable policy for implementation by private capital:

- A. funds.
- B. investors.
- C. users, such as company managers.

Solution:

B is correct. The vintage year, the time when fund deployment begins, is important for comparing PE and VC investments with other funds in the same year. Because of changing business and valuation environments, funds of a certain vintage have a relative advantage based on their start-up timing. That is why investors are encouraged to pursue vintage diversification by investing in multiple vintage years. A is incorrect because once capital commitments from a fund begin, all subsequent transactions are classified as part of the same vintage year. C is incorrect because the terms and conditions of capital use are more a function of the circumstances of their company than of the origin point of their fund source.

6. The potential diversification benefits from private capital investment are *most likely* related to its:

- A. wide range of exit strategies.
- B. various types of fee structures.
- C. lower correlation with public asset returns.

Solution:

C is correct. Investments in private capital funds can add a moderate diversification benefit to a portfolio of publicly traded stocks and bonds. Correlations with public market indexes vary from 0.63 to 0.83. A is incorrect because different exit strategies can offer funds the opportunity to maximize returns but do not necessarily reduce the volatility of returns over time. B is incorrect because while different fee structures may more effectively align the interests of funds and their investors, they do not necessarily change the risks of the underlying investments.

2

PRIVATE EQUITY INVESTMENT CHARACTERISTICS



explain features of private equity and its investment characteristics

Private capital is the broad term for funding provided to companies that is not sourced from the public markets, such as from the sale of equities, bonds, and other securities on exchanges, or from traditional institutional providers, such as a government or bank. Capital raised from sources other than public markets and traditional institutions and in the form of an equity investment is called **private equity**. Comparably sourced capital extended to companies through a loan or other form of debt is referred to as **private debt**. Private capital relates to the entire capital structure, comprising private equity and private debt.

Private equity strategies include **leveraged buyout (LBO)**, venture capital (VC), and growth capital. **Leveraged buyouts**, or highly leveraged transactions, arise when private equity firms establish buyout funds (or LBO funds) to acquire public companies

or established private companies, with a significant percentage of the purchase price financed through debt. The target company's assets typically serve as collateral for the debt, and the target company's cash flows are expected to be sufficient to service the debt. The debt becomes part of the target company's capital structure after the buyout occurs. After the transaction, the target company becomes or remains a privately owned company. LBOs are sometimes called "going private" transactions because after the acquisition of a publicly traded company, the target company's equity is substantially no longer publicly traded.

The LBO may also be of a specific type. In a **management buyout** (MBO), the current management team participates in the acquisition, and in a **management buy-in** (MBI), the current management team is replaced with the acquiring team involved in managing the company. LBO managers seek to add value by improving company operations, boosting revenue, and ultimately increasing profits and cash flows. Cash flow growth, in order of contribution, comes from organic revenue growth, cost reductions and restructuring, acquisitions, and then all other sources. The financial returns in this category, however, depend greatly on the use of leverage. If debt financing is unavailable or costly, LBOs become less attractive and are less likely to take place. As business conditions and the availability of financing change, private equity managers may change focus.

A manager may manage many private equity funds, each composed of several investments, and the companies owned are called **portfolio companies** because they will be part of a private equity fund portfolio.

There are certain similarities and differences between private equity and public equity. Both types of equity represent direct ownership and control of the corporation. Owners are shareholders and as such have voting rights at the annual general meeting of shareholders electing the board, setting strategy, and making impactful decisions for the future of the company. Additionally, all owners have a direct and proportional claim to residual cash flow rights in the form of dividends. Ultimately, because of significant shareholdings, private equity ownership allows more direct control over decisions than public equity. Because of this, managing a direct private investment exposure requires specialized knowledge specific to the industry and sector the firm is in. Capital gains are typically the largest driver of returns, either through price appreciation or from free cash flow generated by the holdings.


Equity investment, whether in public or private companies, has historically exhibited higher returns at the expense of greater risk compared with debt. The potential pay off for equity investors is unlimited upside with the downside limited to the amount invested. However, there are some differences as well.

Private Equity Investment Categories

Private equity investments can be direct, through a single private equity fund, or indirect, through a fund-of-funds vehicle with stakes in various other private funds. With a direct private equity investment, the investment is made in a single, specific asset, but there may also be co-investments where the investor will participate alongside a lead sponsor who sources, structures, and executes the transaction.

Non-public equity is often categorized by the investor's *entry* point in a company's life cycle (venture capital versus private equity), as Exhibit 1 shows.

Exhibit 1: Private and Venture Capital across the Corporate Life Cycle Stages



Venture capital entails investing in or providing financing to private companies with high growth potential. Typically, these are start-ups or young companies, but venture capital can be injected at various stages, ranging from concept creation for a company or near the point of a company's IPO (initial public offering) launch or its acquisition. The investment return required varies with the company's stage of development. Investors in early-stage companies will demand higher expected returns relative to later-stage investors because the earlier the stage of development, the higher the risk.

Venture capitalists, like all private equity managers, are active investors directly involved with their portfolio companies.

VC funds typically invest in companies and receive an equity interest but may also provide financing in the form of debt (commonly, convertible debt). Exhibit 2 summarizes the financing stages at different phases of the venture.

Exhibit 2: Stages of Venture Capital

Stage	Pre-seed	Seed	Early stage Later stage
Investors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Founders • Friends and family • Angel investors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Seed funds • Angel investors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Venture capital funds • Corporate venture funds • Private equity investors • Strategic investors
Typical investment amount	USD5k–USD500k	USD25k–USD5mil	USD5mil+
Source of capital	Mainly individuals	Funds	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Institutional investors • Family offices • Strategic investors

- *Pre-seed capital*, or *angel investing*, is capital provided at the idea stage. Funds may be used to develop a business plan and to assess market potential. The amount of financing here is typically small and sourced from individuals, often friends and family, rather than by VC funds.

- *Seed-stage financing*, or *seed capital*, generally supports product development and marketing efforts, including market research. This is the first stage at which VC funds usually invest.
- *Early-stage financing* (early-stage VC), or *start-up stage financing*, goes to companies moving toward operation but prior to commercial production or sales, in both of which early-stage financing may be injected to initiate.
- *Later-stage financing* (expansion VC) comes after commercial production and sales have begun but before an IPO. Funds may be used to support initial growth, a major expansion (such as a physical plant upgrade), product improvements, or a major marketing campaign.

Later-stage financing generally involves management selling control of the company to the VC investor; financing is provided through equity and debt, although the fund may also use convertible bonds or convertible preferred shares. The VC fund offers debt financing for reasons of recovery and the control of assets in a bankruptcy situation, not to generate income. Simply put, debt financing affords the VC fund more protection than equity does.

Mezzanine-stage financing (mezzanine venture capital) prepares a company to go public as it continues to expand capacity and enhance its growth trajectory. It represents the bridge financing needed to fund a private firm until it can execute an IPO or be sold. The term *mezzanine-stage financing* is used because it is infused between private and public company status, principally distinguished by the timing of the financing rather than its method. While the terms sound quite similar, mezzanine financing is different from mezzanine-stage financing. Mezzanine financing relates to the use of equity–debt hybrid instruments, such as convertible debt or convertible preferred. Mezzanine-stage financing can use mezzanine financing, but typically at this stage the main financing is either equity-like (to capture potential gains from the planned IPO) or short-term debt.

Convertible preferred shares are often used in start-ups to raise private capital from venture capital funds. Since convertible preferred shares include an option for the holder to convert the preferred shares into a fixed number of common shares after a predetermined date and in some cases at a predetermined price, they provide incentive alignment between the entrepreneurs in the start-up and the investor. The conversion value is ultimately based on the valuation of the start-up. Investing in a start-up is risky because the investor is financing a new and unproven business. Preferred convertible equity provides investors with additional protections that are not available to common shareholders. Most importantly, in the event of a liquidation, preferred convertible shareholders have seniority over common shareholders and are entitled to recover the entire value of their investment before common shareholders receive any of the proceeds.

When investing, the manager of the venture capital fund is largely confident that the portfolio company's management team is competent and armed with a solid business plan showing strong prospects for growth. Because these companies are immature businesses without years of operational and financial performance history, estimating company valuations and their future prospects is highly subjective.

Once venture capital sees an exit opportunity, private equity can take over. Private equity firms specialized in the later-stage life cycle phase seek to generate returns by directly influencing management and implementing strategy changes, particularly for both publicly traded and privately held companies that are underperforming their peers. Here, private equity focuses on increasing the value of the core business by adaptively changing the overall business strategy by eliminating poor-performing business lines or businesses that do not generate sufficiently high returns. These

strategic changes can generate value that can be extracted either from the assets in place (selling underperforming assets) or by creating a more sustainable strategy and selling the company at a higher valuation.

Established companies in a transition phase may seek minority equity interest to expand, restructure, or acquire other companies. A private equity firm that engages in minority equity investing, also known as growth equity or growth capital, takes a less-than-controlling interest in more mature companies looking for capital to expand or restructure operations, enter new markets, or finance major acquisitions. Many times, minority equity investing is initiated and sought by the management of the investee company. The management's motive is to realize earnings from selling a portion of its shares before the company can go public but still retain control and participation in the success of the company. Although this scenario occurs most commonly with private companies, publicly quoted companies can seek private equity capital through PIPEs (private investments in public equities).

EXAMPLE 1

Tenderledge and Arguston—Buyout

A private equity firm, Tenderledge Opportunity Fund LLC, is evaluating Arguston Inc. Arguston is a mid-sized manufacturing firm operating in a mature industry and has seen both its revenues and earnings drop. Arguston does not have the needed capital to make the necessary long-term investments in technological upgrades to maintain its competitiveness or to recapture and extend its dwindling market share. The company is closely held, but the current owners and its management do not have the financial capacity to make the necessary investments and are willing to be bought out by Tenderledge.

To make the investment in Arguston, Tenderledge would not only provide needed capital but also restructure Arguston's operations to create efficiencies by reducing unnecessary redundancies and streamlining production, operations, and administration. It would likely replace management and install its own board members.

Tenderledge is likely to reduce overhead costs and cut employment levels. Once the restructuring and technological investments bear fruit, Tenderledge may also opt to consider acquiring some of Arguston's competitors to create additional growth through economies of scale and scope. Ultimately, the objective is to increase the value of Arguston and exit from the investment at a much higher price.

A **PIPE (private investment in public equity)** transaction is a private offering to select investors with fewer disclosures and lower transaction costs that allows the issuer to raise capital more quickly and cost effectively than with other means that may be more regulated, expensive, and lengthy. In a traditional PIPE transaction, either newly issued common stock or shares sold by existing stockholders—or a combination of both—in an already-publicly traded company are made available to certain investors. These investors, typically investment firms, mutual funds, or other institutional investors, enter into a definitive purchase agreement with the issuer and commit to purchase securities at a fixed price. These transactions are common in work-out or rescue situations where there is a material difference in the market price and valuations. A special case of PIPE is capital raised through convertible debt or convertible preferred stock. PIPE transactions are also dilutive to existing shareholders, and the new investors require a discount to market on the purchase price, which can introduce incentive conflicts between existing shareholders and new shareholders.

EXPEDIA AND PIPE DURING COVID-19

Private investment in public equity often signals confidence in a company's prospects and offers companies an accelerated approach to raise capital by selling large chunks of its equity to investors who often take board seats to directly get involved in setting business strategy. But these transactions can be costly.

In March 2020, the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic led to a severe decline in travel, creating crisis conditions for the industry. Expedia, an online business and retail travel platform operating several brands, sold USD1.2 billion of preferred shares to two private equity managers, gave each firm a seat on its board, and issued USD2 billion of additional debt.

The preferred shares pay a fixed rate of 9.5% and warrants to purchase common stock. All in all, Expedia accumulated over USD4 billion in liquidity to weather the business disruption caused by the pandemic.

Expedia may redeem these preferred shares at preset prices during certain time periods and at preset periods.

Time period	Redemption price
Up to the first year of issuance	105.0%
Between first and second year of issuance	103.0%
Between second and third year of issuance	102.0%
Between third and fourth year of issuance	101.0%
After the fourth year of issuance	100.0%

When redeeming these shares, Expedia also has to pay any unpaid and accrued dividends. Additionally, Expedia also issued warrants to purchase 8.4 million shares of common equity at an exercise price of USD72.00 per share; these warrants have an expiration of 10 years.

Finally, Expedia also issued debt with an aggregate principal amount up to USD855 million. Its euro-denominated debt paid up to a 2.35% annual interest rate until 31 December 2021 and after that date paid up to 1.75%.

Assuming the adverse business conditions recovered fairly quickly to enable Expedia redemption of the financing at the midpoint (2 years) of the term sheet and it had not paid any preferred dividends beforehand, Expedia's cost would be $[(1.02) + (0.095 \times 2)](\text{USD1.2 billion}) = \text{USD1.45 billion}$, or USD250 million in excess of the preferred fund raise, plus the potential dilutive effects on earnings of 8.4 million extra common shares if their market price exceeded the USD72 warrant exercise price. As a benchmark comparison, the dividend yield of the largest US market preferred ETF, iShares Preferred and Income Securities, was approximately 5.6% in the summer of 2020, a substantially lower yield.

Private Equity Exit Strategies

Private equity firms seek to improve new or underperforming businesses and then exit them at higher valuations, buying and holding companies for an average of five years. Holding time, however, can range from less than six months to more than 10 years. Before deciding on an exit strategy, private equity managers assess the dynamics of the industry in which the portfolio company competes, the overall economic cycle, interest rates, and company performance.

Instead of a long-term buy-and-hold strategy that managers and owners of publicly held equity funds espouse, private equity seeks to aggressively maximize investment return by selling or even auctioning holdings in private assets to the highest bidder. The added value that private equity investors can realize by strategically connecting firms and entities can further increase the value of the transaction and the returns to the private equity investors.

Typically, a private equity fund has an investment period of approximately five years and a subsequent harvesting period when exit occurs and the valuation environment becomes more relevant. Moreover, private equity fund investments are not made in one single payment but are spread over time using committed capital over several years, which provides managers a great deal of flexibility to optimize when their entry and exit points occur.

There are two main exit strategies: trade sale and public listing, which can take the form of IPOs, direct listings, or SPACs

Trade Sale

There are two main exit strategies: trade sale and public listing. In a **trade sale**, a portion or a division of the private company is sold either via direct sale or auction to a strategic buyer interested in increasing the scale and scope of the existing business. Because the transaction may have an impact on the competitive environment, it may face regulatory scrutiny and approval or management or employee resistance.

A key advantage of a trade sale is that a strategic investor will be willing to pay a premium as they price in potential synergies with their existing business. Other advantages of a trade sale include the relatively fast and simple execution of the transaction, which compared with an IPO may be shorter and incur lower transaction costs. Since these are privately negotiated transactions, there is a higher confidentiality because there are just a few parties involved in the transaction or the auction. Additionally, the strategic buyers can better evaluate the fit with their existing business without external scrutiny, which potentially makes strategic buyers willing to pay more from anticipating synergies with their own business.

The disadvantages of trade sales include potential resistance from existing members of management, who may fear their job security and may wish to avoid ownership by a competitor. Similarly, management and employees may resist a private transaction because a public listing would monetize the shares and potentially attain a higher sale price. Finally, the universe of trade buyers may be limited, which can potentially raise regulatory scrutiny and reduce prices.

EXAMPLE 2

Tenderledge and Arguston—Exit

After Tenderledge Opportunity Fund LLC, bought out Arguston Inc., the mid-sized manufacturing firm operating in a mature industry, Tenderledge restructured Arguston's operations and acquired some of Arguston's direct competitors to increase its economies of scale. Additionally, it acquired one of Arguston's smaller, strategic suppliers to create a strategically resilient and efficient entity. To realize the efficiencies from streamlining production, operations, distributions, and administration, Tenderledge is now considering exiting from this investment.

Trade sale may be an alternative Tenderledge considers, particularly because there still are some competitors that may benefit from a strategic alliance with a more cost-efficient peer. Tenderledge has turned to BridgeRock LLC, another

private equity firm, which owns one of Arguston's main competitors, Tetrawolf Inc., about merging the two companies before exiting from the investment by listing the companies on the market.

Public Listing

Public listing on an exchange can take place either as an initial public offering (IPO), a direct listing, or a special acquisition company (SPAC). IPOs are the most common means of raising capital in public equity markets using financial intermediaries to underwrite the offering. When a private equity firm or company founder takes a company public, the portfolio company sells its shares, including some or all of those held by the private equity firm, to public investors.

There are several benefits of an IPO as an exit strategy. An IPO may potentially realize the highest price for the company, may increase the visibility of the company, and would continue to provide an upside for the private equity company because it retains a share in the new public entity. Moreover, the success of an IPO builds on management support and approval, and it is likely that management will be retained, which provides job security.

There are several disadvantages with an IPO and public listing. Apart from the high transaction fees to investment banks and lawyers, the time to complete the transaction may be long, and it requires onerous disclosure. The public equity market introduces stock market volatility, and the potential lockup period (mandating the private equity firm to retain an equity position for a specified period post-IPO) may limit a quick realization of value. Moreover, not all companies are suitable for an IPO; smaller companies and those operating in out-of-favor industries, ones with unclear strategic priorities and unstable financial position, or those that have limited operating histories may not be ideal candidates for an IPO. A less commonly used approach to exit from a private equity position is **direct listing**, where the equity of the entity is floated on the public markets directly, without underwriters, reducing the complexity and cost of the transaction.

A **special purpose acquisition company** (SPAC) is a technique also used for a public exit. Such a "blank check" company exists solely for the purpose of acquiring an unspecified private company within a predetermined period; otherwise, it must return capital to investors. Companies suitable for an IPO would be appropriate SPAC candidates, but the two strategies have different valuation methods: here a single counterparty sets SPAC terms, which reduces the uncertainty around the valuation.

There are several advantages of an SPAC exit; these transactions provide an extended time for public disclosure on company prospects to build investor interest, flexibility of transaction structure to best suit the company's context, and association with potentially high-profile and seasoned sponsors and their extensive investor network. Moreover, the valuation of the entity is fixed in advance and does not change, which reduces both the volatility and the uncertainty of share pricing. Finally, SPACs are allowed to provide more forward formal guidance on a company's prospects than is allowed under an IPO.

There are some noteworthy shortcomings of using SPACs for market access and exit. First, SPAC transactions increase the cost of capital because the various capital instruments, such as warrants, have dilutive effects. Second, there is a valuation spread between the value of the SPAC equity and the equity purchased by the SPAC. This can be further complicated by possible dilution effects: The shares and warrants of a SPAC can be issued and then traded separately. Third, there may also be specific deal risk associated with the successful execution of the definite purchase and merger agreement. Fourth, regulatory authorities, such as the US SEC, are reconsidering the classification of SPACs under long established rules that could impose more stringent standards on their operations. Finally, there may be significant trading in the SPAC

equity in the months after the purchase transaction is announced that can lead to **stockholder overhang**, the downward pressure on the share price as large blocks of shares are being sold on the open market.

EXAMPLE 3

Tenderledge and BridgeRock—SPAC Transaction

After Tenderledge Opportunity Fund LLC and BridgeRock merged their two companies Arguston Inc. and Tetrawolf Inc., they continued to operate the merged company, Aurora Inc., each holding a 50% share in the merged entity. As both private equity firms are considering exiting from the investment at the same time, they were evaluating various exit alternatives.

For private equity, having an exit strategy is critical. Tenderledge and BridgeRock are evaluating various alternatives. Although IPOs and direct listings are popular exit strategies, SPACs have the ability to raise capital as companies such as Aurora enter the public market. Given the future uncertainty about valuations, the private equity firms chose to go the SPAC route and enter into a merger with a SPAC to bring Aurora to market a few months faster and at a higher price than the companies would have realized had they chosen an IPO or a direct listing.

Exhibit 3: Pros and Cons of Common Private Equity Exit Strategies

Strategy	Advantage	Disadvantage
Trade Sale	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Immediate cash exit 2. Higher price from synergy-seeking strategic buyers 3. Fast and simple execution 4. Streamlined process on transaction cost, disclosure, and confidentiality from dealing with only one party 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Potential management opposition 2. Limited set of buyers 3. Reduced financial appeal to employees due to forgone monetization of ownership stakes/options
IPO	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Highest potential share price 2. Likeliest management approval 3. Notoriety to private equity sponsor' 4. Sharing in potential share price appreciation from ongoing ownership stake 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. High transaction costs 2. Long lead time 3. Stock market volatility creating value uncertainty 4. Onerous disclosure 5. Potential lockup period freezing capital committed to deal 6. Suitable mainly for large and fast-growing companies
SPAC	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Extended disclosure time and ability to provide forward guidance to develop investor interest 2. Fixed valuation with lower share price volatility 3. Transaction structure flexibility 4. Involvement of high-profile, seasoned sponsors and their investor networks 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Potential higher capital costs of dilution, warrants, and fees 2. Divergence between announced and true equity value due to dilution 3. Deal and capital risk of potential redemptions 4. Prolonged post-merger stockholder overhang and churn

Other Exit Strategies

In addition to the previously discussed exit strategies, other exit strategies include **recapitalization**, **secondary sale**, and **write-off/liquidation**.

- *Recapitalization.* Recapitalization via private equity describes the steps a firm takes to increase or introduce leverage to its portfolio company and pay itself a dividend out of the new capital structure. A recapitalization is not a true exit strategy, because the private equity firm typically maintains control; however, it does allow the private equity investor to extract money from the company to pay its investors and improve its internal rate of return (IRR).
- *Secondary sale.* This approach represents a sale of the company to another private equity firm or group of financial buyers. With the considerable amount of funds raised by global PE, there has been an increase in the proportion of secondary sale exits.
- *Write-off/liquidation.* A write-off occurs when a transaction has not gone well, and the investment is likely to lose value. The private equity firm then revises the value of its investment downward or liquidates the portfolio company before moving on to other projects.

The exit strategies we have discussed may be pursued individually or in combination with others or may be used for a partial exit strategy, such as divesting a self-contained product or business line. For example, private equity funds may sell a portion of a portfolio company to a competitor via a trade sale and then complete a secondary sale to another private equity firm for the remaining portion. Company shares may also be distributed directly to the investors (LPs) of the private equity fund, although such a move is unusual.

Risk–Return from Private Equity Investments

Private equity investors expect ownership capital returns—cash flows from dividends and proceeds from exit—subject to underlying market conditions of the industry. However, private equity investments have distinct entry and exit points between which managers exercise greater direct control and apply specialized knowledge to add value over a specific life cycle phase. While both involve investment selection (stock selection using filings and financial statement analysis for public companies, target selection for private equity), vintage year is important for private equity for comparative purposes.

The higher-return opportunities that private equity funds may provide relative to traditional investments are due to their ability to invest in private companies, their influence on portfolio companies' management and operations, and their use of leverage. Investing in private equity, including venture capital, is riskier than investing in common stocks and requires a higher return for accepting its higher risk, including illiquidity and leverage risks.

Published private equity indexes may be an unreliable measure of performance. Measuring historical private equity performance is challenging; as with hedge funds, which will be discussed later, private equity return indexes typically rely on self-reporting and are subject to survivorship, backfill, and other biases. This typically leads to an overstatement of returns. Moreover, prior to the global financial crisis of 2008–2009, in the absence of a liquidity event, private equity firms did not necessarily mark their investments to market. Failure to mark to market combined with the lag to mark investments due to inherent illiquidity will understate measures of volatility and correlations with other investments. Thus, data adjustments are required to more reliably measure the benefits of private equity investing. As a result, many investors

expect companies to be marked on a quarterly or annual basis, preferably by an independent party. Investors should require a higher return for accepting a higher risk, including illiquidity and leverage risks.

QUESTION SET



1. Determine the correct answers to fill in the blanks:

_____ is the broad term for funding provided to companies that is not sourced from the public markets, with its two primary sub-categories being _____ and _____.

Solution:

Private capital is the broad term for funding provided to companies that is not sourced from the public markets, with its two primary sub-categories being *private equity* and *private debt*.

2. Identify the following statement as true or false: Both public and private equity represent direct ownership and control of the corporation. Additionally, all owners have a direct and proportional claim to residual cash flow rights in the form of dividends.

Solution:

True. These are two of the similarities shared by public and private equity.

3. Describe a funding situation to which a PIPE transaction is well suited.

Solution:

A PIPE (private investment in public equity) transaction is a private offering to select investors with fewer disclosures and lower transaction costs that allows the issuer to raise capital more quickly and cost effectively than other means that may be more regulated, expensive, and lengthy. These transactions are common in work-out or rescue situations where there is a material difference in the market price and valuations.

4. Match each form of private capital investment with the combination of corporate life cycle characteristics *most appropriate* for it.

Investment Form	Corporate Life Cycle Characteristics
1. Private Equity	i. Negative cash flow, high business risk
2. Venture Capital	ii. Increasing cash flow, high business risk
3. Both Private Equity and Venture Capital	iii. Declining cash flow, increasing business risk

Solution:

Investment Form	Corporate Life Cycle Characteristics
1. Private Equity	iii. Declining cash flow, increasing business risk
2. Venture Capital	i. Negative cash flow, high business risk
3. Both Private Equity and Venture Capital	ii. Increasing cash flow, high business risk

As shown in Exhibit 1, the “iii” combination of characteristics is typical of the decline stage, when private equity would have the best fit. The “i” combi-

nation of characteristics is typical of the start-up stage of the corporate life cycle, when venture capital is most appropriate. Finally, the “ii” combination is typical of the growth stage, when the opportunities for the forms of investment overlap and either type would be suitable.

