

NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF SDES WITH DISTRIBUTIONAL DRIFT

Maximilien GERMAIN

May 2018

1 Introduction

We would like to simulate numerically sample paths of the solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = b(X_t) dt + dW_t \quad (1)$$

where $b \in H_q^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s \in]-\frac{1}{2}, 0[$, $t \in [0, T]$, and W_t is a standard Brownian motion. This equation is studied by F. Flandoli, E. Issoglio, and F. Russo in [1] in which they define a virtual solution for equation (1). The authors prove then existence and unicity in law of this solution.

Example 1. *An example of such drift b is given by the derivative of a sample path of a fractional Brownian motion B_x^H with Hurst index $1/2 < H < 1$. These stochastic processes are gaussian processes verifying*

$$\mathbb{E} [B_t^H B_s^H] = \frac{1}{2} (t^{2H} + s^{2H} + |t - s|^{2H}).$$

We note $s = H - 1$. Given $B_x^H(\omega) \in H_q^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$, we can take $b(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} B_x^H(\omega) \in H_q^s(\mathbb{R})$. We will use this in our numerical simulations.

As far as the drift b is not a function but a distribution, it must be approximated if we want to evaluate it at points. In order to do so, we will use a series representation of b and truncate it. That is why we will consider two steps in our algorithm:

1. approximate the drift b by a function b^N meant to converge to b as $N \rightarrow \infty$.
2. approximate the solution X_t^N of the approximated SDE

$$dX_t^N = b^N(X_t^N) dt + dW_t \quad (2)$$

by $X_t^{N,n}$ defined with the Euler-Maruyama scheme

$$X_t^{N,n} = X_0 + \int_0^t b^N(X_{\eta_n(t)}^{N,n}) dt + W_{\eta_n(t)}$$

where $\eta_n(t) = t_k$ if $t \in [t_k, t_k + 1]$, for $t_k = \frac{k}{n}$ with $k \in \llbracket 0, \lceil 2^n T \rceil \rrbracket$.

2 Numerical simulation of fractional Brownian motion

To simulate a sample path of a fractional brownian motion B_x^H on a finite grid $(x_k)_{k \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket}$, we simulate n independent standard gaussian random variables $(X_k)_{k \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket}$ and then correlate them with the definite positive correlation matrix

$$C_{k,s} = \mathbb{E} [B_{x_k}^H B_{x_s}^H] = \frac{1}{2} (x_k^{2H} + x_s^{2H} + |x_k - x_s|^{2H}).$$

To do so, we use the Cholesky decomposition method and calculate the triangular matrix M such that $C = MM^\top$. Therefore, defining

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_n \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B^H = MX,$$

B^H contains the values of a fractional brownian motion evaluated on the grid $(x_k)_{k \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket}$.

3 Approximation of the drift

3.1 Series representation

We use Haar wavelets to give a series representation of b . By doing so, we will be able to approximate it numerically by truncating the series.

Definition 1 (Haar wavelets). *We define the Haar wavelets $h_{j,m}$ on \mathbb{R} with $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{-1\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ by:*

$$\begin{cases} h_M & : x \mapsto \left(\mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{2}[} - \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1[} \right) (x) \\ h_{-1,m} & : x \mapsto \sqrt{2} |h_M(x - m)| \\ h_{j,m} & : x \mapsto h_M(2^j x - m) \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1 (See [2]). *Let $b \in H_q^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $2 \leq q \leq \infty$, and $s \in \left] -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{q} \right[$. Therefore,*

$$b = \sum_{j=-1}^{+\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_{j,m} h_{j,m} \quad (3)$$

where $\mu_{j,m} = 2^j \int_{\mathbb{R}} b(x) h_{j,m}(x) dx$ in the sense of dual pairing.

