

ICPSR 4326

**ABC News/Washington Post
Monthly Poll, April 2005**

ABC News

The Washington Post

Codebook

Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research
P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106
www.icpsr.umich.edu

ABC News Polling Methodology

By Daniel M. Merkle

Assistant Director of Polling, ABC News

ABC News polls of the general population are conducted by telephone among a random national sample of adults age 18 and over. Sampling and data collection, for most of these polls, are conducted by TNS Intersearch of Horsham, Pennsylvania. TNSI and its predecessor, Chilton Research Services, have been ABC News' primary field work provider since 1979. ABC News closely oversees TNS sampling and field work procedures.

Sampling

A sample of households in the continental United States is selected via random digit dialing (RDD) procedures, to insure that all possible listed and unlisted phone numbers are included with equal probability of selection. RDD samples are produced using the Genesys Sampling System from a sampling frame that includes all active telephone area codes and exchanges.

Before sampling, exchanges are stratified into nine regions as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau: New England, Middle Atlantic, East North Central, West North Central, South Atlantic, East South Central, West South Central, Mountain, Pacific. Counties within each region are then classified as metropolitan or non-metropolitan, using definitions established by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget. Within each of the resulting 18 strata, exchanges are grouped by state and then sorted by median county income as reported by the Census. These steps help ensure representativeness.

Sampling then occurs in three stages. First, a systematic random sample of telephone exchanges is selected within each stratum, by taking every n th exchange.

Next, telephone banks (the first two digits of the four-digit suffix) with more than one residential listing assigned in white pages directories are classified as working banks. A sample of four-digit suffixes is randomly selected from among working banks in each exchange, resulting in a self-weighting sample of households. This sample is checked against a database of known business telephone numbers to reduce business listings in the sample. The sampled phone numbers are pre-dialed via a non-ringing auto-dialer to reduce dialing of non-working numbers.

The third stage of sampling is respondent selection within the household, accomplished by last-birthday selection. Interviewers ask to speak to the household member age 18 or over at home who's had the last birthday. To compensate for the fact that women tend to be easier to reach, in-house selection is stratified by sex, with interviewers asking to speak with the male household member 75 percent of the time and the female 25 percent of the time. If a person of the selected sex is unavailable, the interviewer asks to speak with the person of the other sex who had the last birthday.

Interviewing

Phone numbers are released for interviewing in replicates by region to allow for sample control. In multi-night polls, numbers are called multiple times during the field period. Interviews are conducted via computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI). The professional interviewers employed by TNSI, and their supervisors, are extensively trained in interviewing practices, including techniques designed to achieve the highest possible respondent cooperation.

Weighting

Final data are weighted using demographic information from the Census to adjust for sampling and nonsampling deviations from population values. Respondents customarily are classified into one of 48 cells based on age, race, sex and education. Weights are assigned so the proportion in each of these 48 cells matches the actual population proportion according to the Census Bureau's most recent Current Population Survey.

Sampling Error

Poll results may deviate from full population values because a sample, not a census, is interviewed. Sampling error can be calculated when probability sampling methods, such as those described here, are employed. The standard formula to calculate sampling error at the 95 percent confidence level is: $(\text{SQRT}(.25/\text{sample size})) * 1.96$. There can be other sources of differences in polls, such as the wording and order of questions.

ICPSR PROCESSING NOTES FOR # 04326
ABC News/ Washington Post Monthly Poll, April 2005

- 1) **Data Format:** ICPSR created a unique sequential record identifier variable named **CASEID**.
- 2) **Confidentiality:** To protect respondent confidentiality, the following variables were recoded to a 9-series and blanked by ICPSR: **FIPS** (FIPS County) and **ZIP** (Zip Code).
- 3) **Unknown Codes:** ICPSR was unable to verify some of the values for the following three categorical variables:

MSA (Metropolitan Statistical Area)
METRODIV (Metropolitan Division)
CSA (Combined Statistical Area)

Undocumented values are labeled "Unknown code."

- 4) **Appendix:** The appendix, found at the end of the codebook, contains the complete listing of states (including the District of Columbia) that compose the Census Region (variable **REG4** in the codebook) and the Census Division (**CENSDIV**). Also, the three-character state code composing the ABC State Number (variable **ABCNUM** in the codebook) is listed (e.g., ALA for Alabama or S/D for South Dakota). A complete list of questions asked only of the oversample of Catholic respondents is also included in the appendix.

Data Completeness Report

Notes: (1) Variables are individually listed only if they have greater than 5% missing data. These variables are listed under the appropriate percentage category in the order in which they appear in the data file. (2) The Data Completeness Report only captures information about system missing or other values that are declared missing. Codes that have a label implying that they are missing but that are not declared missing values are not reflected in this report. Data users should consult the codebook for more specific information about missing values. (3) Some variables that have 100% missing data may have been blanked by ICPSR to protect respondent confidentiality. Data users should consult the codebook for more specific information about blanked variables. (4) Data do not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected in the data as coded.

Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

Variable Name and Label (Total Cases = 1082)		Percent of Cases with Missing Values
11.2% (14 of 125 variables)	have 0% Missing Values	
3.2% (4 of 125 variables)	have 0% - 1% Missing Values	
4.8% (6 of 125 variables)	have 1% - 3% Missing Values	
6.4% (8 of 125 variables)	have 3% - 5% Missing Values	
30.4% (38 of 125 variables)	have 5% - 10% Missing Values	
CSA	Combined Statistical Area	5.2%
CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area	5.2%
METRODIV	Metropolitan Division	5.2%
BLOCKCNT	RDD Block Count	5.2%
Q2_2	Q.2b Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bush is handling - The situation in Iraq?	9.0%
Q2_3	Q.2c Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bush is handling - The economy?	10.0%
Q3	Q.3 Which of these should be the highest priority for Bush and Congress this year:, or something else?	8.1%
Q7	Q.7 On another subject, all in all, considering the costs to the United States versus the benefits to the United States, do you think the war with Iraq was worth fighting, or not?	8.7%
Q7NET	Q7 War worth fighting NET	8.7%
Q8	Q.8 Do you think the United States (has gotten bogged down in Iraq), or do you think the United States (is making good progress in Iraq?	9.6%
Q9	Q.9 How confident are you that Iraq will have a stable, democratic government a year from now - very confident, somewhat confident, not too confident or not confident at all?	7.9%
Q10_1	Q.10a How confident are you in the ability of - The federal government - to respond effectively to a biological or chemical attack?	8.6%
Q10_2	Q.10b How confident are you in the ability of - Your local government and police - to respond effectively to a biological or chemical attack?	7.7%
Q10_3	Q.10c How confident are you in the ability of - Your local hospitals and health agencies - to respond effectively to a biological or chemical attack?	8.1%
Q14	Q.14 Do you think Bush does or does not understand the problems of people like you?	8.6%

Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

Variable Name and Label (Total Cases = 1082)		Percent of Cases with Missing Values
Q15	Q.15 Do you think Bush does or does not share your values?	9.0%
Q21	Q.21 Do you think abortion should be legal in all cases, legal in most cases, illegal in most cases or illegal in all cases?	9.5%
Q21NET	Q21 Abortion Legal/Illegal NET	9.5%
Q11	Q.11 On another subject, would you describe the state of the nation's economy these days as excellent, good, not so good or poor?	7.1%
Q12	Q.12 Have recent price increases in gasoline caused any financial hardship for you or others in your household, or not serious?	7.0%
Q13	Q.13 Who do you blame for the recent rise in oil and gasoline prices -	9.8%
Q22	Q.22 Do you think a political leader should or should not rely on his or her religious beliefs in making policy decisions?	8.2%
Q26	Q.26 Would you rather see religion have GREATER influence in politics and public life than it does now, LESS influence, or about THE SAME influence as it does now?	8.4%
Q23	Q.23 Do you think that people and groups that hold values similar to yours are gaining influence in American life in general these days, or do you think that they are losing influence?	9.3%
Q24	Q.24 Which political party, the do you think better represents your own personal values?	8.8%
Q30	Q.30 How closely have you been following the ethics charges that have been made against Delay - very closely, somewhat closely, not too closely or not closely at all?	7.8%
Q37	Q.37 On another subject, overall would you say you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the Catholic Church?	8.4%
Q914	Q.914 MARITAL STATUS	7.5%
Q915	Q.915 Do you have any children under age 18 living at home, or not?	7.5%
Q45	Q.45 Are you yourself employed outside the home, or not?	7.4%
Q47_1	Q.47a How satisfied are you with - your life overall?	7.3%
Q47_4	Q.47d How satisfied are you with - the amount of free time you have in an average week?	7.1%
Q49	Q.49 All in all, do you think mothers are now doing a BETTER job as parents than their own mothers did 20 or 30 years ago, a WORSE job -- or about the SAME job?	9.0%
Q50_1	Q.50 Do you think motherhood today is (more) demanding than it was for the previous generation, (less) demanding, or about the same?	7.7%
Q50_2	Q.50 Do you think fatherhood today is (more) demanding than it was for the previous generation, (less) demanding, or about the same?	7.9%
Q901	Q901. PARTY ID	7.4%
Q908A	Q908A. IDEOLOGY	8.0%
RDDWT	RDD WEIGHT	6.9%
19.2% (24 of 125 variables)		have 10% - 20% Missing Values
CBSATYPE	CBSA Type: M=Metropolitan, C=Micropolitan	13.0%

Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

Variable Name and Label (Total Cases = 1082)		Percent of Cases with Missing Values
Q1	Q.1 Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling his job as president?	10.1%
Q1NET	Q1 Bush Approve/Disapprove NET	10.1%
Q2_1	Q.2a Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bush is handling - Social Security?	13.2%
Q2_4	Q.2d Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bush is handling - The US campaign against terrorism?	10.2%
Q2_5	Q.2e Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bush is handling - Energy policy?	16.3%
Q4	Q.4 Would you support or oppose a plan in which people who chose to could invest some of their Social Security contributions in the stock market?	10.6%
Q6	Q.6 Who do you trust to do a better job handling Social Security:	10.1%
Q17	Q.17 On another subject, do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?	13.0%
Q18	Q.18 Do you think same-sex couples should be or should not be allowed to obtain legal recognition of their relationships?	11.2%
Q19	Q.19 (Would you support amending the U.S. Constitution to make it AGAINST THE LAW for homosexual couples to get married anywhere in the U.S.), or (should each state make its own laws on homosexual marriage)?	12.9%
Q20	Q.20 Do you support or oppose embryonic stem cell research?	14.4%
Q25_1	Q.25a Generally speaking, which political party, the (Democrats) or the (Republicans),\~do you think is more - tolerant of different kinds of people and different points of view:	10.8%
Q25_2	Q.25b Generally speaking, which political party, the (Democrats) or the (Republicans),\~do you think is more - sympathetic to religion and religious people	12.5%
Q27_1	Q.27 Do you think religious conservatives have too (much) influence, too (little) influence or about the right amount of influence over the Republican Party?	11.6%
Q27_2	Q.28 Do you think liberals have too (much) influence, too (little) influence or about the right amount of influence over the Democratic Party?	11.5%
Q33	Q.33 Overall, do you think the federal judges in this country are (too liberal), (too conservative), or about right?	10.6%
Q35_1	Q.35 Do you approve or disapprove of the way that - Republicans in the Senate - are handling the confirmation process for federal court judges nominated by Bush?	20.0%
Q35_2	Q.35 Do you approve or disapprove of the way that - Democrats in the Senate - are handling the confirmation process for federal court judges nominated by Bush?	19.6%
Q34	Q.34 The Senate has confirmed 35 federal appeals court judges nominated by Bush, while Senate Democrats have blocked 10 others.	18.9%

Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

Variable Name and Label (Total Cases = 1082)		Percent of Cases with Missing Values
	Do you think the Senate Democrats are right or wrong to block these nominations?	
Q36	Q.36 Would you support or oppose changing Senate rules to make it easier for the Republicans to confirm Bush's judicial nominees?	13.7%
Q51	Q.51 Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: It may be necessary for mothers to be working because the family needs money, but it would be better if she could stay home and take care of the house and children.	10.3%
Q52	Q.52 On another subject, would you advise a young person close to you to join the military, or not?	12.7%
INCOME	INCOME	13.5%
4.8% (6 of 125 variables)		have 20% - 40% Missing Values
Q29	Q.29 On another subject, do you approve or disapprove of the way Tom Delay is handling his job as majority leader of the U.S. House of Representatives?	30.7%
Q31	Q.31 Do you think Delay should (step down) as majority leader, or (remain in his job)?	30.2%
Q34NET	Q34 SenDems Block Right/WrongNET	22.2%
Q911B	Q.911B. BORN AGAIN	22.4%
Q38	Q.38 Do you approve or disapprove of the selection of Cardinal Joseph Ratzinger, now known as Pope Benedict the 16th, as the next pope?	22.9%
Q48	Q.48 If you could live as well as you do now WITHOUT working, would you choose to:	39.9%
18.4% (23 of 125 variables)		have 40% - 99% Missing Values
Q5	Q.5 What if that plan also reduced the rate of growth in guaranteed Social Security benefits for future retirees - in that case would you support or oppose it?	58.9%
Q911SUP	Q.911SUP	94.9%
Q911N	Q.911N. Is that a Christian religion or not?	98.5%
Q911A	Q.911A. Is that a Protestant denomination, or not?	82.9%
Q39	Q.39 How would you describe your feelings about the selection of Ratzinger enthusiastic, or not enthusiastic at all?	74.5%
Q40	Q.40 Do you think Pope Benedict should (maintain the traditional policies of the Church), or should he (change Church policies to reflect the attitudes and lifestyles of Catholics today)?	74.4%
Q41	Q.41 What do you think Pope Benedict WILL do - (maintain the traditional policies of the Church), or (change Church policies to reflect the attitudes and lifestyles of Catholics today)?	75.3%
Q42_1	Q42a How high a priority should - Preserving the church's traditions - be for Pope Benedict:	74.0%
Q42_2	Q42b How high a priority should - Responding to the concerns of women in the church - be for Pope Benedict:	74.1%

Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

Variable Name and Label (Total Cases = 1082)		Percent of Cases with Missing Values
Q42_3	Q42c How high a priority should - Responding to the concerns of young Catholics - be for Pope Benedict:	73.8%
Q42_4	Q42d How high a priority should - Making it attractive for people to serve as priests - be for Pope Benedict:	74.1%
Q42_5	Q42e How high a priority should - Improving the Catholic Church's relations with other religions - be for Pope Benedict:	74.0%
Q42_6	Q42f How high a priority should - Encouraging human rights - be for Pope Benedict:	73.9%
Q42_7	Q42g How high a priority should - Addressing the issue of sexual abuse by priests - be for Pope Benedict:	73.8%
Q43	Q.43 In general, do you think the Roman Catholic Church is in touch with the views of Catholics in America today, or is it out of touch?	74.8%
Q44	Q.44 Would you want your son to become a priest, or not?	75.6%
Q44A	Q.44a Would you support or oppose the Catholic church denying communion to Catholic politicians who are in favor of legal abortion?	74.5%
Q46	Q.46 And, is your spouse employed outside the home, or not?	45.9%
Q47_2	Q.47b How satisfied are you with - your ability to balance work and family life?	40.1%
Q47_3	Q.47c How satisfied are you with - the job you're doing as a parent?	69.3%
Q909A	Q909A. Was that an associate's degree, a bachelor's degree, or what?	74.5%
Q910AA	Q.910a Could you please tell me if you are between the ages of:	99.0%
Q920A	Q920A. REPORTER CALL	41.9%
1.6% (2 of 125 variables)		have 100% missing values
FIPS	FIPS County	100.0%
ZIP	ZIP CODE	100.0%

Codebook for ICPSR 04326

ABC News/ Washington Post Poll, April 2005

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Please Note: The "(M)" to the right of the value indicates the code has been designated as a missing value.