PRIVATE DEBT INVESTMENT CHARACTERISTICS

3




explain features of private debt and its investment characteristics

Private debt primarily refers to the various forms of debt provided by investors directly to private entities. In the past decade, the expansion of the private debt market has been largely driven by private lending funds filling the gap between borrowing demand and reduced lending supply from traditional lenders in the face of tightened regulations following the 2008 financial crisis.

We can organize the primary methods of private debt investing into four categories: direct lending, mezzanine loans, venture debt, and distressed debt. The broad array of debt strategies offers not only diversification benefits but also exposure to other investment spheres, such as real estate and infrastructure.

Private Debt Categories

Analogous to private equity investment, an investor wanting to include private debt in a portfolio has various alternatives along a comparable direct versus indirect distinction. In direct private debt investment, the investor makes a loan directly to a specific operating company. In the indirect approach, the investor takes an intermediated path, purchasing an interest in a fund that pools contributions typically on behalf of multiple participants to buy into the debt from a set of operating companies. For both approaches, in exchange for the debt, the investors receive interest payments and the return of principal after a designated term. The debt is typically secured and has various protections/covenants in place. Exhibit 4 depicts the role of private debt in the corporate life cycle.

Exhibit 4: Private Debt and Corporate Life Cycle

Venture debt is private debt funding that provides venture capital backing to start-up or early-stage companies that may be generating little or negative cash flow. Entrepreneurs may seek venture debt, often in the form of a line of credit or term loan, to obtain additional financing without further diluting shareholder ownership. Venture debt can complement existing equity financing, allowing current shareholders to maintain ownership and control for a longer period. Similar to mezzanine debt, venture debt may carry additional features that compensate the investor/lender for the increased risk of default or for the start-up and early-stage companies that lack substantial assets for debt collateral. One such feature could grant the lender rights to purchase equity in the borrowing company under certain circumstances.

Private debt investors get involved in **direct lending** by providing capital directly to borrowers and subsequently receiving interest, the original principal, and possibly other payments in exchange for their investment. As with typical bank loans, payments are usually received on a fixed schedule. The debt itself typically is senior and secured and has covenants in place to protect the lender/investor. It is provided by a small number of investors to private and sometimes public entities and differs from traditional debt instruments, such as bonds, which can be issued to many participants and be publicly traded.

Direct lending primarily involves private debt firms (or private equity firms with private debt arms) establishing funds with money raised from investors desiring higher-yielding debt. Fund managers will then seek financing opportunities, such as providing a loan to a mid-market corporation or extending debt to another private equity fund that is seeking funds for acquisitions. In general, private debt funds provide debt, at higher interest rates, to entities needing capital but lacking good alternatives to traditional bank lenders, which themselves may be uninterested or unable to transact with these borrowers. As in private equity, private debt fund managers conduct thorough due diligence before investing.

In direct lending, many firms may also provide debt in the form of a **leveraged loan**, a loan that is itself levered. Private debt firms that invest in leveraged loans first borrow money to finance the debt and then extend it to another borrower. By using leverage, a private debt firm can enhance the return on its loan portfolio.

EXAMPLE 4**Peterburgh Real Estate Fund, LLC—Syndicated Leveraged Mortgages**

The Peterburgh Real Estate Fund, LLC, has acquired a portfolio consisting of six commercial properties for a total of GBP100 million. From its investors, the fund secured GBP30 million in equity financing, and it turned to BridgeRock Credit Opportunities LLP, a private debt fund that underwrites commercial mortgages before syndicating them.

In this specific transaction, properties valued at GBP100 million serve as collateral for a total of GBP75 million mortgages, giving an aggregate loan to value (LTV) of 0.75, which provides GBP25 million excess collateral to BridgeRock. The terms of the mortgage are MRR + 150 bps, with a maturity of 15 years, GBP5 million in annual amortization, and all mortgages being secured by the first lien on the property. The lender, BridgeRock, then sells or syndicates the mortgages to other banks or institutional investors. The LTV plays a significant role in both legs of this transaction. For Peterburgh to be able to borrow and for BridgeRock to be able to syndicate the loans, the aggregate LTV ratio cannot be breached, and any deviation from the LTV on an individual property level needs to be cured. As Peterburgh amortizes the loan, the outstanding principal of the mortgages declines, which increases the LTV value. However, if the value of the real estate were to drop, then Peterburgh will be required to raise additional collateral to maintain the LTV.

In private debt, **mezzanine debt** refers to private credit subordinated to senior secured debt but senior to equity in the borrower's capital structure. Mezzanine debt is a pool of additional capital available to borrowers beyond senior secured debt, often used to finance LBOs, recapitalizations, corporate acquisitions, and similar transactions. Because of its typically junior ranking and its usually unsecured status, mezzanine debt is riskier than senior secured debt. To compensate investors for this heightened risk, investors commonly demand higher interest rates and may require options for equity participation. Mezzanine debt often comes with additional features, such as warrants or conversion rights. These provide equity participation to lenders/investors, conveying the option to convert their debt into equity or purchasing the equity of the underlying borrower under certain circumstances.

Involvement in **distressed debt** typically entails buying the debt of mature companies in financial difficulty. These companies may be in bankruptcy, have defaulted on debt, or seem likely to default on debt. Some investors identify companies with a temporary cash flow problem but a good business plan to help the company survive and ultimately flourish. These investors buy the company's debt expecting both the company and its debt to increase in value. Turnaround investors buy debt with an aim to be more active in distressed company management and direction, seeking to restructure and revive the company. Overall, investors concentrating on distressed debt need to develop specialized knowledge related to assessing the likelihood of default and the possible recovery rates because distressed debt is priced to the expected recovery rate, but occasionally recovery rates mistake the underlying risk of the exposures and have long time horizons. Bankruptcy procedures can be lengthy, complex, and capital intensive. Similarly, distressed debt investors need to understand how to restructure companies and restructure debt. Several distressed debt funds focus on debtor-in-possession (DIP) financing, which provides operating funds for firms already in bankruptcy.

HERTZ AND DIP FINANCING

Hertz entered Chapter 11 reorganization in May 2020 after filing for bankruptcy due to COVID-19's near total shutdown of the global travel industry. In October 2020, it negotiated USD1.65 billion in operating funds secured through debtor-in-possession financing. That committed Hertz to pay creditors up to a market reference rate of (MRR) + 725 bps, permitting drawdowns from the facility in individual tranches of at least USD250 million. Up to USD1 billion was allowed for vehicle acquisition, and as much as USD800 million was allowed to fund working capital and general corporate needs.

The DIP financing was projected to provide Hertz with liquidity to support its continued operations throughout 2021, when the loan matured. Private capital firms Apollo Global Management, Diameter Capital Partners, and Silver Point Capital provided this funding. DIP financing is often a signal that lenders are confident in the company's ability to reorganize and pay the debt back in time.

Another type of debt that could be directly extended to borrowers is **unitranche debt**. Unitranche debt consists of a hybrid or blended loan structure combining different tranches of secured and unsecured debt into a single loan with a single, blended interest rate. Since unitranche debt is a blend of secured and unsecured debt, its interest rate will generally fall in between the interest rates often demanded on secured and unsecured debt. The unitranche loan will usually be structured between senior and subordinated debt in priority ranking.

Private debt firms may also provide *specialty loans*, extended to niche borrowers in specific situations. For example, in litigation finance, a specialist funding company provides debt to clients, usually plaintiffs in litigation, for their legal fees and expenses in exchange for a share of judgements.

Risk–Return of Private Debt

Private debt investments may provide higher-yielding opportunities to fixed-income investors seeking increased returns relative to traditional bonds. Private debt funds may generate higher returns by taking opportunistic positions based on market inefficiencies. Private lending funds filled the financing gap left by traditional lenders following the 2008 financial crisis. Investors in private debt could realize higher returns from the illiquidity premium, which is the excess return investors require to compensate for lack of liquidity. Investors also benefited from increased portfolio diversification by owning these securities.

The interest rate of private debt is often expressed relative to a reference rate—for example, the Secured Overnight Financing Rate (SOFR) + 375 bps. As a result, the coupon varies in line with changes to the reference rate brought about by changes to the interest rate environment.

Differences between public and private debt include the distinct entry and exit points with lenders, which offer borrowers greater flexibility in arranging financing. Specialized knowledge for private debt financing is needed in order to add value for the investor. First, the financing and return on debt depends on the specific period of a company's phase of life cycle. Earlier debt financing typically carries higher risks and provides higher returns. Second, the structure of the debt is also of importance; for instance, CLOs (collateralized loan obligations) with the market reference rate, or MRR, as the base rate require specialized knowledge. Finally, the investor needs to have special knowledge about underlying assets, particularly for secured lending, such as real estate.

The potential for higher returns is connected to higher levels of risk. Private debt investments vary in risk and return, with senior private debt providing a steadier yield and moderate risk and mezzanine private debt carrying higher growth potential, equity upside, and higher risk than senior private debt. Overall, investing in private debt is riskier than investing in traditional bonds. Investors should be aware of these risks, including illiquidity and heightened default risk when loans are extended to riskier entities or borrowers in riskier situations. Modeling private equity or debt returns is not straightforward, due to a lack of good-quality data and artificially smooth returns.

QUESTION SET



1. Identify two categories of private debt that would typically be relied on in the growth stage or a later stage of the corporate life cycle.

Solution:

As shown in Exhibit 4, three private debt categories are featured in the later stages of the corporate life cycle:

1. Direct lending
2. Mezzanine financing
3. Leveraged lending

2. **Determine the correct answers to fill in the blanks:** Similar to mezzanine debt, _____ may carry additional features that compensate the investor/lender for _____ or for the start-up and early-stage companies that _____.

Solution:

Similar to mezzanine debt, *venture debt* may carry additional features that compensate the investor/lender for *the increased risk of default* or for the start-up and early-stage companies that *lack substantial assets for debt collateral*.

3. Describe the borrowing cost of unitranche debt.

Solution:

Unitranche debt consists of a hybrid or blended loan structure combining different tranches of secured and unsecured debt into a single loan with a single, blended interest rate. Since unitranche debt is a blend of secured and unsecured debt, its interest rate will generally fall in between the interest rates often demanded on secured and unsecured debt.

4. Identify the following statement as true or false: Modeling private debt returns is fairly straightforward because they are a function of a benchmark public debt return.

Solution:

False. While private debt and public debt share a reference point in being marked up from a benchmark return, modeling private equity or debt returns is not straightforward, due to a lack of good-quality data, more security-specific risk between assets, and artificially smooth returns.

4

DIVERSIFICATION BENEFITS OF PRIVATE CAPITAL




describe the diversification benefits that private capital can provide

Since the performance of private debt and private equity greatly depend on the specific phase of a company's life cycle, performance, and risk, comparison of public debt and equity may not be appropriate. First, investing in a start-up carries greater risk than investing in a well-established firm. Second, investing in a company in a declining or disintermediated industry is unlikely to offer positive return over longer time horizons. Moreover, performance risk of a continuous investment in public equity and debt can easily be hedged away.

The vintage year is important for comparing private equity and VC investments with other funds in the same year. Each private equity fund carries a **vintage year**, typically defined as the year in which the fund makes its first investment. Typically, a private equity fund operates over a 10- to 12-year period, which is often segmented into an initial investment period and a subsequent harvesting period. The investment period usually is the first five years during which the capital is sourced from the limited partners and invested in various companies. The harvesting period is the remaining years of the fund, when the fund looks to exit its existing investments and to return capital to limited partners.

Because of changing business and valuation environments, funds of a certain vintage have the advantage of starting in a low-valuation, low-risk appetite, economic recovery phase and benefit from riding the wave of an economic recovery. Other vintages may be less fortunate and invest the bulk of their capital in a high-valuation environment preceding a market crash or a period of prolonged economic contraction. That is why investors are encouraged to pursue vintage diversification by investing in multiple vintage years.


The performance realized by the fund is greatly determined by the vintage year and the phase of the business cycle in which the vintage year occurred, as Exhibit 5 shows. Funds seeded during the expanding phase of the business cycle tend to earn excess returns if they fund early-stage companies. Funds seeded during the contracting phase of the business cycle tend to earn excess returns if they fund distressed companies.

Exhibit 5: US Private Equity Index Vintage Year Returns, Net Fund-Level Performance


Vintage Year	2007	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
2020 Return	10.3%	14.7%	24.8%	29.6%	29.0%	33.9%	40.4%	29.7%	43.5%

Source: Cambridge Associates, data as of December 31, 2020. <https://www.cambridgeassociates.com/benchmarks/us-pe-vc-benchmark-commentary-calendar-year-2020/>

Investments in private capital vary in terms of risk and return across the corporate capital structure hierarchy. Typically, private equity, as the riskiest alternative, offers the highest returns, with private debt returns declining on a continuum down to the safest, most secured form of debt—infrastructure debt. Exhibit 6 outlines various private equity and private debt categories by their risk and return levels (mirroring the risk–return pathway for traditional equity and debt investing, note the trade-off as investors select between junior and senior debt and between equity and debt).

Exhibit 6: Private Capital Risk and Return Levels by Category


Source: Based on a graph from Leon Sinclair, “The Rise of Private Debt,” IHS Markit (7 August 2017).

Investments in private capital funds can add a moderate diversification benefit to a portfolio of publicly traded stocks and bonds. Correlations with public market indexes vary from 0.63 to 0.83, as shown in Exhibit 7. And if investors identify skillful fund managers, benefits from excess returns given the additional leverage, market, and liquidity risks are possible.

Exhibit 7: Private Capital's Average Correlations with Public Market Indexes, March 2008–June 2021

	S&P 500 Total Return	Russell 2000 Total Return	MSCI World Total Return
Preqin, Private Equity	0.80	0.76	0.81
Preqin, Venture All Stage	0.65	0.67	0.63
Preqin, Buyout	0.82	0.76	0.83
Preqin, Private Debt	0.82	0.77	0.86

Source: Annualized quarterly returns of Private Capital Quarterly Index rebased to 31 December 2007, provided by Preqin.

QUESTION SET



1. State a private debt and equity investment factor that can make performance/risk comparisons with public debt and equity inappropriate.

Solution:

There are several private debt and equity investment factors that can invalidate such comparisons:

- Start-up investments carry greater risks than those of established firms.
- Investments in declining industries are unlikely to sustain gains over the long term.
- Ongoing performance risk in private investments can't be easily hedged.

2. Describe the life cycle segments of a private equity fund.

Solution:

The year in which a private equity fund makes its first investments is called its vintage year. Typically, operations span a 10- to 12-year period, which is often segmented into an initial investment period and a subsequent harvesting period. The investment period usually is the first five years during which the capital is sourced from the limited partners and invested in various companies. The harvesting period is the remaining years of the fund, when the fund looks to exit its existing investments and to return capital to limited partners.

3. Identify the following statement as true or false:

A private equity fund whose vintage dates to a high-valuation environment *most likely* starts with an advantage in having rich prices for its assets.

Solution:

False. Funds starting in a low-valuation, low-risk appetite, economic recovery phase benefit from riding the wave of an economic recovery and have an advantage over other vintages investing the bulk of their capital in a high-valuation environment preceding a market crash or a period of prolonged economic contraction.

4. Match the form of private capital investment with its *most likely* position on the risk/return continuum.

Investment Form	Risk/return combination
1. Mezzanine Financing	i. Lowest risk and return
2. Private Equity	ii. Intermediate risk and return
3. Senior Direct Lending	iii. Highest risk and return

Solution:

Investing in private debt and equity mirrors the risk–return pathway for traditional equity and debt investing. As investors select between senior and junior debt and between equity and debt, the potential risks and returns increase. Therefore, the lowest risk and return come from senior direct lending, and the highest risk and return are from private equity, while mezzanine financing falls in between the two.

Investment Form	Risk/return combination
1. Mezzanine Financing	ii. Intermediate risk and return
2. Private Equity	iii. Highest risk and return
3. Senior Direct Lending	i. Lowest risk and return

PRACTICE PROBLEMS

1. Which of the following is *most likely* to participate in early-stage financing?
 - A. Founders
 - B. Angel investors
 - C. Strategic investors
2. Private equity funds are *most likely* to use:
 - A. leveraged buyouts.
 - B. option-based strategies.
 - C. merger arbitrage strategies.
3. A shared advantage of IPO and SPAC exit strategies for a private equity fund is their:
 - A. fast and simple execution.
 - B. ability to win market attention.
 - C. transaction structure flexibility.
4. Which of the following combinations of financial characteristics *most likely* would be associated with a venture debt transaction?
 - A. Positive revenues and cash flow
 - B. Declining revenues and cash flow
 - C. Low revenues and negative cash flow
5. In contrast to direct lending and distressed debt, mezzanine debt *most likely* requires higher interest rates for its investors due to its:
 - A. reliance on leverage.
 - B. overall ranking and status in company debt structure.
 - C. need for management involvement over an extended time.
6. A feature that private debt and public debt share in the setting of their investment returns is their:
 - A. relationship to benchmark interest rates.
 - B. need for specialized investment knowledge.
 - C. opportunity for illiquidity premiums in market crises.
7. The *most likely* effect on a portfolio's diversification when exposure to direct lending commences is that it:
 - A. decreases.

- B.** remains the same.
 - C.** increases.
- 8. Private equity funds whose vintage year occurs in the expanding phase of the business cycle tend to earn excess returns by investing in companies that are:
 - A.** mature.
 - B.** distressed.
 - C.** early stage.
- 9. The private capital category *most likely* to offer the highest diversification benefit for portfolios holding public stock and bonds is:
 - A.** private debt.
 - B.** private equity.
 - C.** venture capital.

SOLUTIONS

1. C is correct. Strategic investors typically join in at early-stage to later-stage financing. A is incorrect because founders are typically part of the investor group at the earliest, pre-seed stage. B is incorrect because angel investors are typically involved in the beginning stages, either pre-seed or seed.
2. A is correct. Many private equity transactions involve leveraged buyouts to acquire public companies or established private companies. B and C are incorrect because these are strategies implemented by other types of alternative investors, such as hedge funds.
3. B is correct. IPOs can gain public attention for sponsors by high-profile business launches, and SPACs often have high-profile, seasoned sponsors and their investor networks as participants. A is incorrect because this is an advantage of a trade sale exit strategy. C is incorrect because it is a benefit specific to SPACs, with IPOs having fairly rigid and defined features, such as onerous disclosure requirements and lock-up periods.
4. C is correct. These financial characteristics are typical of the start-up stage in the corporate life cycle, when it is suitable to rely on venture debt. A is incorrect because these are features of the maturity stage, when direct lending, mezzanine financing, and leveraged lending are customary. B is incorrect because these are features of the maturity stage, when direct lending, mezzanine financing, and leveraged lending are customary.
5. B is correct. Because of its typically junior ranking and its usually unsecured status, mezzanine debt is riskier than senior secured debt. To compensate investors for this heightened risk, investors commonly demand higher interest rates. A is incorrect because leverage is typically a feature of a form of direct lending in which firms may also provide debt in the form of a leveraged loan, a loan that is itself levered with borrowing costs figuring into returns. C is incorrect because operational involvement over a possibly lengthy time with unpredictable risks describes the role of turnaround investors involved with distressed debt.
6. A is correct. For both public debt and private debt, return on debt capital tends to follow and change with the benchmark interest rate environment. B is incorrect because there is a need for specialized knowledge for private debt financing to add value to the investor through consideration of such factors as the debt's life cycle timing, its place in the financial structure, and the quality of underlying assets. C is incorrect because in market disruptions, such as the 2008 financial crisis, private debt exclusively benefited from an illiquidity premium when private lending funds filled the financing gap left by traditional lenders because traditional lenders were reluctant to underwrite public debt.
7. A is correct. While private capital can have overall positive contributions to diversification, direct lending can involve a large capital commitment to a single borrower, with increased concentration risk and reduced diversification. Investors attempt to protect against the risk of direct lending by having the debt itself classified as senior and secured with protective covenants in place to benefit from the associated higher interest rates while reducing non-diversifiable specific risk associated with a single borrower.
8. C is correct. Fund performance is greatly determined by the vintage year and the coinciding phase of the business cycle. Funds seeded during the expanding phase

tend to earn excess returns investing in early-stage companies. Funds seeded during the contracting phase tend to do best with distressed companies. Results may be intermediate with mature, stable companies.

9. C is correct. Research on the correlations between portfolios holding these public assets shows that venture capital has the lowest correlations across all major market indexes. This relationship implies a higher diversification benefit for venture capital.

LEARNING MODULE

4

Real Estate and Infrastructure

LEARNING OUTCOMES

<i>Mastery</i>	<i>The candidate should be able to:</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	explain features and characteristics of real estate
<input type="checkbox"/>	explain the investment characteristics of real estate investments
<input type="checkbox"/>	explain features and characteristics of infrastructure
<input type="checkbox"/>	explain the investment characteristics of infrastructure investments

INTRODUCTION

1

Broadly defined, real estate comprises land and buildings. Real estate investments involve developed land, including commercial and industrial real estate and residential real estate. Real estate has some unique features, including heterogeneity (no two properties are identical), long lives, and fixed geographical or physical location.

Raw land and less developed land used in agriculture and forestry are categorized as *natural resource* investments, while *infrastructure* involves land, buildings, and other fixed assets developed by public entities or public–private partnerships for economic use. Investments in real estate and infrastructure are included in many portfolios because they tend to exhibit low correlations with traditional asset classes and provide risk and return combinations across a broad spectrum. Here, as in other alternative assets, investors need specialized knowledge in selecting, acquiring, managing, and divesting these assets.

LEARNING MODULE OVERVIEW



- Real estate includes two major sectors: residential and commercial. Residential real estate is the largest sector, totaling 75% of the global market. Commercial real estate includes office buildings, shopping centers, and warehouses.
- Real estate investing has some unique features, including heterogeneity (no two properties are identical), fragmentation, price discovery challenges, and costly and time-consuming transactions.
- Real estate investments can be direct or indirect, in the public market (e.g., REITs) or private transactions, and in equity.

- The return on real estate investments comes from income or asset appreciation or a combination of both. More than half of the returns commercial real estate investors earn are derived from income, and throughout an economic market cycle, real estate income is a more consistent source of return than capital appreciation.
- Investing in real estate can generate either lower-risk, bond-like cash flows from leases or higher-risk, equity-like speculative returns from realizing value from development projects or price appreciation.
- Real estate offers diversification benefits to portfolios. However, during certain market conditions, equity REIT correlations with market benchmarks increase, particularly during steep market downturns.
- Infrastructure consists of assets that are capital intensive and long lived and that are intended to provide essential services for public use.
- Infrastructure investments can take many forms, both direct and indirect. They can be broadly categorized as either economic (e.g., transportation, utility, and energy assets) or social (e.g., educational assets). They can also be categorized based on the underlying asset's stage of development as greenfield, secondary stage, or brownfield. The greenfield investment life cycle common among public–private partnerships is called the build-operate-transfer (BOT) life cycle.
- Most infrastructure assets are financed, owned, and operated by governments, and infrastructure is increasingly being financed privately through public–private partnerships by local, regional, and national governments. Investments in construction and development of new infrastructure are made with expectations to generate cash from either income or capital appreciation.
- Of the three stages of infrastructure investments, greenfield investments offer the highest expected return and have the highest expected risk, and secondary stage investments offer the lowest expected return and have the lowest expected risk.
- Infrastructure investors primarily expect the assets to generate stable long-term cash flows that also adjust for economic growth and inflation and secondarily expect capital appreciation, depending on the type and timing of their investment.
- Infrastructure investments provide an income stream, increase portfolio diversification by adding an asset class with typically low correlation with other public investments, provide some protection for changes in GDP growth, and offer some protection against inflation.

LEARNING MODULE SELF-ASSESSMENT



These initial questions are intended to help you gauge your current level of understanding of this learning module.

1. The two categories of real property are:

- A. residential and commercial.
- B. privately held and publicly traded.

C. individual market and institutional market.

Solution:

The correct answer is A. The two categories of real property are residential and commercial.

2. The preferred investment vehicles for public investors to own income-producing real estate are:

- A. real estate funds.
- B. mortgage-backed securities.
- C. real estate investment trusts.

Solution:

The correct answer is C. Real estate investment trusts (REITs) are the preferred investment vehicles for owning income-producing real estate for both private and public investors.

3. Which of the following entails the least risk?

- A. Value-add real estate
- B. Investment-grade commercial mortgage-backed securities
- C. Residential real estate with long-term leases and many lessors

Solution:

The correct answer is B. Of these three, investment-grade commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS) entail the least risk, and value-add real estate investments entail the most.

4. Which of the following entails the most risk?

- A. Mezzanine debt
- B. Core-plus real estate strategies
- C. Redevelopment of an existing property

Solution:

The correct answer is A. Of these three, mezzanine debt entails the most risk, and core-plus strategies entail the least.

5. The first stage of development of an infrastructure asset is typically called:

- A. bluesky.
- B. greenfield.
- C. early stage.

Solution:

The correct answer is B. The first stage of development of an infrastructure asset is typically called greenfield. Greenfield investing involves developing new assets and new infrastructure with the intention either to lease or sell the assets to the government after construction or to hold and operate the assets. Greenfield investors typically invest alongside strategic investors or developers that specialize in developing the underlying assets. The subsequent stages of development of infrastructure assets are typically called secondary stage and brownfield.

6. Direct infrastructure investment involves assets that are:

- A. illiquid.

B. securitized.

C. exchange traded.

Solution:

The correct answer is A. Like real estate, direct investment in existing infrastructure involves acquiring unique, illiquid assets with distinct location, features, and uses. Investors concerned about liquidity and diversification may invest indirectly using publicly traded infrastructure securities.

7. Which of the following types of infrastructure investments has the highest expected return?

A. Greenfield

B. Brownfield

C. Secondary stage

Solution:

The correct answer is A. Greenfield investments offer the highest expected return of the three. They also entail the highest expected risk. Secondary stage offers the lowest expected return and the lowest expected risk.

