

# Assignment 3: Derivation

Maxwell Bo 43926871

May 18, 2017

1. (a)  $n$  is a **value** parameter.  $m$  is a **result** parameter.
- (b) Our post-condition,  $mr\text{run}(A, n_0, m)$ , expands to  $lr\text{un}(A, n_0, m) \wedge (m < A.\text{len} \Rightarrow A_{n_0} \neq A_m)$ . This satisfies the form  $Q_1 \wedge Q_2$ .  $Q_1$  was chosen as the invariant.

$$inv \triangleq lr\text{un}(A, n_0, m)$$

$Q_2$  is chosen as the *negation* of the guard, such that the guard, by pattern matching

$$\begin{aligned} guard &\triangleq \neg(m < A.\text{len} \Rightarrow A_{n_0} \neq A_m) \\ &\triangleq \neg(\neg(m < A.\text{len}) \vee (A_{n_0} \neq A_m)) \\ &\triangleq (m < A.\text{len}) \wedge \neg(A_{n_0} \neq A_m) \\ &\triangleq (m < A.\text{len} \wedge A_{n_0} = A_m) \end{aligned}$$

(c) Let

$$\begin{aligned} pre &\triangleq lr\text{un}(A, n, n+1) \\ post &\triangleq mr\text{run}(A, n_0, m) \end{aligned}$$

s.t.

$$n, m : [pre, post]$$

$$\sqsubseteq \{ \text{Composition: middle predicate is } inv \}$$

$$n, m : [pre, inv]; \quad n, m : [inv, post]$$

$$\sqsubseteq \{ \text{Assignment: } pre \Rightarrow inv[m \setminus n + 1] \}$$

$$m := n + 1; \quad n, m : [inv, post]$$

$\therefore$

$$\begin{aligned} inv[m \setminus n + 1] &\equiv lr\text{un}(A, n_0, m)[m \setminus n + 1] \\ &\equiv lr\text{un}(A, n_0, n + 1) \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$

$$lr\text{un}(A, n, n + 1) \Rightarrow lr\text{un}(A, n_0, n + 1)$$

$$\sqsubseteq \{ \text{Strengthen post: } inv \wedge \neg guard \Rightarrow post \}$$

$$m := n + 1; \quad n, m : [inv, inv \wedge \neg guard]$$

$\therefore$

$$inv \wedge \neg guard \Rightarrow post$$

$$\equiv \{ \text{Expansion of definitions} \}$$

$$lr\text{un}(A, n_0, m) \wedge \neg(m < A.\text{len} \wedge A_{n_0} = A_m) \Rightarrow mr\text{run}(A, n_0, m)$$

$$\equiv \{ \text{Expansion of functions} \}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& lrun(A, n_0, m) \wedge \neg(m < A.len \wedge A_{n_0} = A_m) \Rightarrow lrun(A, n_0, m) \wedge (m < A.len \Rightarrow A_{n_0} \neq A_m) \\
\equiv & \{ \text{De Morgan's law - negation of conjunction} \} \\
& lrun(A, n_0, m) \wedge (\neg(m < A.len) \vee \neg(A_{n_0} = A_m)) \Rightarrow lrun(A, n_0, m) \wedge (m < A.len \Rightarrow A_{n_0} \neq A_m) \\
\equiv & \{ P \Rightarrow Q \equiv \neg P \vee Q \} \\
& lrun(A, n_0, m) \wedge (\neg(m < A.len) \vee \neg(A_{n_0} = A_m)) \Rightarrow lrun(A, n_0, m) \wedge (\neg(m < A.len) \vee (A_{n_0} \neq A_m)) \\
\equiv & \{ \} \\
& \text{true}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sqsubseteq \{ \text{Repetition} \} \\
& m := n + 1; \\
& \mathbf{do} (m < A.len \wedge A_{n_0} = A_m) \rightarrow \\
& \quad n, m : [inv \wedge guard, inv \wedge (0 \leq V < V_0)] \\
& \mathbf{od}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$V \triangleq A.len - m$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sqsubseteq \{ \text{Assignment: } inv \wedge guard \Rightarrow (inv \wedge (0 \leq V < V_0))[m \setminus m + 1] \} \\
& m := n + 1; \\
& \mathbf{do} (m < A.len \wedge A_{n_0} = A_m) \rightarrow \\
& \quad m := m + 1 \\
& \mathbf{od}
\end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$

$$\begin{aligned}
(inv \wedge (0 \leq V < V_0))[m \setminus m + 1] & \equiv (lrun(A, n_0, m) \wedge (0 \leq (A.len - m) < (A.len - m_0)))[m, m_0 \setminus m + 1, m] \\
& \equiv lrun(A, n_0, m + 1) \wedge (0 \leq (A.len - (m + 1)) < (A.len - m))
\end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$

$$\begin{aligned}
inv \wedge guard & \Rightarrow lrun(A, n_0, m + 1) \wedge (0 \leq (A.len - (m + 1)) < (A.len - m)) \\
lrun(A, n_0, m) \wedge (m < A.len \wedge A_{n_0} = A_m) & \Rightarrow lrun(A, n_0, m + 1) \wedge (0 \leq (A.len - (m + 1)) < (A.len - m))
\end{aligned}$$

