COMP4500 Assignment 1

Maxwell Bo (43926871)

September 17, 2018

Question 1: Constructing SNI and directed graph

(a) Creating your SNI

My initial input number was 984392687152. My resulting SNI was the same, 984392687152.

(b) Graph S

Question 2: Strongly connected components

(a) Step 1 of the SCC algorithm using S as input

To be nicer to my printer, I've substituted gray with yellow, and black with gray.

(b) Step 2 of the SCC algorithm - S^T

(c) Step 3 and 4 of the SCC algorithm

The tree rooted with 1 was constructed first, and the tree rooted with 0 was constructed second.

Question 3: Design and implement a solution

Question 4: Worst-case complexity analysis

(a) and (b) combined

Rather than treating each \mathcal{P} as a node, and each \mathcal{D} as an edge in a graph, this algorithm treats each \mathcal{D} as a node.

A valid edge betwen two \mathcal{D} constitutes a two element sub-path of a possible route from P_s to P_d .

```
def findMinimumCost(locations, source, ts, destination, td, deliveries):
sourceToDeliveries: HashMap<Location, HashSet<Delivery>> =
    new HashMap().onLookupFail(new HashSet())
destinationDeliveries: HashSet<Delivery> = new HashSet()
# D iterations
# O(1) loop body
# O(P) HashSet construction cost, due to handshake lemma
# Overall: O(D + P)
# Worst-case: |P| = 2 * |D|,
    if each delivery bridges two unique locations
# Overall: O(D)
for delivery in deliveries:
    # foreach P, we construct a HashSet, if the P lookup fails
    sourceDeliveries: HashSet<Delivery> =
        sourceToDeliveries[delivery.source] # 0(1)
    sourceDeliveries.add(delivery) # 0(1)
    if delivery.destination == destination:
        destinationDeliveries.add(delivery) # 0(1)
adjacency: HashMap<Delivery, HashSet<Delivery>> =
    new HashMap().onLookupFail(new HashSet())
# D iterations
# Worst-case: O(D) loop body,
    if successive locations always depart after predecessor arrival
# Overall: O(D^2)
for delivery in deliveries:
    candidateNeighbours: HashSet<Delivery> = sourceToDeliveries[delivery.destination]
            # worst-case O(D)
            .filter(d -> delivery.arrival() <= d.departure())</pre>
    adjacency[delivery] = candidateNeighbours # 0(1)
# O(D) due to implementation constraints
sources: HashSet<Delivery> = sourceToDeliveries[source]
# djikstras is \Theta(V * lg V + E * lg V),
# As E is worst-case O(V^2), substituting, we get
# \Theta(V * lg V + V^2 * lg V), which is
# \Theta(V^2)
# As our Ds are vertexes in our use of Djikstras algorithm, we get
# Overall: \Theta(D^2)
dijkstra(G = adjacency, sources)
minimumCost: Int = destinationToDeliveries[destination].minBy(d -> d.d).d
```

return cost == inf ? -1 : cost

(b)

The time complexity of Dijkstra's algorithm, $\Theta(\mathcal{D}^2)$, dominates, or is of the same class, as all other parts of our algorithm $(O(\mathcal{D}), O(\mathcal{D}^2), O(\mathcal{D}), O(\mathcal{D}))$, as well as providing a lower-bound.

Thus, the time complexity is $\Theta(\mathcal{D}^2)$ which describes both an asymptotic upper and lower bound on the worst case time complexity of this algorithm.

We assume that HashSet and HashMap never degenerate into O(n) puts, gets, adds and Iterator::nexts, and choose the O(1) best case scenario. We use a Binary Heap in the implementation of Dijkstra's, with $O(\lg V)$ Extract-Mins and Decrease-Keys.

A \mathcal{D} that describes a complete graph of valid delivery sequencing would exploit the worst-case complexity of this algorithm.