8. Which of the following tends to make the largest allocations to the infrastructure asset class?

A. Pension funds

B. Sovereign wealth funds

C. Life insurance companies

Solution:

The correct answer is B. Sovereign wealth funds tend to make the largest allocations to the infrastructure asset class—around 5%–6% of total AUM, according to Prequin.

2

REAL ESTATE FEATURES



explain features and characteristics of real estate

Both individuals and institutions invest in real property: either in residential or commercial real estate. Residential real estate, or the housing market, consists of individual single-family detached homes and multi-family attached units, which share at least one wall with another unit, such as condominiums, cooperatives, townhouses, or terraced housing. Commercial real estate includes primarily office buildings, retail shopping centers, commercial and residential rental properties, and warehouses. In contrast to the owner-occupied market, rental properties are leased to tenants.

Residential real estate is by far the largest market sector by value and size. Savills World Research estimated in July 2018 that residential real estate accounted for more than 75% of global real estate values. Although the average value of a home is less than the average value of an office building, the aggregate space required to house people is much larger than that needed to accommodate office use and retail shopping.

Real Estate Investments

Real estate investments exhibit general similarities to and differences from traditional equity and debt classes. Real estate can be held privately or traded publicly through real estate investment trusts (REITs). Equity investment involves direct or indirect ownership with claims to residual cash flows from the property. Depending on the property investment, these cash flows can be variable or fixed. Debt investment typically involves direct mortgage lending from financial intermediaries, part of which then can be securitized and then traded through various types of mortgage-backed securities (MBS). The main features of residential and commercial real estate are shown in Exhibit 1.

Exhibit 1: Main Features of Residential and Commercial Real Estate

	Residential real estate	Commercial real estate
Typical property	Owner-occupied, single residences; single-family residential property	Residential properties owned for lease or rental Office, retail, industrial, warehouse, hospitality, and mixed-use properties
Source of equity	Owners	Privately held by owners Publicly held through investors
Source of debt	Directly: Lenders (banks) through residential mortgages Indirectly: Investors in MBS that package residential mortgages	Directly: Lenders (banks) through commercial mortgages Indirectly: Investors in MBS that package commercial mortgages
Source of return to investors	Enjoyment of the property Price, or capital, appreciation	Income, or cash flow, generated by the property Price, or capital, appreciation

Real estate is uniquely different from other asset classes in several ways:

- The initial investment is typically large.
- Real estate is unique and distinct because there aren't two identical properties; each piece of real estate is heterogeneous and is uniquely characterized in terms of location, age, tenant credit mix, lease term, and market demographics.
- There are multiple types of real estate investment alternatives available: direct and indirect investment options spanning the spectrum from relatively liquid investments in stable, income-producing properties to illiquid investments over a long development life cycle across the purchase, construction/upgrade, occupancy, and sales phases.
- Diversification across all different types of real estate investment alternatives may be difficult to attain.
- Private market indexes replicating the performance of real estate are not directly investable.

Additionally, the price discovery process in the private real estate markets is opaque, for multiple reasons:

- Historical prices may not reflect prevailing market conditions.

- Transaction costs are typically high. Buying and selling real estate can be a time-consuming process, involving real estate professionals, banks, lawyers, and others needed to facilitate these transactions.
- Transaction activity may be limited in certain markets due to either supply or demand conditions.

Because of distinct and unique features—geographic location and potential uses—real estate markets are typically fragmented, with the local demand and supply conditions determining the value of the property. Consequently, the heterogeneity unique to real estate demands specialized skills. Selecting, valuing, acquiring, managing, and divesting a real estate portfolio is often more complicated than managing a portfolio made up of listed corporate debt and equity.

Real Estate Investment Structures

Real estate investments take on a variety of public and private forms across equity and debt capital alternatives, as summarized in Exhibit 2.

Exhibit 2: Selected Forms of Real Estate Investment

	Debt	Equity
Private	Mortgage debt	Direct ownership Sole ownership Joint ventures Limited partnerships
	Construction loans	
	Mezzanine debt	Indirect ownership Real estate funds Private REITs
Public	MBS/CMBS/CMOs	Publicly traded shares Construction Operating Development Public REITs
	Covered bonds	
	Mortgage REITs	
	Mortgage ETFs	UCITS/Mutual funds/ETFs

Direct Real Estate Investment

Direct private investing involves purchasing a property and originating debt for one's own account. Ownership can be free and clear, whereby the property title is transferred to the owner(s) unencumbered by any financing liens, such as from outstanding mortgages. Initial purchase expenses associated with direct ownership may include legal expenses, survey costs, engineering/environmental studies, and valuation (appraisal) fees. There are distinct advantages to owning real estate directly for property investors:

- *Control.* Only the owner can decide when to buy or sell, when and how much to spend on capital projects, whom to select as tenants based on credit quality preference and tenant mix, and what types of lease terms to

offer. Owners generate cash flow returns from the use and enjoyment of the property, the receipt of lease payments, and the potential for capital appreciation.

- *Tax benefits.* The owners can reduce their taxable income using non-cash property depreciation expenses and tax-deductible interest expenses.
- *Diversification.* Historically, real estate has exhibited low correlation with other asset classes, and adding real estate to a portfolio has been demonstrated to increase portfolio diversification and reduce portfolio risk.

There are also disadvantages to investing directly in property:

- *Complexity.* The owners need to dedicate time to manage the property. Making the purchase itself is more complicated as well, with requirements including property selection, negotiating terms, performing due diligence, title search, contract review, and property inspection.
- *Need for specialized knowledge.* The owners need to understand both general and local market characteristics, which requires local market knowledge.
- *Significant capital needs.* The owners need to have access to a potentially significant amount of debt and equity capital because of the large initial capital outlay needed for real estate investments.
- *Concentration risk.* Owners, particularly smaller investors, cannot create a well-diversified real estate portfolio through direct investment.
- *Lack of liquidity.* It is typically difficult to quickly buy or sell direct investments in real estate, and transaction costs are typically high.


Real estate investors may choose to handle all aspects of investing in and operating the property internally. However, commercial real estate investors often hire advisers to identify investments, negotiate acquisition and lease terms, perform due diligence, conduct real estate operations, and assist with the eventual disposal. Institutional investors that hire advisers or managers to manage their direct real estate investment can also use a separate account structure that allows the investor to control the timing and value of acquisitions and dispositions.

Owners can also borrow from mortgage lenders to fund the acquisition. Additional debt closing costs are incurred when owners take out loans to fund their investments.

Indirect Real Estate Investment

Indirect investment in real estate pools assets from different investors to acquire one or several properties; here the exposure is indirect through a variety of investment vehicles. These can be public or private, such as limited partnerships, mutual funds, equities, REITs, and exchange-traded funds (ETFs). Sometimes investors form joint ventures with other investors to invest in real estate. Joint ventures are especially common when one party can uniquely contribute something of value, such as land, capital, development expertise, debt due diligence, or entrepreneurial talent.

Tax-advantaged trusts that own, operate, and sometimes develop income-producing real estate property are known as real estate investment trusts. Their structure is shown in Exhibit 3. There are three main forms of REIT: equity REITs, which invest in properties outright or through partnerships and joint ventures; mortgage REITs, which underwrite loans to real estate (mortgages) or invest in MBS; and hybrid REITs, which invest in both these types.

Exhibit 3: Real Estate Investment Trust Structure

REITs are the preferred investment vehicles for owning income-producing real estate for both private and public investors. The main appeal of the REIT structure is the elimination of double corporate taxation. Corporations pay taxes on their income, from which they make dividend distribution to their owners from after-tax earnings. The shareholders, in turn, are taxed at their personal tax rate. REITs can avoid corporate income taxation by distributing dividends equal to 90%–100% of taxable net rental income.

The business strategy for equity REITs is simple: Maximize property occupancy rates and rents while minimizing ongoing operating and maintenance expenses to maximize cash income and dividends. REITs are a popular investment vehicle both in the United States, where they originated, and in other countries. REITs and REIT-like structures have similar legal and taxation structures and provide unique tax advantages to investors and the corporate entity.

Equity REITs, like other public companies, must report earnings per share based on net income as defined by generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) or International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). Many report non-traditional measures, such as net asset value or variations of gross cash flow, such as funds from operations (FFO), which makes adjustments for depreciation, distributions, and preferred dividends, to better estimate future dividends, because non-cash depreciation expenses can be high for asset-intensive businesses.

These publicly traded REITs address many of the disadvantages related to private real estate investing. These REITs provide investors with greater transparency. Additionally, a REIT investor only needs to buy or sell REIT shares instead of buying or selling real estate directly. The REIT is not forced to sell the company's underlying real estate like open-end funds experiencing mass redemptions. Finally, REITs have the know-how to manage the properties in order to align the interests of the REIT with those of its investors. However, a disadvantage of REITs is their higher correlation with the public equity markets when compared to private real estate.

EXAMPLE 1**Luxury Dreams REIT**

Luxury Dreams Real Estate Investment Trust acquires high-quality, income-oriented, high-end real estate around the world and offers investment alternatives for investors looking for long-term, stable, predictable cash flows, price appreciation in the underlying properties from the inclusion of properties, and active management of the properties, at generally lower volatility because of its focus on high-end real estate.

The REIT invests at least 75% of assets in high-end residential properties and up to 25% of assets in privately held, real estate-related securities, mortgage debt, and cash. The REIT is capped at USD5 billion. Because this REIT is not traded publicly, shares in the trust can be redeemed at the end of each month at a price equal to the prior month's net asset value (NAV) per share in increments of USD10,000. NAV per share is determined at the end of each month and is released to holders within 15 business days after the end of the month. Each shareholder is limited to transact 2% of the total NAV per month and 5% of NAV per quarter.

REITs and other private real estate funds are structured as infinite-life, open-end funds and allow investors to contribute or redeem capital throughout the life of the fund in a fashion parallel to mutual fund structures. Open-end funds generally offer exposure to well-leased, high-quality commercial and residential real estate in the best markets, also called **core real estate strategies**. Investors expect core real estate to deliver stable returns, primarily from income from the property.

Investors seeking higher returns may also accept additional risks from development, redevelopment, repositioning, and leasing. For such opportunistic investment preferences, finite-life, closed-end funds are more commonly used. Investors may focus on **core-plus real estate strategies**, value-add investments that require modest redevelopment or upgrades to lease any vacant space together with possible alternative use of the underlying properties. To earn higher returns, investors may engage in **value-add real estate strategies**, such as larger-scale redevelopment and repositioning of existing assets. The most **opportunistic real estate strategies** include major redevelopment, repurposing of assets, taking on large vacancies, or speculating on significant improvement in market conditions.

Mortgage REITs and hybrid REITs invest in real estate debt, typically MBS (covered elsewhere in the curriculum. These debt REITs can be both private and publicly traded funds.

QUESTION SET

1. True or false: The distinct and unique features of real estate make managing a real estate portfolio less complex than managing a portfolio of listed corporate bonds.

Solution:

False. Selecting, valuing, acquiring, managing, and divesting a real estate portfolio is often more complicated than managing a portfolio made up of listed corporate debt. The heterogeneity unique to real estate demands specialized skills, and real estate markets are typically fragmented, with the local demand and supply conditions determining the value of the property.

2. The largest sector of the real estate market is:

- A. residential real estate.
- B. real estate investment trusts.
- C. publicly traded mortgage-backed securities.

Solution:

The correct answer is A. Residential real estate is by far the largest market sector by value and size. Savills World Research estimated in July 2018 that residential real estate accounted for more than 75% of global real estate values.

3. Describe the business strategy for equity REITs.

Solution:

The business strategy for equity REITs is to maximize property occupancy rates and rents while minimizing ongoing operating and maintenance expenses to maximize cash income and dividends.

4. When a property title that is transferred to a new owner is unencumbered by any financing liens, such as from outstanding mortgages, the new ownership is considered:

- A. privately held.
- B. free and clear.
- C. direct equity ownership.

Solution:

The correct answer is B. “Free and clear” refers to the lack of any financing liens on a purchased property. If a direct private investor purchases a property and receives a title that is unencumbered by any financing liens, that ownership is considered free and clear.

3

REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CHARACTERISTICS



explain the investment characteristics of real estate investments

Investments in real estate provide competitive long-term total returns from income generation combined with potential price appreciation. Many commercial real estate companies offer multiple-year leases with fixed rents; hence, the income earned from these leases is typically both predictable and stable. Moreover, real estate tends to provide inflation protection because the lease payments are regularly adjusted, which allows for a clear valuation and pricing of the property. Finally, real estate historically has low correlations with other asset classes, and adding real estate to an investment portfolio provides diversification benefits at relatively lower levels of risk. There are a variety of indexes globally designed to measure total and component real estate returns for listed securities and non-listed investment vehicles.

Source of Returns

The return on real estate investments comes from income or asset appreciation or a combination of both. Income-producing real estate generates income primarily from the collection of rental or lease payments, including lease renewals. Expenses include direct and indirect management expenses, such as maintenance and improvement costs. Income-producing real estate investment is mostly low-risk direct investment that depends on the timely receipt of lease payments. Investors relying on capital appreciation typically expect that their longer-term property development projects are successful and the exit price will exceed the aggregate investments and any cash flow that they can generate in the meantime.

The risk and return spectrum for real estate investments, depicted in Exhibit 4, includes strategies across both debt and equity investment. Investing in real estate can generate either lower-risk, bond-like cash flows from leases or higher-risk, equity-like speculative returns from realizing value from development projects or price appreciation. Moreover, most real estate strategies are financed by a combination of debt and equity, with the proportion depending on the type of real estate.

Exhibit 4: Real Estate Risk-Return Spectrum



The low-risk/low-return part of the spectrum, starting in the lower left section of the exhibit, is made up by relatively low-risk, senior debt, such as first mortgages and investment-grade commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS). Since the underlying assets are bonds, both the risks and returns are bond-like.

The second part of the spectrum is made up of the core, stable income-producing REITs, investing in properties that generate stable cash flows either from properties with long-term leases and with many lessors (typically residential real estate) or from sale-leaseback transactions. Because in a sale-leaseback transaction the owner of the property sells the property to an investor and leases the property for continued use, the risk of default is low, providing investors a stable and relatively safe return. Additionally, real estate investors often use sale leaseback structures to secure financing in a cost-efficient way or to reduce their leverage. Overall, the returns are relatively higher compared to low-risk senior debt and are generally bond-like because the main source of return comes from long-term lease payments.

The third and fourth parts of the spectrum offer higher, riskier, and less predictable potential returns; the main source of return moves away from the predictable, bond-like, contractual cash flows and is replaced by more speculative sources from potential price appreciation. The main source of return for core-plus holdings comes from leases, but the cost of acquiring these leases and maintaining and updating the underlying properties may become significant, particularly when the property needs refurbishment, renovation, and redevelopment. For value-add real estate, the returns are increasingly equity-like, with the price appreciation component becoming progressively meaningful.

The final, fifth phase of the spectrum provides the greatest return potential at the expense of the highest levels of risk from opportunistic real estate. For instance, investments in distressed properties and in property development are subject to greater risks than investments in properties in sound financial condition or with stable operations, such as core real estate. The increasing equity-like return reflects that property development is subject to special risks, including regulatory issues, construction delays, and cost overruns. Environmental regulation is one regulatory hurdle, as is the failure to receive zoning, occupancy, and other approvals and permits. Because the lifecycle of such projects can be very lengthy, economic conditions may change. All these issues may increase construction time or delay successful leases, which increases construction costs and reduces the level of rents relative to initial expectations. This could result in a reduction of IRR versus expectation—leaving the investor uncompensated for the higher risk and illiquidity of real estate investment.

In sum, real estate investments offer a wide range of investment opportunities along the risk/return spectrum. Also, the amount of leverage deployed in each strategy shifts the risk/return trade-off by magnifying both the gains and the losses. Particularly for the more speculative real estate investors, this can increase their default risk, especially when there are unexpected changes in the level of interest rates, access to financing, or government land-use regulations. Therefore, the performance of real estate investments can vary substantially depending on the measurement period being considered.

EXAMPLE 2

Peterburgh Real Estate Fund, LLC—Change to LTV

The Peterburgh Real Estate Fund, LLC, has acquired a portfolio consisting of six commercial properties for a total of GBP100 million and secured GBP75 million in mortgages from BridgeRock Credit Opportunities LLP, a private debt fund that syndicated them. The terms of the mortgage are MRR + 150 bps, with a maturity of 15 years, GBP5 million in annual amortization, and all mortgages being secured by the first lien on the property. The loan agreements stipulated that the loan to value (LTV) should remain at 0.75. But after one year, the economic environment worsened substantively and the assessed value of some of the commercial properties owned by Peterburgh declined by GBP8 million to GBP92 million, breaching the LTV of 0.75. More specifically, the outstanding mortgage balance was reduced through amortization by GBP5 million to GBP70 million and the value of the property is GBP92 million, which gives an LTV of 0.76. BridgeRock and the other members of the syndicate would now require that Peterburgh fix the breach by adding GBP1.3 million in collateral to bring the LTV down to 0.75.

Returns to both debt and equity investors in real estate depend to a large extent on the ability of the owners or their agents to successfully operate the underlying properties. Property values vary with global, national, and local conditions. Real estate tends to provide inflation protection if leases provide regular contractual rent step-ups

or can be frequently marked to market. Research suggests that inflation-hedging ability varies significantly by geographic location, market segment, and time period. In particular, the inflation-hedging potential of real estate may be more difficult to detect if the high-inflation period of the late 1970s and early 1980s is excluded from the period of study. In addition to these macro and micro conditions, the prevailing level of interest rates influence valuation, returns, and risk.

Real Estate Investment Diversification Benefits

Investors in real estate—whether direct or indirect, public or private, debt or equity—seek high, stable, and steady returns. Real estate investments generate bond-like cash flows from their medium- to long-term property leases and offer bond-like returns. The variability of these returns can be reduced by longer-term leases, better credit quality of tenants, and the possibility of rent increases.

Real estate investments offer the opportunity for capital appreciation from the underlying assets that can bolster returns. In fact, more than half of the returns commercial real estate investors earn are derived from income, with the rest coming from long-term price appreciation. Additionally, throughout an economic market cycle, the real estate income is a more consistent source of return than capital appreciation. This reduces the risk from investing for real estate investors and provides a source of diversification: stock market returns mostly derive from long-term capital appreciation. Effectively, investment in real estate is somewhat like a convertible bond but with several advantages: steady cash flows, the possibility of capital appreciation, and low correlation between real estate price appreciation and equity market price appreciation.

Different investors have differing views on how to approach investments in REITs. Some institutional investors consider it a separate alternative asset class, some consider it a sub-sector of the broader real estate market, some consider it a fixed-income/equity hybrid, and others consider it a fixed-income asset with an incremental yield advantage over investment-grade corporate bonds.

Whether listed real estate behaves like stocks or private real estate is a matter of ongoing debate. The market prices listed REITs continuously, whereas private real estate is appraised perhaps once a year. This mismatch in appraisal timing leads to correlation numbers that are artificially low. Additionally, equity investors in public real estate discount future cash flows, while appraisers of private real estate place heavy emphasis on current market conditions and recent trends. The various real estate strategies provide different diversification benefits, as Exhibit 5 shows.

Exhibit 5: Historical Correlation between Real Estate Investment Strategies and Market Returns, March 2008–June 2021

Correlation	S&P 500 Total Return	MSCI US REIT Total Return	MSCI World Total Return
Prequin, real estate	0.51	0.49	0.46
Prequin, real estate debt	0.40	0.48	0.38

Source: Annualized quarterly returns of Private Capital Quarterly Index rebased to 31 December 2007, provided by Prequin.

Moreover, during certain market conditions, equity REIT correlations with market benchmarks increase, particularly during steep market downturns, such as the 2007–08 financial crisis. As these high correlations remained high during the post-crisis recovery, they lifted the value of most asset classes. Similar patterns in financial asset prices and real estate prices appear to have been observed during the COVID-19 recovery.

All in all, there appears to be a consensus that real estate offers diversification benefits to portfolios. Real estate markets around the world can be highly idiosyncratic and often have low correlations with traditional asset classes. For example, Oxford Economics studied the expected performance of listed European real estate as an asset class, comparing it with equities, fixed income, and commodities.¹ “A substantial allocation to listed real estate,” the authors concluded, “does enhance the risk–return characteristics of a multi-asset portfolio.” They went on to recommend larger allocations to real estate for European investors.

QUESTION SET



1. True or false: Real estate historically has high correlations with other asset classes.

Solution:

False. Real estate historically has low correlations with other asset classes, and adding real estate to an investment portfolio provides diversification benefits at relatively lower levels of risk.

2. The sources of long-term return for real estate investments are _____ and _____.

Solution:

income generation, potential price appreciation

3. In what ways are real estate investments similar to bond investments?

Solution:

Real estate investments can be similar to bond investments in that they are stable, predictable, lower-risk cash flows from leases that are similar to bond coupon payments

4. In what ways are real estate investments similar to equity investments?

Solution:

Real estate investments can be similar to equity investments in that they are speculative returns that can be realized from price appreciation of the real estate asset

¹ Listed Real Estate in A Multi-Asset Portfolio: A European Perspective, pg. 2, Oxford Economics , EPRA September 2019.

INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT FEATURES

4

- ☐ | explain features and characteristics of infrastructure

Infrastructure investments have a societal purpose; facilitate broad economic, technological, and social development purposes; and usually combine land, buildings, and other long-lived fixed assets. Infrastructure supports public transportation, airports, utilities (water, gas, and electricity), and more recently, information (telecommunication, cable, and wireless networks). Early infrastructure investments were typically financed by private corporations to realize profits. Subsequently, many governments took on a larger proportion of infrastructure investment and by the second half of the 20th century became the main source of investments. The late 20th century saw waves of privatizations of public transportation, and the scope of private infrastructure investment expanded to assets that governments had historically financed, owned, and operated themselves. The market for privately funded infrastructure is sizeable.

Infrastructure Investments

Infrastructure investments are real, capital-intensive, and long-lived assets intended for public use and provide essential services, such as airports, health care facilities, and sewage treatment plants. These investments have similarities to and differences from common equity and debt. Investments include equity, with its usual claims to residual cash flows, and debt, to finance and maintain such investments. Like real estate, investment in existing infrastructure involves acquiring unique, illiquid assets with distinct locations, features, and uses. Investments in construction and development of new infrastructure are made with expectations to generate cash either from income or from capital appreciation.

Infrastructure investments often involve a consortium that combines one or several strategic partners that have specialized operational or technical skills with the financial investors. Rather than leases or rentals from commercial or residential tenants, infrastructure cash flows in most cases arise from contractual payments, such as the following:

- *Availability payments*, which are payments received to make the facility available
- *Usage-based payments*, such as tolls and fees for using the facilities
- *“Take-or-pay” arrangements*, which obligate buyers to pay a minimum purchase price to sellers for a pre-agreed volume.

Allocations to infrastructure investments are driven both by the increased demand for infrastructure and by many governments’ search for alternative funding sources for infrastructure investments, such as investors interested and experienced in building, managing, and running infrastructure. Investors have an interest in financing infrastructure investments, demand-side growth. As governments provide more opportunities by expanding infrastructure assets, they also continue to privatize government services.

Most infrastructure assets are financed, owned, and operated by governments, and a substantive proportion of these investments comes from public sources in the developing world. However, increasingly infrastructure is being financed privately through public–private partnerships (PPPs) by local, regional, and national governments. A **public–private partnership** is typically defined as a long-term contractual relationship between the public and private sectors for the purpose of having the private sector deliver a project or service traditionally provided by the public sector.

Infrastructure investors may intend to lease the assets back to the government, to sell newly constructed assets to the government, or to hold and operate the assets until they reach operational maturity or perhaps for even longer.

Infrastructure investments are also made in partnership with development finance institutions, which are specialized financial intermediaries that provide risk capital for economic development projects on a non-commercial basis. There are global, international, national, and local development finance institutions. For instance, the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD) invests to improve municipal services, including infrastructure, and regularly taps global financial markets to finance infrastructure and other types of investments.

Categories of Infrastructure Investments

To categorize infrastructure investments, investors frequently rely on the underlying assets, with the broadest categorization distinguishing between economic and social infrastructure assets, as shown in Exhibit 6.

Exhibit 6: Categorizing Infrastructure Investments

Economic Infrastructure Investments			
Transportation Assets	Information and Communication Technology Assets	Utility and Energy Assets	Social Infrastructure Investments
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▫ roads ▫ bridges ▫ tunnels ▫ airports ▫ seaports ▫ railway systems 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▫ telecommunication towers ▫ data centers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▫ electrical grid ▫ power generation, transmission, distribution ▫ potable water production ▫ gas storage and distribution ▫ liquefied natural gas terminals ▫ oil and gas infrastructure ▫ solid waste treatment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▫ educational assets ▫ health care assets ▫ social housing ▫ correctional facilities ▫ government/municipal buildings

Economic infrastructure investments support economic activity through transportation assets, information and communication technology (ICT) assets, and utility and energy assets:

- *Transportation assets* include roads, bridges, tunnels, airports, seaports, and heavy and light/urban railway systems. Income will usually be linked to demand based on traffic, airport and seaport charges, tolls, and rail fares and hence is deemed to carry market risk.
- *ICT assets* include infrastructure that stores, broadcasts, and transmits information or data, such as telecommunication towers and data centers.
- *Utility and energy assets* generate power and produce potable water; transmit, store, and distribute gas, water, and electricity; and treat solid waste.

