

# Projection-Perturbation Method (Reiter Method)

Pierre Mabilie

NYU Stern

August 10, 2017

# Overview

Presentation

Example economy

Solution algorithm

- Step 1: finite representation of the economy

- Step 2: computing the stationary steady state

- Step 3: first-order perturbation around the steady state

Application examples

Caveats

Homework

# Reiter method (JEDC, 2009)

Objective: solve heterogeneous agents model with aggregate risk

Combine building blocks (taught in this course)

- ▶ Projection methods
- ▶ Perturbation methods
- ▶ Income Fluctuation Problem, Bewley-Huggett-Aiyagari and Krusell-Smith

Idea: compute a solution that is fully nonlinear in the idiosyncratic shocks, but only linear in the aggregate shocks

Motivations

- ▶ Alternative to KS algorithm
- ▶ Continuous aggregate shocks
- ▶ Linear filtering
- ▶ Estimation

# Main steps

1. Provide a finite representation of the economy at date  $t$ 
  - (a) represent policy functions by arrays containing the policy values at grid points (if approximated by splines) or the polynomial coefficients (if approximated by orthogonal polynomials)
  - (b) represent the distribution as a vector of probability mass of agents of each type within the regions of the state space
2. Compute the stationary steady state of the economy (no aggregate shocks)  $\rightarrow$  finite representation of the stationary policy functions and the invariant distribution
3. Compute a first-order perturbation of all variables (policy functions and distribution) w.r.t. aggregate shocks, around the stationary steady state

# Example economy

**Household problem** in recursive form (inelastically supplies  $\epsilon$  units of efficient labor):

$$\begin{aligned}
 v(a, \epsilon; z, \lambda) &= \max_{c, a'} \left\{ \frac{c^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} + \beta \mathbb{E} [v(a', \epsilon'; z', \lambda') | z, \epsilon] \right\} \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad c + a' &= w(z, \lambda) \epsilon + R(z, \lambda) a \\
 a' &\geq -\underline{a} \\
 \lambda' &= \Psi(\lambda, z, z')
 \end{aligned}$$

**Firm problem** static:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max_{K, L} e^z K^{1-\alpha} L^\alpha - w(z, \lambda) L - (R(z, \lambda) - 1 + \delta) K \\
 z' = \rho z + \sigma \eta'
 \end{aligned}$$

# Recursive Competitive Equilibrium

Policy and price functions, distribution law of motion

$$\{c(a, \epsilon; z, \lambda), a'(a, \epsilon; z, \lambda); R(z, \lambda), w(z, \lambda); \Psi(\lambda, z, z')\} \quad \text{s.t.}$$

- **HH optimization:** given price functions  $r(\cdot)$ ,  $w(\cdot)$  and the law of motion  $\Psi(\cdot)$ , policy functions satisfy

$$c(a, \epsilon; z, \lambda)^{-\gamma} \geq \beta \mathbb{E}_{z, \epsilon} \left[ R(z', \lambda') \times c(a'(a, \epsilon; z, \lambda), \epsilon'; z', \Psi(z, \lambda))^{-\gamma} \right]$$

$$c(a, \epsilon; z, \lambda) + a'(a, \epsilon; z, \lambda) = R(z, \lambda) a + w(z, \lambda)$$

- **Firm optimization:** given price functions  $r(\cdot)$ ,  $w(\cdot)$ , firms  $K$  and  $N$  satisfy

$$R(z, \lambda) = 1 + (1 - \alpha)e^z K^{-\alpha} L^\alpha - \delta$$

$$w(z, \lambda) = \alpha e^z K^{1-\alpha} L^{\alpha-1}$$

# Recursive Competitive Equilibrium (cont'd)

## ► Market clearing

$$L = \int \epsilon d\lambda(a, \epsilon; z) \quad (\text{labor})$$

$$K = \int a'(a, \epsilon; z) d\lambda(a, \epsilon; z) \quad (\text{capital})$$

$$\begin{aligned} e^z K^{1-\alpha} L^\alpha + (1 - \delta)K &= \int c(a, \epsilon; z) d\lambda(a, \epsilon; z) \\ &+ \int a'(a, \epsilon; z) d\lambda(a, \epsilon; z) \quad (\text{goods}) \end{aligned}$$

