Assignment 2: Verification

Maxwell Bo   43926871

April 13, 2017

1 Part A

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathit{pre} \quad &\triangleq \quad D.\mathit{len} \geqslant \mathit{max}(\{A.\mathit{len},\ B.\mathit{len},\ C.\mathit{len}\}) \\
&\quad\ \wedge\ \ \mathit{sorted}(A)\ \wedge\ \mathit{sorted}(B)\ \wedge\ \mathit{sorted}(C)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathit{post}(r) \quad \triangleq \quad D_{[0,r)} = A \cap B \cap C
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\quad i,j,k,r,D : [\mathit{pre}, \mathit{post}(r)] \\
\sqsubseteq\ &\quad \{\text{Composition: middle predicate is } \mathit{inv}\} \\
&\quad i,j,k,r,D : [\mathit{pre}, \mathit{inv}];\ \ i,j,k,r,D : [\mathit{inv}, \mathit{post}(r)]
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathit{inv} \quad &\triangleq \quad D_{[0,r)} = A_{[0,i)} \cap B_{[0,j)} \cap C_{[0,k)} \\
&\quad\ \wedge\ \ r \in [0, D.\mathit{len}]\ \wedge\ i \in [0, A.\mathit{len}]\ \wedge\ j \in [0, B.\mathit{len}]\ \wedge\ k \in [0, C.\mathit{len}]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqsubseteq\ &\quad \{\text{Assignment: } \mathit{pre} \Rrightarrow \mathit{inv}[i,j,k,r \backslash 0,0,0,0]\} \\
&\quad i,j,k,r := 0,0,0,0;\ \ i,j,k,r,D : [\mathit{inv}, \mathit{post}(r)]
\end{aligned}
$$

$\because$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathit{inv}[i,j,k,r \backslash 0,0,0,0] \quad &\equiv \quad D_{[0,0)} = A_{[0,0)} \cap B_{[0,0)} \cap C_{[0,0)} \\
&\quad\ \wedge\ \ 0 \in [0, D.\mathit{len}]\ \wedge\ 0 \in [0, A.\mathit{len}]\ \wedge\ 0 \in [0, B.\mathit{len}]\ \wedge\ 0 \in [0, C.\mathit{len}] \\
&\equiv \quad \varnothing = (\varnothing \cap \varnothing \cap \varnothing)\ \wedge\ (\text{true}\ \wedge\ \text{true}\ \wedge\ \text{true}\ \wedge\ \text{true}) \\
&\equiv \quad \varnothing = \varnothing\ \wedge\ \text{true} \\
&\equiv \quad \text{true}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqsubseteq\ &\quad \{\text{Strengthen post: } \mathit{inv} \wedge \neg \mathit{guard} \Rrightarrow \mathit{post}(r)\} \\
&\quad i,j,k,r := 0,0,0,0;\ \ i,j,k,r,D : [\mathit{inv}, \mathit{inv} \wedge \neg \mathit{guard}]
\end{aligned}
$$

$\because$

$$
\mathit{guard}(i,j,k) \quad \triangleq \quad (i \neq A.\mathit{len}\ \vee\ j \neq B.\mathit{len}\ \vee\ k \neq C.\mathit{len})
$$

where *guard* is a function that takes i, j, k as implicit parameters.

$$inv \wedge \neg guard \quad \equiv \quad inv \wedge (i = A.len \ \wedge \ j = B.len \ \wedge k = C.len)$$

Assuming $(i = A.len \ \wedge \ j = B.len \ \wedge k = C.len)$ holds, we can show that still

$$inv \wedge (i = A.len \ \wedge \ j = B.len \ \wedge k = C.len) \ \Rrightarrow \ post(r)$$