CASEID	Sequential Record Identifier				
--------	------------------------------	--	--	--	--

Start: 1
End: 4
Width: 4
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
1082	1.00	1082.00	541.50	312.49

RESPNO	Respondent #			
--------	--------------	--	--	--

Start: 5
End: 9
Width: 5
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: -9 thru -4

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	-	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	-	-
-6 (M)	Refused	-	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	-	-
-4 (M)	Blank	-	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
1082	8.00	80235.00	8190.03	19705.83

DATE8	8 digit date YYYYMMDD				
-------	-----------------------	--	--	--	--

Start: 10
End: 17
Width: 8
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: -9 thru -4

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
20050421	-	345	31.9 %	31.9%
20050422	-	287	26.5 %	26.5%
20050423	-	224	20.7 %	20.7%
20050424	-	226	20.9 %	20.9%
<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
1082	20050421.00	20050424.00	20050422.31	1.13

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

TZONE	TIME ZONE
-------	-----------

Start: 18
End: 19
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Eastern	507	46.9 %	46.9%
2	Central	329	30.4 %	30.4%
3	Mountain	52	4.8 %	4.8%
4	Pacific	194	17.9 %	17.9%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
1082	1.00	4.00	1.94	1.11

REG4	CENSUS REGION
------	---------------

Start: 20
End: 21
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Northeast (CDiv 1,2)	216	20.0 %	20.0%
2	Midwest (CDiv 3,4)	248	22.9 %	22.9%
3	South (CDiv 5,6,7)	372	34.4 %	34.4%
4	West (CDiv 8,9)	246	22.7 %	22.7%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
1082	1.00	4.00	2.60	1.05

CENSDIV	CENSUS DIVISION
---------	-----------------

Start: 22
End: 23
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	New England	57	5.3 %	5.3%
2	Middle Atlantic	159	14.7 %	14.7%
3	East North Central	169	15.6 %	15.6%
4	West North Central	79	7.3 %	7.3%
5	South Atlantic	185	17.1 %	17.1%
6	East South Central	72	6.7 %	6.7%
7	West South Central	115	10.6 %	10.6%
8	Mountain	75	6.9 %	6.9%
9	Pacific	171	15.8 %	15.8%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
1082	1.00	9.00	5.08	2.55

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

ABCNUM	ABC STATE NUMBER
--------	------------------

Start: 24
End: 25
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Alabama	20	1.8 %	1.8%
2	Alaska	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3	Arizona	8	0.7 %	0.7%
4	Arkansas	9	0.8 %	0.8%
5	California	125	11.6 %	11.6%
6	Colorado	24	2.2 %	2.2%
7	Connecticut	10	0.9 %	0.9%
8	Delaware	2	0.2 %	0.2%
9	DC	1	0.1 %	0.1%
10	Florida	47	4.3 %	4.3%
11	Georgia	33	3.0 %	3.0%
12	Hawaii	0	0.0 %	0.0%
13	Idaho	4	0.4 %	0.4%
14	Illinois	38	3.5 %	3.5%
15	Indiana	17	1.6 %	1.6%
16	Iowa	15	1.4 %	1.4%
17	Kansas	8	0.7 %	0.7%
18	Kentucky	18	1.7 %	1.7%
19	Louisiana	22	2.0 %	2.0%
20	Maine	6	0.6 %	0.6%
21	Maryland	19	1.8 %	1.8%
22	Massachusetts	31	2.9 %	2.9%
23	Michigan	36	3.3 %	3.3%
24	Minnesota	19	1.8 %	1.8%
25	Mississippi	11	1.0 %	1.0%
26	Missouri	20	1.8 %	1.8%
27	Montana	6	0.6 %	0.6%
28	Nebraska	7	0.6 %	0.6%
29	Nevada	14	1.3 %	1.3%
30	New Hampshire	4	0.4 %	0.4%
31	New Jersey	30	2.8 %	2.8%
32	New Mexico	9	0.8 %	0.8%
33	New York	78	7.2 %	7.2%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

ABCNUM	ABC STATE NUMBER (cont.)
--------	--------------------------

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
34	North Carolina	24	2.2 %	2.2%
35	North Dakota	3	0.3 %	0.3%
36	Ohio	48	4.4 %	4.4%
37	Oklahoma	15	1.4 %	1.4%
38	Oregon	17	1.6 %	1.6%
39	Pennsylvania	51	4.7 %	4.7%
40	Rhode Island	4	0.4 %	0.4%
41	South Carolina	16	1.5 %	1.5%
42	South Dakota	7	0.6 %	0.6%
43	Tennessee	23	2.1 %	2.1%
44	Texas	69	6.4 %	6.4%
45	Utah	10	0.9 %	0.9%
46	Vermont	2	0.2 %	0.2%
47	Virginia	31	2.9 %	2.9%
48	Washington	29	2.7 %	2.7%
49	West Virginia	12	1.1 %	1.1%
50	Wisconsin	30	2.8 %	2.8%
51	Wyoming	0	0.0 %	0.0%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
1082	1.00	50.00	26.01	14.88

STCODE	FIPS STATE NUMBER
--------	-------------------

Start: 26
End: 27
Width: 2
Type: character (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 99

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
01	Alabama	20	1.8 %	1.9%
02	Alaska	0	0.0 %	0.0%
04	Arizona	8	0.7 %	0.8%
05	Arkansas	9	0.8 %	0.9%
06	California	123	11.4 %	11.8%
08	Colorado	22	2.0 %	2.1%
09	Connecticut	9	0.8 %	0.9%
10	Delaware	2	0.2 %	0.2%
11	DC	1	0.1 %	0.1%
12	Florida	46	4.3 %	4.4%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

STCODE	FIPS STATE NUMBER (cont.)
--------	---------------------------

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
13	Georgia	31	2.9 %	3.0%
15	Hawaii	0	0.0 %	0.0%
16	Idaho	4	0.4 %	0.4%
17	Illinois	38	3.5 %	3.6%
18	Indiana	16	1.5 %	1.5%
19	Iowa	15	1.4 %	1.4%
20	Kansas	8	0.7 %	0.8%
21	Kentucky	17	1.6 %	1.6%
22	Louisiana	21	1.9 %	2.0%
23	Maine	6	0.6 %	0.6%
24	Maryland	19	1.8 %	1.8%
25	Massachusetts	29	2.7 %	2.8%
26	Michigan	33	3.0 %	3.2%
27	Minnesota	18	1.7 %	1.7%
28	Mississippi	11	1.0 %	1.1%
29	Missouri	19	1.8 %	1.8%
30	Montana	6	0.6 %	0.6%
31	Nebraska	6	0.6 %	0.6%
32	Nevada	14	1.3 %	1.3%
33	New Hampshire	4	0.4 %	0.4%
34	New Jersey	29	2.7 %	2.8%
35	New Mexico	7	0.6 %	0.7%
36	New York	74	6.8 %	7.1%
37	North Carolina	24	2.2 %	2.3%
38	North Dakota	3	0.3 %	0.3%
39	Ohio	45	4.2 %	4.3%
40	Oklahoma	15	1.4 %	1.4%
41	Oregon	17	1.6 %	1.6%
42	Pennsylvania	48	4.4 %	4.6%
44	Rhode Island	3	0.3 %	0.3%
45	South Carolina	16	1.5 %	1.5%
46	South Dakota	7	0.6 %	0.7%
47	Tennessee	23	2.1 %	2.2%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

STCODE FIPS STATE NUMBER (*cont.*)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
48	Texas	66	6.1 %	6.3%
49	Utah	10	0.9 %	1.0%
50	Vermont	2	0.2 %	0.2%
51	Virginia	31	2.9 %	3.0%
53	Washington	28	2.6 %	2.7%
54	West Virginia	12	1.1 %	1.1%
55	Wisconsin	30	2.8 %	2.9%
56	Wyoming	0	0.0 %	0.0%
99 (M)	Missing	37	3.4 %	-

DMA Designated Market Area

Start: 28
End: 30
Width: 3
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
500	Portland-Auburn	5	0.5 %	0.5%
501	New York	68	6.3 %	6.3%
502	Binghamton	1	0.1 %	0.1%
503	Macon	3	0.3 %	0.3%
504	Philadelphia	26	2.4 %	2.4%
505	Detroit	15	1.4 %	1.4%
506	Boston	31	2.9 %	2.9%
507	Savannah	3	0.3 %	0.3%
508	Pittsburgh	14	1.3 %	1.3%
509	Fort Wayne	2	0.2 %	0.2%
510	Cleveland	17	1.6 %	1.6%
511	Washington, DC	18	1.7 %	1.7%
512	Baltimore	9	0.8 %	0.8%
513	Flint-Saginaw-Bay City	4	0.4 %	0.4%
514	Buffalo	9	0.8 %	0.8%
515	Cincinnati	7	0.6 %	0.6%
516	Erie	2	0.2 %	0.2%
517	Charlotte	7	0.6 %	0.6%
518	Greensboro-H.Point-Winston Salem	8	0.7 %	0.7%
519	Charleston, SC	2	0.2 %	0.2%
520	Augusta	5	0.5 %	0.5%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

