

**Graded Homework 9 (due Wednesday, May 6)**

**Exercise 1.** Someone proposes you to play the following game: start with an initial amount of  $S_0 > 0$  francs, of your choice. Then toss a coin: if it falls on heads, you win  $S_0/2$  francs; while if it falls on tails, you lose  $S_0/2$  francs. Call  $S_1$  your amount after this first coin toss. Then the game goes on, so that your amount after coin toss number  $n \geq 1$  is given by

$$S_n = \begin{cases} S_{n-1} + \frac{S_{n-1}}{2} & \text{if coin number } n \text{ falls on heads} \\ S_{n-1} - \frac{S_{n-1}}{2} & \text{if coin number } n \text{ falls on tails} \end{cases}$$

We assume moreover that the coin tosses are independent and fair, i.e., with probability  $1/2$  to fall on each side. Nevertheless, you should *not* agree to play such a game: explain why!

*Hints:*

First, to ease the notation, define  $X_n = +1$  if coin  $n$  falls on heads and  $X_n = -1$  if coin  $n$  falls on tails. That way, the above recursive relation may be rewritten as  $S_n = S_{n-1} (1 + \frac{X_n}{2})$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

a) Compute recursively  $\mathbb{E}(S_n)$ ; if it were only for expectation, you could still consider playing such a game, but...

b) Define now  $Y_n = \log(S_n/S_0)$ , and use the central limit theorem to approximate  $\mathbb{P}(\{Y_n > t\})$  for a fixed value of  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and a relatively large value of  $n$ . Argue from there why it is definitely not a good idea to play such a game! (computing for example an approximate value of  $\mathbb{P}(\{S_{100} > S_0/10\})$ )

**Exercise 2.** Let  $\lambda > 0$  be fixed. For a given  $n \geq \lceil \lambda \rceil$ , let  $X_1^{(n)}, \dots, X_n^{(n)}$  be i.i.d. Bernoulli( $\lambda/n$ ) random variables and let  $S_n = X_1^{(n)} + \dots + X_n^{(n)}$ .

a) Compute  $\mathbb{E}(S_n)$  and  $\text{Var}(S_n)$  for a fixed value of  $n \geq \lceil \lambda \rceil$ .

b) Deduce the value of  $\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(S_n)$  and  $\sigma^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(S_n)$ .

c) Compute the limiting distribution of  $S_n$  (as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

*Hint:* Use characteristic functions. You might also have a look at tables of characteristic functions of some well known distributions in order to solve this exercise.

For a given  $n \geq 1$ , let now  $Y_1^{(n)}, \dots, Y_n^{(n)}$  be i.i.d. Bernoulli( $1/n$ ) random variables and let

$$T_n = Y_1^{(n)} + \dots + Y_{\lceil \lambda n \rceil}^{(n)}$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  is the same as above.

d) Compute the limiting distribution of  $T_n$  (as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

e) Is it also the case that either  $S_n$  or  $T_n$  converge almost surely or in probability towards a limit? Justify your answer!

**Exercise 3.** (application of Lindeberg's principle to non i.i.d. random variables)

Let  $(\sigma_n, n \geq 0)$  be a sequence of (strictly) positive numbers and  $(X_n, n \geq 1)$  be a sequence of independent random variables such that  $\mathbb{E}(X_n) = 0$ ,  $\text{Var}(X_n) = \sigma_n^2$  and  $\mathbb{E}(|X_n|^3) \leq K \sigma_n^3$  for every  $n \geq 1$  (note that the constant  $K$  is uniform over all values of  $n$ ).

For  $n \geq 1$ , define also  $V_n = \text{Var}(X_1 + \dots + X_n) = \sigma_1^2 + \dots + \sigma_n^2$ .

Using Lemma 9.12 in the lecture notes (equivalently, Lemma 2 in the video lecture 9.2b), find a sufficient condition on the sequence  $(\sigma_n, n \geq 1)$  guaranteeing that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{V_n}} (X_1 + \dots + X_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

*Note:* From the course, you already know a sufficient condition:  $\sigma_n = 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , but this is too strong! The aim here is to find a sufficient condition which is most general possible.

b) Which of the following sequences  $(\sigma_n, n \geq 1)$  satisfy the condition you have found in a)?

$$\text{b1) } \sigma_n = n \qquad \text{b2) } \sigma_n = \frac{1}{n} \qquad \text{b3) } \sigma_n = 2^n$$

*Hint:* The following might be useful: 
$$\sum_{j=1}^n j^\alpha = \begin{cases} \Theta(n^{\alpha+1}) & \text{if } \alpha > -1 \\ \Theta(\log(n)) & \text{if } \alpha = -1 \\ \Theta(1) & \text{if } \alpha < -1 \end{cases}$$

**Exercise 4.** Let  $(X_n, n \geq 1)$  be a sequence of independent random variables such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\{X_n = +1/\sqrt{n}\}) = \mathbb{P}(\{X_n = -1/\sqrt{n}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$$

and let, for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$Y_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n \quad \text{and} \quad Z_n = X_{n+1} + \dots + X_{2n}$$

a) Run multiple times the process  $Y$  and draw a histogram of  $Y_n$  for  $n = 100$ ,  $n = 1'000$  and  $n = 10'000$ , respectively. Draw also the graphs of the empirical mean and standard deviation of  $Y_n$  as a function of  $n$ . Do you observe that the histogram of  $Y_n$  converges as  $n$  grows large (i.e., that  $Y_n$  converges in distribution)?

b) Same questions for the process  $Z$ .

c) In the case(s) where you observed convergence in distribution, prove that the sequence of random variables indeed converges to a limit, using characteristic functions. What is the limiting distribution?

*Hint:* You may use approximations here, as well as the following:

$$\sum_{j=n_1+1}^{n_2} j^\alpha \simeq \int_{n_1}^{n_2} dx x^\alpha$$

as  $n_2$  gets large (and  $n_1$  is either fixed or getting large also).