Assignment 3: Derivation

Maxwell Bo 43926871 May 18, 2017

- 1. (a) n is a **value** parameter. m is a **result** parameter.
 - (b) Our post-condition, $mrun(A, n_0, m)$, expands to $lrun(A, n_0, m) \wedge (m < A.len \Rightarrow A_{n_0} \neq A_m)$. This satisfies the form $Q_1 \wedge Q_2$.

 Q_1 was chosen as the invariant, s.t.

$$inv \triangleq lrun(A, n_0, m)$$

 Q_2 is chosen as the *negation* of the guard, s.t.

$$\neg guard \triangleq m < A.\operatorname{len} \Rightarrow A_{n_0} \neq A_m
guard \triangleq \neg(m < A.\operatorname{len} \Rightarrow A_{n_0} \neq A_m)
\triangleq \neg(\neg(m < A.\operatorname{len}) \lor (A_{n_0} \neq A_m))
\triangleq (m < A.\operatorname{len}) \land \neg(A_{n_0} \neq A_m)
\triangleq (m < A.\operatorname{len} \land A_{n_0} = A_m)$$

(c) Let

$$pre \triangleq lrun(A, n, n + 1)$$

 $post \triangleq mrun(A, n_0, m)$

s.t.

•.•

٠.

n, m : [pre, post]

 \sqsubseteq {Composition: middle predicate is inv}

 $n, m : [pre, inv]; \quad n, m : [inv, post]$

 $\sqsubseteq \{ \text{Assignment: } pre \Rightarrow inv[m \backslash n + 1] \}$

 $m:=n+1;\ n,m:[inv,\,post]$

 $inv[m \backslash n + 1] \equiv lrun(A, n_0, m)[m \backslash n + 1]$ $\equiv lrun(A, n_0, n + 1)$

 $lrun(A, n, n+1) \implies lrun(A, n_0, n+1)$

•.•

```
 \begin{aligned} & inv \land \neg guard \implies post \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\
```

where

$$V \triangleq A. len - m$$

. .

$$(inv \land (0 \leqslant V < V_0))[m \backslash m + 1] \equiv (lrun(A, n_0, m) \land (0 \leqslant (A.len - m) < (A.len - m_0)))[m, m_0 \backslash m + 1, m]$$

 $\equiv lrun(A, n_0, m + 1) \land (0 \leqslant (A.len - (m + 1)) < (A.len - m))$

∴.

$$inv \wedge guard \implies lrun(A, n_0, m+1) \wedge (0 \leqslant (A.len - (m+1)) < (A.len - m))$$

 $lrun(A, n_0, m) \wedge (m < A.len \wedge A_{n_0} = A_m) \implies lrun(A, n_0, m+1) \wedge (0 \leqslant (A.len - (m+1)) < (A.len - m))$

To justify this, we need to show that both conjuncts on the **RHS** are entailed by the **LHS**. i.

 $m < A.\text{len} \implies 0 \leqslant (A.\text{len} - (m+1)) < (A.\text{len} - m)$

is trivially true. The first conjunct is entailed by the LHS.

ii. Reiterating

$$lrun(A, i, j) \triangleq run(A, i, j) \land (i > 0 \Rightarrow A_{i-1} \neq A_i)$$

we can see that

$$lrun(A, n_0, m) \wedge (m < A.len \wedge A_{n_0} = A_m) \implies lrun(A, n_0, m + 1)$$

holds because

- A. $run(A, n_0, m+1)$ describes a run up to, but not including index m+1. Because we know that $A_{n_0} = A_m$, we are permitted absorb A_m into the run range by incrementing m to m+1
- B. Due to m < A.len, A_m describes a valid array access.

The second conjunct is entailed by the **LHS**.

All conjuncts hold, and are entailed by the LHS.

2.

$$\begin{array}{ll} pre & \triangleq & A. \mathrm{len} > 0 \\ post & \triangleq & mrun(A, \ell, h) \land (\forall \, p, \, q \, \cdot \, mrun(A, p, \, q) \Rightarrow (h - \ell) \leqslant (q - p)) \end{array}$$

 $\ell, h : [pre, post]$

 \sqsubseteq {Composition: middle predicate is inv} $\ell, h : [pre, inv]; \ \ell, h : [inv, post]$

where

$$inv \triangleq mrun(A_{[0,i)}, \ell, h) \land (\forall p, q \cdot mrun(A_{[0,i)}, p, q) \Rightarrow (h - \ell) \leqslant (q - p))$$

This invariant was chosen as the postcondition refers to a constant A, which can be written $A_{[0,A.\mathrm{len})}$, which is of the form A^B , where B is $A.\mathrm{len}$. We replace $A.\mathrm{len}$ with a program variable i, to create the invariant defined above. We can further derive the negation of our guard to be $(i = A.\mathrm{len})$, such that the guard is $(i \neq A.\mathrm{len})$.

. .

$$inv[i, \ell, h \setminus 1, 0, 1] \equiv mrun(A_{[0,1)}, 0, 1) \land (\forall p, q \cdot mrun(A_{[0,1)}, p, q) \Rightarrow (1 - 0) \leqslant (q - p))$$

٠.

$$A.\mathrm{len} > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad mrun(A_{[0,1)}, 0, 1) \land (\forall p, q \cdot mrun(A_{[0,1)}, p, q) \Rightarrow (1-0) \leqslant (q-p))$$

The first conjunct is intuitively true, as the maximal run of an array of len = 1 is itself.

The **LHS** of the implication in the second conjunct is *true* only when p = 0 and q = 1. The **RHS** is then $(1-0) \le (1-0)$. Thus, the implication is *true* for these values of p and q. All other values of p and q cause the **LHS** of the implication to be *false*, and thus the implication to be *true*. Thus, the second conjunct is *true*. Thus, the entailment holds as

$$A.len > 0 \implies true \land true$$

Let

$$guard \triangleq (i \neq A.len)$$

s.t.

•.•

$$inv \land \neg guard \implies post \equiv mrun(A_{[0,i)}, \ell, h) \land (\forall p, q \cdot mrun(A_{[0,i)}, p, q) \Rightarrow (h - \ell) \leqslant (q - p)) \land \neg (i \neq A.len)$$

$$\implies mrun(A, \ell, h) \land (\forall p, q \cdot mrun(A, p, q) \Rightarrow (h - \ell) \leqslant (q - p))$$

The third conjunct $\neg(i \neq A.\text{len})$, is equivalent to i = A.len. We can absorb this into the first and second conjuncts to give

$$mrun(A_{[0,A.len)}, \ell, h) \land (\forall p, q \cdot mrun(A_{[0,A.len)}, p, q) \Rightarrow (h - \ell) \leqslant (q - p))$$

\Rightarrow mrun(A, \ell, h) \land (\forall p, q \cdot mrun(A, p, q) \Rightarrow (h - \ell) \leq (q - p))

As $A_{[0,A.len)} \equiv A$, the entailment holds.

 $\quad \text{where} \quad$

$$V \triangleq A.\text{len} - i$$