DMA Designated Market Area (*cont.*)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
521	Providence-New Bedford	5	0.5 %	0.5%
522	Columbus, GA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
523	Burlington-Plattsburgh	2	0.2 %	0.2%
524	Atlanta	19	1.8 %	1.8%
525	Albany, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
526	Utica	2	0.2 %	0.2%
527	Indianapolis	5	0.5 %	0.5%
528	Miami-Fort Lauderdale	9	0.8 %	0.8%
529	Louisville	5	0.5 %	0.5%
530	Tallahassee-Thomasville	4	0.4 %	0.4%
531	Tri-Cities, TN-VA	3	0.3 %	0.3%
532	Albany-Schenectady-Troy	10	0.9 %	0.9%
533	Hartford-New Haven	8	0.7 %	0.7%
534	Orlando-Daytona Beach-Melbrn	9	0.8 %	0.8%
535	Columbus, OH	8	0.7 %	0.7%
536	Youngstown	2	0.2 %	0.2%
537	Bangor	1	0.1 %	0.1%
538	Rochester	4	0.4 %	0.4%
539	Tampa-Saint Pete-Sarasota	11	1.0 %	1.0%
540	Traverse City-Cadillac	4	0.4 %	0.4%
541	Lexington	8	0.7 %	0.7%
542	Dayton	6	0.6 %	0.6%
543	Springfield-Holyoke	2	0.2 %	0.2%
544	Norfolk-Portsmouth-Newport News	7	0.6 %	0.6%
545	Greenville-N.Bern-Washington	2	0.2 %	0.2%
546	Columbia, SC	5	0.5 %	0.5%
547	Toledo	8	0.7 %	0.7%
548	West Palm Beach-Fort Pierce	6	0.6 %	0.6%
549	Watertown	2	0.2 %	0.2%
550	Wilmington	2	0.2 %	0.2%
551	Lansing	2	0.2 %	0.2%
552	Presque Isle	0	0.0 %	0.0%
553	Marquette	1	0.1 %	0.1%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

DMA Designated Market Area (*cont.*)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
554	Wheeling-Stubenville	3	0.3 %	0.3%
555	Syracuse	6	0.6 %	0.6%
556	Richmond-Petersburg	10	0.9 %	0.9%
557	Knoxville	6	0.6 %	0.6%
558	Lima	0	0.0 %	0.0%
559	Bluefield-Beckley-Oak Hill	3	0.3 %	0.3%
560	Raleigh-Durham	4	0.4 %	0.4%
561	Jacksonville,Brunswick	3	0.3 %	0.3%
563	Grand Rapids-Kalamazoo-Battle Creek	9	0.8 %	0.8%
564	Charleston-Huntington	7	0.6 %	0.6%
565	Elmira	1	0.1 %	0.1%
566	Harrisburg-Lancaster-Lebanon-York	7	0.6 %	0.6%
567	Greenville-Spartanburg-Ashville-And	6	0.6 %	0.6%
569	Harrisonburg	0	0.0 %	0.0%
570	Florence-Myrtle Beach	0	0.0 %	0.0%
571	Fort Meyers-Naples	3	0.3 %	0.3%
573	Roanoke-Lynchburg	6	0.6 %	0.6%
574	Johnstown-Altoona	5	0.5 %	0.5%
575	Chattanooga	4	0.4 %	0.4%
576	Salisbury	0	0.0 %	0.0%
577	Wilkes Barre-Scranton	7	0.6 %	0.6%
581	Terre Haute	1	0.1 %	0.1%
582	Lafayette, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
583	Alpena	1	0.1 %	0.1%
584	Charlottesville	1	0.1 %	0.1%
588	South Bend-Elkhart	3	0.3 %	0.3%
592	Gainesville	1	0.1 %	0.1%
596	Zanesville	0	0.0 %	0.0%
597	Parkersburg	0	0.0 %	0.0%
598	Clarksburg-Weston	4	0.4 %	0.4%
600	Corpus Christi	4	0.4 %	0.4%
602	Chicago	21	1.9 %	1.9%
603	Joplin-Pittsburg	0	0.0 %	0.0%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

DMA Designated Market Area (*cont.*)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
604	Columbia-Jefferson City	1	0.1 %	0.1%
605	Topeka	1	0.1 %	0.1%
606	Dothan	0	0.0 %	0.0%
609	Saint Louis	13	1.2 %	1.2%
610	Rockford	4	0.4 %	0.4%
611	Rochester-Mason City-Austin	4	0.4 %	0.4%
612	Shreveport	8	0.7 %	0.7%
613	Minneapolis-Saint Paul	14	1.3 %	1.3%
616	Kansas City	6	0.6 %	0.6%
617	Milwaukee	3	0.3 %	0.3%
618	Houston	12	1.1 %	1.1%
619	Springfield, MO	3	0.3 %	0.3%
622	New Orleans	7	0.6 %	0.6%
623	Dallas-Fort Worth	18	1.7 %	1.7%
624	Sioux City	0	0.0 %	0.0%
625	Waco-Temple-Bryan	1	0.1 %	0.1%
626	Victoria	0	0.0 %	0.0%
627	Wichita Falls & Lawton	4	0.4 %	0.4%
628	Monroe-El Dorado	1	0.1 %	0.1%
630	Birmingham	10	0.9 %	0.9%
631	Ottumwa-Kirksville	1	0.1 %	0.1%
632	Paducah-C.Girardeau-Harrbg-Mt Vn	6	0.6 %	0.6%
633	Odessa-Midland	1	0.1 %	0.1%
634	Amarillo	3	0.3 %	0.3%
635	Austin	8	0.7 %	0.7%
636	Harlingen-Weslaco-Barnsville-Mca	0	0.0 %	0.0%
637	Cedar Rapids-Waterloo-Dubuque	3	0.3 %	0.3%
638	Saint Joseph	0	0.0 %	0.0%
639	Jackson, TN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
640	Memphis	4	0.4 %	0.4%
641	San Antonio	7	0.6 %	0.6%
642	Lafayette, LA	4	0.4 %	0.4%
643	Lake Charles	1	0.1 %	0.1%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

DMA Designated Market Area (*cont.*)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
644	Alexandria, LA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
647	Greenwood-Greenville	0	0.0 %	0.0%
648	Champaign-Springfield-Decatur	5	0.5 %	0.5%
649	Evansville	1	0.1 %	0.1%
650	Oklahoma City	5	0.5 %	0.5%
651	Lubbock	1	0.1 %	0.1%
652	Omaha	2	0.2 %	0.2%
656	Panama City	1	0.1 %	0.1%
657	Sherman-Ada	0	0.0 %	0.0%
658	Green Bay-Appleton	5	0.5 %	0.5%
659	Nashville	14	1.3 %	1.3%
661	San Angelo	1	0.1 %	0.1%
662	Abilene-Sweetwater	2	0.2 %	0.2%
669	Madison	10	0.9 %	0.9%
670	Fort Smith	3	0.3 %	0.3%
671	Tulsa	8	0.7 %	0.7%
673	Columbus-Tupelo-West Point	2	0.2 %	0.2%
675	Peoria-Bloomington	0	0.0 %	0.0%
676	Duluth-Superior	2	0.2 %	0.2%
678	Wichita-Hutchinson Plus	5	0.5 %	0.5%
679	Des Moines-Ames	9	0.8 %	0.8%
682	Davenport-R.Island-Moline	5	0.5 %	0.5%
686	Mobile-Pensacola	8	0.7 %	0.7%
687	Minot-Bismark-Dickinson	1	0.1 %	0.1%
691	Hunstville-Decatur,Flor	3	0.3 %	0.3%
692	Beaumont-Port Arthur	1	0.1 %	0.1%
693	Little Rock-Pine Bluff	5	0.5 %	0.5%
698	Montgomery	0	0.0 %	0.0%
702	La Crosse-Eau Claire	2	0.2 %	0.2%
705	Wausau-Rhineland	7	0.6 %	0.6%
709	Tyler-Longview (Lfkn & Acgd)	3	0.3 %	0.3%
710	Hattiesburg-Laurel	2	0.2 %	0.2%
711	Meridian	1	0.1 %	0.1%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