Utility investments encompass environmentally sustainable development, with an increasing focus on renewable technologies, including solar, wind, and waste-to-energy power generation. Other energy assets may encompass downstream oil and gas

infrastructure, the electrical grid, and liquefied natural gas terminals. The income earned from utility assets may also carry demand risk because buyers' energy and natural resources needs fluctuate. Alternatively, utilities can institute "take-or-pay" arrangements, locking buyers into minimum purchases whether supply is needed or not. Buyers usually have recourse if the utility falls short on performance or delivers supplies that are late or of inferior quality.

Social infrastructure investments are directed toward human activities and include such assets as educational, health care, social housing, and correctional facilities, with the focus on providing, operating, and maintaining the asset infrastructure. The relevant services administered through those facilities are usually provided separately by the public authority or by a private service provider contracted by the public authority. In some countries, this model has been extended to other public infrastructure, including government and municipal buildings. Income from social infrastructure is typically derived from a type of lease payment that depends on availability payments and on managing and maintaining the asset according to predefined standards.

EXAMPLE 3

Clarkswood Infrastructure Fund LP

Clarkswood Infrastructure Fund LP invests its assets in equity and equity-like securities and debt issued by issuers that own or operate infrastructure assets in developed and developing countries. Infrastructure lays the foundation of basic services, facilities, and institutions on which the growth and development of a nation and country directly depend. More specifically, in several developed countries, such as the United States, the United Kingdom, and Australia, many infrastructure assets have been privatized as national, state, and local governments spend less on building, investing, maintaining, and operating infrastructure. The private sector has stepped in to provide equity financing to fund infrastructure.

For instance, the fund invests in an operator of Australian toll roads listed on the Australian Stock Exchange, as well as the operator of the Auckland Airport (New Zealand's largest airport), also listed on a stock exchange. It also invests in several corporations that provide infrastructure services in both the developing world and the developed world, including China and India, that see significant demand for infrastructure products and services and seek private sector investments. The fund invests up to 50% of its assets in US assets, up to 30% in infrastructure assets located in OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) countries, up to 30% in assets in non-OECD countries, and no more than 10% in any country with no more than 5% in any infrastructure provider.

Stages of Infrastructure Development


Infrastructure investments can also be categorized by the underlying assets' stage of development. Typically, we distinguish among greenfield investments, secondary-stage investments, and brownfield investments.

Greenfield investments, developing new assets and new infrastructure, are opportunistic investments. The intent may be to lease or sell the assets to the government after construction or to hold and operate the assets. If they are held, it can be over the long term or for a shorter period until operational maturity, with subsequent sale

to new investors, thus ensuring capital appreciation to reflect the construction and commissioning risk. Greenfield investors typically invest alongside strategic investors or developers that specialize in developing the underlying assets.

The greenfield investment life cycle common among public–private partnerships is called the build-operate-transfer (BOT) life cycle and is shown in Exhibit 7. The build phase is often characterized by an initial longer approval and construction phase with negative cash flows. The subsequent operate phase is governed by a concession agreement in which the private investor generates income based on pre-agreed parameters. In the final transfer phase, the investment is transferred to a government entity based on pre-determined parameters, sold to a third party, or decommissioned.

Exhibit 7: Illustrative Build-Operate-Transfer Model for Greenfield Infrastructure



Brownfield investments expand existing facilities and may involve privatization of public assets or a sale leaseback of completed greenfield projects. They are characterized by a shorter investment period with immediate cash flows and an operating history. Typically, some of the assets' financial and operating history is available, and so brownfield investments may be sought after by both strategic investors specializing in operating the assets and—particularly with privatizations—financial investors focused on long-term, stable returns.

Secondary-stage investments invest in existing infrastructure facilities or fully operational assets that do not require further investment or development over the investment horizon. These assets generate immediate cash flow and returns expected over the investment period. Some assets never reach this stage because they continuously require additional capital and development.

Forms of Infrastructure Investment

As with real estate investments, infrastructure investments come in a variety of forms. The choice affects liquidity, cash flow, and income streams. Infrastructure investments can be direct or indirect. The vast majority of investors focus on equity investments, with some interest in pure debt (infrastructure bonds) and convertible debt.

- *Direct investment in the underlying infrastructure* provides control and the opportunity to capture full value. It requires a large investment and results in both concentration and liquidity risks while the assets are managed and operated. Because of this risk and the typical long-term horizon, direct infrastructure investment usually takes place with a group or consortium of strategic investors that share the financial risk and/or assume a specific role in building, operating, or managing the assets. Such strategic partners, such as large pension funds or sovereign wealth funds, are frequent direct investors because they are better placed to manage certain risks to limit individual concentration risk. Frequently these funds invest under specific mandates in infrastructure projects and specifically prioritize domestic infrastructure needs.

EXAMPLE 4

Public–Private Partnership, Iguá Saneamento S.A.

In March 2021, the Canada Pension Plan Investment Board paid CAD270 million (BRL1,178 million) for a 45% stake in Iguá Saneamento S.A. (Iguá), a water and sewage service company operating 18 concessions and contracts across five Brazilian states. The company serves more than 6 million people, provides sanitation services, and is the third-largest Brazilian private water and sewage treatment service provider.

The objective of the transaction is to support Iguá's growth objectives and to maintain operating funds to support its operations. Iguá is considering acquiring additional water and sanitation concessions in Brazil, including the formation of public–private partnerships there. Other institutional investors include Alberta Investment Management Corporation (39%), BNDES Participações S.A. (11%), and IG4 Capital Group (11%).

• *Indirect investments* include infrastructure funds (similar in structure to private equity funds and either closed end or open end), infrastructure ETFs, and holding equity in publicly traded infrastructure providers, or master limited partnerships (MLPs). Investors concerned about liquidity and diversification may choose publicly traded infrastructure securities. Publicly traded infrastructure securities benefit from liquidity, reasonable fees, transparent governance, observable market prices, and transparent pricing, in addition to diversification among underlying assets. An investor should be aware, however, that publicly traded infrastructure securities represent a small segment of infrastructure investment: S&P Dow Jones Indices reported a total global market cap of USD2.23 trillion as of 31 December 2021.² Publicly traded infrastructure investments also tend to be clustered in certain asset categories.

² S&P Dow Jones Indices, "Approaches to Benchmarking Listed Infrastructure" (June 2022, p. 3). www.spglobal.com/spdji/en/documents/research/research-approaches-to-benchmarking-listed-infrastructure.pdf.

Master limited partnerships (MLPs) trade on exchanges, are pass-through entities like REITs, and share the income pass-through structure taxation rules that minimize double taxation for investors. MLPs are most commonly used in energy transportation, processing, or storage; generate relatively stable cash flows from fee-based income; and distribute larger parts of their free cash flow to their investors.

Debt financing for infrastructure projects can be both private debt and publicly traded debt. Normally, the terms are flexible to accommodate periods of zero cash flow and long development or investment horizons. Publicly issued debt, such as the Airport Authority of Hong Kong perpetual bonds and Indonesian Infrastructure Fund US dollar bonds highlighted in earlier fixed-income modules are other approaches to financing infrastructure projects.

QUESTION SET



1. Infrastructure cash flows primarily arise from:

- A. dividends.
- B. commercial tenants.
- C. contractual payments.

Solution:

The correct answer is C. Rather than leases or rentals from commercial or residential tenants, infrastructure cash flows arise from contractual payments, such as: availability payments (payments are received to make the facility available), usage-based payments (e.g., tolls and fees for using the facilities), and “take-or-pay” arrangements (which obligate buyers to pay a minimum purchase price to sellers for a pre-agreed volume).

2. Most infrastructure assets are financed, owned, and operated by:

- A. governments.
- B. public–private partnerships.
- C. development finance institutions.

Solution:

The correct answer is A. Most infrastructure assets are financed, owned, and operated by governments, and a substantive proportion of these investments comes from public sources in the developing world. However, increasingly infrastructure is being financed privately through public–private partnerships by local, regional, and national governments. Infrastructure investments are also made in partnership with development finance institutions, which are specialized financial intermediaries that provide risk capital for economic development projects on a non-commercial basis.

3. Which of these statements about infrastructure investing is true? Infrastructure investments:

- A. can generate cash income.
- B. are intended to be non-profit.
- C. do not have capital appreciation.

Solution:

The correct answer is A. Investments in construction and development of new infrastructure are made with expectations to generate cash either from income or from capital appreciation.

4. Which of the following is a characteristic of direct investment in infrastructure?

- A. High liquidity
- B. Concentration risk
- C. Short-term horizon

Solution:

The correct answer is B. Direct investment in infrastructure requires a large investment and results in both concentration and liquidity risks while the assets are managed and operated. Because of these risks and the typical long-term horizon, direct infrastructure investment usually takes place with a group or consortium of strategic investors that share the financial risk and/or assume a specific role in building, operating, or managing the assets.

INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT CHARACTERISTICS


5



explain the investment characteristics of infrastructure investments

The type of the underlying infrastructure investment, its stage of development, its geographic location, and the way the investment is structured define the expected risk and returns, as Exhibit 8 depicts.

Exhibit 8: Infrastructure Investment Characteristics



In the three groupings of the infrastructure development cycle—greenfield, operational secondary-stage, and brownfield—the operational secondary-stage assets with an existing track record of generating steady, bond-like cash flows possess the lowest risk and offer the lowest return to the investors. Brownfield investments, redevelopment

of existing infrastructure, are incrementally riskier, and greenfield projects are the riskiest. Exhibit 9 shows the historical risk and return statistics for infrastructure assets compared to other real asset categories.

Exhibit 9: Historical Annualized Return and Standard Deviation of Return for Infrastructure and Real Assets, March 2008–June 2021

Annualized	Average return	Standard deviation	Coefficient of variation
Preqin, infrastructure	8.57%	0.07	0.82
Preqin, natural resources	3.29%	0.09	2.66
Preqin, real estate	3.43%	0.10	2.86
S&P Infrastructure Total Return	3.52%	0.19	5.52
MSCI US REIT Total Return	7.77%	0.26	3.38

Source: Annualized quarterly returns of Private Capital Quarterly Index rebased to 31 December 2007, provided by Preqin.

Additionally, the type of infrastructure investment is material in determining the risk and return. While investments in basic social services infrastructure or existing regulated industries typically involve less risk and lower expected return, demand-based infrastructure projects often build on projections of future economic growth and increased usage demands and are riskier.

In developing market economies, where infrastructure investments are needed to underpin economic, social, and societal growth and benefit from increased wealth created by the economy, risks are considerable, but the returns—over long time horizons—are considerable. Greenfield infrastructure projects in developing countries offer exceptional return opportunities over very long time horizons. Exhibit 10 shows the risk profiles of various infrastructure projects.

Exhibit 10: Private Infrastructure Fund Illustrative Target Returns

High-Risk Profile	Medium-Risk Profile	Low-Risk Profile
Greenfield projects without guarantees of demand upon completion—e.g., variable electricity prices, uncertain traffic on roads and through ports	Mostly brownfield assets (with some capital expenditure requirements) and some greenfield assets (with limited construction and demand risk)	Brownfield assets with mitigated risks—e.g., fully constructed with contracted/regulated revenues
Located in OECD countries and emerging markets	Located primarily in OECD countries	Located in the most stable OECD countries
High weighting to capital appreciation	Mix of yield and capital appreciation	High weighting to current yield
Target equity returns of 14%+	Target equity returns of 10%–12%	Target equity returns of 6%–8%

Note: Target equity returns are net of fees.

Source: Cambridge Associates, “Digging In: Assessing the Private Infrastructure Opportunity Today,” Research Note (April 2017).

Most infrastructure funds gravitate toward the medium- and low-risk profiles, generating an average long-term annual return around 10%. As for other alternative investments, less liquid forms of direct equity ownership investments tend to offer the highest expected return with the greatest risk, while publicly traded forms of debt offer the lowest potential returns. Assets backed by stable long-term concession arrangements provide the most stable returns.

Infrastructure Diversification Benefits

Infrastructure investors expect the assets to primarily generate stable long-term cash flows that also adjust for economic growth and inflation and secondarily expect capital appreciation, depending on the type and timing of their investment. Because infrastructure investments typically support services that face inelastic demand and/or benefit from high barriers to entry, generate steady cash returns, and have a longer life cycle, equity investments in infrastructure offer lower correlation with public market equities and the broader economy. Effectively, infrastructure investments provide an income stream, increase portfolio diversification by adding an asset class with typically low correlation with other public investments, provide some protection for changes in GDP growth, and offer some protection against inflation. Exhibit 11 summarizes the diversification benefits of infrastructure investments and shows the low correlation between infrastructure and other market returns. It is notable that public and private infrastructure returns exhibit low correlations.

Exhibit 11: Historical Annualized Correlations for Infrastructure Assets, March 2008–June 2021

Correlation	S&P 500 Total Return	S&P Infrastructure Total Return	MSCI US REIT Total Return	MSCI World Total Return
Preqin, infrastructure	0.12	0.14	0.33	0.08
Preqin, natural resources	0.68	0.67	0.61	0.68
Preqin, real estate	0.51	0.39	0.49	0.46

Source: Annualized quarterly returns of Private Capital Quarterly Index rebased to 31 December 2007, provided by Preqin.

Most institutional investors consider infrastructure investments to balance public equity holdings because infrastructure has proven to be relatively resilient to swings in the equity markets. Given the stable underlying nature of cash flows, infrastructure debt tends to experience lower default rates and higher recovery than similar fixed-income instruments, and it is less subject to fluctuation over the economic cycle.

Additionally, infrastructure may better match the longer-term liability structure of certain investors, such as pension funds, superannuation schemes, and life insurance companies. It also suits the longer-term horizon of sovereign wealth funds, which tend to make the largest allocations to this asset class—around 5%–6% of total assets under management, according to Preqin. Long-term correlation benefits also result from the fact that most infrastructure assets have a link to inflation through regulation, concession agreements, or other fee contracts whose rates rise to or above the rate of inflation.

QUESTION SET

1. True or false: Greenfield infrastructure projects in developing countries offer exceptional return opportunities over very long time horizons.

Solution:

True. In developing market economies, where infrastructure investments are needed to underpin economic, social, and societal growth and benefit from increased wealth created by the economy, risks are considerable but the returns—over long time horizons—are also considerable.

2. Which of these types of infrastructure investment has the highest expected risk?

- A. Regulated industry
- B. Social infrastructure
- C. Demand-based infrastructure

Solution:

The correct answer is C. Demand-based infrastructure investments have the highest expected risk of the three. Social infrastructure has the lowest.

3. Which of the following has the highest weighting to capital appreciation?

- A. Greenfield assets with limited construction and demand risk
- B. Fully constructed brownfield assets with contracted revenues
- C. Greenfield assets without guarantees of demand upon completion

Solution:

The correct answer is C. Greenfield projects without guarantees of demand upon completion—for example, variable electricity prices, uncertain traffic on roads and through ports—have a high weighting to capital appreciation. Greenfield assets with limited construction and demand risk have a mix of yield and capital appreciation. Brownfield assets with mitigated risks (e.g., fully constructed with contracted/regulated revenues) that are located in the most stable OECD countries have a high weighting to current yield.

4. Which of the following is true regarding infrastructure investments?

- A. Infrastructure investments typically generate volatile cash returns.
- B. Infrastructure investments typically support services that face inelastic demand and/or benefit from high barriers to entry.
- C. While public infrastructure returns have low correlation with market returns, private infrastructure returns have high correlation with market returns.

Solution:

The correct answer is B. Because infrastructure investments typically support services that face inelastic demand and/or benefit from high barriers to entry, generate steady cash returns, and have a longer life cycle, equity investments in infrastructure offer lower correlation to public market equities and the broader economy. It is notable that public and private infrastructure returns exhibit low correlations.

PRACTICE PROBLEMS

1. A REIT is considered to be “hybrid” if it invests in both:
 - A. equity REITs and mortgage REITs.
 - B. sole ownership and joint ventures.
 - C. direct and indirect property ownership.
2. A direct private real estate investor can reduce taxable income using:
 - A. double taxation.
 - B. cash depreciation expenses.
 - C. tax-deductible interest expenses.
3. The main appeal of the REIT structure is the elimination of:
 - A. dividend distributions.
 - B. double corporate taxation.
 - C. the requirement to report earnings per share.
4. An advantage of REITs is:
 - A. low non-cash depreciation expenses.
 - B. more transparency than private real estate markets.
 - C. lower correlation with the public equity markets compared to private real estate.
5. Which of the following tends *not* to characterize real estate investments?
 - A. Predictability
 - B. Protection from inflation
 - C. High correlations with other asset classes
6. Akasaka Investment Company established a portfolio of warehouse properties with a total market value of THB3.60 billion. It secured mortgage financing of THB2.61 billion. The terms of the mortgage required Akasaka to maintain a loan-to-value ratio of 0.725.

After 18 months, the portfolio value had dropped to THB2.23 billion and the mortgage liability was THB2.35 billion.

By how much must Akasaka reduce its mortgage liability to return its LTV back to the required level?

 - A. THB6.00 million
 - B. THB8.25 million
 - C. THB9.19 million

7. Unlike appraisers, equity investors tend to place heavy emphasis on:
 - A. recent trends.
 - B. discounted cash flows.
 - C. current market conditions.
8. Infrastructure investments can be categorized based on the nature of their underlying assets as:
 - A. economic or social.
 - B. local, regional, or national.
 - C. usage-based or take-or-pay.
9. Which of these would most likely appeal to an investor who wants long-term, stable returns?
 - A. Greenfield investments
 - B. Brownfield investments
 - C. Secondary-stage investments
10. Which of the following is true regarding infrastructure investments?
 - A. They cannot be made using publicly traded securities.
 - B. Master limited partnerships minimize double taxation for investors.
 - C. Large pension funds are frequent members of direct investment consortiums because of their preference for a short-term horizon.
11. Which of the following types of infrastructure investments entails the lowest expected risk?
 - A. Greenfield
 - B. Brownfield
 - C. Secondary stage
12. Which of the following has the highest weighting to current yield?
 - A. Greenfield assets with limited construction and demand risk
 - B. Fully constructed brownfield assets with contracted revenues
 - C. Greenfield assets without guarantees of demand upon completion
13. Compared with similar fixed-income instruments, infrastructure debt:
 - A. has higher recovery rates.
 - B. experiences higher default rates.
 - C. has more fluctuation over the economic cycle.

SOLUTIONS

1. The correct answer is A. There are three main forms of REIT: (1) equity REITs that invest in properties outright or through partnerships and joint ventures, (2) mortgage REITs that underwrite loans to real estate (mortgages) or invest in MBS, and (3) hybrid REITs that invest in both of these types.
2. The correct answer is C. Direct private real estate owners can reduce their taxable income using *non*-cash property depreciation expenses and tax-deductible interest expenses.
3. The correct answer is B. The main appeal of the REIT structure is the elimination of double corporate taxation. Corporations pay taxes on their income, from which they make dividend distributions to their owners from after-tax earnings. The shareholders, in turn, are taxed at their personal tax rate. Equity REITs, like other public companies, must report earnings per share based on net income as defined by generally accepted accounting principles or International Financial Reporting Standards.
4. The correct answer is B. An advantage of REITs is more transparency than private real estate markets. As an asset-intensive business, REITs can have high non-cash depreciation expenses. REITs have higher correlation with the public equity markets compared to private real estate, and this is a disadvantage of REITs.
5. The correct answer is C. Real estate has historically low correlations with other asset classes, and adding real estate to an investment portfolio provides diversification benefits at relatively lower levels of risk. Many commercial real estate companies offer multiple-year leases with fixed rents; hence, the income earned from these leases is typically both predictable and stable. Moreover, real estate tends to provide inflation protection because the lease payments are regularly adjusted.
6. The correct answer is B.

$$\text{LTV} = \text{Mortgage liability} / \text{Portfolio value.}$$

$$\text{Mortgage liability} = \text{LTV} \times \text{Portfolio value.}$$

$$\text{Required mortgage liability} = \text{Required LTV} \times \text{Portfolio value.}$$

$$\text{Required reduction in mortgage liability} = \text{Mortgage liability} - \text{Required mortgage liability.}$$

$$\text{Required reduction in mortgage liability} = \text{Mortgage liability} - (\text{Required LTV} \times \text{Portfolio value}).$$

$$8.25 = 235 - (0.725 \times 323).$$
7. The correct answer is B. Equity investors in public real estate discount future cash flows, while appraisers of private real estate place heavy emphasis on current market conditions and recent trends.
8. The correct answer is A. To categorize infrastructure investments, investors frequently rely on the underlying assets, with the broadest categorization distinguishing between economic and social infrastructure assets.
9. The correct answer is B. With brownfield investments, typically some of the financial and operating history is available. Therefore, brownfield investments may be sought after by both strategic investors specializing in operating the assets

and—particularly with privatizations—financial investors focused on long-term, stable returns.

10. The correct answer is B. Master limited partnerships trade on exchanges, are pass-through entities like REITs, and may also share with REITs taxation rules that minimize double taxation for investors.
Investors concerned about liquidity and diversification may choose publicly traded infrastructure securities.
Because of the concentration and liquidity risks and the typical long-term horizon, direct infrastructure investment usually takes place with a group or consortium of strategic investors that share the financial risk and/or assume a specific role in building, operating, or managing the assets. Such strategic partners, such as large pension funds or sovereign wealth funds, are frequent direct investors because they are better placed to manage certain risks to limit individual concentration risk.
11. The correct answer is C. In the three groupings of the infrastructure development cycle—greenfield, operational secondary stage, and brownfield—the operational secondary-stage assets with an existing track record of generating steady, bond-like cash flows possess the lowest risk and offer the lowest return to the investors. Brownfield investments, redevelopment of existing infrastructure, are incrementally riskier, and greenfield projects are the riskiest.
12. The correct answer is B. Brownfield assets with mitigated risks (e.g., fully constructed with contracted/regulated revenues) that are located in the most stable OECD countries have a high weighting to current yield. Greenfield assets with limited construction and demand risk have a mix of yield and capital appreciation. Greenfield projects without guarantees of demand upon completion—e.g., variable electricity prices, uncertain traffic on roads and through ports—have a high weighting to capital appreciation.
13. The correct answer is A. Given the stable underlying nature of cash flows, infrastructure debt tends to experience lower default rates and higher recovery than similar fixed-income instruments, and it is less subject to fluctuation over the economic cycle.

LEARNING MODULE

5

Natural Resources

LEARNING OUTCOMES

<i>Mastery</i>	<i>The candidate should be able to:</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	explain features of raw land, timberland, and farmland and their investment characteristics
<input type="checkbox"/>	describe features of commodities and their investment characteristics
<input type="checkbox"/>	analyze sources of risk, return, and diversification among natural resource investments

INTRODUCTION

1

Natural resources comprise commodities and raw land used for agricultural purposes, specifically farming and timber. Managing this asset class requires specialized knowledge of the features of natural resources. An increasing number of portfolios include natural resources, which justifies a separate examination of the sector. There has been rapid development in offering indirect investment in natural resources through exchange-traded funds (ETFs), limited partnerships, REITs, swaps, and futures.

Commodities, such as crude oil, soybeans, copper, and gold, are seen as investments. Investments in commodities can be either “hard” (those mined, such as copper, or extracted, such as oil) or “soft” (those grown over a period of time, such as livestock, grains, and cash crops, such as coffee).

Timberland investment involves ownership of raw land and the harvesting of its trees for lumber, thus generating an income stream and the potential for capital gain, and timberland has been included in large institutional portfolios for decades. Farmland as an investment is a more recent phenomenon, with only a few dedicated funds involved. With population growth, weather, and water management becoming more topical, however, investors may turn to these assets to actively address sustainability.

LEARNING MODULE OVERVIEW



- Investments in farmland and timberland are similar in certain respects to real estate investments but also exhibit several important differences.

- While raw land's investment returns occur strictly from price changes of the asset, both farmland and timberland generate returns from the assets' income stream in addition to price changes of the assets.
- Timberland's income stream differs from that of farmland in that the harvest time of timber can be chosen while crops from farmland are harvested on a regular cycle.
- Commodity investments are typically entered into via derivative markets, although some investors may find value in investment in physical commodities directly or through specialized funds.
- The prices available on commodities through derivative markets must be related to the prices on the same commodities in physical markets to prevent arbitrage opportunities.
- The forward price of a commodity will be greater than the spot price on the same commodity only if the carrying costs of owning the physical commodity are greater than the non-cash benefits of owning the physical commodity.
- Investing in commodities is motivated by its potential for high expected return, its potential for diversifying a portfolio of traditional assets, and inflation protection. Commodities exhibit high correlation with inflation over the last 30 years, suggesting that commodities are an effective inflation hedge.
- Farmland and timberland investments trade infrequently and in non-public markets. As a result, they are likely to appear as less volatile than commodities and other publicly traded risky assets (such as stocks), despite the fact that both asset classes face significant risks, such as weather-related threats.
- Farmland and timberland provide diversification potential to portfolios consisting primarily of traditional assets (i.e., stocks and bonds). Historical correlations between these asset classes and traditional assets have been close to zero.

LEARNING MODULE SELF-ASSESSMENT



These initial questions are intended to help you gauge your current level of understanding of this learning module.

1. Which of the following asset characteristics is shared by both farmland and real estate investments?
 - A. Both are liquid investments.
 - B. Both are illiquid investments.
 - C. Physical improvements are a primary focus of the investment value for both.

Solution:

B is correct. Farmland and real estate share a feature of illiquidity: It is costly to find a buyer when sale of the investment is desired. A is incorrect given that both are illiquid investments. C is incorrect because physical improvements are a focus of value only for real estate investments, not for farmland investments.

2. Which of the following natural resource investments is least likely to use the real estate investment trust (REIT) ownership structure?