- **Distribution's law of motion:**  $\forall \epsilon' \in E$  and measurable set  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq A$ , next distribution consistent with policy functions

$$\Psi(z, \lambda)(\mathcal{A} \times \{\epsilon'\}) = \int \mathbb{1}\{a'(a, \epsilon; z, \lambda) \in \mathcal{A}\} \pi(\epsilon'|\epsilon) d\lambda(a, \epsilon)$$

# Step 1: finite representation of the economy

## Discretize the state space (idiosyncratic states)

- ▶ **Grid over  $\epsilon$** , call it  $E$ , with  $n_\epsilon$  points
- ▶ **Grid for the consumption and savings policy rules over  $a$** , call it  $A^p$ , with  $n_a^p$  points for each  $\epsilon$ 
  - ▶ For HH of each type  $\epsilon$ , the **borrowing constraint** starts binding when  $a \leq \chi_\epsilon$  (EE w/ inequality, solve for  $a' = \underline{a}$  from constraint), and is slack when  $a > \chi_\epsilon$  (solve for  $a'$  from EE w/ equality).  $\chi_\epsilon$  are unknown and solved for in step 2
  - ▶ Define  $A^p$  grid, for each  $\epsilon$ , as  $a_{i,\epsilon} = \chi_\epsilon + x_i$ , where  $0 = x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{n_a^p}$
  - ▶ Policy functions are represented by  $n_\epsilon \times n_a^p$  **coefficients**: for each  $\epsilon$ :  $\chi_\epsilon$  and coefficients at  $a_{2,\epsilon}, \dots, a_{n_a^p,\epsilon}$  (projection)
- ▶ **Denser grid over  $a$  for the discretized density (histogram)**, call it  $A^d$ , with  $n_a^d > n_a^p$  points
- ▶ The histogram is a series of vector of **weights**  $\{\lambda_{\epsilon,t}\}$  (one for each value of  $\epsilon$ ), each of dimension  $n_a^d - 1$  (sum to 1)



## Step 1 (cont'd)

Define a system of equations representing the economy at date  $t$ :

- Law of motion for **exogenous aggregate state** (1 eqn):

$$z_{t+1} = \rho z_t + \sigma \eta_{t+1} \quad (1)$$

- **Euler equations and budget constraints**: one eqn for each point  $(\epsilon, a_{j,\epsilon}) \in E \times A^P$  ( $2 \times (n_\epsilon \times n_a^P)$  eqns)
  - collect policy function coefficients in vector  $\Theta(z_t, \lambda_t)$ , of dimension  $n_\epsilon \times n_a^P$
  - define policy functions on grids,  $\hat{g}(a_{i,\epsilon}, \epsilon; \Theta(z_t, \lambda_t))$   
 $(g = c, a')$ : dependence on aggregate states *via*  $\Theta(z_t, \lambda_t)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{c}(a_{i,\epsilon}, \epsilon; \Theta(z_t, \lambda_t))^{-\gamma} = \beta \sum_{z_{t+1}} R(z_{t+1}, \lambda_{t+1}) \\ & \times \sum_{\epsilon' \in E} \hat{c}(a'(a_{i,\epsilon}, \epsilon; \Theta(z_t, \lambda_t)), \epsilon'; \Theta(z_{t+1}, \lambda_{t+1}))^{-\gamma} \\ & \times \pi(\epsilon' | \epsilon) \pi(z_{t+1} | z_t) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{c}(a_{i,\epsilon}, \epsilon; \Theta(z_t, \lambda_t))^{-\gamma} + \hat{a}'(a_{i,\epsilon}, \epsilon; \Theta(z_t, \lambda_t))^{-\gamma} \\ & = R(z_t, \lambda_t) a_{i,\epsilon} + w(z'_t, \lambda_t) \epsilon \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