Definition 2. *With the same notation $\mu_{j,m}$, let $b \in H_q^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $2 \leq q \leq \infty$, and $s \in \left] -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{q} \right[$. Given $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ we define b^N by:*

$$b^N = \sum_{m=N}^{N-1} \mu_{-1,m} h_{-1,m} + \sum_{j=0}^N \sum_{m=-N2^j}^{N2^j-1} \mu_{j,m} h_{j,m}. \quad (4)$$

Remark 1. We can note that $\text{Supp } b^N \subset [-N, N]$. Moreover, we have:

$$\|b - b^N\|_{H_q^s(\mathbb{R})} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow +\infty} 0.$$

4 Convergence

4.1 Weak convergence of X^N to X

We want to estimate the weak error $\mathbb{E} [f(X_T) - f(X_T^N)]$ with suitable functions f . In order to do so, we must go back to the definition of the virtual solution of the SDE (1) given in [1]. The authors define the virtual solution of SDE (1) by X_t such that:

$$\begin{cases} X_t = \Psi(t, Y_t) = \varphi^{-1}(t, Y_t) \\ Y_t = y + (\lambda + 1) \int_0^t u(s, Y_s) \, ds + \int_0^t (\nabla u(s, Y_s) + 1) \, dW_s \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where u is the mild solution in $H_p^{1+\delta}$ of the following parabolic PDE:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \frac{1}{2} \Delta u + b \nabla u - (\lambda + 1)u = -b & \text{on } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \\ u(T) = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

and $\varphi(t, x) = x + u(t, x)$.

We also define another similar PDE by replacing b by b^N . We call u^N its mild solution in $H_p^{1+\delta}$.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u^N + \frac{1}{2} \Delta u^N + b^N \nabla u^N - (\lambda + 1)u^N = -b^N & \text{on } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \\ u^N(T) = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R} \end{cases}. \quad (7)$$

We will need to use the following local time inequality from Liqing Yan:

Lemma 2 (Lemma 4.2 in [4]). *Let X be a continuous semimartingale with $X_0 = 0$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ we define a double sequence of stopping times by $\sigma_1 = 0$, $\tau_1 = \inf\{t > 0 | X_t = \varepsilon\}$, $\sigma_n = \inf\{t > \tau_{n-1} | X_t = 0\}$, $\tau_n = \inf\{t > \sigma_n | X_t = \varepsilon\}$. For any real function $F(\cdot) \in \mathcal{C}^2$ with $F(0) = 0$, $F'(0) = 0$ and $F(\cdot) > 0$ on $(0, \varepsilon_0)$ for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, then for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq L_t^0(X) &\leq 2\varepsilon - \frac{2}{F(\varepsilon)} \int_0^t \theta_s(X) (F(\varepsilon) - \varepsilon F'(X_s^+)) \, dX_s \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{F(\varepsilon)} \int_0^t \theta_s(X) \varepsilon F''(X_s^+) \, d[X]_s \end{aligned}$$

with $\theta_s(X) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{\sigma_n < s \leq \tau_n, 0 < X_s \leq \varepsilon}(X)$.

Applying lemma 2 with $F(x) = x^2$, it follows:

Corollary 3. *Let X be a continuous martingale with $X_0 = 0$. With the same notations as in lemma 2, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$0 \leq L_t^0(X) \leq 2\varepsilon - \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \theta_s(X) (\varepsilon - 2X_s^+) dX_s + \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \theta_s(X) d[X]_s \quad (8)$$

We also recall a useful lemma concerning the solutions of (6) and (7).

Lemma 4 (Lemma 20 in [1]). *Let $(\delta, p) \in K(\beta, q)$ and let v_λ be the mild solution to (6) in $H_p^{1+\delta}$. Fix ρ such that the integral operator is a contraction and let $\lambda > \rho$. Then $v_\lambda(t) \in \mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}$ with $\alpha = \delta - 1/p$ for each fixed t and*

$$\sup_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}} |\nabla v_\lambda(t,x)| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty$$

where the choice of λ depends only on $\delta, \beta, \|b\|_{H_p^{-\beta}}$, and $\|b\|_{H_q^{-\beta}}$.

Lemma 5. *Exists $c > 0$ such that for both $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\rho > 1$ big enough,*

$$\begin{cases} \|u^N(t) - u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq cKe^{\rho T} \|b^N - b\|_{H_q^{-\beta}} \\ \|\nabla u^N(t) - \nabla u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq cKe^{\rho T} \|b^N - b\|_{H_q^{-\beta}} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Proof. Applying fractional Morrey inequality, $\exists c > 0, \forall t \in [0, T]$:

$$\begin{cases} \|u^N(t) - u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|u^N(t) - u(t)\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}} \leq c \|u^N(t) - u(t)\|_{H_p^{1+\delta}} \\ \|\nabla u^N(t) - \nabla u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|u^N(t) - u(t)\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}} \leq c \|u^N(t) - u(t)\|_{H_p^{1+\delta}} \end{cases}$$