- A. Farmland
- B. Raw land
- C. Timberland

Solution:

B is correct. Raw land is typically acquired through direct ownership or a partnership structure. Also, raw land has no inherent income stream and returns accrue purely from price appreciation, making the income pass-through REIT structure less relevant. Both A and C are incorrect because both farmland and timberland investments are included in REIT structures, as well as other ownership forms.

3. Which type of investor is likely to prefer investing in commodities using exchange-traded products?

- A. Those seeking simplified trading through a brokerage account
- B. Those seeking to gain access to dynamic commodity trading strategies
- C. Those seeking expertise in a specific commodity sector

Solution:

A is correct. Exchange-traded products allow investors to gain commodity exposure through a simple exchange-traded instrument that can be accessed via a brokerage account. B is incorrect because it describes investors who choose to use commodity trading advisors. C is incorrect because this type of investor will choose a specialized commodity fund for its expertise.

4. Which of the following describes a non-cash benefit of holding a physical commodity rather than a derivative contract on the same commodity?

- A. Interest
- B. Convenience yield
- C. Storage

Solution:

B is correct. In market environments in which physical inventories of a commodity become low, investors in that commodity will prefer to hold the physical asset rather than a derivative contract with the asset as an underlying. The premium on the spot price resulting from this preference is called the convenience yield. A and C are both incorrect because interest and storage reflect costs associated with owning the physical commodity.

5. Which of the following statements most correctly reflects commodity supply and demand fundamentals?

- A. Supply of commodities adjusts equally to demand for commodities.
- B. Supply of commodities adjusts more rapidly than does demand for commodities.
- C. Supply of commodities adjusts more slowly than does demand for commodities.

Solution:

C is correct. Commodity supply adjusts slowly to demand because of long production times; for example, agricultural crops require a growing cycle.

6. Which of the following measures is best used to assess the potential for portfolio diversification when adding farmland or timberland to a portfolio of traditional assets?

- A. Returns of other asset classes
- B. Volatility of other asset classes
- C. Correlation between other asset classes

Solution:

C is correct. Correlation between asset classes best reflects the potential for portfolio diversification. An asset class that exhibits lower (i.e., closer to zero) correlation with traditional asset classes (such as stocks and bonds) has better diversification potential compared to an asset class exhibiting higher (i.e., closer to one) correlation. A and B are incorrect because returns and volatility strictly reflect reward and risk for the asset class without consideration as to how the asset class performs compared to other asset classes.

2

NATURAL RESOURCES INVESTMENT FEATURES



explain features of raw land, timberland, and farmland and their investment characteristics

Natural resources comprise different production inputs that are basic to the economy and everyday life: plants and animals (i.e., soft commodities); energy and minerals (hard commodities); and metals and industrial goods used to manufacture goods and produce services. A notable proportion of natural resource investments are directly through farmland, raw land with exploration and mining rights, and timberland. Direct ownership spans a broad spectrum: from farmers producing grain to institutional investors building solar farms.

Many large institutional investors create exposure to natural resources outright by purchasing land with rights to farm agricultural commodities; to extract oil and gas; to build facilities for alternative energy generation, such as solar and wind farms; or to mine commodities, such as iron, coal, and other industrial metals. By investing in these assets, institutional investors often seek to fulfil their environmental, social, and governance (ESG) objectives, such as sustainability, water conservation, and other environmental goals.

Land Investments vs. Real Estate

Farmland, timberland, and raw land are similar to real estate investments in that they are unique, illiquid assets with distinct geographic location and features, where the latter two characteristics have an influence on the value of the resource itself. They involve forms of ownership capital (claims to residual cash flows). In the case of developed real estate and farmland, there may also be steady cash flow streams (leases).

Less developed land includes farmland, timberland, and raw land, as well as associated mineral or drilling rights. Sources of return include expected price appreciation over time and cash flows, such as farm lease payments (for an owner), farm operating income (owner-operator), farm timberland income, and mineral and drilling royalties.

Estimates suggest nearly half of private investable timberland globally is in the United States. The next biggest timberland regions are Central and Eastern Europe, Latin America, Australia, and New Zealand.

There are several differences between real estate investments and raw land, farmland, and timberland investments. The first difference is that unlike real estate, there is limited or no focus on the physical improvements to the land. It is not the value of buildings, construction, and development that matters but, rather, the quality of the soil, climate features (farmland, timberland), or geology (mineral rights). In contrast, it is the actual, potential, and planned improvements that determine the value of the property in real estate investments. The location of land is also important; the closer it is to transportation and markets, the higher the price. While the proximity to transportation is also a factor for real estate, transportation expenses can be a significant component of the price of the products paid by the end-user of timberland and farmland.

To make investments in raw land, timberland, or farmland, investors need specialized knowledge and understanding of the specifics of the natural resource. Investors investing directly in timberland need forest investment expertise to manage a forest over its life cycle. Many large institutional investors that do not have this expertise rely on **timberland investment management organizations** (TIMOs), entities that support institutional investors by managing their investments in timberland by analyzing and acquiring suitable timberland holdings.

Both commercial and residential real estate offer a wide variety of financing alternatives; however, there are fewer alternatives for farmland, timberland, and raw land. Often these investments are financed through bank loans or direct, private debt investment. Finally, these are illiquid assets that have a limited number of potential buyers and sellers due to the specialized knowledge and capital needed for these transactions. These features are outlined in Exhibit 1.

Exhibit 1: Raw Land, Farmland and Timberland

	Raw land	Farmland	Timberland
Return drivers	Price of land	Harvest quantities Commodity prices Price of land	Biological growth Harvest quantities Lumber prices Price of land
Source of direct revenue	Price appreciation Lease revenue	Sale of crops and other agricultural products Price appreciation Lease revenue	Sale of trees, wood, and other timber products Price appreciation Lease revenue
Value	Physical location	Physical location Growth cycle Soil quality	Physical location Quality of timber Phase in timber production
Main risks	Best alternative use	Weather factors and climate change Biological factors, diseases	
Owners	Mostly institutional, some individual	Mostly individuals, some institutional	Mostly institutional, some individual
Ownership structure	Direct ownership, partnership	Direct ownership, partnership, REIT	Direct ownership, partnership, REIT, TIMO

Features and Forms of Farmland and Timberland Investment

Sustained interest in farmland and timberland investments stems from their common nature (everyone eats and requires shelter), the recurring income from crops, inflation protection from holding land, and their degree of insulation from financial market volatility. US farmland, for example, enjoyed positive returns both during periods when US GDP declined significantly (1973–1975 and 2007–2009) and when the United States experienced higher-than-normal inflation (1915–1920, 1940–1951, and 1967–1981).

Timberland has been part of institutional and ultra-high-net-worth portfolios for decades, typically trading in large units of land (several thousands of acres or hectares). One of the main challenges of these investments is their long market cycle, particularly in new-growth forest and crops that are picked, such as fruit. In contrast, farmland can be found in much smaller sizes—perhaps tens of or a few hundred acres or hectares. Many farms are still family owned, as is 98% of US farmland. Globally, farmland remains a main source of family wealth.

Investments in farmland and timberland—owned directly, owned indirectly, or leased—generate returns from selling crops and timber. Farmland consists mainly of row crops that are planted and harvested (more than one round of planting and harvesting can occur in a year depending on the crop and the climate) and permanent crops that grow on trees or vines. Farmland may also be used as pastureland for livestock. Farm products must be harvested when ripe, with little flexibility in production. By contrast, timberland serves as both a factory and a warehouse. Timber (trees) can be grown (i.e., timberland's factory characteristic) and easily stored by simply not harvesting the trees (i.e., timberland's warehouse characteristic). This characteristic offers the flexibility of harvesting when timber prices are up and delaying harvests when prices are down.

As part of the returns generated by selling the output from the land, both farmland and timberland generate returns from price changes in their output. The market prices for agricultural products and timber may fluctuate considerably over time, and these prices combined with harvest quantities dictate the revenue generated by the sale of the land's output. Finally, the value of the land may change over time for both farmland and timberland, and these land price changes also contribute to the return on farmland and timberland investments. The return drivers are summarized in the first row of Exhibit 1.

For centuries, direct farmland and timberland ownership has been the initial dominant form, with a focus on long-term tax-exempt investors, such as pension funds, foundations, and endowments. That is why the primary investment vehicles of smaller investors for timber and farmland are investment funds, whether offered on the public markets, such as real estate investment trusts in the United States, or administered privately through limited partnerships. Direct timberland investors use TIMOs to select, manage, and sell assets in accordance with investor objectives. TIMOs are often used in conjunction with indirect investment alternatives, such as limited partnerships, limited liability corporations (LLC), and private REITs.

Larger investors can consider direct investments for assets with appeal. For example, Middle Eastern sovereign wealth funds have made investments in farmland in Africa and Southeast Asia. Increasingly, farmland funds and limited partnerships and publicly traded farmland REITs have been launched.

These indirect investment vehicles usually involve separately managed accounts that distinguish between owner and owner-operator models; in the former case, owners rent land used for row crops (i.e., grains, etc.), while in the latter, they retain some operating control in the case of permanent crop properties (orchards, vineyards, etc.). Cash flows are typically fixed in the former case and variable in the latter, with investors taking on some operating risk.

Owning physical farmland opens the door to a wider variety of foodstuffs: spices, nuts, fruits, and vegetables—a much broader array than the corn, soy, and wheat offered by futures investment. However, there is limited price transparency or information to guide investment decisions without the assistance of sector specialists. The illiquidity of direct farmland and timberland investments is also limiting.

In terms of risk, farmland is highly sensitive to unexpected weather changes and climate developments that can easily destroy crops and eradicate revenue. The impact of weather spans the entire growing season, making agricultural volumes and prices difficult to predict. That is why agricultural commodity futures contracts can be combined with farmland holdings to generate an overall hedged return. A farm has an inherent long position in its crop and, therefore, will sell futures for delivery at the time of the harvest. The following discussion provides a more detailed case study of climate risks associated with timberland investing.

There is an indirect benefit from farmland and timberland investments: These natural resources consume carbon as part of the plant life cycle and their value comes not just from the harvest but also from the carbon offset to human activity. Water rights are also part of the direct and implied value of these properties; conservation easements may create value by supporting traditions and nature conservation. Demand for arable land may rise as interest in investments that adhere to ESG considerations grows.

CASE STUDIES

Investing Responsibly in Timberland Assets: A Climate-Conscious Case Study



Campbell Global (CG) is a global investment manager focused on forest and natural resources investments. Based in Portland, Oregon, with offices in 14 US states and New Zealand, the firm has nearly four decades of experience in sustainable value creation. CG is committed to managing its forests in a manner that promotes the best long-term interests of its clients, while also striving to address economic and ESG considerations. In addition to their economic value, forests serve as vast carbon sinks, with trees removing atmospheric CO₂ and providing carbon storage. In one year, a single Douglas fir tree, a common commercial timber species in the US Pacific Northwest, stores the CO₂ equivalent of driving 400 miles in a standard automobile. Globally, the Earth's forests are estimated to absorb as much as 30% of human-induced CO₂ emissions.

Sustainably harvested wood products and materials also store atmospheric CO₂ long after removal from a forest, with one cubic meter of wood capturing nearly a metric ton of CO₂. In addition to carbon sequestration, forests provide benefits of clean water and wildlife habitat, recreational opportunities, and a source of living-wage jobs in rural communities. These attributes agree with the UN's Sustainable Development Goals and contribute to advancing the UN's mission for a sustainable future globally. With these effects, there is increasing awareness that well-managed forests are a critical component of any global climate change strategy.

CG uses scenario analyses to identify climate-related risks beginning at a broad country-level scale, narrowing down to a specific property, and then testing the impact of various risks to a site's present and future suitability. Factors analyzed to gauge climate risks include precipitation patterns, temperature fluctuations, severity of weather events, presence of pests or disease, and annual average growth rates for commercial tree species. While many climate-related risks in forestry are mitigated through active management, during this iterative process, CG analyzes the potential positive and negative impacts associated with

these risks to assess potential changes in net asset value. The following table illustrates climate risks evaluated, their impact on the forest, and the ability to mitigate the risks.

Climate Risk	Implication	CG Mitigants
Change in temperature	Increased fire danger	Property-specific fire plans; re-evaluate target regions/countries for investment
Change in precipitation patterns	Changes in tree species range; increased drought and related fire risk	Vegetation suitability modeling and genetic tree improvement; re-evaluate target regions/countries for investment
Frequency of extreme weather events	Loss of standing timber from wind events	Re-evaluate target regions; property-specific response plans; geographically diverse portfolio construction
Presence of pests or disease	Early onset and increased frequency of individual tree mortality	Immediate treatment, which may include removal of affected trees to prevent further spread of pests or disease in the forest
Change in growth	Increased or decreased growth rates	Effects will vary by region, may influence planting stock decisions; re-evaluate forest growth model assumptions

Climate change opportunities and challenges highlighted in the CG investment process include the following:

- Identifying afforestation (establishment of new forest) opportunities that mitigate climate change by sequestering CO₂ emissions from the atmosphere in trees and soil, while offering many important co-benefits for communities, biodiversity, and soil and water quality.
- Protecting existing carbon stocks by minimizing impacts to carbon stored on the forest floor through tailored forest management practices.
- Enhancing forest carbon sequestration by replanting areas as soon as possible so the new forest will quickly begin removing CO₂ from the atmosphere.

The ability to quantify, evaluate, and report the year-over-year changes in the carbon footprint of a forest can influence the impacts an organization has on the environment, leading to increased transparency and more-informed business decisions. Incorporating climate change factors in its investment process not only mitigates climate-related risks; it also promotes and enhances the natural solutions forests provide. Understanding and measuring the comprehensive carbon stores of forests may lead to business decisions improving carbon sequestration, critical for addressing climate change.

QUESTION SET



1. Identify the three primary return drivers of investing in timberland.

Solution:

The three primary return drivers of investing in timberland are (1) the biological growth of the timber to be harvested in the future, (2) the price of lumber, and (3) changes in the price of the land.

2. Which of the following statements provides the most accurate description of timberland investment management organizations?
- A. TIMOs are entities that use their forest investment expertise to analyze and acquire suitable timberland holdings on behalf of institutional investors.
 - B. TIMOs are investment funds that raise money from individual investors to buy timberland.
 - C. TIMOs are entities that only facilitate direct ownership of timberland by institutional investors.

Solution:

A is the correct response. Timberland requires asset-specific expertise, and TIMOs use their expertise to analyze and acquire timberland holdings either directly or indirectly for institutional investors. B is incorrect because TIMOs are not investment funds. C is incorrect because TIMOs can be used by institutional investors in conjunction with indirect investing in timberland.

3. Describe one important similarity and one important difference between investing in timberland versus investing in real estate.

Solution:

Similarities between timberland and real estate include the fact that both asset classes involve investing in unique assets with distinct geography and the fact that both asset classes have a high degree of illiquidity.

An important difference between the two asset classes is the degree to which value reflects physical improvements to the land. Specifically, real estate investing values actual and potential improvements while timberland investing does not.

4. Describe a significant difference in the income component of farmland investing versus timberland investing.

Solution:

Timberland provides flexibility in the timing of harvesting trees. Unlike timberland, farm products must be harvested when ripe, with little flexibility in production.

COMMODITY INVESTMENT FORMS

3



describe features of commodities and their investment characteristics

Commodity Investment Features

Commodities themselves do not generate cash flows but usually incur costs (*cost of carry* introduced in derivatives learning modules), such as those for transportation, storage, and insurance for physical commodities. Investors seek to benefit from commodity price appreciation (in excess of carry cost) based on their future economic value rather than actual use of the underlying asset.

Moreover, governments have realized the importance of controlling natural resources and taken an increasingly important role in natural resource markets. For instance, many governments provide food price subsidies to customers and price support to farmers.

Governments often control extractable natural rights, such as energy resources and mining. In many emerging markets, governments or government-owned enterprises control strategic energy production or mining resources. For instance, SOCAR, the State Oil Company of Azerbaijan Republic, is the fully state-owned national oil and gas company of the country and extracts oil and natural gas from onshore and offshore fields of the Caspian Sea. Moreover, it operates Azerbaijan's only oil refinery and operates several oil and gas export pipelines. It is a major source of income for the country. In other countries, owners of land may only be able to cultivate the soil and may extract only certain minerals. Often the government owns and manages subsurface rights and has the right to extract certain resources, such as oil, gas, coal, gold, and silver.

Environmental factors play a direct role in natural resource investments, because governments are increasingly implementing environmental safeguards to meet climate objectives and control activities with climate impact, such as mining, agriculture, and energy extraction and production. More specifically, global climate change policies seek to reduce reliance on fossil fuels (coal, oil) and increase renewable energy use. To do so, countries are in the process of adopting national programs that intend to increase renewable energy (wind, solar, biomass) and reduce the reliance on fossil fuels.

The policy objective of reducing the reliance on fossil fuels has shifted focus to electric vehicles and advances in battery technology. A potential impact of the reliance on low-carbon energy technologies is the higher demand for many minerals and metals, such as lithium, cobalt, and nickel. As mining activities for these critical metals will increase, there will be significant impacts on local water systems, ecosystems, and communities.

Finally, there is growing interest from ESG investors seeking to promote sustainable farming practices or use timberland investments for carbon offsets.

Distinguishing Characteristics of Commodity Investments

Commodity sectors include precious and base (i.e., industrial) metals, energy products, and agricultural products. Exhibit 2 offers examples of each type. The relative importance, amount, and price of individual commodities evolve with society's preferences and needs. Increasing industrialization of emerging markets has driven strong global demand for commodities. These markets need increasing amounts of oil, steel, and other materials to support manufacturing, infrastructure development, and the consumption demands of their populations. Emerging technologies, such as advanced cell phones and electric vehicles, create demand for new materials and destroy demand for old resources as markets for specific commodities evolve over time.

Exhibit 2: Examples of Commodities

Sector	Sample Commodities
Energy	Oil, natural gas, electricity, coal
Base metals	Copper, aluminum, zinc, lead, tin, nickel
Precious metals	Gold, silver, platinum
Agriculture	Grains, livestock, coffee
Other	Carbon credits, freight, forest products

Commodities may be further classified by physical location and grade or quality. For example, there are many grades and delivery locations for crude oil and wheat. Commodity derivative contracts thus specify quantity, quality, maturity date, and delivery location.

The majority of commodity investing is implemented through derivatives. Physical commodities often generate unwelcome tax obligations and costs arising from storage, insurance, brokerage, and transportation. Additionally, physical commodity markets lack price transparency. As such, commodity investments are usually made through financial derivative instruments, most frequently commodity futures and forwards and occasionally options on futures. Using derivatives to establish exposures to natural resources has several benefits: Because these instruments are traded on organized exchanges, they are very liquid and provide opportunities for price discovery.

Futures contracts are obligations to buy or sell a specific amount of a given commodity at a fixed price, location, and date in the future. Futures contracts are exchange traded, are marked to market daily, and may or may not be settled on delivery or receipt of the physical commodity at the end of the contract. This delivery obligation becomes dramatically important during stressful periods. For example, with oil during the global financial crisis in 2008 and during the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020, as demand collapsed, oil producers could not find buyers and global storage filled suddenly. Even commodity-related ETFs were affected, forcing some to close and impose large losses on investors.

For futures contracts, counterparty risk is managed through the settlement process between the clearinghouse/exchange and clearing brokers. Commodity exposure can be achieved through means other than direct investment in commodities or commodity derivatives, including the following:

- *Exchange-traded products.* ETPs, either funds (ETFs) or notes (ETNs), may be suitable for investors restricted to equity shares or seeking simplified trading through a standard brokerage account. ETPs may invest in commodities or commodity futures. For example, the SPDR Gold Shares ETF seeks to track the price of physical gold by holding bullion in vaults. It owned just under USD53 billion in gold bullion as of December 2022. ETPs may use leverage and may replicate the pay-offs from a long or short position, with the latter form considered inverse or “bearish.” Similar to mutual funds or unit trusts, ETPs charge fees included in their expense ratios.
- *Investing with commodity trading advisers.* CTAs are another way to gain commodity exposure. CTAs are managed futures funds that make directional investments primarily in futures markets based on technical and fundamental strategies. A commodity-focused CTA might concentrate on a specific commodity (such as grains) or be broadly diversified across commodities. However, one would need to find a fund focused solely on the desired commodity, because modern CTAs often invest in a variety of futures, including commodities, equities, fixed income, and foreign exchange. Individual investors may establish accounts that are managed in

accordance with their specific investment preferences and risk tolerance called **separately managed accounts** (SMAs). These types of individual accounts are common for commodity investments. More details on CTAs and managed futures are covered in the learning module on hedge funds.

- *Specialized funds investing in specific commodity sectors.* An example of specialized funds is private energy partnerships, which are similar in structure to private equity funds and enable institutional exposure to the energy sector. Management fees can range from 1% to 3% of committed capital, with a typical life span of 10 years and extensions of 1- and 2-year periods. Publicly available energy mutual funds and unit trusts typically focus on the oil and gas sector, often acting as fixed-income investments to pay dividends from rents or capital gains. They may focus on upstream (drilling), midstream (refineries), or downstream (chemicals). Their management fees are comparable with those of other public equity managers and range from 0.4% to 1%.

Commodity investments are typically direct underwriting of the acquisition, management, and extraction of the natural resource itself. These investments usually involve direct or indirect claims to *physical* assets themselves and not claims on residual (equity) or fixed (debt) cash flows.

This indirect approach, however, comes with the added risks from financing and operations and, when privately held, the impact of additional illiquidity.

Basics of Commodity Pricing

Investors seeking to benefit from direct commodity price exposure typically use derivative instruments, such as exchange-traded futures and options and forwards, with individual commodities or an index as the underlying asset. Different commodity indexes are composed of different commodities and have materially different index weights, which determines varying exposures to not only specific commodities but also commodity sectors. However, as we will see later in this module, the correlation between commodities and traditional asset classes is typically low, which means improved portfolio diversification is possible regardless of the index chosen.

Since commodities trade in both physical and financial markets, there is a direct relationship between their prices in both the physical cash markets and the financial derivative markets. Such no-arbitrage conditions, which we have encountered earlier in the derivatives readings, dictate that the difference in prices between the cash or spot markets and derivative markets is equal to the *cost of carry*, which is the opportunity cost of holding these assets, and mirrors the risk-free rate and the cost of storing, transporting, and insuring the commodity. The holder of the physical commodity should expect to be compensated via a higher forward price, $F_0^+(T)$, than the prevailing cash price.

However, there may be non-cash benefits from holding the physical commodity instead of gaining exposure using a derivative. Such *convenience yield* may arise under conditions when the market participants prefer to hold the physical commodity; for instance, the owners want to ensure that they have continuous access to this commodity. Usually, the convenience yield is related inversely to inventory levels of the underlying commodity. Because convenience yield is a benefit and *accrues* to the owner, it *reduces* the forward price.

The pricing relationship between cash, S_0 , and derivative markets can be expressed under continuous compounding as

$$F_0(T) = S_0 e^{(r+c-i)T}, \quad (1)$$

where c is the cost of carry, i is the convenience yield, r is the risk-free rate, and T is the time to the expiration of the forward contract. Effectively, the relationship between commodity forward and spot prices over time depends on the relative relationship between the cost of carry and the convenience yield.


Looking at the relationship between the convenience yield and the cost of carry, there are two relationships. When the spot price is above the forward prices, there is **backwardation**, a downward-sloping, or inverted, forward curve. This can occur for physically settled contracts when the convenience yield is positive and the benefit of holding the commodity outright exceeds the cost of carry. When the spot price is below the forward prices, there is **contango** because the cost of ownership exceeds the benefit of a convenience yield and the forward price will be above the underlying spot asset price. As a rule of thumb, a contango scenario generally lowers the return of the long-only investor, and a backwardation scenario enhances it. The following example shows how market changes can lead to significant shifts in the shape of the commodity forward curve:

EXAMPLE 1

Crude Oil Going from Backwardation to Contango


In April 2020, the price of crude oil futures on the New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) fell below zero for the first time ever; sellers paid buyers to take on an exposure to oil. This situation is highly unusual and was caused by the lockdowns in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic that eroded the demand for oil. Producers could not cut crude oil production quickly enough, and storage facilities were overflowing with oil. This caused oil inventories to skyrocket. Exhibit 3 shows the NYMEX oil futures price from January to June 2020.

Exhibit 3: NYMEX Oil Futures Price (US dollars per contract), January–June 2020




Source: Bloomberg.

The sudden disappearance of the demand for oil impacted the shape of the oil futures curve, the series of oil futures contract prices with different forward settlement dates. Prior to the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic in January 2020, the US oil markets had the lowest inventory levels in over a year and expected a continued healthy demand from a vibrant economy. Because of low inventory levels, the oil futures curve was in backwardation at the beginning of January 2020 because the benefit of holding oil outright exceeded the cost of carry of having oil in inventory (see Exhibit 4).

Exhibit 4: NYMEX Oil Futures Curve, January 2020

As lockdowns continued into mid-2020, US oil market inventory approached near all-time high levels but demand remained muted. Carry costs then outweighed any benefit of storing oil for production purposes (and there was hardly any open storage capacity available), and the oil futures curve was in *contango* at the beginning of May 2020 (see Exhibit 5).

Exhibit 5: NYMEX Oil Futures Curve, May 2020**QUESTION SET**

1. Compare farmland investment to commodity investment with respect to sources of value.

Solution:

A similarity between the two is that both forms of investment rely on changes in the price of underlying. A difference between the two is that commodity investments, which typically rely on derivative contracts, do not generate cash flows while farmland investment generates cash flows through the income generated from harvesting the agricultural crops.

2. Which of the following statements is most correct about investors seeking commodity exposure through a commodity trading adviser (CTA)?

- A. The investor is seeking to make direct investment in commodities.
- B. The investor is seeking to benefit from an income stream from commodities.
- C. The investor is seeking to profit from specific directional trends in commodity futures contracts.

