

# PHIL3110 - Assignment 1

Maxwell Bo

April 16, 2018

## Part A

### Problem 1

$$\begin{array}{l}
 1. \quad \frac{Pa \vee Qa^{(1)} \quad (Pa \vee Qa) \rightarrow \perp}{\frac{\perp}{\neg(Pa \vee Qa)} \quad (1) \quad (\neg I)} \\
 2. \quad \frac{Qa \vee Ra^{(3)} \quad \frac{Qa^{(1)} \quad Qa \rightarrow Pa}{Pa} \quad \frac{Ra^{(2)} \quad Ra \rightarrow Pa}{Pa}}{\frac{Pa}{(Qa \vee Ra) \rightarrow Pa} \quad (3) \quad (\rightarrow I)} \quad (1) \quad (2) \quad (\vee E)
 \end{array}$$

### Problem 2

1.

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q^{\mathcal{M}} &= \{m_1\} \\
 T^{\mathcal{M}} &= \{\langle m_1, m_1 \rangle, \langle m_1, m_2 \rangle, \langle m_2, m_2 \rangle\}
 \end{aligned}$$

2. Distressingly,  $\mathcal{L}$  does not define any constant symbols, nor does  $\mathcal{M}$  provide interpretations of constant symbols in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Thus

$$\mathcal{M} \not\models \exists x \neg Txx$$

However assuming  $\mathcal{M}^+$ , where  $\mathcal{M}^+$  is the expanded model  $\mathcal{M}$ , where  $m^{\mathcal{M}} = m$  for all  $m \in M$ , we see that

$$M \models \exists x \neg Txx$$

as

$$\langle m_3^{\mathcal{M}}, m_3^{\mathcal{M}} \rangle \notin T^{\mathcal{M}}$$

3. No, as  $\mathcal{M}$  does not define any constant symbols  $\mathcal{M} \not\models \exists x \varphi$  for some arbitrary  $\varphi$  (as  $x$  will bind no constant symbols), and thus

$$\mathcal{M} \not\models \exists x \forall y (Qy \leftrightarrow Tyx)$$

Assuming  $\mathcal{M}^+$ ,

$$\mathcal{M}^+ \models \exists x \forall y (Qy \leftrightarrow Tyx)$$

By fixing  $x$  to  $m_1^{\mathcal{M}}$ , we see that

$$\forall y \cdot y \in Q^{\mathcal{M}} \leftrightarrow \langle y, m_1^{\mathcal{M}} \rangle \in T^{\mathcal{M}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
m_1^{\mathcal{M}} &\in Q^{\mathcal{M}} \text{ and } \langle m_1^{\mathcal{M}}, m_1^{\mathcal{M}} \rangle \in T^{\mathcal{M}} \\
m_2^{\mathcal{M}} &\notin Q^{\mathcal{M}} \text{ and } \langle m_2^{\mathcal{M}}, m_1^{\mathcal{M}} \rangle \notin T^{\mathcal{M}} \\
m_3^{\mathcal{M}} &\notin Q^{\mathcal{M}} \text{ and } \langle m_3^{\mathcal{M}}, m_1^{\mathcal{M}} \rangle \notin T^{\mathcal{M}}
\end{aligned}$$

## Part B

### Problem 3

1. (a)  $BHMB$  is not a good sandwich  
(b)  $((B)JB)HB$  is a good sandwich  
(c)  $BHBMBJM$  is not a good sandwich

#### 2. DEFINITION

A sandwich is good iff there is some stage  $n$  such that  $sandwich \in Stage(n)$  where:

- $Stage(0) = \{B\}$
  - $Stage(n+1)$  is the set of  $\varphi$  such that either:
    - (a)  $\varphi \in Stage(n)$
    - (b)  $\varphi$  is of the form  $\psi MB$ ,  $\psi HB$ , or  $\psi JB$ , where  $\psi \in Stage(n)$
3. Make  $A$  the set of all  $n$  such that for each  $\psi \in Stage(n)$ ,  $\psi$  does not contain any two instances of the same ingredient adjacently.

#### Base

$0 \in A$ . As  $Stage(0) = \{B\}$ , all  $\varphi \in Stage(0)$  do not contain any two instances of the same ingredient adjacently. We can also see that all  $\varphi \in Stage(0)$  are terminated by  $B$

#### Induction Step

Suppose  $n \in A$ .

**Lemma 1** *Since  $n \in A$ , and every  $\varphi \in Stage(n)$  is of the form  $B$ ,  $\psi MB$ ,  $\psi HB$ , or  $\psi JB$ , for  $\psi \in Stage(m)$  for some  $m < n$ , all  $\varphi$  are terminated by  $B$ .*

**Lemma 2** *Since  $n \in A$ , every  $\varphi \in Stage(n)$  does not contain any two instances of the same ingredient adjacently.*

For each  $\varphi \in Stage(n+1) \setminus Stage(n)$ , recalling the definition for  $Stage(n+1)$ ,  $\varphi$  is of the form  $\psi MB$ ,  $\psi HB$ , or  $\psi JB$ , for some  $\psi \in Stage(n)$

Given

- all  $\varphi \in Stage(n)$  are terminated by  $B$
- all  $\varphi \in \{MB, HB, JB\}$  don't begin with  $B$ , and are not themselves adjacent ingredients
- Lemma 1 and 2

all  $\varphi \in Stage(n+1) \setminus Stage(n)$  do not contain two instances of the same ingredient adjacently.

Thus,  $n+1 \in A$ . Then by induction, we see that every  $n \in A$ .