DMA Designated Market Area (*cont.*)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
716	Baton Rouge	5	0.5 %	0.5%
717	Quincy-Hannibal-Keokuk	0	0.0 %	0.0%
718	Jackson, MS	3	0.3 %	0.3%
722	Lincoln-Hstngs-Krny Plus	3	0.3 %	0.3%
724	Fargo-Valley City	2	0.2 %	0.2%
725	Sioux Falls (Mitchell)	9	0.8 %	0.8%
734	Jonesboro	0	0.0 %	0.0%
736	Bowling Green	0	0.0 %	0.0%
737	Mankato	2	0.2 %	0.2%
740	North Platte	2	0.2 %	0.2%
743	Anchorage	0	0.0 %	0.0%
744	Honolulu	0	0.0 %	0.0%
745	Fairbanks	0	0.0 %	0.0%
746	Biloxi-Gulfport	1	0.1 %	0.1%
747	Juneau	0	0.0 %	0.0%
749	Laredo	1	0.1 %	0.1%
751	Denver	18	1.7 %	1.7%
752	Colorado Springs-Pueblo	6	0.6 %	0.6%
753	Phoenix	5	0.5 %	0.5%
754	Butte-Bozeman	0	0.0 %	0.0%
755	Great Falls	1	0.1 %	0.1%
756	Billings	4	0.4 %	0.4%
757	Boise	2	0.2 %	0.2%
758	Idaho Falls-Pocatello	1	0.1 %	0.1%
759	Cheyenne-Scottsbluff-Strlng	0	0.0 %	0.0%
760	Twin Falls	0	0.0 %	0.0%
762	Missoula	0	0.0 %	0.0%
764	Rapid City	0	0.0 %	0.0%
765	El Paso	2	0.2 %	0.2%
766	Helena	1	0.1 %	0.1%
767	Casper-Riverton	0	0.0 %	0.0%
770	Salt Lake City	11	1.0 %	1.0%
771	Yuma-El Centro	1	0.1 %	0.1%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

DMA Designated Market Area (*cont.*)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
773	Grand Junction-Montrose	0	0.0 %	0.0%
789	Tucson (Nogales)	3	0.3 %	0.3%
790	Albuquerque-Santa Fe	7	0.6 %	0.6%
798	Glendive	0	0.0 %	0.0%
800	Bakersfield	1	0.1 %	0.1%
801	Eugene	3	0.3 %	0.3%
802	Eureka	1	0.1 %	0.1%
803	Los Angeles	48	4.4 %	4.4%
804	Palm Springs	0	0.0 %	0.0%
807	San Francisco-Oakland San Jose	37	3.4 %	3.4%
810	Yakima-Pasco-RchInd-Knnwck	1	0.1 %	0.1%
811	Reno	7	0.6 %	0.6%
813	Medford-Klamath Falls	0	0.0 %	0.0%
819	Seattle-Tacoma	22	2.0 %	2.0%
820	Portland, OR	16	1.5 %	1.5%
821	Bend, OR	1	0.1 %	0.1%
825	San Diego	11	1.0 %	1.0%
828	Monterey-Salinas	5	0.5 %	0.5%
839	Las Vegas	7	0.6 %	0.6%
855	Santa Barbra-San Mar-San Lu Ob	1	0.1 %	0.1%
862	Sacramento-Stockton-Modesto	12	1.1 %	1.1%
866	Fresno-Visalia	6	0.6 %	0.6%
868	Chico-Redding	1	0.1 %	0.1%
881	Spokane	4	0.4 %	0.4%
<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
1082	500.00	881.00	625.98	114.17

MSAFLAG MSA Flag

Start: 31
End: 32
Width: 2
Type: character (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 9

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	MSA	829	76.6 %	79.3%
2	Non-MSA	216	20.0 %	20.7%
9 (M)	Missing	37	3.4 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

MSA	Metropolitan Statistical Area
-----	-------------------------------

Start: 33
End: 37
Width: 5
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	No MSA	240	22.2 %	22.2%
40	Abilene, TX	1	0.1 %	0.1%
80	Akron, OH	6	0.6 %	0.6%
120	Albany, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
160	Albany-Schenectady-Troy, NY	4	0.4 %	0.4%
200	Albuquerque, NM	2	0.2 %	0.2%
220	Alexandria, LA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
240	Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton, PA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
280	Altoona, PA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
320	Amarillo, TX	1	0.1 %	0.1%
380	Anchorage, AK	0	0.0 %	0.0%
440	Ann Arbor, MI	3	0.3 %	0.3%
450	Anniston, AL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
460	Appleton-Oshkosh-Neenah, WI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
480	Ashville, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
500	Athens, GA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
520	Atlanta, GA	14	1.3 %	1.3%
560	Atlantic-Cape May, NJ	2	0.2 %	0.2%
600	Augusta-Aiken, GA-SC	5	0.5 %	0.5%
640	Austin-San Marcos, TX	8	0.7 %	0.7%
680	Bakersfield, CA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
720	Baltimore, MD	9	0.8 %	0.8%
733	Bangor, ME	1	0.1 %	0.1%
743	Barnstable-Yarmouth, MA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
760	Baton Rouge, LA	5	0.5 %	0.5%
840	Beaumont-Port Arthur, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
860	Bellingham, WA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
870	Benton Harbor, MI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
875	Bergen-Passaic, NJ	5	0.5 %	0.5%
880	Billings, MT	3	0.3 %	0.3%
920	Biloxi-Gulfport-Pascagoula, MS	1	0.1 %	0.1%
960	Binghamton, NY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
1000	Birmingham, AL	8	0.7 %	0.7%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

MSA Metropolitan Statistical Area (*cont.*)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1010	Bismarck, ND	1	0.1 %	0.1%
1020	Bloomington, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
1040	Bloomington-Normal, IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
1080	Boise City, ID	1	0.1 %	0.1%
1122	1122: Unknown Code	25	2.3 %	2.3%
1123	Boston-Worcester-Lawrence-Lowell-Brockton,MA-NH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
1125	Boulder-Longmont, CO	2	0.2 %	0.2%
1145	Brazoria, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
1150	Bremerton, WA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
1162	1162: Unknown Code	2	0.2 %	0.2%
1240	Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
1260	Bryan-College Station, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
1280	Buffalo-Niagara Falls, NY	5	0.5 %	0.5%
1303	Burlington, VT	2	0.2 %	0.2%
1320	Canton-Massillon, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
1350	Casper, WY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
1360	Cedar Rapids, IA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
1400	Champaign-Urbana, IL	2	0.2 %	0.2%
1440	Charleston-North Charleston, SC	1	0.1 %	0.1%
1480	Charleston, WV	1	0.1 %	0.1%
1520	Charlotte-Gastonia-Rock Hill,NC-SC	6	0.6 %	0.6%
1540	Charlottesville, VA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
1560	Chattanooga, TN-GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
1580	Cheyenne, WY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
1600	Chicago, IL	18	1.7 %	1.7%
1620	Chico-Paradise, CA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
1640	Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN	6	0.6 %	0.6%
1660	Clarksville-Hopkinsville, TN-KY	3	0.3 %	0.3%
1680	Cleveland-Lorain-Elyria, OH	10	0.9 %	0.9%
1720	Colorado Springs, CO	3	0.3 %	0.3%
1740	Columbia, MO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
1760	Columbia, SC	4	0.4 %	0.4%
1800	Columbus, GA-AL	1	0.1 %	0.1%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

MSA Metropolitan Statistical Area (*cont.*)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1840	Columbus, OH	8	0.7 %	0.7%
1880	Corpus Christi, TX	3	0.3 %	0.3%
1890	1890: Unknown Code	1	0.1 %	0.1%
1900	Cumberland, MD-WV	0	0.0 %	0.0%
1920	Dallas, TX	10	0.9 %	0.9%
1950	Danville, VA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
1960	Davenport-Moline-Rock Island, IA-IL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
2000	Dayton-Springfield, OH	5	0.5 %	0.5%
2020	Daytona Beach, FL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2030	Decatur, AL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
2040	Decatur, IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2080	Denver, CO	11	1.0 %	1.0%
2120	Des Moines, IA	3	0.3 %	0.3%
2160	Detroit, MI	11	1.0 %	1.0%
2180	Dothan, AL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2190	Dover, DE	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2200	Dubuque, IA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2240	Duluth-Superior, MN-WI	1	0.1 %	0.1%
2281	Dutchess County, NY	3	0.3 %	0.3%
2290	Eau Claire, WI	1	0.1 %	0.1%
2320	El Paso, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2330	Elkart-Goshen, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2335	Elmira, NY	1	0.1 %	0.1%
2340	Enid, OK	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2360	Erie, PA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
2400	Eugene-Springfield, OR	1	0.1 %	0.1%
2440	Evansville-Henderson, IN-KY	1	0.1 %	0.1%
2520	Fargo-Moorhead, ND-MN	2	0.2 %	0.2%
2560	Fayetteville, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2580	Fayetteville-Springdale-Rogers, AR	1	0.1 %	0.1%
2620	Flagstaff, AZ-UT	1	0.1 %	0.1%
2640	Flint, MI	3	0.3 %	0.3%
2650	Florence, AL	1	0.1 %	0.1%