Solution:

C is correct. Commodity trading advisers devise trading strategies using derivative contracts on commodities that are focused on predicting upcoming bull or bear trends. A is incorrect because CTAs do not advise on physical commodity transactions. B is incorrect for a similar reason, because the income stream from most commodities would require direct or indirect land ownership, which produces commodities.

3. Discuss how the relationship between costs of carry and benefits of owning a commodity outright affect the relationship between the forward price and spot price of the commodity.

Solution:

A simple framework for expressing the relationship between forward price and spot price in terms of costs and benefits of owning the underlying commodity is as follows:

$$\text{Forward price} = \text{Spot price} + \text{Costs of carry} - \text{Benefits of ownership.}$$

Thus, if costs of carry exceed benefits of ownership, then forward price is greater than spot price for the commodity. In contrast, forward price is less than spot price if benefits exceed costs.

4. Explain why low inventories of a commodity may result in backwardation for the commodity.

Solution:

Backwardation reflects a downward-sloping term structure of prices for a commodity. In the near term, backwardation implies forward price below spot price. Low inventories of a commodity cause investors to prefer to hold the physical commodity over derivative contracts (i.e., forwards). This preference will cause price for the physical asset to be bid higher, and if this non-cash benefit of owning the physical commodity (also known as convenience yield) exceeds costs of ownership of the commodity (such as interest and storage), then the spot price of the commodity exceeds its forward price.

NATURAL RESOURCE INVESTMENT RISK, RETURN, AND DIVERSIFICATION

4



analyze sources of risk, return, and diversification among natural resource investments

Commodity prices have risk and return drivers that are often related to but do not always directly coincide with the timing of the economic cycle affecting the prices of common equity and debt securities. Commodities, farmland, and timberland have different return drivers and cycles. Commodities are priced on a second-by-second basis on public exchanges, whereas land generally has an infrequent pricing mechanism and may include imprecise estimates, as opposed to actual transactions. Keeping these market structure differences in mind helps investors consider their relative benefits and challenges.

Commodities

Physical commodity supply dynamics are determined by production (in the case of hard commodities), seasonal crop yields (for soft commodities), and inventory levels in the short term, while commodity end user/consumer use of these basic inputs drives ultimate demand. Supplies of physical commodities are determined by production and inventory levels and secondarily by the actions of non-hedging investors. Demand for commodities is determined by the needs of end users and secondarily by the actions of non-hedging investors. Investor actions can both dampen and stimulate commodity price movements, at least in the short term. Gold, a physical commodity and a precious metal, is often a preferred safe haven given its historical use as a store of value among investors and as a non-currency based reserve among central banks.

Producers cannot alter commodity supply levels quickly because extended lead times are often needed to affect production levels. For example, agricultural output may be altered by planting more crops and changing farming techniques, but at least one growing cycle must pass before there are results. And at least one factor beyond the producer's control—the weather—will significantly affect output. Building the necessary infrastructure for increased oil and mining production may take many years, involving both developing the mine itself and the necessary transportation and smelting components. For commodities, suppliers' inability to quickly respond to changes in demand may result in supply too low in times of economic growth and too high when the economy slows. And despite advancing technology, the cost of new supply may grow over time.

Investing in commodities is motivated by its potential for returns, portfolio diversification, and inflation protection. Investors may choose commodities if they believe prices will increase in the short or intermediate term. Commodity futures contracts may offer investors a liquidity premium or other trading opportunities, creating the prospect for a positive real return. In the 30-year period referenced in Exhibit 6, commodity investments outperformed global stocks and global bonds but with much higher volatility.

Exhibit 6: Historical Returns of Commodities, Q3 1992–Q2 2022 (quarterly data)

	Global Stocks	Global Bonds	Commodities
Annualized return			
1992:Q3–2022:Q2	6.89%	4.39%	7.81%
Annualized standard deviation			
1992:Q3–2022:Q2	16.76%	6.14%	24.39%
Worst calendar year	–43.54%	–5.17%	–42.80%
	(2008)	(1999)	(2008)

	Global Stocks	Global Bonds	Commodities
Best calendar year	31.62%	19.66%	50.30%
	(2003)	(1995)	(2009)

Sources: Global stocks, MSCI ACWI; global bonds, Bloomberg Barclays Global Aggregate Index; commodities, S&P GSCI Total Return.

Exhibit 6 shows a summary of investment performance and volatility of global stocks, global bonds, and commodities over a 30-year time horizon from Q3 1992 through Q2 2022. Commodities exhibit the highest average return and the highest volatility among the three asset classes. The worst performance for both global stocks and commodities occurred during 2008, coinciding with the middle of the global financial crisis of 2007–2009. As global economies began recovering in 2009, commodities exhibited their best calendar-year performance. While the data may imply that commodities behave similarly to global stocks, we directly address the correlation between these two asset classes later in this lesson. Overall demand levels are influenced by global manufacturing dynamics and economic growth. When demand levels and investors' orders to buy and sell during a given period change quickly, the resulting mismatch of supply and demand may lead to price volatility.

Farmland and Timberland

Farmland and timberland, in contrast, are far less frequently traded and derive their value from different sources. In the case of farmland, it is multiple growing seasons over time that generate the return. In the case of timberland, it is the longer forest/tree growth cycle and the demand for lumber that determine returns once the lumber has been cut down. The size of the global investable farmland market is estimated at approximately USD1 trillion, with a relatively small proportion, less than 5%, held by institutional investors. Institutional investors hold about one-quarter of the global investable timberland base, valued at roughly USD285 billion. A large majority of timberland held by these investors is located in the United States, Australia, and New Zealand.

Turning to land, Exhibit 7 provides a comparison of returns on US timber and farmland. The National Council of Real Estate Investment Fiduciaries (NCREIF) constructs a variety of appraisal-based indexes for property, timberland, and farmland. Over the 30-year time period from Q3 1992 to Q2 2022, farmland had the higher annualized return and timber had the higher standard deviation.

Exhibit 7: Historical Returns of US Real Estate Indexes, Q3 1992–Q2 2022 (quarterly data)

	NCREIF Data	
	Timberland	Farmland
Annualized return	8.69%	10.95%
Annualized standard deviation	6.76%	5.88%
Worst calendar year	–5.30%	2.02%
	(2001)	(2001)
Best calendar year	22.36%	33.90%
	(1993)	(2005)

Although the data in Exhibit 7 make farmland appear to be a very attractive investment, it has definite risks. Liquidity is very low, the risk of negative cash flow is high because fixed costs are relatively high (land requires care and crops need fertilizer, seed, and so on), and revenue is highly variable based on weather. The risks of timberland and farmland are similar to those of real estate investments in raw land, but weather is a unique and more exogenous risk for these assets and does not have the same impact on traditional commercial and residential real estate properties. Drought and flooding can dramatically decrease the harvest yields for crops and thus the expected income stream.

In contrast to the local nature of real estate, farmland and timberland are exposed to more global risks given that these investments generate commodities that are globally traded and consumed. For example, there have been interruptions in world trade, and growing agricultural competition has resulted in declining grain prices. Therefore, it seems difficult to repeat these returns over the next 30 years. Timberland and farmland investments should consider the international context as a major risk factor.

Finally, investment in vacant or raw land that has not been developed or prepared for construction generally involves greater risk than farmland or timberland.

Inflation Hedging and Diversification Benefits of Natural Resource Investments

Investors often consider commodity investments as a hedge against inflation and as a source of portfolio diversification relative to a portfolio of traditional assets (i.e., stocks and bonds).

Hedge against Inflation

The argument for commodities as a hedge against inflation derives from some commodity prices being components of inflation calculations. Commodities, especially energy and food, affect consumers' cost of living. The volatility of commodity prices, especially energy and food, is much higher than that of reported consumer inflation. Consumer inflation is computed from many products, including housing, whose prices change more slowly than commodity prices, and inflation calculations use statistical smoothing techniques and behavioral assumptions. Exhibit 8 shows a summary of calendar year returns on global stocks, global bonds, commodities, farmland, and timberland segmented by whether the US CPI (i.e., inflation) is above or below its median of 2.26%.

Exhibit 8: Historical Asset Class Returns Divided by Median US CPI, 1993–2021 (annual returns)

	Global Stocks	Global Bonds	Commodities	Farmland	Timberland
Higher inflation	+9.40%	+5.66%	+22.87%	12.78%	10.44%
Lower inflation	+5.43%	+4.18%	−9.26%	9.85%	5.70%


Sources: Global stocks, MSCI ACWI; global bonds, Bloomberg Barclays Global Aggregate Index; commodities, S&P GSCI Total Return; Farmland and Timberland, NCREIF

The data from Exhibit 8 covering 29 full calendar years are consistent with the idea that investments in commodities perform well when inflation is higher and perform poorly when inflation is lower. This difference also holds if the 29 calendar years are split into low, middle, and high inflation rates. In fact, the performance distinctions

on commodities are even larger using the three inflation categories instead of only two. However, there is less evidence that investment performance of farmland or timberland differs significantly in differing inflation environments.

As an alternative to presenting asset class returns during higher or lower inflation, Exhibit 9 shows average return data for commodities, global bonds, and global stocks when inflation has moved lower, higher, or very little. Here, stable inflation is defined as a less than a 10 bp move from year to year, so falling and rising inflation regimes are those years with larger year-to-year changes in inflation.

Exhibit 9: Annual Asset Class Returns in Falling, Rising, and Stable Inflation Environments, 1993–2021



Sources: Global stocks, MSCI ACWI; global bonds, Bloomberg Barclays Global Aggregate Index; commodities, S&P GSCI Total Return Farmland and Timber, NCREIF

Consistent with the data shown in Exhibit 8, the returns presented in Exhibit 9 highlight that commodities provide greater returns in rising inflation environments at a cost of negative returns as inflation rates decline. In stable inflation environments, commodity returns have tended to be low but positive. None of the other asset classes, including farmland and timberland, demonstrate such large contrasts in performance based on changing inflation.

Portfolio Diversification

Farmland, timberland, and commodities exhibit potential for portfolio diversification. Historically, all three of these asset classes have low correlations with investment returns from traditional assets (i.e., stocks and bonds) during the business cycle. Exhibit 10 shows the quarterly correlation between farmland, timberland, selected commodities, global equity, and global bond indexes from Q3 1992 through Q2 2022. All three of the alternative asset classes show correlations of approximately zero with global bonds. Timberland shows the lowest correlation of the alternative asset classes with global stocks, at approximately 0.02. Farmland's correlation with global stocks is still low, at approximately 0.12, while commodities demonstrate the least diversification potential with global stocks, given the correlation of 0.41. The correlations of stocks, bonds, and commodities are expected to be positive because all the assets have some exposure to the global business cycle. The commodity index, the S&P GSCI (Goldman Sachs Commodity Index), is heavily weighted toward the energy sector, with each underlying commodity possibly exhibiting unique behavior.

The data in Exhibit 10 also complement the earlier discussion of the potential for asset classes to serve as inflation hedges. The correlation between commodities and inflation of 0.54 is much higher than that of other asset classes with inflation and is consistent with the idea of commodities as an inflation hedge. Neither farmland (−0.17) nor timberland (0.02) shows a high degree of correlation with inflation, implying that these asset classes are less effective as inflation hedges. However, the prior section suggests that the lack of correlation has more to do with their investment performance not suffering during periods of low or declining inflation. Commodity returns, in contrast, are negative during low or declining inflation, as shown earlier.

Exhibit 10: Historical Commodity Return Correlations, 1990–Q1 2020 (quarterly data)

	Farmland	Timberland	Commodities	Global Bonds	Global Stocks	Inflation
Farmland	1					
Timberland	0.4352	1				
Commodities	−0.1276	−0.1180	1			
Global Bonds	−0.0209	−0.0047	0.0006	1		
Global Stocks	0.1221	0.0215	0.4106	0.1465	1	
Inflation	−0.1724	0.0166	0.5404	−0.2180	0.0954	1

Sources: Global stocks, MSCI ACWI; global bonds, Bloomberg Barclays Global Aggregate Index; commodities, S&P GSCI Total Return; Farmland and Timberland, NCREIF

Overall, investments in natural resource assets exhibit significant portfolio diversification potential because of low correlations with traditional asset classes. Farmland and timberland display somewhat high correlation with each other (approximately 0.44), but each of these asset classes has slightly negative correlations with commodities.

Finally, commodity prices are often more sensitive to geopolitical factors and natural phenomena, such as weather conditions. Also, commodity investments frequently employ leverage and seek to capitalize on expected price changes based on more complex strategies, such as futures contract delivery timing and location, which will be addressed in later lessons.

QUESTION SET



1. Contrast the pricing of commodities with the pricing of farmland/timberland investments.

Solution:

Commodities are priced on public exchanges, and their pricing reflects the latest information. Land investments, such as farmland and timberland, are traded only when actual transactions occur; thus pricing is infrequent and relies on imprecise estimates.

2. Explain why commodity supply is usually slow to adjust to changes in demand for the commodity.

Solution:

Commodity supply adjusts slowly to changes in commodity demand because producers are unable to alter supply quickly due to the need for extended lead times to affect production levels. For example, increased

demand for a specific agricultural crop requires at least one growing cycle to produce more supply.

3. Explain one rationale as to why consumer price inflation is likely to be less volatile than commodity prices.

Solution:

- One possible rationale is that consumer price inflation reflects many additional products beyond commodities (such as housing), which dampens the effect of commodity prices on inflation.
- Another possible rationale is that statistical techniques used to compute consumer price inflation cause smoothing in the data while commodity prices reflect real-time changes on public exchanges.

4. Analyze the results in Exhibit 9 as to how different asset classes perform as inflation changes.

Solution:

Commodities clearly perform well in periods of rising inflation and clearly exhibit negative performance as inflation declines. Global stocks and bonds do not exhibit such clear differences in performance related to inflation. Both global stocks and bonds perform best during periods of stable inflation.

PRACTICE PROBLEMS

1. Which of the following possible value drivers does *not* apply to both raw land and farmland investments?
 - A. Lease revenue
 - B. Sale of agricultural products
 - C. Price appreciation of property
2. Which of the following most correctly describes a significant difference between farmland and timberland investment?
 - A. Timberland provides environmental benefits because of the ability of trees to absorb carbon, while farmland does not.
 - B. Farmland provides a resource necessary for human existence, while timberland does not.
 - C. Farmland is commonly family owned, while timberland is commonly owned by institutional investors.
3. Which of the following best reflects an advantage of institutional ownership of physical farmland as opposed to buying exposure to crops through futures contracts?
 - A. Liquidity of physical farmland
 - B. Price transparency of farmland
 - C. Flexibility to gain price exposure to a wider variety of agricultural products
4. Which of the following is most consistent with backwardation in a commodity market?
 - A. The convenience yield is negative.
 - B. The benefit of holding the physical commodity exceeds the cost of carry.
 - C. The forward price is above the spot price.
5. Which of the following futures market price conditions would be most expected in a period of low commodity inventories?
 - A. Backwardation
 - B. Falling prices
 - C. Contango
6. Which of the following characteristics is *not* a benefit of derivative instruments (compared to ownership of the physical commodity) as a means of gaining commodity exposure?
 - A. Price transparency
 - B. Non-cash benefits

- C. Liquidity
7. Which of the following statements most correctly describes why commodity investments are thought to provide a hedge against inflation?
- A. The returns on commodity investing are driven by commodity price changes, and inflation partially reflects these changes.
 - B. Commodity prices increase after inflation rates increase.
 - C. Expectations of higher inflation cause commodity prices to increase.
8. Which of the following statements is most correct about commodity investments?
- A. Commodity investments are expected to perform worse in inflationary environments.
 - B. Commodity investments exhibit high risk because of high leverage.
 - C. Commodity investments provide weak portfolio diversification because of their high risk.
9. Which of the following statements is most correct if you observe that the correlation between farmland and inflation is significantly lower than the correlation between commodities and inflation (and that both correlations are positive)?
- A. Commodities are expected to provide a better inflation hedge than farmland.
 - B. Farmland is expected to provide a better inflation hedge than commodities.
 - C. Differences in correlation do not provide any information that is useful in assessing whether an asset class is an inflation hedge.

SOLUTIONS

1. B is correct. Raw land's value is derived solely from lease revenue and price appreciation. Farmland, in contrast, also generates value from the production and sale of agricultural products.
2. C is correct. Timberland tracts typically consist of thousands (or more) of acres of land, while farmland is quite frequently owned in smaller tracts of tens or hundreds of acres. As such, farmland is much more suited to family ownership, while timberland is more commonly owned by institutions. A is incorrect because carbon offset is capable in both trees (i.e., timberland) and crops (i.e., farmland). B is incorrect because the lumber from timberland provides the raw material for housing, which is a basic human need.
3. C is correct. Futures contracts are available on a very limited number of common crops (i.e., wheat, corn, etc.). Ownership of physical farmland opens up the possibilities of growing crops not traded on futures exchanges, thus providing a larger universe of agricultural product price exposures. A and B are incorrect because futures contracts provide greater liquidity and price transparency than available in physical farmland, which is not traded on public exchanges.
4. B is correct. Backwardation in a commodity market implies that forward prices are lower than spot prices. This can occur only if the total benefits of physical ownership of the commodity exceed the total costs. A is incorrect because convenience yield cannot be negative. C is incorrect because this statement implies a contango market.
5. A is correct. Low inventories of a specific commodity create incentives for market participants to own the physical commodity rather than a derivative contract. This incentive drives up spot prices relative to forward prices and can lead to spot prices being greater than forward prices (i.e., backwardation). B is incorrect because low inventories of a commodity indicate scarcity and would be more likely to contribute to a rising price for the commodity. C is incorrect because a contango market is the opposite of a market in backwardation.
6. B is correct. Non-cash benefits refer to the convenience yield, which causes a preference for owning the physical commodity rather than a derivative contract. A is incorrect in that derivatives provide price transparency while the market for physical commodities is much less transparent. C is incorrect in that the more frequent trading of derivatives on organized exchanges enhances liquidity while physical commodity markets are characterized by infrequent trading and thus poor liquidity.
7. A is correct. Commodity prices are a significant portion of consumer prices because commodities include aspects of everyday life, such as food and energy, and thus consumer price inflation will incorporate the effects of commodity price changes. By investing in commodities, an investor is, at least partially, hedged against the inflation that occurs with rising commodity prices. B is incorrect because inflation and commodity prices do not move together, but instead, changes in the inflation rate lag behind changes in commodity prices. C is incorrect because in this case, commodity price increases occur before inflation changes.
8. B is correct. Commodity investments are typically entered into through derivative contracts, which are highly leveraged financial instruments. As a result, observed returns are highly volatile. A is incorrect because commodity investments

are expected to perform better during inflationary environments. C is incorrect because commodity investments tend to exhibit low correlations with traditional assets and thus are typically used as portfolio diversifiers.

9. A is correct. An effective hedge exhibits relatively high correlation relative to the risk exposure being hedged. Thus, the higher correlation between commodities and inflation implies that commodities provide a better hedge against inflation compared to farmland.

LEARNING MODULE

6

Hedge Funds

LEARNING OUTCOMES

<i>Mastery</i>	<i>The candidate should be able to:</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	explain investment features of hedge funds and contrast them with other asset classes
<input type="checkbox"/>	describe investment forms and vehicles used in hedge fund investments
<input type="checkbox"/>	analyze sources of risk, return, and diversification among hedge fund investments

INTRODUCTION

1

Hedge funds originally started as an equity investment vehicle in which offsetting short and long positions protected the overall portfolio against major stock market moves. Today, the name *hedge funds* is a misnomer. They are not restricted to equities or just hedging strategies. Hedge funds are private pooled investment vehicles that can invest in a wide variety of products, including equities, fixed income, derivatives, foreign exchange, private capital, and real assets. It is the investment *approach* rather than the underlying investments that distinguish hedge funds. Many hedge funds operate in all kinds of financial markets by using leverage, short selling, or using financial instruments that are not often used by other similar commingled funds, such as mutual funds. This may result in a very different risk and return profile than owning underlying assets themselves.

The hedge fund industry is in a state of constant change as several hundred new funds are launched each year, with a similar number of funds exiting or being liquidated. While several jurisdictions around the world regulate hedge funds, often they are lightly regulated compared with other investment vehicles.

LEARNING MODULE OVERVIEW



- Hedge funds are private investment vehicles with pooled funds from institutions and high-net-worth (HNW) investors. Hedge funds typically have more flexible investment strategies than other options, such as mutual funds and ETFs.

- Hedge funds are not an asset class but are a variety of investment vehicles driven by a set of disparate investment strategies. Most hedge funds utilize some form of leverage to enhance potential returns.
- Hedge funds are typically classified by strategy. A variety of classifications are possible, which helps in the selection of appropriate investment strategies and appropriate performance benchmarks and in reviewing aggregate performance.
- Most hedge funds are set up as limited partnerships, with the portfolio manager acting as a general partner (GP) and the institutional investors acting as limited partners (LPs). This is the direct form of hedge fund setup. For smaller and retail investors, indirect forms, such as funds of funds, help obtain a hedge fund exposure.
- The legal and contractual relationship between the GPs and LPs is governed by the fund offering documents. In addition, a manager could draft a “side letter” applicable to some investors only, with different legal, regulatory, tax, operational, or reporting requirements.
- Hedge funds use several strategies, such as market-neutral, relative value, and event-driven strategies, to obtain diversification benefits and to attempt to outperform equity markets on a risk-adjusted basis.
- Hedge fund strategies are classified by a combination of the instruments in which they are invested, the trading philosophy followed, and the types of risks assumed.

LEARNING MODULE SELF-ASSESSMENT



These initial questions are intended to help you gauge your current level of understanding of this learning module.

1. Which statement about hedge funds is most accurate?

- A. Hedge funds are investment products offered to the public and are traded daily on the OTC market.
- B. Hedge funds are benchmarked to an index or industry/sector, and managers use complex strategies to mimic the index or industry/sector.
- C. Hedge funds are private pooled funds, applying strategies with a goal of maximizing returns while reducing risk.

Solution:

C is correct. A hedge fund is a pooled investment vehicle that uses complex trading (using leverage, short selling, using derivatives, etc.) and risk management techniques to enhance performance for a private group of accredited investors.

A is incorrect. Mutual funds, not hedge funds, are regulated investment products offered to the public and available for daily trading.

B is incorrect. Exchange-traded funds (ETFs) are normally benchmarked to an index or industry/sector and typically track a specific industry or index. Hedge funds are benchmarked to either a hedge fund index or performance measured in absolute returns.

2. Which of the following statements about relative value strategies is *least accurate*?

- A. Relative value strategies seek to profit from a price or return discrepancy between securities based on a short-term relationship.
- B. Relative value funds are inherently structured to minimize net market risk and credit risks.
- C. The investments made under a relative value strategy are all within a single asset class or sector, using assets with a sufficient price differential to arbitrage their movements to equilibrium prices.

Solution:

C is correct because it is the least accurate statement. Relative value strategies often involve investments in different asset classes. A and B are true.

3. Which of the following statements is *least accurate* about hedge funds?

- A. Merger arbitrage strategies generally assume that an acquirer will be overpaying for the target.
- B. Event-driven hedge funds flourish in a stable market environment, where minor deviations in asset prices quickly converge to equilibrium.
- C. An activist strategy expects to realize higher returns due to the manager being more effective in driving the corporate policies or strategic direction of the investment.

Solution:

B is correct because it is the least accurate statement. Event-driven hedge funds thrive in a rising market environment with a high level of corporate activity in a strong economy. These are the times that accelerate merger and acquisition activity. A and C are accurate statements.

4. Which of the following is *not* a characteristic of hedge funds?

- A. Hedge funds are mostly illiquid, with little trading possibilities.
- B. Hedge fund managers use leverage; however, the overall risk is lower.
- C. Hedge funds are a different asset class, with a distinct risk/reward profile.
- D. Managers demand higher remuneration and have more discretionary freedom in the choice of investments.

Solution:

C is correct. Hedge funds invest in traditional asset classes but use a specific investment strategy. They are not a distinct asset class.

5. In January, HedgeAway, a new hedge fund, started operations with an initial amount of USD100 million. The fund charges a management fee of 1.6% based on end-of-year value and a performance fee of 18% on gross returns payable on the excess over a hurdle rate of 8% after fees. The fund ended the year with assets under management (AUM) of USD120 million. What was the investors' return during the year?

- A. 16.38%
- B. 18.08%

C. 18.19%

Solution:

A is correct.

Management fee = 1.6% of 120 million = 1.92 million.

Growth during the year = 20 million, excess over the hurdle

= 20 million – (100 million × 0.08) – 1.92 million = 10.08 million.

Performance fee = 10.08 million × 0.18 = 1.81 million.

Total fees = 1.92 million + 1.81 million = 3.72 million.

Return to the investors = 20 million – 3.72 million = 16.38 million.

Investors' return = 16.38%.

2

HEDGE FUND INVESTMENT FEATURES



explain investment features of hedge funds and contrast them with other asset classes

As private investment vehicles, hedge funds are distinguished by their investment approach rather than the underlying investments. Hedge funds combine traditional debt and equity instruments with leverage, derivatives, short selling, and other strategies to generate and enhance their returns. The objective of a hedge fund is to generate high returns, either in an absolute sense or on a risk-adjusted basis relative to its portfolio-level volatility. The strategies hedge funds use can make benchmarking their performance relative to traditional index performance benchmarks difficult. Thus, many hedge funds evaluate their performance using an absolute return standard instead of tracking a benchmark. Hedge funds are attractive for their diversification effects because their returns typically demonstrate low correlation with traditional asset investing.