$\because$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} inv \wedge (i = A.len \ \wedge \ j = B.len \ \wedge k = C.len) & \equiv & inv[i,j,k \backslash A.len, B.len, C.len] \\ & \equiv & D_{[0,r)} = A_{[0,A.len)} \cap B_{[0,B.len)} \cap C_{[0,C.len)} \\ & & \wedge \ \ r \in [0, D.len] \ \ \wedge \ \ A.len \in [0, A.len] \ \ \wedge \ \ B.len \in [0, B.len] \ \ \wedge \ \ C.len \in [0 \\ & \equiv & (D_{[0,r)} = A \cap B \cap C) \ \wedge \ (r \in [0, D.len] \ \wedge \ \text{true} \ \wedge \ \text{true} \ \wedge \ \text{true}) \\ & \equiv & (D_{[0,r)} = A \cap B \cap C) \ \wedge \ (r \in [0, D.len]) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (D_{[0,r)} = A \cap B \cap C) \ \wedge \ (r \in [0, D.len]) & \Rrightarrow & post(r) \\ & \Rrightarrow & D_{[0,r)} = A \cap B \cap C \end{array}$$

$\sqsubseteq$ {Repetition}
$\quad i, j, k, r := 0, 0, 0, 0;$
$\quad$ **do** $(i \neq A.len \ \vee \ j \neq B.len \ \vee \ k \neq C.len) \rightarrow$
$\qquad i, j, k, r, D : [inv \ \wedge \ guard, \ inv \ \wedge \ (0 \leqslant V < V_0)]$
$\quad$ **od**

where

$$\begin{array}{rcl} V & \triangleq & (A.len - i) + (B.len - j) + (C.len - k) \\ & \triangleq & (A.len + B.len + C.len) - (i + j + k) \end{array}$$

$\sqsubseteq$ {Selection: $inv \ \wedge \ guard \Rrightarrow (G_1(i,j) \vee G_2(j,k) \vee G_3(k,i) \vee G_4(i,j,k))$}
$\quad i, j, k, r := 0, 0, 0, 0;$
$\quad$ **do** $(i \neq A.len \ \vee \ j \neq B.len \ \vee \ k \neq C.len) \rightarrow$
$\qquad$ **if** $(A_i > B_j) \rightarrow i, j, k, r, D : [(A_i > B_j) \wedge inv \wedge guard, \ inv \wedge (0 \leqslant V < V_0)]$
$\qquad [\!]\ (B_j > C_k) \rightarrow i, j, k, r, D : [(B_j > C_k) \wedge inv \wedge guard, \ inv \wedge (0 \leqslant V < V_0)]$
$\qquad [\!]\ (C_k > A_i) \rightarrow i, j, k, r, D : [(C_k > A_i) \wedge inv \wedge guard, \ inv \wedge (0 \leqslant V < V_0)]$
$\qquad$ **fi** $(A_i = B_j) \wedge (B_j = C_k) \rightarrow i, j, k, r, D : [(A_i = B_j) \wedge (B_j = C_k) \wedge inv \wedge guard, \ inv \wedge (0 \leqslant V < V_0)]$
$\quad$ **od**

where

$$\begin{array}{rcl} G_1(i,j) & \triangleq & A_i > B_j \\ G_2(j,k) & \triangleq & B_j > C_k \\ G_3(k,i) & \triangleq & C_k > A_i \\ G_4(i,j,k) & \triangleq & (A_i = B_j) \wedge (B_j = C_k) \end{array}$$

and $\because$

$\quad G_1(i,j) \vee G_2(j,k) \vee G_3(k,i) \vee G_4(i,j,k)$
$\equiv$ {Expansion of the guard definitions}
$\quad (A_i > B_j) \vee (B_j > C_k) \vee (C_k > A_i) \vee ((A_i = B_j) \wedge (B_j = C_k))$
$\equiv$ {Transitivity}
$\quad (A_i > B_j) \vee (B_j > C_k) \vee (C_k > A_i) \vee (A_i = B_j = C_k)$

Actions that have undefined behaviour, such as out-of-bounds array indexing, are inexpressible in the Guarded Query Language. Therefore, for any array-index pairing A_i, there is an implicit constraint that $i \in [0, A.len)$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}(A_i > B_j) \vee (B_j > C_k) \vee (C_k > A_i) \vee (A_i = B_j = C_k) \quad \equiv \quad & ((A_i > B_j) \vee (B_j > C_k) \vee (C_k > A_i) \vee (A_i = B_j = C_k)) \\ & \wedge (i \in [0, A.len) \;\wedge\; j \in [0, B.len) \;\wedge\; k \in [0, C.len))\end{aligned}$$