Variable	Variable Description				
MSA	Metropolitan Statistical Area (<i>cont.</i>)				
<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>	
2655	Florence, SC	0	0.0 %	0.0%	
2670	Ft. Collins-Loveland, CO	3	0.3 %	0.3%	
2680	Ft. Lauderdale, FL	2	0.2 %	0.2%	
2700	Ft. Myers-Cape Coral, FL	0	0.0 %	0.0%	
2710	Ft. Pierce-Port ST Lucie, FL	0	0.0 %	0.0%	
2720	Ft. Smith, AR-OK	2	0.2 %	0.2%	
2750	Ft. Walton Beach, FL	1	0.1 %	0.1%	
2760	Ft. Wayne, IN	2	0.2 %	0.2%	
2800	Ft. Worth-Arlington, TX	7	0.6 %	0.6%	
2840	Fresno, CA	3	0.3 %	0.3%	
2880	Gadsden, AL	0	0.0 %	0.0%	
2900	Gainesville, FL	1	0.1 %	0.1%	
2920	Galveston-Texas City, TX	1	0.1 %	0.1%	
2960	Gary, IN	2	0.2 %	0.2%	
2975	Glens Falls, NY	2	0.2 %	0.2%	
2980	Goldsboro, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%	
2985	Grand Forks, ND-MN	0	0.0 %	0.0%	
2995	Grand Junction, CO	0	0.0 %	0.0%	
3000	Grand Rapids-Muskegon-Holland, MI	5	0.5 %	0.5%	
3040	Great Falls, MT	0	0.0 %	0.0%	
3060	Greeley, CO	2	0.2 %	0.2%	
3080	Green Bay, WI	2	0.2 %	0.2%	
3120	Greensboro-Winston-Salem-High Point, NC	5	0.5 %	0.5%	
3150	Greenville, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%	
3160	Greenville-Spartanburg-Anderson, SC	3	0.3 %	0.3%	
3180	Hagerstown, MD	0	0.0 %	0.0%	
3200	Hamilton-Middletown, OH	1	0.1 %	0.1%	
3240	Harrisburg-Lebanon-Carlisle, PA	3	0.3 %	0.3%	
3283	Hartford, CT	6	0.6 %	0.6%	
3285	Hattiesburg, MS	0	0.0 %	0.0%	
3290	Hickory-Morganton, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%	
3320	Honolulu, HI	0	0.0 %	0.0%	
3350	Houma, LA	1	0.1 %	0.1%	

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

MSA Metropolitan Statistical Area (*cont.*)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
3360	Houston, TX	11	1.0 %	1.0%
3400	Huntington-Ashland, WV-KY-OH	2	0.2 %	0.2%
3440	Huntsville, AL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
3480	Indianapolis, IN	3	0.3 %	0.3%
3500	Iowa City, IA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
3520	Jackson, MI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3560	Jackson, MS	1	0.1 %	0.1%
3580	Jackson, TN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3600	Jacksonville, FL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
3605	Jacksonville, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3610	Jamestown, NY	2	0.2 %	0.2%
3620	Janesville-Beloit, WI	2	0.2 %	0.2%
3640	Jersey City, NJ	1	0.1 %	0.1%
3660	Johnson City-Kingsport-Bristol, TN-VA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
3680	Johnstown, PA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3700	Jonesboro, AR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3710	Joplin, MO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3720	Kalamazoo-Battle Creek, MI	3	0.3 %	0.3%
3740	Kankakee, IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3760	Kansas City, MO-KS	4	0.4 %	0.4%
3800	Kenosha, WI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3810	Killeen-Temple, TX	1	0.1 %	0.1%
3840	Knoxville, TN	5	0.5 %	0.5%
3850	Kokomo, IN	1	0.1 %	0.1%
3870	La Crosse, WI-MN	1	0.1 %	0.1%
3880	Lafayette, LA	3	0.3 %	0.3%
3920	Lafayette, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
3960	Lake Charles, LA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
3980	Lakeland-Winter Haven, FL	2	0.2 %	0.2%
4000	Lancaster, PA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
4040	Lansing-East Lansing, MI	2	0.2 %	0.2%
4080	Laredo, TX	1	0.1 %	0.1%
4100	Las Cruces, NM	2	0.2 %	0.2%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

MSA	Metropolitan Statistical Area (<i>cont.</i>)
-----	--

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
4120	Las Vegas, NV-AZ	7	0.6 %	0.6%
4150	Lawrence, KS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
4200	Lawton, OK	2	0.2 %	0.2%
4243	Lewiston-Auburn, ME	1	0.1 %	0.1%
4280	Lexington, KY	5	0.5 %	0.5%
4320	Lima, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
4360	Lincoln, NE	1	0.1 %	0.1%
4400	Little Rock-North Little Rock, AR	4	0.4 %	0.4%
4420	Longview-Marshall, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
4480	Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA	25	2.3 %	2.3%
4520	Louisville, KY-IN	4	0.4 %	0.4%
4600	Lubbock, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
4640	Lynchburg, VA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
4680	Macon, GA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
4720	Madison, WI	4	0.4 %	0.4%
4800	Mansfield, OH	1	0.1 %	0.1%
4880	Mcallen-Edinburg-Mission, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
4890	Medford-Ashland, OR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
4900	Melbourne-Titusville-Palm Bay, FL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
4920	Memphis, TA-AR-MS	3	0.3 %	0.3%
4940	Merced, CA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
5000	Miami, FL	7	0.6 %	0.6%
5015	Middlesex-Somerset-Hunterdon, NJ	2	0.2 %	0.2%
5080	Milwaukee-Waukesha, WI	2	0.2 %	0.2%
5120	Minneapolis-St Paul, MN-WI	9	0.8 %	0.8%
5140	Missoula, MT	0	0.0 %	0.0%
5160	Mobile, AL	6	0.6 %	0.6%
5170	Modesto, CA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
5190	Monmouth-Ocean, NJ	5	0.5 %	0.5%
5200	Monroe, LA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
5240	Montgomery, AL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
5280	Muncie, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
5330	Myrtle Beach, SC	0	0.0 %	0.0%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

MSA	Metropolitan Statistical Area (<i>cont.</i>)
-----	--

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
5345	Naples, FL	2	0.2 %	0.2%
5360	Nashville, TN	9	0.8 %	0.8%
5380	Nassau-Suffolk, NY	10	0.9 %	0.9%
5402	5402: Unknown Code	1	0.1 %	0.1%
5482	5482: Unknown Code	1	0.1 %	0.1%
5483	New Haven-Bridgeport-Stamford-Danbury-Waterbury,CT	0	0.0 %	0.0%
5523	New London-Norwich, CT	0	0.0 %	0.0%
5560	New Orleans, LA	3	0.3 %	0.3%
5600	New York, NY-NJ-PA	30	2.8 %	2.8%
5640	Newark, NJ	9	0.8 %	0.8%
5660	Newburgh, NY-PA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
5720	Norfolk-Virginia Beach-Newport News, VA-NC	6	0.6 %	0.6%
5775	Oakland, CA	18	1.7 %	1.7%
5790	Ocala, FL	2	0.2 %	0.2%
5800	Odessa-Midland, TX	1	0.1 %	0.1%
5880	Oklahoma City, OK	4	0.4 %	0.4%
5910	Olympia, WA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
5920	Omaha, NE-IA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
5945	Orange County, CA	11	1.0 %	1.0%
5960	Orlando, FL	5	0.5 %	0.5%
5990	Owensboro, KY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
6015	Panama City, FL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
6020	Parkersburg-Marietta, WV-OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
6080	Pensacola, FL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
6120	Peoria-Pekin, IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
6160	Philadelphia, PA-NJ	19	1.8 %	1.8%
6200	Phoenix-Mesa, AZ	3	0.3 %	0.3%
6240	Pine Bluff, AR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
6280	Pittsburgh, PA	13	1.2 %	1.2%
6323	Pittsfield, MA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
6340	Pocatello, ID	0	0.0 %	0.0%
6403	Portland, ME	3	0.3 %	0.3%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