Hedge funds normally apply common principles that seemingly increase portfolio risk, such as borrowing money to invest, using leverage (derivatives), and short selling. On their own, they do not hedge risky positions against a market move; on the contrary, they seem to amplify the risks. It may seem like the name *hedge funds* is a misnomer. However, the investment strategy splits a portfolio such that each component helps hedge the risks from the other. Thus, by internally neutralizing market risk and by managing the portfolio components, the hedge fund manager can obtain enhanced risk-adjusted returns.

While mutual funds and hedge funds seem similar, in that they both invest clients' money to achieve a better risk/reward profile, there are some major differences. Mutual funds managers are paid a fixed compensation and may not necessarily invest in the funds they manage. Hedge fund managers are paid a performance-based fee, and many require the managers to invest in the hedge fund. Some hedge funds incorporate a high-water mark, in which the manager will get a performance fee only when the returns exceed the previous highest value of the fund.

Normally, hedge fund managers have a great deal of freedom to make trading decisions and to decide how to allocate client funds. Mutual funds are highly regulated since they are available to public investors. Hedge funds are available only to institutional and accredited investors.

Hedge funds are different from other fund types, such as mutual funds, ETFs, bond funds, and REITs, in that a hedge fund is privately owned. And unlike many of these funds, hedge funds are lightly regulated. They are different from private equity funds, in that hedge funds typically have a shorter time horizon and invest in more liquid asset classes.

Hedge funds select investments from one or more asset classes (equities, credit, fixed income, commodities, futures, foreign exchange, loans, and sometimes even hard assets, such as real estate). Some hedge funds implement strategies focused on one specific asset class, while others combine multiple asset classes. Hedge funds can also be geographically focused or agnostic and implement their strategies across different geographic regions.

Leverage—through short selling, borrowing, or derivatives and occasionally combining all three—is often used by hedge funds to enhance returns. Since leverage is often a core component of the strategy, hedge funds continuously need to monitor the value of their exposures. This is particularly important when a hedge fund takes both long and short positions (when possible) solely using derivatives.

Hedge funds are typically classified by strategy. One such classification includes five broad categories of strategies:

- equity hedge funds,
- event-driven hedge funds,
- relative value hedge funds,
- opportunistic hedge funds, and
- multi-manager hedge funds.

Many hedge funds trade sovereign and corporate debt, commodities, futures contracts, options, derivatives, and even real estate investments. However, not all hedge funds maintain short positions or use leverage. Instead, many simply exploit niche areas of expertise in a sophisticated manner; hedging and leverage may or may not be involved. Finally, there are funds of hedge funds that create a diversified portfolio of hedge funds. These vehicles are attractive to smaller investors without the resources to select individual hedge funds and build a portfolio of them.

Hedge fund categorization allows investors to review aggregate performance data, select strategies with which to build a portfolio of funds, and select or construct appropriate performance benchmarks. Exhibit 1 shows examples of the five broad strategy hedge fund categories mentioned above.

Exhibit 1: Hedge Fund Strategies

Equity	Event Driven	Relative Value	Opportunistic	Multi-Manager
Long/Short Equity	Merger Arbitrage	Convertible Bond Arbitrage	Global Macro	Fund of Funds
Short Biased	Distressed	Fixed-Income Arbitrage	Managed Futures	
Market Neutral	Special Situations Activist	Multi-Strategy		

Equity Hedge Fund Strategies

Hedging long positions through short selling can be considered the original hedge fund category. Long/short equity funds focus on public equity markets and take long and short positions in equity and equity derivative securities. Most equity hedge strategies use a “bottom-up” security-specific approach—company-level analysis, followed by overall industry analysis, followed by overall market analysis—with relatively balanced long and short exposures. A contrasting “top-down” approach entails global macro analysis, followed by sector/regional analysis, followed by individual company analysis or any market-timing approach. Some equity long/short strategies may use index-based short hedges to reduce market risk or single-name shorts for portfolio alpha and added absolute return. The following are examples of equity hedge strategies.

- **Fundamental long/short:** In this strategy, the hedge fund takes long positions in companies that are trading at inexpensive levels compared to their potential intrinsic value and shorts those that trade in the other direction, with the intention of reversing this trade to obtain alpha.
In all cases, the strategy takes a long position in those securities (buys stocks or call options) whose valuations are underestimated/undervalued by the market or have a potential for growth that the market has not yet identified. The strategy also concurrently shorts stocks or an index to reduce the risk. The manager typically maintains a net long exposure but may adjust the amount of net market risk depending on his or her market forecast.
Most hedge funds that use a long/short strategy have a long bias, which differentiates this strategy from the short bias strategy.
- **Fundamental growth:** These strategies use fundamental analysis to identify companies expected to exhibit high growth and capital appreciation. The hedge fund will take a long position in these stocks. The fund will short companies with business models that are under downward pressure and expected to exhibit low or negative growth and suffer capital depreciation. Effectively, the spread between growth and value expectations drives the investment strategy and portfolio performance. Most of these portfolios tend to end up long biased; hence, they may not be market neutral and their returns may exhibit a non-zero beta.
- **Fundamental value:** These strategies use fundamental analysis to identify undervalued and unloved companies for which there is the possibility that a corporate turnaround, with future revenue and cash flow growth, will result in higher valuations. The hedge fund takes long positions in these companies to capture expected future stock price rises. Effectively, it is the spread between value and growth expectations that drives portfolio performance.
- **Short biased:** These strategies use quantitative, technical, and fundamental analysis to short the overvalued equity securities with limited or no long-side exposures. Managers are often forensic in their fundamental analysis and sometimes try to expose previously unrecognized accounting or business flaws. The expectation is that company share price will fall and thus improve the profitability of the fund's portfolio. These funds vary their short exposure over time. Short-biased managers tend to be contrarian; they are shorting shares in otherwise successful companies. These funds can be useful additions to larger portfolios during periods of market stress. Short-biased managers, however, have had a difficult time overall posting meaningful long-term returns during the past 30 years of generally positive market conditions.

- **Market neutral:** These strategies use quantitative, fundamental, and technical analysis to identify under- and overvalued equity securities. The hedge fund takes long positions in undervalued securities and short positions in overvalued securities, while seeking to maintain a market-neutral net position. Ideally, the manager achieves an overall beta relative to the market close to zero.

The intent is to profit from the movements of individual securities, undervalued ones rising and overvalued ones falling, while avoiding movements in the overall market. To achieve a meaningful return, market-neutral portfolios may require the application of leverage. These portfolios generally seek stable, single-digit returns that are independent of the market (market neutral), but because leverage is used to amplify the returns, these funds may experience higher risk during periods of unexpected volatility unless they reduce their leverage.

EXAMPLE 1**Tenderledge Investments LLC—Equity Strategies**

Tenderledge Investments, a fund-of-funds hedge fund, is benchmarking the performance of various equity strategies as measured by monthly hedge fund returns over a 10-year period, shown in the following tables.

Equity Strategies: Monthly Returns	Market Index	Fundamental Value	Market Neutral	Fundamental Growth	Short Bias
Average	0.30%	0.03%	−0.04%	0.03%	−0.5%
Standard deviation	2.0%	1.0%	0.5%	1.5%	2.3%
Coefficient of variation	14.8%	2.6%	−7.4%	1.9%	−20.1%
Max.	5.2%	2.2%	1.0%	3.5%	7.2%
Min.	−8.1%	−4.0%	−2.7%	−10.4%	−11.3%

Equity Strategies: Correlation of Monthly Returns	Market Index	Fundamental Value	Market Neutral	Fundamental Growth	Short Bias
Market Index	1.00				
Fundamental Value	0.80	1.00			
Market Neutral	0.22	0.24	1.00		
Fundamental Growth	0.67	0.64	0.38	1.00	
Short Bias	−0.72	−0.53	−0.23	−0.60	1.00

The market index, measured by the S&P 500 Index, outperformed each strategy both in absolute terms as measured by the average monthly returns and in relative terms as measured by the coefficient of variation of returns. Moreover, analyzing the correlations between these strategies shows that fundamental value and growth have exhibited high correlation with the market index.

Market-neutral funds have the lowest positive correlation with the market index, reflecting the fact that these strategies intend to generate returns without taking on market-level exposure. As expected, the short-bias funds have a negative

correlation with the other strategies. Note that over short time frames, short-bias strategies are negatively correlated with the market index–based strategies. However, in the long term, similar correlations may not hold.

Event-Driven Strategies

These bottom-up strategies seek to profit from defined events that are expected to change valuations, typically involving changes in corporate structure, such as an acquisition or restructuring. Event-driven strategies may include long and short positions in common and preferred stocks, debt securities, and options. Further subdivisions of this category by Hedge Fund Research (HFR) include the following:

- **Merger arbitrage:** Generally, these strategies involve going long (buying) the stock of the company being acquired at a discount to its announced takeover price and going short (selling) the stock of the acquiring company when the merger or acquisition is announced. The manager may expect to profit once this initial deal spread (the price between the buyer and the object of the acquisition) narrows to the closing value of the transaction after it is fully consummated. This spread exists because of timing and uncertainty over the closure of the deal due to legal and regulatory hurdles, or the acquirer may decide to step away. Shorting the acquirer is also a way to express the risk of merger overpayment. The primary risk in merger arbitrage is that the announced combination fails to occur and the value of the fund holdings are negatively impacted before it can unwind its position. Since the expected risk and return on a merger arbitrage strategy stems from the modest spread in prices, leverage is regularly used to amplify returns but also increases losses when the strategy fails.
- **Distressed/restructuring:** These strategies focus on securities of companies either in or perceived to be near bankruptcy. In one approach, hedge funds simply purchase fixed-income securities trading at a significant discount to par but that are still senior enough to be backed by sufficient corporate assets. The expectation is that these securities should be valued at par or at least at a significant premium to the current bond purchase price in a bankruptcy reorganization or liquidation. Alternatively, a fund may purchase a debt instrument that is expected to be converted into new equity upon restructuring or bankruptcy, typically called a *fulcrum* security, and then either hold onto the equity or exit.
- **Special situations:** These strategies focus on opportunities to buy equity of companies engaged in security issuance or repurchase, special capital distributions, rescue finance, asset sales/spin-offs, or other catalyst-oriented situations.
- **Activist:** The term “activist” is short for “activist shareholder.” Here, managers secure sufficient equity holdings to allow them to seek a position on the company board and influence corporate policies or direction. They seek to create business changes that move the investment towards a desired outcome. For example, an activist hedge fund may advocate for divestitures, restructuring, capital distributions to shareholders, or changes in management and company strategy affecting their equity holdings. Such hedge funds are distinct from private equity because they operate primarily in the public equity market.

Event-driven strategies tend to be long biased, with merger arbitrage having the least bias; the time to complete a merger transaction typically takes somewhere between 6 and 24 months, while a bankruptcy or a reorganization can take years to complete.

EXAMPLE 2

Activism of Carl Icahn and Hertz

Carl Icahn, an activist hedge fund manager, has been financially successful in several cases where he took a controlling interest in companies to direct their operations for an increase in enterprise value. Hertz, a car rental company, is an interesting example. In 2014, Icahn started investing in Hertz and in 2020 held more than 55 million, or 39%, of its common shares. During May 2020, Hertz sought bankruptcy protection because of the impact of COVID-19 and the collapse of the global travel industry. Before Hertz filed for bankruptcy protection, Icahn's holdings were worth around USD2.3 billion. After Hertz filed, he sold his shares at a loss of USD2 billion, even though he acquired 11 million shares only a few weeks earlier.

Originally, Icahn acquired his stake in Hertz starting in 2014 based on his notion that Hertz had a strong brand and operational foundation but was lacking discipline and management. And Icahn accumulated a more than USD1.1 billion position in the company by the end of that year. When Icahn entered Hertz, the company was emerging from years of operational, strategic, and financial problems that eroded its financial strength. Icahn put new board members and a new management in place, which in the ensuing years instilled fiscal and operational discipline. The company restated previous years' earnings, finished the integration of various enterprise-wide systems, and secured a qualified management team. At the same time, the car rental industry was impacted by two strategic shifts: One was the increased preference for SUVs, and the other was the emergence of ride-hailing apps. While the company showed higher revenue and increasingly consistent profits, the cost of restoring the company eroded its financial and liquidity position. After Hertz came out of bankruptcy proceedings in July 2021, Icahn has been closely following the company.

Relative Value Strategies

Relative value strategies seek to profit from a pricing discrepancy between related securities based on an unusual short-term relationship. The expectation is the short-term discrepancy will be resolved over time. Examples of relative value strategies include the following:

- *Convertible bond arbitrage.* This conceptually market-neutral investment strategy seeks to exploit a perceived mispricing between a convertible bond and its component parts: the underlying bond and the embedded call option. There may be relative mispricing between equity and the convertible bond. The strategy typically involves buying convertible debt securities and simultaneously selling a certain amount of the same issuer's common stock based on the delta of the embedded call option. This strategy can be sensitive to bankruptcy risks; however, they may be hedged away using either equity put options or credit default swap derivatives on the issuer.
- *Fixed income (general).* These strategies focus on the relative value within the fixed-income markets, with an emphasis on sovereign debt (relative value rates) and sometimes the relative pricing of investment-grade

corporate debt (relative value credit). Strategies may incorporate long–short trades between two different issuers, between corporate and government issuers, between different parts of the same issuer’s capital structure, or between different parts of an issuer’s yield curve. Here, spread and currency dynamics together with considerations around the shape of government yield-curve considerations drive investment choices and returns.

- *Fixed income (asset backed, mortgage backed, and high yield).* These strategies focus on the relative value of various higher-yielding securities, such as asset-backed securities, mortgage-backed securities, high-yield loans and bonds, and their derivatives. Hedge funds seek to generate an attractive and highly secured coupon return and to exploit relative security and quality mispricings.
- *Multi-strategy.* These strategies trade relative value within and across asset classes or instruments. Rather than focusing on one type of trade (e.g., convertible arbitrage), a single basis for a trade (e.g., merger arbitrage), or a particular asset class (e.g., fixed income), this strategy instead looks for any available investment opportunities, often with different pods of managers executing unique market approaches. The goal of a multi-strategy manager is to initially deploy (and later redeploy) capital efficiently and quickly across various strategy areas as conditions change.

Opportunistic Strategies

There are also funds that focus on macro events and commodity trading. These strategies may often use index ETF securities or derivatives in addition to individual securities.

- *Macro strategies* emphasize a top-down approach to identify economic trends. Trades are made on the basis of expected movements in economic variables. Generally, these funds trade opportunistically in fixed-income, equity, currency, and commodity markets. Macro hedge funds use long and short positions to profit from a view on overall market direction as it is influenced by major economic trends and events. Because these funds generally benefit most from periods of higher volatility, the active moves by national authorities, such as central banks, to smooth out economic shocks likely shrink their investment sphere.
- *Managed futures* funds are actively managed funds making diversified directional investments primarily in the futures markets on the basis of technical and fundamental strategies. Managed futures funds are also known as commodity trading advisers (CTAs) because they historically focused on commodity futures. However, CTAs may include investments in a variety of futures, including commodities, equities, fixed income, and foreign exchange. CTAs generally use models that measure trends and momentum over different time horizons. CTA investments can be useful for portfolio diversification, particularly in times of strong trending market conditions and especially during periods of extended market stress when other fundamental strategies may be expected to perform poorly. CTAs can be relied on to profit from having purchased short positions in falling markets. However, mean-reverting markets, which may cause false momentum breakout signals, can lead to extended drawdown periods before strong trends emerge for the CTA. To the extent that many CTAs have migrated to trade more and more financial products (such as stock index futures and bond futures), the reliability of CTA diversification benefits has diminished.

Commodity-focused managed futures funds are unique (versus global macro) because there is a constant price tension between suppliers and consumers: High prices cripple demand (tending to lower prices), and low prices shut in supply (and thus raise prices). This situation creates a unique balance absent in traditional stocks and bonds.

Distinguishing Characteristics of Hedge Fund Investments

The key characteristics distinguishing hedge funds and their strategies from traditional investments include the following:

1. Less legal and regulatory constraints
2. Flexible mandates permitting the use of shorting and derivatives
3. A larger investment universe on which to focus
4. Aggressive investment styles that allow concentrated positions in securities offering exposure to credit, volatility, and liquidity risk premiums
5. Relatively liberal use of leverage
6. Liquidity constraints that include lockups and liquidity gates
7. Relatively high fee structures involving management and incentive fees

While hedge funds frequently invest in publicly traded equity or debt instruments and often use financial statement analysis techniques to value securities, their return and risk characteristics generally differ from those of exchange-traded funds and mutual funds. The typical relative value hedge fund generates returns using a combination of long and short positions in equities, increases its asset base using borrowed funds, and implements opportunistic positions in special situations, seeking to earn very different risk–return profiles than those of common long-only funds.

Hedge funds are also subject to much lighter regulatory, compliance, and transparency requirements. With more flexibility in portfolio construction, hedge funds enjoy leeway to invest in situations in which time may be needed to generate an expected return and thus are unsuitable for a mutual fund offering daily liquidity. Investors in modern hedge funds are subject to extended holding periods (known as *lockup periods*) and subsequent *notice periods* before an investment redemption is possible. Some hedge funds partially limit fund redemptions through a liquidity gate provision so that assets can be liquidated over a longer time period. Compared to, for example, many mutual funds allowing easier redemption and guaranteed liquidity on one day's notice, such lengthy mandatory lockup and notice periods allow hedge funds more flexibility than mutual funds or other types of investments.

A redemption fee may be charged, typically payable to the fund itself (rather than the manager) to protect remaining investors in the fund, particularly in circumstances where the redemption takes place during the lockup period. This characteristic is called a *soft lockup*, and it offers a path (albeit an expensive one) to redeem early.

With reduced operating constraints, hedge funds may avail themselves of less liquid and unnoticed opportunities, the true valuation of which may at times be opaque. For instance, several hedge fund strategies—long/short, activist, distressed, and arbitrage—build on highly concentrated, long-term, leveraged holdings in equities, debt, and derivatives. Building up such an exposure may take a longer time to execute and unwinding such a position can be complex and quite time consuming, particularly when financial markets are under transitory stress. That is why restrictions on *redemptions* are typically imposed.

There is also reduced transparency for more complex hedge fund investments and asymmetric information between managers and investors. This leads to incentive-based performance fees to bridge the gap between the manager's and the investor's interests. Investors look to earn high returns, and sharing some proportion of these high returns with the managers incentivizes managers to perform in the interest of the

shareholders. Hedge funds are generally deemed riskier from an oversight (fraud risk) point of view, but some hedge funds take less absolute market risk in their portfolio construction than is taken by registered products available to retail investors. A hedge fund's true market risk and its distinction between regulatory risk and illiquidity risk can thus often be confused.

Hedge funds generally utilize active management by experienced managers and an integrated risk management approach. A variety of strategies are possible, which makes each hedge fund uniquely different from others. They can be customized for a specific investor preference. They provide an ability to generate alpha and uncorrelated risk-adjusted returns. Some of the strategies also implement guardrails to insure against significant losses. Such attributes help an investor build a diversified portfolio that stabilizes market volatility within a multi-asset class portfolio.

Investors should consider several issues when choosing a hedge fund. Broadly, they should review the limited partner capabilities, including their operational framework, risk management practices, and hands-on monitoring of portfolio performance. As for the GP performance, the investor should consider their fiduciary management guidelines, manager experience, and alignment of interests towards the fund's strategies.

There have been quite a few reported incidents of fraud in the hedge fund space, including setting up a fund as a Ponzi scheme and reporting false performance data. Some hedge funds had complex strategies that failed during market turmoil. The reduced regulatory oversight, along with the large investments that are typically required to participate in a hedge fund, opens the door for some unscrupulous fund managers to take advantage of investors' trust in them.

While evaluating the investment, the investor should take into account its strategy, transparency, liquidity, and reporting practices. In addition, the investor should thoroughly evaluate the fund manager's past performance and be aware of how the fund compensates the fund managers and calculates the fees charged to investors.

QUESTION SET



1. Both hedge funds and private equity invest in equity stock of public or private enterprises, and there are many commonalities between them. The following statements are some of the commonalities, and one of these statements is false. Choose the *false* statement.
 - A. Both are structured as partnerships of investors with private pooling of funds and are primarily intended for high-net-worth individuals.
 - B. Both utilize leverage to invest in a variety of marketable securities.
 - C. Both are less liquid than mutual funds or ETFs.
 - D. Both are less regulated, and the transparency/reporting requirements are not strict.

Solution:

B is false. Hedge funds normally invest in public securities (including debt, equity, and derivatives), while private equity funds invest directly in private operating companies, which are not marketable securities, nor do private equity firms apply leverage to their fund.

2. Both hedge funds and private equity invest in equity shares of public or private enterprises. However, there are many differences between them. The

following statements lists some of their differences. Choose the statement that is *false*.

- A. Private equity funds invest for the long term, while hedge funds invest in equities for the shorter term.
- B. Typical hedge funds are transaction oriented; they make several offsetting trades. Private equity funds make stable, long-term investments in few companies.
- C. An investor normally funds the hedge fund at the start of the investment, while private equity funds are committed at the start and funded over time, upon demand.
- D. Private equity is redeemable on a periodic basis, while hedge funds require a longer-term commitment.

Solution:

D is the correct answer choice because the statement is false. Hedge funds are redeemable on a periodic basis, and private equity funds require a longer-term commitment. The other statements are correct.

3. Hedge funds versus mutual funds: Choose the *false* statement.

- A. Mutual funds are open to any investor and are generally more liquid instruments with minimal constraints on redemptions.
- B. Hedge fund fees are typically negotiable by an investor, while mutual funds operate with the same fees for all investors.
- C. Hedge funds are more restricted in what they can trade compared to mutual funds.

Solution:

C is the false statement. Hedge funds are less restricted than mutual funds as to the securities they can trade. They are also subject to less disclosure and transparency requirements. A and B are true statements.

4. How many of the following statements comparing hedge funds and ETFs are true?

- 1. ETFs are exchange-traded public securities, while hedge funds are private partnership funds.
 - 2. Any investor can invest in an ETF, while specific restrictions apply to who can invest in a hedge fund.
 - 3. ETFs have very low fees and expense ratios compared to hedge funds.
 - 4. ETFs are highly regulated, with specific reporting requirements, while hedge funds are lightly regulated.
- A. One of the statements is true.
 - B. Two of the statements are true.
 - C. Three of the statements are true.
 - D. Four of the statements are true.

Solution:

D is correct. All the statements are true.

5. Select the statements that are true:

A. The primary drivers of returns from stocks are growth projections, dividends, and retained earnings.

B. The primary drivers of returns from a bond are interest rates, credit risk, and coupon payments.

C. The primary drivers of return from hedge funds are market volatility and market inefficiency.

Solution:

A, B, and C are all true.

3

HEDGE FUND INVESTMENT FORMS



describe investment forms and vehicles used in hedge fund investments


A common structural characteristic of a hedge fund is that it is set up as a private investment partnership either onshore or in a tax-advantaged offshore location. Under certain legal restrictions (which vary by jurisdiction), the offering can be open only to a limited number of investors meeting certain income and net worth guidelines.

Hedge funds, like private equity funds, are legally typically incorporated and organized as private limited partnerships or limited liability companies with a general partner or managing member who is the hedge fund manager. The partnership or the managing member receives a management fee, and the general partner receives compensation based on fund performance. Hedge fund investors purchase a share of the fund or partnership and receive in return a fixed percentage of the fund returns, minus applicable fees.

The fund documents—private placement memorandum, the partnership agreement, or the articles of incorporation—lay out the legal and contractual relationship between the fund manager and the fund investor and create the operational framework for the fund. Normally, the fund structures are incorporated as perpetual legal entities, but in reality, as the hedge funds close, they are liquidated on a regular basis.

Direct Hedge Fund Investment Forms


A common hedge fund form is a master feeder structure. The master feeder structure is set up for optimum tax efficiency and consists of an offshore feeder fund and an onshore feeder fund—both feeding into a master fund that invests the capital based on its contractual partnership agreements. This structure is depicted in Exhibit 2.

Exhibit 2: Sample Master-feeder Structure

A common hedge fund fee structure is known as “two and twenty.” In this setup, the hedge fund partnership entity that operates and administers the fund receives a 2% management fee. The general partner, who manages the fund investments, receives 20% of the fund’s net profits. The investment returns, after management and performance fees, flow back to the feeder funds to the investors. Recently, the fee structure has been changing due to pressure from investors. Some of the newer funds are offered with a fee of 1 or 30, where the manager receives the greater of a 1% management fee or an incentive fee of 30% of the fund’s alpha or outperformance against a benchmark, instead of a performance fee based simply on total profits.

In addition to the partnership agreement that delineates responsibilities, hedge funds often use side letters to address the specific legal, regulatory, tax, operational, and reporting requirements of an investor. Such side letters complement and can occasionally supersede the terms of the fund’s documents and are typically used when a hedge fund investor requires concessions without changing the private placement memorandum, the partnership agreement, or the articles. Occasionally, specific rights are conferred to a particular investor, such as enhanced information rights.

For larger investors, the hedge fund structure could be a fund of one or a separately managed account (SMA). These are separate investment accounts over which the investor retains more influence. In the case of a fund of one structure, the hedge fund is created for one investor, and in the case of an SMA, the investor creates his or her own investment vehicle and the underlying assets are held and registered in the name of the investor. However, the day-to-day management of the account is delegated to the hedge fund manager. These structures may require additional agreements and service providers to operate efficiently and seamlessly. Exhibit 3 shows a typical structure.

Exhibit 3: Separately Managed Hedge Fund Investment Account

Source: KPMG. <https://assets.kpmg/content/dam/kpmg/pdf/2015/09/hedge-fund-managed-accounts.pdf>.