Now, we can conclude with

$$\|u^N(t) - u(t)\|_{\infty, H_p^{1+\delta}} \leq e^{\rho T} \|u^N(t) - u(t)\|_{\infty, H_p^{1+\delta}}^{(\rho)} \leq Ke^{\rho T} \|b^N - b\|_{H_q^{-\beta}}$$

from Lemma 23 in [1], for both $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\rho > 1$ big enough, and where $\|f(t)\|_{\infty, X}^{(\rho)} := \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} e^{-\rho t} \|f(t)\|_X$. \square

Theorem 6. *Let f be μ -Hölder with constant $C_f > 0$ and $\mu \in (0, 1]$. Then for $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\rho > 1$, λ big enough, exists ξ_f independent of N such that:*

$$\mathbb{E} [f(X_T) - f(X_T^N)] \leq \xi_f \|b^N - b\|_{H_q^{-\beta}}^\gamma$$

Proof. By Lemma 4, we choose λ big enough for ∇u and ∇u^N to be bounded by $\frac{1}{2}$. λ can be chosen independently of N as far as $\|b - b^N\|_{H_q^s(\mathbb{R})} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0$ (See Step 2 of the proof of Proposition 29 in [1]). Therefore u^N and u are $\frac{1}{2}$ -lipschitz. We recall that in this case, by Lemma 22 in [1], $\Psi(t, \cdot)$ is 2-lipschitz.

$$\mathbb{E} [f(X_T) - f(X_T^N)] = \mathbb{E} [f(\Psi(T, Y_T)) - f(\Psi(T, Y_T^N))]$$

$$\leq 2^\mu C_f \mathbb{E} [|Y_T - Y_T^N|^\mu] \leq 2^\mu C_f \mathbb{E} [|Y_T - Y_T^N|]^\mu$$

by Jensen's inequality. Let $t \in [0, T]$.

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t - Y_t^N &= (\lambda + 1) \int_0^t \{u(s, \Psi(s, Y_s)) - u^N(s, \Psi(s, Y_s^N))\} \, ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \{\nabla u(s, \Psi(s, Y_s)) - \nabla u^N(s, \Psi(s, Y_s^N))\} \, dW_s. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2. For clarity purpose, we note $\tilde{u}(s, x) = u(s, \Psi(s, x))$ and use the same notation for the gradient and the approximated mild solution. We can notice that \tilde{u} is 1-lipschitz in space and $\nabla \tilde{u}$ is α -Hölder with constant $2\|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}}$.

We apply Meyer-Tanaka's formula to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |Y_t - Y_t^N| &= (\lambda + 1) \int_0^t \text{sign}(Y_s - Y_s^N) \{\tilde{u}(s, Y_s) - \tilde{u}^N(s, Y_s^N)\} \, ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \text{sign}(Y_s - Y_s^N) \{\nabla \tilde{u}(s, Y_s) - \nabla \tilde{u}^N(s, Y_s^N)\} \, dW_s + L_t^0(Y - Y^N). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the expectation leads to:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [|Y_t - Y_t^N|] &= (\lambda + 1) \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \text{sign}(Y_s - Y_s^N) \{\tilde{u}(s, Y_s) - \tilde{u}^N(s, Y_s^N)\} \, ds \right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} [L_t^0(Y - Y^N)] \end{aligned}$$

because $\nabla \tilde{u}$ and $\nabla \tilde{u}^N$ are bounded so the Itô integral is a martingale.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [|Y_t - Y_t^N|] &\leq (\lambda + 1) \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \{\tilde{u}(s, Y_s) - \tilde{u}(s, Y_s^N)\} \, ds \right] \\ &\quad + (\lambda + 1) \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \{\tilde{u}(s, Y_s^N) - \tilde{u}^N(s, Y_s^N)\} \, ds \right] + \mathbb{E} [L_t^0(Y - Y^N)]. \end{aligned}$$

We use Lemma 5 and the 1-lipschitz property of \tilde{u} :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [|Y_t - Y_t^N|] &\leq (\lambda + 1) \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t |Y_s - Y_s^N| \, ds \right] + (\lambda + 1) cTK e^{\rho T} \|b^N - b\|_{H_q^{-\beta}} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} [L_t^0(Y - Y^N)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (\lambda + 1) \int_0^t \mathbb{E} [|Y_s - Y_s^N|] \, ds + (\lambda + 1) cTK e^{\rho T} \|b^N - b\|_{H_q^{-\beta}} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} [L_T^0(Y - Y^N)]. \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $L_t^0(Y - Y^N)$ is an increasing process.