MSA Metropolitan Statistical Area (*cont.*)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
6440	Portland-Vancouver, OR-WA	9	0.8 %	0.8%
6452	6452: Unknown Code	2	0.2 %	0.2%
6483	Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket, RI	4	0.4 %	0.4%
6520	Provo-Orem, UT	0	0.0 %	0.0%
6560	Pueblo, CO	1	0.1 %	0.1%
6580	Punta Gorda, FL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
6600	Racine, WI	1	0.1 %	0.1%
6640	Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill, NC	3	0.3 %	0.3%
6660	Rapid City, SD	0	0.0 %	0.0%
6680	Reading, PA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
6690	Redding, CA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
6720	Reno, NV	5	0.5 %	0.5%
6740	Richland-Kennewick-Pasco, WA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
6760	Richmond-Petersburg, VA	7	0.6 %	0.6%
6780	Riverside-San Bernardino, CA	10	0.9 %	0.9%
6800	Roanoke, VA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
6820	Rochester, MN	2	0.2 %	0.2%
6840	Rochester, NY	5	0.5 %	0.5%
6880	Rockford, IL	4	0.4 %	0.4%
6895	Rocky Mount, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
6920	Sacramento, CA	4	0.4 %	0.4%
6960	Saginaw-Bay City-Midland, MI	1	0.1 %	0.1%
6980	St Cloud, MN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
7000	St Joseph, MO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
7040	St Louis, MO-IL	11	1.0 %	1.0%
7080	Salem, OR	2	0.2 %	0.2%
7120	Salinas, CA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
7160	Salt Lake City-Ogden, UT	8	0.7 %	0.7%
7200	San Angelo, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
7240	San Antonio, TX	5	0.5 %	0.5%
7320	San Diego, CA	11	1.0 %	1.0%
7360	San Francisco, CA	8	0.7 %	0.7%
7400	San Jose, CA	7	0.6 %	0.6%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

MSA Metropolitan Statistical Area (*cont.*)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
7460	San Luis Obispo-Atascadero-Paso Robles, CA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
7480	Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Lompoc, CA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
7485	Santa Cruz-Watsonville, CA	4	0.4 %	0.4%
7490	Santa Fe, NM	2	0.2 %	0.2%
7500	Santa Rosa, CA	4	0.4 %	0.4%
7510	Sarasota-Bradenton, FL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
7520	Savannah, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
7560	Scranton-Wilkes-Barre-Hazleton, PA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
7600	Seattle-Bellevue-Everett, WA	13	1.2 %	1.2%
7610	Sharon, PA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
7620	Sheboygan, WI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
7640	Sherman-Dension, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
7680	Shreveport-Bossier City, LA	4	0.4 %	0.4%
7720	Sioux City, IA-NE	0	0.0 %	0.0%
7760	Sioux Falls, SD	1	0.1 %	0.1%
7800	South Bend, IN	1	0.1 %	0.1%
7840	Spokane, WA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
7880	Springfield, IL	2	0.2 %	0.2%
7920	Springfield, MO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
8003	Springfield, MA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
8050	State College, PA	3	0.3 %	0.3%
8080	Steubenville-Weirton, OH-WV	2	0.2 %	0.2%
8120	Stockton-Iodi, CA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
8140	Sumter, SC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
8160	Syracuse, NY	3	0.3 %	0.3%
8200	Tacoma, WA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
8240	Tallahassee, FL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
8280	Tampa-St Petersburg-Clearwater, FL	9	0.8 %	0.8%
8320	Terre Haute, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
8360	Texarkana, TX - Texarkana-AR	1	0.1 %	0.1%
8400	Toledo, OH	4	0.4 %	0.4%
8440	Topeka, KS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
8480	Trenton, NJ	0	0.0 %	0.0%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

MSA	Metropolitan Statistical Area (<i>cont.</i>)
-----	--

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
8520	Tucson, AZ	2	0.2 %	0.2%
8560	Tulsa, OK	6	0.6 %	0.6%
8600	Tuscaloosa, AL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
8640	Tyler, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
8680	Utica-Rome, NY	1	0.1 %	0.1%
8720	Vallejo-Fairfield-Napa, CA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
8735	Ventura, CA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
8750	Victoria, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
8760	Vineland-Millville-Bridgeton, NJ	0	0.0 %	0.0%
8780	Visalia-Tulare-Porterville, CA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
8800	Waco, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
8840	Washington, DC-MD-VA-WV	18	1.7 %	1.7%
8920	Waterloo-Cedar Falls, IA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
8940	Wausau, WI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
8960	W Palm Beach-Boca Raton, FL	6	0.6 %	0.6%
9000	Wheeling, WV-OH	1	0.1 %	0.1%
9040	Wichita, KS	3	0.3 %	0.3%
9080	Wichita Falls, TX	2	0.2 %	0.2%
9140	Williamsport, PA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
9160	Wilmington-Newark, DE-MD	2	0.2 %	0.2%
9200	Wilmington, NC	2	0.2 %	0.2%
9242	9242: Unknown Code	1	0.1 %	0.1%
9260	Yakima, WA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
9270	Yolo, CA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
9280	York, PA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
9320	Youngstown-Warren, OH	2	0.2 %	0.2%
9340	Yuba City, CA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
9360	Yuma, AZ	0	0.0 %	0.0%
<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
1082	0.00	9340.00	3541.90	2959.07

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

CBSATYPE	CBSA Type: M=Metropolitan, C=Micropolitan
----------	---

Start: 38 End: 39 Width: 2 Type: character (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 9	<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
	9 (M)	Missing	141	13.0 %	-
	C	Micropolitan	130	12.0 %	13.8%
	M	Metropolitan	811	75.0 %	86.2%

CSA	Combined Statistical Area
-----	---------------------------

Start: 40 End: 42 Width: 3 Type: character (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 999	<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
	000	(NON- COUNTIES)	393	36.3 %	38.3%
	102	ALBANY-CORVALLIS-LEBANON,OR	4	0.4 %	0.4%
	104	ALBANY-SCHENECTADY-AMSTERDAM, NY	7	0.6 %	0.7%
	112	AMES-BOONE, IA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
	118	APPLETON-OSHKOSH-NEENAH, WI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
	120	ASHEVILLE-BREVARD, NC	1	0.1 %	0.1%
	122	ATLANTA-SANDY SPRINGS-GAINESVILLE, GA-AL	14	1.3 %	1.4%
	132	BATON ROUGE-PIERRE PART, LA	4	0.4 %	0.4%
	138	BECKLEY-OAK HILL, WV	1	0.1 %	0.1%
	140	BEND-PRINEVILLE, OR	1	0.1 %	0.1%
	142	BIRMINGHAM-HOOVER-CULLMAN, AL	8	0.7 %	0.8%
	148	BOSTON-WORCESTER-MANCHESTER, MA-NH	26	2.4 %	2.5%
	154	BROWNSVILLE-HARLINGEN-RAYMONDVILLE, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
	160	160: Unknown Code	5	0.5 %	0.5%
	164	CAPE GIRARDEAU-SIKESTON-JACKSON, MO-IL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
	172	CHARLOTTE-GASTONIA-SALISBURY, NC-SC	7	0.6 %	0.7%
	174	CHATTANOOGA-CLEVELAND-ATHENS, TN-GA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
	176	CHICAGO-NAPERVILLE-MICHIGAN CITY, IL-IN-WI	20	1.8 %	1.9%
	178	CINCINNATI-MIDDLETOWN-WILMINGTON, OH-KY-IN	7	0.6 %	0.7%
	184	CLEVELAND-AKRON-ELYRIA, OH	15	1.4 %	1.5%
	188	CLOVIS-PORTALES, NM	0	0.0 %	0.0%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