# Step 1 (cont'd)

## ► Equilibrium prices (2 eqns):

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(z_t, \lambda_t) &= 1 + (1 - \alpha)e^{z_t} K_t^{-\alpha} L_t^\alpha - \delta \\
 &= 1 + (1 - \alpha)e^{z_t} \left( \sum_{\epsilon \in E} \sum_{i=1}^{n_a^d} a_{i,\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon,t}(a_i) \right)^{-\alpha} \left( \sum_{\epsilon \in E} \sum_{i=1}^{n_a^d} \epsilon \lambda_{\epsilon,t}(a_i) \right)^\alpha \\
 &\quad - \delta
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 w(z_t, \lambda_t) &= \alpha e^{z_t} K_t^{1-\alpha} L_t^{\alpha-1} \\
 &= \alpha e^{z_t} \left( \sum_{\epsilon \in E} \sum_{i=1}^{n_a^d} a_{i,\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon,t}(a_i) \right)^{1-\alpha} \left( \sum_{\epsilon \in E} \sum_{i=1}^{n_a^d} \epsilon \lambda_{\epsilon,t}(a_i) \right)^{\alpha-1}
 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

## Step 1 (cont'd)

- **Law of motion for the density (histogram):** for each next period point  $(\epsilon', a_{i'}) \in E \times A^d$ , next period weights are  $((n_\epsilon \times n_a^d)$  eqns):

$$\lambda_{\epsilon', t+1}(a_{i'}) = \sum_{\epsilon} \pi(\epsilon' | \epsilon) \omega_{i, \epsilon, i'} \lambda_{\epsilon, t}(i) \quad (6)$$

$$\text{where } \omega_{i, \epsilon, i'} = \begin{cases} \frac{a_{i'+1} - \hat{a}'(a_{i, \epsilon, \epsilon'; \Theta(z_t, \lambda_t)})}{a_{i'+1} - a_{i'}} & \text{if } \hat{a}'(.) \in [a_{i'}, a_{i'+1}] \\ \frac{\hat{a}'(a_{i, \epsilon, \epsilon'; \Theta(z_t, \lambda_t)}) - a_{i'-1}}{a_{i'+1} - a_{i'}} & \text{if } \hat{a}'(.) \in [a_{i'}, a_{i'-1}] \end{cases}$$

## Step 1 (end)

We get a **dynamic nonlinear system** of  $1 + 2 \times (n_\epsilon \times n_a^p) + 2 + (n_\epsilon \times n_a^d)$  equations, which can be written as:

$$\mathbb{E}_t [\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{y}_{t+1}, \mathbf{y}_t, \mathbf{x}_{t+1}, \mathbf{x}_t)] = \mathbf{0}$$

- ▶ **y**: vector of control (jump) variables: policy functions and prices
- ▶ **x**: vector of state (predetermined) variables: aggregate shock (exogenous) and histogram weights (endogenous)

## Step 2: computing the stationary steady state

The stationary steady state **without aggregate risk** ( $z = 0$ ) is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{y}^*, \mathbf{y}^*, \mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0},$$

a **nonlinear system** of  $1 + 2 \times (n_\epsilon \times n_a^p) + 2 + (n_\epsilon \times n_a^d)$  equations with as many unknowns  $\rightarrow$  very large, especially if  $n_a^p$  and  $n_a^d$  are large  $\rightarrow$  don't solve directly

- ▶ solution algorithm for heterogeneous agents model without aggregate risk (Bewley-Huggett-Aiyagari)
- ▶ "smooth density approximation" (not today)

## Step 2 (cont'd)

Use a **solution algorithm for heterogeneous households model without aggregate risk** (Bewley-Huggett-Aiyagari)

Guess capital  $K^{(0)}$ . For  $n \geq 0$ :