By Gronwall's Lemma, it follows:

$$\mathbb{E} [|Y_T - Y_T^N|] \leq C(N) \exp((\lambda + 1)T) \quad (10)$$

with $C(N) = (\lambda + 1) cTK e^{\rho T} \|b^N - b\|_{H_q^{-\beta}} + \mathbb{E} [L_T^0(Y - Y^N)]$.

We now have to study the term $\mathbb{E} [L_T^0(Y - Y^N)]$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Corollary 3 gives us:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq L_T^0(Y - Y^N) &\leq 2\varepsilon - \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \theta_s(Y - Y^N) (\varepsilon - 2(Y_s - Y_s^N)^+) \, d(Y_s - Y_s^N) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \theta_s(Y - Y^N) \, d[(Y - Y^N)]_s. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3. Note that $\theta_s(Y - Y^N) |\varepsilon - 2(Y_s - Y_s^N)^+| \leq \varepsilon \theta_s(Y - Y^N)$.

We take the expectation to remove again the martingale part:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [L_T^0(Y - Y^N)] &\leq 2\varepsilon + 2(\lambda + 1) \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \theta_s(Y - Y^N) \{ \tilde{u}(s, Y_s) - \tilde{u}^N(s, Y_s^N) \} \, ds \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \theta_s(Y - Y^N) \{ \nabla \tilde{u}(s, Y_s) - \nabla \tilde{u}^N(s, Y_s^N) \}^2 \, ds \right] \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon + 2(\lambda + 1) \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \theta_s(Y - Y^N) \{ \tilde{u}(s, Y_s) - \tilde{u}(s, Y_s^N) \} \, ds \right] \\ &\quad + 2(\lambda + 1) \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \theta_s(Y - Y^N) \{ \tilde{u}(s, Y_s^N) - \tilde{u}^N(s, Y_s^N) \} \, ds \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{4}{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \theta_s(Y - Y^N) \{ \nabla \tilde{u}(s, Y_s) - \nabla \tilde{u}(s, Y_s^N) \}^2 \, ds \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{4}{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \theta_s(Y - Y^N) \{ \nabla \tilde{u}(s, Y_s^N) - \nabla \tilde{u}^N(s, Y_s^N) \}^2 \, ds \right] \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon + 2(\lambda + 1) \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \theta_s(Y - Y^N) |Y_s - Y_s^N| \, ds \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{16 \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}}^2}{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \theta_s(Y - Y^N) |Y_s - Y_s^N|^{2\alpha} \, ds \right] \\ &\quad + 2(\lambda + 1) cTK e^{\rho T} \|b^N - b\|_{H_q^{-\beta}} + 4c^2TK^2 e^{2\rho T} \|b^N - b\|_{H_q^{-\beta}}^2 \varepsilon^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 5, the 1-lipschitz property of \tilde{u} and the α -Hölder property of $\nabla \tilde{u}$ (with constant $2\|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}}$).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [L_T^0(Y - Y^N)] &\leq 2(\lambda + 1) cTK e^{\rho T} \|b^N - b\|_{H_q^{-\beta}} + 16 \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}}^2 T \varepsilon^{2\alpha-1} \\ &\quad + 2(1 + (\lambda + 1)T) \varepsilon + 4c^2TK^2 e^{2\rho T} \|b^N - b\|_{H_q^{-\beta}}^2 \varepsilon^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

We choose an optimal ε .

□

References

- [1] F. Flandoli, E. Issoglio, and F. Russo. Multidimensional stochastic differential equations with distributional drift. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 369 (3):1655–1688, 3 2017.
- [2] E. Issoglio and F. Russo. On a class of Markov BSDEs with generalized driver. submitted. arXiv:1805.02466v1.
- [3] G. Leobacher and M. Szölgényi. Convergence of the Euler–Maruyama method for multidimensional SDEs with discontinuous drift and degenerate diffusion coefficient. preprint. arXiv:1610.07047v5.
- [4] L. Yan. The Euler Scheme with Irregular Coefficients. *The Annals of Probability*, 30(3):1172–1194, 7 2002.