Assignment 1: Background theory

Maxwell Bo   4392687

March 23, 2017

1. (a) $y : [\text{ true}, \ (x = 0 \Rightarrow y = 0) \wedge (x \neq 0 \Rightarrow y = \frac{y_0}{x}) \ ]$
   (b) $y : [\text{ true}, \ (x = 0 \Rightarrow \text{true}) \wedge (x \neq 0 \Rightarrow y = \frac{y_0}{x}) \ ]$
   (c) TODO

2.
$$
\begin{array}{ll}
 & x, y : [\text{true}, \ x = z^2 \wedge y = z^4] \\
\sqsubseteq & \{\text{Composition}\} \\
 & x, y : [\text{true}, \ x = z^2]; \ x, y : [x = z^2, \ x = z^2 \wedge y = z^4] \\
\sqsubseteq & \{\text{Assignment: true} \Rrightarrow x = z^2[x \backslash z^2]\} \\
 & x = z^2; \ x, y : [x = z^2, \ x = z^2 \wedge y = z^4] \\
\sqsubseteq & \{\text{Assignment: } x = z^2 \Rrightarrow x = z^2 \wedge y = z^4[y \backslash x^2]\} \\
 & x := z^2; \ y := x^2
\end{array}
$$

3. (a) Assuming

$$
\begin{aligned}
wp(y := 10, \ \text{true}) &\equiv \text{true}[y \backslash 10] \\
&\equiv \text{true}
\end{aligned}
$$

we can conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
wp(\textbf{if } (x > 0 \ \vee \ y < 10) \rightarrow y := 10 \textbf{ fi}, \ \text{true}) &\equiv (x > 0 \ \vee \ y < 10) \ \wedge \\
&\quad\ ((x > 0 \ \vee \ y < 10) \rightarrow wp(y := 10, \ \text{true})) \\
&\equiv (x > 0 \ \vee \ y < 10) \ \wedge \ \text{true} \\
&\equiv (x > 0 \ \vee \ y < 10)
\end{aligned}
$$

As $y < 10 \Rrightarrow (x > 0 \vee y < 10)$, the Hoare Triple is true.

(b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
wp(x := x + y, \ P[x \backslash x + y]) &\equiv (P[x \backslash x + y])[x \backslash x + y] \\
&\equiv P[x \backslash (x + y) + y] \\
&\equiv P[x \backslash x + 2y]
\end{aligned}
$$

Assuming that P is quantified over all possible predicates,

$$\{P\} \ x := x + y \ \{P[x \backslash x + y]\} \tag{1}$$

does not hold, due to the choice of P determining the validity of the Hoare Triple.
As a counter example, let $P \equiv (x = 0)$, such that the Triple is

$$\{x = 0\} \ x := x + y \ \{x = 0[x \backslash x + y]\} \tag{2}$$

Given that

$$\begin{aligned} wp(x := x + y,\ x = 0[x\backslash x + y]) &\equiv x = 0[x\backslash x + 2y] \\ &\equiv x + 2y = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$(x = 0) \not\Rrightarrow (x + 2y = 0) \tag{3}$$

$$\therefore \not\forall P : (\{P\}\ S\ \{Q\}) \rightarrow (P \Rrightarrow wp(S, Q)) \tag{4}$$

Thus, the Hoare Triple is false.

4. (a)

$$\begin{aligned} & y : [y < 10, y > 0] \\ \sqsubseteq\ & \{\text{Selection: } y < 10 \Rrightarrow (x > 0 \lor y < 10)\} \\ & \textbf{if } (x > 0 \lor y < 10) \rightarrow y : [(x > 0 \lor y < 10) \land (y < 10),\ y > 0]\ \textbf{fi} \\ \sqsubseteq\ & \{\text{Absorption 1: } (x > 0 \lor y < 10) \land (y < 10) = y < 10\} \\ & \textbf{if } (x > 0 \lor y < 10) \rightarrow y : [y < 10,\ y > 0]\ \textbf{fi} \\ \sqsubseteq\ & \{\text{Assignment: } y < 10 \Rrightarrow y > 0[y\backslash 10]\} \\ & \textbf{if } (x > 0 \lor y < 10) \rightarrow y := 10\ \textbf{fi} \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} & y : [y < 10, y > 0] \\ \not\sqsubseteq\ & \{\text{Selection: } y < 10 \not\Rrightarrow ((x > 0) \land (y < 10))\} \\ & \textbf{if } ((x > 0) \land (y < 10)) \rightarrow y : [((x > 0) \land (y < 10)) \land (y < 10),\ y > 0]\ \textbf{fi} \end{aligned}$$

The precondition cannot strengthened. Thus, we cannot refine to a selection statement using $((x > 0) \land (y < 10))$ as its only guard.

5.

$$\forall S,\ B : (\textbf{repeat } S \textbf{ until } B\ \equiv\ S;\ \textbf{do } \neg B \rightarrow S \textbf{ od})$$

$\therefore$

$$\begin{aligned} & w : [P, Q] \\ \sqsubseteq\ & \{\text{Composition}\} \\ & w : [P, I];\ w : [I, Q] \\ \sqsubseteq\ & \{\text{Strengthen Postcondition: } I \land \neg(\neg B) \Rrightarrow Q\} \\ & w : [P, I];\ w : [I, I \land \neg(\neg B)] \\ \sqsubseteq\ & \{\text{Repetition}\} \\ & w : [P, I];\ \textbf{do } (\neg B) \rightarrow w : [I \land \neg B,\ I \land (0 \leqslant V < V_0)]\ \textbf{od} \end{aligned}$$

$w : [P,\ I]$ and $w : [I \land \neg B,\ I \land (0 \leqslant V < V_0)]$ can both refine to the same program, S.

Thus, $P \Rrightarrow I \land \neg B$, so such that for an arbitrary S with precondition P, S satisfies the requirements of the **do** loop.

Given both $I \land \neg(\neg B) \Rrightarrow Q$ and $P \Rrightarrow I \land \neg B$, we can formulate

$$\text{if } P \Rrightarrow I \land \neg B \text{ then } w : [P,\ I \land B]\ \sqsubseteq\ \textbf{repeat } w : [I \land \neg B,\ I \land (0 \leqslant V < V_0)]\ \textbf{until } B$$
$$\text{where } I \text{ is a loop invariant, and } V \text{ is a loop variant}$$