To justify this, we need to show that both conjuncts on the **RHS** are entailed by the **LHS**.

i.

$$m < A.len \Rightarrow 0 \leq (A.len - (m + 1)) < (A.len - m)$$

is trivially *true*. The first conjunct is entailed by the **LHS**.

ii. Reiterating

$$lrun(A, i, j) \triangleq run(A, i, j) \wedge (i > 0 \Rightarrow A_{i-1} \neq A_i)$$

we can see that

$$lrun(A, n_0, m) \wedge (m < A.len \wedge A_{n_0} = A_m) \Rightarrow lrun(A, n_0, m + 1)$$

holds because

A.  $run(A, n_0, m + 1)$  describes a run up to, but not including index  $m + 1$ . Because we know that  $A_{n_0} = A_m$ , we are permitted absorb  $A_m$  into the run range by incrementing  $m$  to  $m + 1$ .

B. Due to  $m < A.len$ ,  $A_m$  describes a valid array access.

The second conjunct is entailed by the **LHS**.

All conjuncts hold, and are entailed by the **LHS**.

□

2.

$$\begin{aligned}
pre & \triangleq A.len > 0 \\
post & \triangleq mrun(A, \ell, h) \wedge (\forall p, q \cdot mrun(A, p, q) \Rightarrow (h - \ell) \leq (q - p))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \ell, h : [pre, post] \\
\sqsubseteq & \{ \text{Composition: middle predicate is } inv \} \\
& \ell, h : [pre, inv]; \quad \ell, h : [inv, post]
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$inv \triangleq mrun(A_{[0,i]}, \ell, h) \wedge (\forall p, q \cdot mrun(A_{[0,i]}, p, q) \Rightarrow (h - \ell) \leq (q - p))$$

This invariant was chosen as the postcondition refers to a constant  $A$ , which can be written  $A_{[0,A.len]}$ , which is of the form  $A^B$ , where  $B$  is  $A.len$ . We replace  $A.len$  with a program variable  $i$ , to create the invariant defined above. We can further derive the negation of our guard to be  $(i = A.len)$ , such that the guard is  $(i \neq A.len)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqsubseteq & \{ \text{Assignment: } pre \Rightarrow inv[i, \ell, h \setminus 1, 0, 1] \} \\
& i, \ell, h := 1, 0, 1; \quad \ell, h : [inv, post]
\end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$

$$inv[i, \ell, h \setminus 1, 0, 1] \equiv mrun(A_{[0,1]}, 0, 1) \wedge (\forall p, q \cdot mrun(A_{[0,1]}, p, q) \Rightarrow (1 - 0) \leq (q - p))$$

$\therefore$

$$A.len > 0 \Rightarrow mrun(A_{[0,1]}, 0, 1) \wedge (\forall p, q \cdot mrun(A_{[0,1]}, p, q) \Rightarrow (1 - 0) \leq (q - p))$$

The first conjunct is intuitively *true*, as the maximal run of an array of  $len = 1$  is itself.

The **LHS** of the implication in the second conjunct is *true* only when  $p = 0$  and  $q = 1$ . The **RHS** is then  $(1 - 0) \leq (1 - 0)$ . Thus, the implication is *true* for these values of  $p$  and  $q$ . All other values of  $p$  and  $q$  cause the **LHS** of the implication to be *false*, and thus the implication to be *true*. Thus, the second conjunct is *true*. Thus, the entailment holds as

$$A.len > 0 \Rightarrow true \wedge true$$

Let

$$guard \triangleq (i \neq A.len)$$

s.t.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqsubseteq & \{ \text{Strengthen post: } inv \wedge \neg guard \Rightarrow post \} \\
& i, \ell, h := 1, 0, 1; \quad \ell, h : [inv, inv \wedge \neg guard]
\end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$

$$\begin{aligned}
inv \wedge \neg guard \Rightarrow post & \equiv mrun(A_{[0,i]}, \ell, h) \wedge (\forall p, q \cdot mrun(A_{[0,i]}, p, q) \Rightarrow (h - \ell) \leq (q - p)) \wedge \neg(i \neq A.len) \\
& \Rightarrow mrun(A, \ell, h) \wedge (\forall p, q \cdot mrun(A, p, q) \Rightarrow (h - \ell) \leq (q - p))
\end{aligned}$$

The third conjunct  $\neg(i \neq A.len)$ , is equivalent to  $i = A.len$ . We can absorb this into the first and second conjuncts to give

$$\begin{aligned}
& mrun(A_{[0,A.len]}, \ell, h) \wedge (\forall p, q \cdot mrun(A_{[0,A.len]}, p, q) \Rightarrow (h - \ell) \leq (q - p)) \\
& \Rightarrow mrun(A, \ell, h) \wedge (\forall p, q \cdot mrun(A, p, q) \Rightarrow (h - \ell) \leq (q - p))
\end{aligned}$$

As  $A_{[0,A.len]} \equiv A$ , the entailment holds.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqsubseteq & \{ \text{Repetition} \} \\
& i, \ell, h := 1, 0, 1; \\
& \text{do } (i \neq A.len) \rightarrow \\
& \quad \ell, h : [inv \wedge guard, inv \wedge (0 \leq V < V_0)] \\
& \text{od}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$V \triangleq A.len - i$$