An SMA structure allows for a customizable portfolio, with investor-specific investment mandates, better transparency, efficient capital allocation, and higher liquidity, over which the investor can exercise enhanced control while keeping the fees lower. However, SMAs are operationally more complex and also demand greater governance oversight. That is why these accounts are more appropriate for larger, institutional investors.

An SMA structure has some downsides as well. Unlike a commingled fund, the managers do not have a stake in the fund investments. The investors negotiate lower fees and fund expenses but in return, may receive allocations only to the fund manager's most liquid investment trades. Hence, the overall motivation of the managers for investment performance could be reduced. Structuring in favor of incentive fees can mitigate this problem.

Indirect Hedge Fund Investment Forms

Indirect investment in hedge funds aims to make hedge fund exposures more accessible to smaller institutional and larger retail investors or to those who may lack specialized skills in managing certain asset types or want to create multiple and concurrent exposures to different strategies. The choice of indirect exposure is often motivated by reducing management costs, increasing performance transparency, and improving liquidity. Often the choice is the fund-of-hedge-funds approach, which is a managed portfolio of hedge funds.

Funds of hedge funds pool funds from investors and invest the proceeds in a diversified portfolio of hedge fund investments across a variety of hedge funds. This approach provides direct diversification benefits across fund strategies, investment regions, and management styles. These funds offer generally lower investment minimums, reduced lockup periods, and typically better exit liquidity.

For the investor, all this comes with a higher fee structure—often an additional 1%—because the manager of the fund of funds adds its own fees on top of the hedge fund management fees. Fund-of-funds investors often face a 10% incentive fee in addition to those fees charged by underlying hedge funds. The incentive fee is typically calculated on profits net of management fees at both the hedge fund level and the fund-of-funds level. This raises the cost for the investors, but at the same time, they gain access to hedge funds in which they otherwise would not have been able to invest in a diversified pool of funds. Fee layering reduces the end investor's initial gross investment returns and may result in an investor paying fees more than once for management of the same assets. Despite the additional fees, investors choose funds of funds since they provide an opportunity to invest in an underlying hedge fund that might be closed to new investors otherwise. Greater liquidity in funds of funds may result in weaker performance due to fund redemptions in times of market turmoil.

The fund-of-hedge-fund managers must have expertise in conducting hedge fund due diligence, must monitor both absolute and relative performance, and are often able to negotiate better redemption or fee terms than individual investors can.


EXAMPLE 3

Tenderledge Investments LLC—Comparing strategies

Tenderledge Investments, a fund-of-funds hedge fund, is benchmarking the performance of various hedge fund strategies as measured by the annual strategy returns over a 10-year period. Focusing on the correlation between various hedge fund strategies, the highest correlation between fund-of-funds returns is with fundamental growth, and the lowest is with short-bias funds.

Correlation between Annual Returns	Fund of Funds
Fundamental Growth	0.91
Convertible Arbitrage	0.89
Distressed/Restructuring	0.84
Multi-Strategy	0.83
Credit	0.74
Equity Market Neutral	−0.02
Macro/CTA	−0.16
Short Bias	−0.84

An increasing number of exchange-traded products, such as ETFs, seek to replicate hedge fund investment *styles* without directly investing in hedge funds themselves. Exhibit 4 shows where these investments are on the liquidity spectrum.

Exhibit 4: Liquidity Spectrum of Various Investment Alternatives

These funds can deliver returns similar to many popular hedge fund strategies, such as long/short equity, market neutral, and event driven, through indexing or active management using liquid assets. Effectively, hedge fund replication ETFs seek to generate returns with high correlations with actual hedge fund returns. By relying on quantitative tools, they imitate a broad spectrum of hedge fund returns or a specific style return. However, the returns from these strategies often fall short compared to pure hedge fund strategies because these instruments are publicly traded, are subject to a much heavier regulatory burden, do not impose restrictions on redemptions, and cannot use leverage to the same level. Yet, these investments benefit from greater liquidity, lower fees, and increased transparency than comparable hedge fund or fund-of-funds strategies and seek to match the monthly returns of hedge fund indexes, as in the following example.

EXAMPLE 4**Tenderledge Investments LLC—Creating a Hedge Fund ETF**

Tenderledge is in the process of developing a hedge fund ETF that would track, before fees and expenses, the performance of a fund-of-hedge-funds strategy index. The strategy index seeks to replicate the risk-adjusted return characteristics of fund of hedge funds and underlying multiple hedge fund investment styles—more specifically, long/short equity, market neutral, event driven, fixed-income arbitrage, and distressed situations.

Although Tenderledge is a fund of hedge funds, the ETF will not invest in any hedge funds but, rather, incorporates Tenderledge's experience and knowledge in selecting hedge fund investments for the incorporation of its flagship fund of funds. Tenderledge will use quantitative tools to select traded debt and equity instruments, as well as certain derivatives, to replicate the performance of these five hedge fund strategies.

This ETF intends to achieve portfolio diversification by tracking the performance of the hedge fund universe and the five styles it seeks to replicate, generating returns with high correlation with these strategies over the long-term. Moreover, by combining these distinct strategies, the ETF will reduce its exposures to traditional sources of risk, such as interest rate volatility and equity market risk factors, such as beta.

QUESTION SET



1. Choose the correct statements regarding benefits of a master feeder structure.

- A. It allows investors in taxable jurisdictions to invest in an offshore hedge fund without any tax liability.
- B. Pooling funds from offshore and onshore funds creates economies of scale.
- C. This structure allows hedge funds to accept funding from global investors with relative ease.
- D. Many regional regulatory requirements can be avoided by such a structure.

Solution:

B, C, and D are correct. A is incorrect because investors in taxable jurisdictions do not avoid taxes by investing in offshore funds.

2. Which of the following statements about SMAs is *least* accurate?

- A. SMAs are a preferred choice of high-net-worth investors with specific investment mandates because they are highly customizable.
- B. SMAs provide better transparency for the investor than other fund structures.
- C. SMAs are characterized by simpler fee structures compared to mutual funds.
- D. The potential for conflicts of interest exists for SMAs since managers are not personally invested in the funds and the regulation requirements are light.

Solution:

C is correct because it is the least accurate statement. Mutual fund fees are clearly disclosed in the fund prospectus. The SMA fees are negotiated with the manager for each account and require sufficient care to structure in a way to incentivize the manager. A, B, and D are accurate statements.

3. Which of the following is *least* likely an investor objective that would help inform the choice of a specific fund structure?

- A. Reduce tax leakage and enhance returns by efficient tax planning
- B. Ensure an appropriate amount of investor control on companies and strategies
- C. Maximize the net returns on the investments
- D. Reduce the regulatory and compliance requirements

Solution:

C is correct because it is the least likely investor objective. The objective of the investment manager is to maximize the returns, while the objective of

the structure is to ensure that the other options (Choices A, B, and D) are taken care of.

4. Identify the investment structure most appropriate for the investor.

A. Managed futures

B. SMA

C. Fund of funds

1. A high-net-worth investor who requires tax-efficient investment channels with a high degree of control over allocation decisions	
2. An institutional investor intending to invest in commodity markets	
3. A small investor who would like hedge fund exposure at a lower risk	

Solution:

1. A high-net-worth investor who requires tax-efficient investment channels with a high degree of control over allocation decisions	B. SMA
2. An institutional investor intending to invest in commodity markets	A. Managed futures
3. A small investor who would like hedge fund exposure at a lower risk	C. Fund of funds

Choice A: Managed futures funds are also known as commodity trading advisers because they historically focused on commodity futures. However, CTAs may include investments in a variety of futures, including commodities, equities, fixed income, and foreign exchange.

Choice B: An SMA structure allows a customizable portfolio, with investor-specific investment mandates, better transparency, efficient capital allocation, and higher liquidity, over which the investor can exercise enhanced control while keeping fees lower. However, SMAs are operationally more complex and also demand greater governance oversight. That is why these accounts are more appropriate for larger investors.

Choice C: Funds of funds allow smaller investors to make investments in hedge funds for which the minimum investment and research requirements would otherwise be overly burdensome. Volatility is generally low in these offerings because the fund-of-funds manager invests in many different hedge funds.

HEDGE FUND INVESTMENT RISK, RETURN, AND DIVERSIFICATION


4



analyze sources of risk, return, and diversification among hedge fund investments

Hedge fund portfolios approach return generation differently from traditional portfolios, as Exhibit 5 shows. The most significant difference is that hedge funds seek to limit market exposure and returns from beta and primarily focus on generating idiosyncratic returns by identifying sources of unique return, or alpha. The primary source of hedge fund excess return is market inefficiencies (which may be short lived) and the skills of the manager in leveraging them.

Exhibit 5: Comparing Traditional and Hedge Fund Portfolio Sources of Return



Some specific sources of alpha are the manager skills in specific stock selection and utilizing higher-return strategies that minimize risks. The performance of hedge funds can be attributed to three distinct sources:

- Market beta—the broad market beta that can be realized using market index-based funds/ETFs
- Strategy beta—the beta attributed to the investment strategy of the hedge fund applied across the broad market
- Alpha—the manager-specific returns, due to the selection of specific positions

The managers can realize the strategy beta and alpha returns due to their skills in identifying mis-priced securities and sectors, correctly timing the market, and utilizing operational control on the company business model, as well as using leverage to amplify the results.

This does not suggest that the traditional asset pricing models are incorrect. They operate within a set of assumptions—not the least of which is that the markets are efficient. The returns from hedge funds are due to systematic and idiosyncratic alternative risk factors not considered by the model.

Typically, investors do not realize the full returns from the hedge fund. Hedge funds are characterized by higher fees, which reduce the alpha generated by them. Some underperforming hedge funds that close out for performance issues face another problem. The capital redeemed from liquidated positions may result in a lower payout, effectively diminishing the total return from the fund.

To compare the risk-adjusted return characteristics of individual hedge funds and aggregate hedge fund strategies, we use hedge fund indexes that are created using publicly available hedge fund performance data. There are several vendors that create such indexes, and each index has its own features, but there are some general considerations around these indexes that distinguish them from other indexes used for performance comparison. Most hedge fund indexes are based on information reported by the hedge fund managers and others who receive performance information with the right to share that information. It should also be noted that the reporting by any hedge fund is voluntary. This situation introduces several sources of bias and suggests that hedge fund performance is likely overestimated.

Selection bias can be an issue. Individual funds are allocated to strategy peer groups in an inconsistent manner: sometimes based on the prospectus, sometimes based on historical style analysis, sometimes using a combination of approaches, each of which adds and compounds bias. Indexes may also have inconsistent sources of the underlying data. A handful of these indexes operate with transparent and constant inclusion, selection, and exclusion of individual managers and/or their funds. Some index providers impose requirements on AUM, vintage, and whether the hedge fund is open to new investors.

Survivorship bias can also be an issue. When funds that have stopped reporting are removed from the index, the index will likely show better performance. This effect can be mitigated by including the returns of funds of funds that are active and those that have stopped reporting. For instance, a hedge fund manager consistently underperforming a peer group may have limited incentives to continue to highlight underperformance, particularly if the manager is actively sourcing new investors or launching new funds. Hence, the manager may discontinue reporting poor performance, which overestimates reported performance.

Additionally, hedge funds that are closed to new investors or closed because of underperformance are treated equally. Their performance is excluded from the index value. Additionally, the hedge fund performance data are published with a delay, normally a time lag of four weeks or one month. Since these indexes are non-investable and illiquid, replicating their performance may be difficult.

In addition, backfill bias can be an issue. When a successful fund starts reporting performance for the first time, it is very likely that its past performance was stellar, since typically only hedge funds with favorable returns are reported. When such funds are added to an index, the benchmarks will overstate the actual performance. This is a variation of the survivorship bias that results when a new hedge fund is included in a given index and its past performance is “backfilled” into the index’s database. Typically, larger indexes have less backfill bias.

A large majority of hedge fund indexes are not weighted by assets under management. In these hedge fund indexes, each hedge fund receives an equal index weighting in the performance peer group. As a result, comparison of large and small funds can be skewed compared to the performance of a size-weighted index.

Hedge Fund Investment Risks and Returns

Many traditional investment funds, such as long-only mutual funds and index ETFs, diversify away much of the idiosyncratic risks in their holdings by investing in a large number of stocks and achieve most of their returns primarily by bearing the systematic market risk (beta). Unlike in traditional funds, hedge funds use a variety of instruments across asset classes and techniques in seeking to generate absolute returns in all market environments.

The structure of a hedge fund complicates the benchmarking of the returns on a frequent basis. Due to the high degree of flexibility the managers have over the investments and the minimal level of disclosure (as well as the frequency of disclosure) they offer, it is hard to conduct any performance attribution analysis. Additionally, the relative illiquidity of investments held by the funds makes marking to market a problematic and potentially futile process.

Hence, attributing the sources of returns and risks is a composite process that is further complicated by the complexity of the strategies and compounded using various sources of leverage. That is why risk and return comparisons are typically made to fund-of-funds composite indexes to minimize return distortions. That approach controls for the effects of self-reporting and selection biases. Furthermore, it ensures that the fund-of-funds benchmark index is investable.

Nonetheless, over the long term (since 1990), hedge funds have enjoyed higher returns (at least prior to fees and expenses) than either stocks or bonds and a standard deviation nearly identical to that of bonds. Specifically, Exhibit 6 compares the returns, risk, and performance measures for the HFRI Fund of Funds Composite Index, the MSCI ACWI Index, and the Bloomberg Barclays Global Aggregate Index. The HFRI Fund of Funds Composite Index is an equally weighted performance index of funds of hedge funds included in the HFR Database.

As mentioned earlier, hedge fund indexes typically build on data that each hedge fund reports. Because these data are self-reported, there is a degree of survivorship bias that the HFRI Fund of Funds Composite Index mitigates by reflecting the actual performance of portfolios of hedge funds. The measures shown here may reflect a lower reported return because of the added layer of fees, but they likely represent a fairer, more conservative, and more accurate estimate of average hedge fund performance than HFR's composite index of individual funds. The returns are likely biased toward equity long/short funds since these are frequently a substantial portion of funds of funds' allocation mix. There are also other hedge fund indexes, allowing a more thorough analysis of the source of returns.

As shown in Exhibit 6, over the 25-year period between 1990 and 2014, hedge funds had higher returns than either stocks or bonds and a standard deviation almost identical to that of bonds. This, however, depends on the benchmarks used, which typically are broad market benchmarks. In the 1990-2014 period, hedge funds had modest overall correlation with global stock returns (0.56) and negligible correlation with global bond returns (0.07) over this 25-year period. Hedge funds certainly offered added value to institutional investors as a portfolio diversification agent in this time period.

Exhibit 6: Historical Risk–Return Characteristics of Hedge Funds and Other Investments, 1990–2019


	FoF	Global Stocks	Global Bonds
Annualized return			
1990–2014	7.2%	6.9%	6.3%

	FoF	Global Stocks	Global Bonds
2015–2019	2.48%	10.41%	2.42%
Annualized volatility			
1990–2014	6.0%	16.5%	5.8%
2015–2019	1.08%	11.42%	1.33%
FoF correlation (avg. monthly)			
1990–2014		0.56	0.07
2015–2019		0.86	0.03
Percentage of positive months	69.3%	61.3%	62.7%
Best month	6.8%	11.9%	6.2%
Worst month	–7.5%	–19.8%	–3.8%
Worst drawdown	–22.2%	–54.6%	–10.1%

Sources: Fund-of-funds (FoF) data are from the HFRI Fund of Funds Composite Index; global stock data are from the MSCI ACWI Index; global bond data are from the Bloomberg Barclays Global Aggregate Index.

Notably, Exhibit 6 shows that for the subsequent five-year period between 2015 and 2019, the absolute return of funds of funds relative, in particular, to global equities has declined, while their performance correlation with equity markets actually increased. This trend has made hedge fund allocations arguably less useful and also somewhat less popular. But some allocators have continued to find value to maintain or actually increase their allocation to a mix of hedge funds as a bond market substitute in their overall portfolio building.

Looking at the performance of various hedge fund strategies over time, an approach is to examine the relationship between hedge fund returns and the risk measured by the standard deviation of returns. Exhibit 7 shows the log returns and standard deviation of returns for selected hedge fund strategies on an annualized monthly basis as reported by Hedge Fund Research. The data cover the period from January 2008 to November 2021, a period of almost 14 years.

Exhibit 7: Return and Risk of Selected Hedge Fund Strategies, 2008–2021

Source: Hedge Fund Research (HFR), annualized monthly log returns.

Some of the hedge fund strategies generated negative returns on average. Short-bias strategies underperformed all the other strategies in terms of both return and risk measured by standard deviation. For comparison, the S&P 500, MSCI ACWI, and Bloomberg Barclays Global Aggregate Index returns are also plotted to show that many strategies have widely varying risk/return characteristics compared to common equity and fixed income benchmarks.

Another approach to analyze the benefits from investing in hedge funds looks at the risk/return trade-off measured by the coefficient of variation of annual hedge fund returns. The coefficient of variation can be thought as the price of return in terms of risk or the relative return adjusted for risk: A higher coefficient of variation provides greater return for the same amount of risk.

Diversification Benefits of Hedge Fund Investments

The original hedge fund strategy was a market-neutral strategy in which the long and short positions made the portfolio beta neutral and generated a positive alpha. Stated differently, hedge funds initially kept their portfolios' net market sensitivity exposure to the market benchmark index at zero. By holding long and short positions, they sought to create value for their investors with minimal exposure to the market.

Over time, hedge fund investments have evolved from these equity hedge strategies with little or no equity market correlation to complex strategies across various asset classes. While hedge fund strategies vary widely, market-neutral, relative value, and event-driven strategies tend to outperform equity markets during market downturns and when individual stock correlations fall and tend to have weaker performance when correlations are high (reducing relative value opportunities) and equities move uniformly higher.

The diversification benefits of hedge funds first came to prominence with the dot-com bubble unwinding in 2000–2002, when they generally performed well compared with traditional long-only investment products. Starting with funds of funds, institutional investors increased their hedge fund exposure and then expanded it through direct allocations following the 2008 financial crash. Seeking better diversification and risk mitigation, despite high hedge fund fees, the investors sought absolute and uncorrelated risk-adjusted returns rather than outsized upside performance. In the early 2000s, when hedge funds came to prominence, the primary drivers were the absolute excess returns, regardless of market direction. The verification efforts from investors on hedge fund operations were minimal. The 2008 crisis (including Lehman Brothers' bankruptcy and the Bernie Madoff fraud) brought to light the need for additional due diligence. The correction after the 2008 crisis brought several changes. The inflow from high-quality institutional investors and pension funds increased. Such investors demanded additional transparency and control over the fund's investment process, fund operations, leverage applied, and managers' performance. This change has benefited only the highest-quality funds, and most other hedge funds failed to keep pace with the positive equity and bond market advances of 2009–2019. They continue to have a place in institutional asset allocations because of their risk-diversification properties.

Exhibit 8 shows return relationships between hedge funds and US stock and bond indexes from 2001 to 2021. Although diversification is typically used to reduce idiosyncratic risk, diversification using hedge fund investments seeks to add idiosyncratic outperformance.

While hedge fund risk diversification benefits can merit investigation, experience also reflects that their prospective advantage can vary over time. Investors need to be thorough in conducting due diligence when selecting a hedge fund manager. Hedge fund performance has a very low correlation with that of traditional asset classes, such as investment bonds and currencies/cash. For traditional investors in these asset classes, hedge funds provide an enhanced opportunity for portfolio diversification and for generating consistent returns over time. Adding hedge funds to a traditional 60/40 portfolio typically decreases the total portfolio standard deviation and increases the Sharpe ratio, thus enhancing portfolio diversification and risk-adjusted return.

Exhibit 8: Historical Hedge Fund Monthly Return Correlations, 2001–2021

	Hedge Funds	S&P 500	Investment-Grade Bonds
Hedge Funds	1	0.82	0.10
S&P 500		1	–0.06
Investment-Grade Bonds			1

Notes: Hedge funds = Dow Jones Credit Suisse Hedge Fund Index. Investment-grade bonds = Bloomberg Barclays US Aggregate Bond Index.

As Exhibit 8 shows, hedge funds have a fairly high correlation with equities and a low correlation with investment-grade bonds.

QUESTION SET



1. Selecting investment trades with high idiosyncratic risk is *most likely* associated with the management of which type of fund?
 - A. Equity index ETF

B. Long-only equity mutual fund

C. Long/short equity hedge fund

Solution:

C is correct. Long/short equity hedge funds are the most likely type of funds to seek out trades with high idiosyncratic risk, so their performance is driven primarily by stock selection and less by other factors, such as sector and market exposures. B is incorrect because long-only mutual funds are more likely to seek returns from market risk and sector risks with less emphasis on undiversifiable, security-specific risks. A is incorrect because equity index funds do not seek out idiosyncratic risk and simply try to mimic the performance of an index.

2. Hedge funds are not obligated to report their performance to the public. Many do, however, to attract additional investors. Hedge fund benchmarks and indexes are created using the reported data. Which of the following is *least likely* a bias that hedge fund investors should be aware of when evaluating the performance of hedge fund benchmarks and indexes?

A. Survivorship bias: Unsuccessful and liquidated hedge funds are removed from an index, resulting in their underperformance not being accounted for in the index.

B. Self-reporting bias: Hedge funds have the freedom to not report their performance, and only the ones that report are included in an index.

C. Societal bias: This relates to the perception of hedge funds at a societal level, where hedge funds that are perceived to be high performing are included in the index.

Solution:

C is correct. Societal bias is not one of the biases that hedge fund investors need to be aware of when evaluating hedge fund performance. A and B are biases that distort the performance of hedge fund benchmarks and indexes.

3. An investor wants to invest in a diversified hedge fund that minimizes the return correlation with the traditional asset classes but would prefer the fund to be more liquid and transparent while minimizing the leverage obtained by borrowing or shorting. What would be the *most* appropriate hedge fund the investor can choose?

A. Fundamental value

B. Managed futures

C. Multi-strategy

D. Fund of funds

Solution:

B is correct. Managed futures have, historically, exhibited low correlation with traditional assets and invest in active futures in liquid commodities and foreign exchange markets. They are also able to increase exposure without resorting to borrowing or shorting.

PRACTICE PROBLEMS

1. Choose the *false* statement about hedge funds:
 - A. While traditional fund managers charge mainly a management fee, hedge fund managers charge both a management fee and a performance-based incentive fee.
 - B. Redemption of funds from a hedge fund prior to its liquidation is very hard, due to the initial lockup period and specific terms of redemption.
 - C. Individual retail investors cannot invest in hedge funds, and only institutional investors can.
2. A fundamental long/short hedge fund manager is evaluating specific securities to build a portfolio's positions. Which of the following is the strategy the manager would *least likely* adopt?
 - A. Long securities that have an upside potential relative to current price
 - B. Short sectors with macro trends negatively impacting the company
 - C. Long securities that trade at a significant discount, expecting an increased valuation in case of a bankruptcy
3. Which of the following fund structures is most likely to be suitable for an institutional hedge fund investor that seeks a highly customizable offering with negotiable fees?
 - A. Separately managed hedge funds
 - B. Commingled hedge funds (master feeder funds)
 - C. Mutual funds
 - D. Funds of funds
4. Which of the following parties is responsible for the portfolio management of a fund of hedge funds?
 - A. Accredited investor
 - B. General partner
 - C. Limited partner
5. Which of the following best explains why it is unlikely a poor-performing hedge fund would be added to an index?
 - A. Survivorship bias
 - B. Backfill bias
 - C. Selection bias
6. The strategy that identifies opportunities for future merger, bankruptcy, or

spin-offs and seeks profit from pricing inefficiencies is known as:

- A. event driven.
 - B. relative value.
 - C. opportunistic.
7. A money manager was reviewing the automobile sector and identified that the stock of General Motors (GM) is relatively overvalued compared to Ford. A money manager purchases 100 shares of Ford and shorts 150 shares of GM. It turned out that the manager's perception was right. At the end of the quarter, she unwinds both positions, making a profit on both positions. What is this strategy called?
- A. Equity long/short
 - B. Event driven
 - C. Relative value

SOLUTIONS

1. C is correct because it is a false statement. Accredited retail investors can invest in various types of hedge funds. A and B are true.
2. C is correct. Participating in a potential bankruptcy situation would be characteristic of an event-driven hedge fund manager and not a fundamental long/short manager. B is incorrect because a fundamental long/short manager would invest in securities expected to exhibit high growth and capital appreciation. C is incorrect because a fundamental long/short manager would short securities in sectors that project negative growth.
3. A is correct. A hedge fund SMA can be tailored to a single investor seeking a tailored portfolio with negotiated fees. The other fund structures do not generally offer customization or negotiable fees.
4. B is correct. The general partner is responsible for choosing the hedge funds in a fund of hedge funds. A and C are incorrect because they refer to customers or investors in the fund.
5. C is correct. Selection bias refers to when the benchmark inclusion criteria cover only those funds that have good performance and hence report their performance to attract new investors. A is incorrect; survivorship bias is when the benchmark stops including funds that have ceased operations, most likely due to poor performance, and hence does not fully represent the hedge fund universe. B is incorrect; backfill bias occurs when an index retroactively includes the performance of a fund before it is added to the index.
6. A is correct. Event-driven strategies include mergers, bankruptcies, and spin-offs. Relative value strategies (Choice B) seek to profit from a price or return discrepancy between securities based on a short-term relationship. Opportunistic strategies (Choice C) use managed futures and macro strategies.
7. A is correct. Equity long/short strategies purchase undervalued stocks and sell short overvalued stocks. Event-driven managers (Choice B) participate in such events as mergers and spin-offs. Relative value managers (Choice C) seek to arbitrage values between related securities.