1. Back out prices  $R^{(n)}, w^{(n)}$
2. Given prices, solve for HH policy functions  $\Theta^{(n),*}$  (use an algorithm for the income fluctuation problem, e.g. collocation with numerical equation solver using initial guess  $\Theta^{(n)}$ )
3. Using implied policy functions, compute invariant distribution  $\lambda^{(n),*}$
4. Compute aggregate supply of capital using the invariant distribution: stop if it is close enough to  $K^{(n)}$ , otherwise update  $K^{(n+1)}$  and go back to sub-step 1

## Step 2 (end)

Obtain **nonlinear solution of the model without aggregate risk**

- ▶ Jump variables  $\mathbf{y}^*$ : policy function coefficients  $\Theta(0, \lambda^*)$ , prices  $R(0, \lambda^*)$ ,  $w(0, \lambda^*)$
- ▶ Predetermined variables  $\mathbf{x}^*$ : exogenous shock  $z = 0$ , histogram weights  $\lambda^*$

For instance, consumption is a nonlinear function of the idiosyncratic states  $(a, \epsilon)$  (resp. endogenous and exogenous) and of the aggregate state  $\lambda$  (endogenous)

## Step 3: first-order perturbation around the steady state

Compute a first-order perturbation of the variables  $\{\mathbf{y}_t, \mathbf{x}_t\}$ , implicitly defined by

$$\mathbb{E}_t [\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{y}_{t+1}, \mathbf{y}_t, \mathbf{x}_{t+1}, \mathbf{x}_t)] = \mathbf{0},$$

around the stationary steady state  $\{\mathbf{y}^*, \mathbf{x}^*\}$

Use standard **perturbation methods**

- ▶ Sims' gensys (2002)
- ▶ Klein (2000)



## Step 3 (cont'd and end)

Obtain a **linear (VAR) representation of the economy**, the solution of the model **with aggregate risk**:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y}_{t+1}^* \\ \mathbf{x}_{t+1}^* \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{A} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y}_t^* \\ \mathbf{x}_t^* \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{B}\eta_{t+1}$$

**Intuition**: each policy function coefficient in  $\Theta(z_{t+1}, \lambda_{t+1})$  and each histogram weight in  $\lambda_{t+1}$  varies linearly with  $\eta_{t+1}$  (innovation to  $z_{t+1}$ ), and they are related nonlinearly to the other coefficients and weights

**Applications**: compute moments, IRFs, linear filtering and estimation (Kalman filter)

# Application examples

- ▶ [Reiter \(2009\)](#): Krusell-Smith "near-aggregation" result (the mean of the asset distribution – aggregate capital – suffices to accurately forecast future prices) holds with only technology shocks, but not with large redistributive tax shocks (need  $\geq 4$  moments of the HH distribution)
- ▶ [McKay&Reis \(2016\)](#): assess the role of automatic stabilizers in the US, in a quantitatively realistic NK business cycle model with heterogeneous agents

# Caveats

- ▶ Two sources of numerical error: errors in decision rules due to projection (even in stationary equilibrium), and errors due to first-order perturbation when nonlinear responses to aggregate shocks  $\rightarrow$  compute EE errors
- ▶ Local method, not for large shocks
- ▶ Linear dynamics w.r.t. aggregate shocks, not for highly nonlinear problems

# Homework

Visit Felipe Alves' repository at

<https://github.com/FelipeAAlves/Reiter>, and run the code  
for the Krusell-Smith model (in Julia)

# References

KLEIN, P. (2000): Using the generalized Schur form to solve a multivariate linear rational expectations model, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* (24), 1405-1423

MCKAY, A. AND REIS, R. (2016): The role of automatic stabilizers in the U.S. business cycle, *Econometrica* (84, 1), 141-194

REITER, M. (2009): Solving heterogeneous-agent models by projection and perturbation, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* (33), 649-665

SIMS, C. (2002): Solving linear rational expectations models, *Computational Economics* (20), 1-20