CSA Combined Statistical Area (*cont.*)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
192	COLUMBIA-NEWBERRY, SC	4	0.4 %	0.4%
194	COLUMBUS-AUBURN-OPELIKA, GA-AL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
198	COLUMBUS-MARION-CHILLICOTHE, OH	8	0.7 %	0.8%
202	CORBIN-LONDON, KY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
204	CORPUS CHRISTI-KINGSVILLE, TX	4	0.4 %	0.4%
206	DALLAS-FORT WORTH, TX	17	1.6 %	1.7%
212	DAYTON-SPRINGFIELD-GREENVILLE, OH	4	0.4 %	0.4%
214	DELTONA-DAYTONA BEACH-PALM COAST, FL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
216	DENVER-AURORA-BOULDER, CO	12	1.1 %	1.2%
218	DES MOINES-NEWTON, IA	5	0.5 %	0.5%
220	DETROIT-WARREN-FLINT, MI	11	1.0 %	1.1%
222	DOTHAN-ENTERPRISE-OZARK, AL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
232	EAU CLAIRE-MEMOMONIE, WI	1	0.1 %	0.1%
242	FAIRMONT-CLARKSBURG, WV SCA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
244	FARGO-WAHPETON, ND-MN	2	0.2 %	0.2%
248	FINDLAY-TIFFIN-FOSTORIA, OH	1	0.1 %	0.1%
252	FOND DU LAC-BEAVER DAM, WI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
256	FORT POLK SOUTH-DE RIDDER, LA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
258	FORT WAYNE-HUNTINGTON-AUBURN, IN	2	0.2 %	0.2%
260	FRESNO-MADERA,CA	3	0.3 %	0.3%
266	GRAND RAPIDS-MUSKEGON-HOLLAND, MI	5	0.5 %	0.5%
268	GREENSBORO-WINSTON-SALEM-HIGH POINT, NC	5	0.5 %	0.5%
272	GREENVILLE-ANDERSON-SENECA, SC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
273	273: Unknown Code	3	0.3 %	0.3%
274	GULFPORT-BILOXI-PASCAGOULA, MS	1	0.1 %	0.1%
276	HARRISBURG-CARLISLE-LEBANON, PA	3	0.3 %	0.3%
278	HARTFORD-WEST HARTFORD-WILLIMANTIC, CT	6	0.6 %	0.6%
288	HOUSTON-BAYTOWN-HUNTSVILLE, TX	12	1.1 %	1.2%
290	HUNTSVILLE-DECATUR, AL	2	0.2 %	0.2%
292	IDAHO FALLS-BLACKFOOT, ID	1	0.1 %	0.1%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

CSA Combined Statistical Area (*cont.*)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
294	INDIANAPOLIS-ANDERSON-COLUMBUS, IN	2	0.2 %	0.2%
296	ITHACA-CORTLAND, NY	2	0.2 %	0.2%
298	JACKSON-YAZOO CITY, MS	1	0.1 %	0.1%
304	JOHNSON CITY-KINGSPORT-BRISTOL, TN-VA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
312	KANSAS CITY-OVERLAND PARK-KANSAS CITY, MO-KS	5	0.5 %	0.5%
314	KNOXVILLE-SEVIERVILLE-LA FOLLETTE, TN	5	0.5 %	0.5%
316	KOKOMO-PERU, IN	1	0.1 %	0.1%
318	LAFAYETTE-ACADIANA, LA	3	0.3 %	0.3%
320	LAFAYETTE-FRANKFORT, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
324	LAKE CHARLES-JENNINGS, LA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
330	LANSING-EAST LANSING-OWOSSO, MI	2	0.2 %	0.2%
332	LAS VEGAS-PARADISE-PAHRUMP, NV	7	0.6 %	0.7%
336	LEXINGTON-FAYETTE-FRANKFORT-RICHMOND, KY	6	0.6 %	0.6%
340	LITTLE ROCK-NORTH LITTLE ROCK-PINE BLUFF, AR	4	0.4 %	0.4%
346	LONGVIEW-MARSHALL, TX	2	0.2 %	0.2%
348	LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH-RIVERSIDE, CA	47	4.3 %	4.6%
350	LOUISVILLE-ELIZABETHTOWN-SCOTTSBURG, KY-IN	4	0.4 %	0.4%
352	LUBBOCK-LEVELLAND, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
354	LUMBERTON-LAURINBURG, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
356	MACON-WARNER ROBINS-FORT VALLEY, GA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
358	MADISON-BARABOO, WI	5	0.5 %	0.5%
360	MANSFIELD-BUCYRUS, OH	1	0.1 %	0.1%
372	372: Unknown Code	1	0.1 %	0.1%
376	MILWAUKEE-RACINE-WAUKESHA, WI	3	0.3 %	0.3%
378	MINNEAPOLIS-ST PAUL-ST CLOUD, MN-WI	9	0.8 %	0.9%
380	MOBILE-DAPHNE-FAIRHOPE, AL	6	0.6 %	0.6%
384	MONROE-BASTROP, LA	0	0.0 %	0.0%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

CSA Combined Statistical Area (cont.)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
392	MORRISTOWN-NEWPORT, TN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
396	MYRTLE BEACH-CONWAY-GEORGETOWN, SC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
400	NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON- MURFREESBORO-COLUMBIA, TN	9	0.8 %	0.9%
406	NEW ORLEANS-METAIRIE-BOGALUSA, LA	3	0.3 %	0.3%
408	NEW YORK-NEWARK-BRIDGEPORT, NY-NJ-CT-PA	65	6.0 %	6.3%
416	OKLAHOMA CITY-SHAWNEE, OK	4	0.4 %	0.4%
420	OMAHA-COUNCIL BLUFFS-FREMONT, NE-IA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
422	ORLANDO-THE VILLAGES, FL	6	0.6 %	0.6%
424	PADUCAH-MAYFIELD, KY-IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
426	PEORIA-CANTON, IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
428	PHILADELPHIA-CAMDEN-VINELAND, PA-NJ-DE-MD	18	1.7 %	1.8%
430	PITTSBURGH-NEW CASTLE, PA	11	1.0 %	1.1%
438	PORTLAND-LEWISTON-SOUTH PORTLAND, ME	5	0.5 %	0.5%
450	RALEIGH-DURHAM-CARY, NC	3	0.3 %	0.3%
464	ROCHESTER-BATAVIA-SENECA FALLS, NY	6	0.6 %	0.6%
466	ROCKFORD-FREEPORT-ROCHELLE, IL	4	0.4 %	0.4%
472	SACRAMENTO-ARDEN-ARCADE- TRUCKEE, CA-NV	5	0.5 %	0.5%
474	SAGINAW-BAY CITY-SAGINAW TOWNSHIP NORTH, MI	1	0.1 %	0.1%
476	ST LOUIS-ST CHARLES-FARMINGTON, MO-IL	12	1.1 %	1.2%
480	SALISBURY-OCEAN PINES, MD	0	0.0 %	0.0%
482	SALT LAKE CITY-OGDEN-CLEARFIELD, UT	8	0.7 %	0.8%
488	SAN JOSE-SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND, CA	39	3.6 %	3.8%
492	SANTA FE-ESPANOLA, NM	1	0.1 %	0.1%
496	SAVANNAH-HINESVILLE-FORT STEWART, GA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
500	SEATTLE-TACOMA-OLYMPIA, WA	16	1.5 %	1.6%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

CSA	Combined Statistical Area (cont.)
-----	-----------------------------------

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
508	SHREVEPORT-BOSSIER CITY-MINDEN, LA	4	0.4 %	0.4%
512	SIOUX CITY-VERMILLION, IA-NE-SD	0	0.0 %	0.0%
516	SPARTANBURG-GAFFNEY-UNION, SC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
526	SUNBURY-LEWISBURG-SELINSGROVE, PA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
532	SYRACUSE-AUBURN, NY	3	0.3 %	0.3%
534	TOLEDO-FREMONT, OH	5	0.5 %	0.5%
538	TULSA-BARTLESVILLE, OK	6	0.6 %	0.6%
540	TYLER-JACKSONVILLE, TX	1	0.1 %	0.1%
548	WASHINGTON-BALTIMORE-NO VIRGINIA, DC-MD-VA-WV	26	2.4 %	2.5%
554	WAUSAU-MERRILL, WI	1	0.1 %	0.1%
556	WICHITA-WINFIELD, KS	4	0.4 %	0.4%
558	WILLIAMSPORT-LOCK HAVEN, PA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
564	YORK-HANOVER-GETTYSBURG, PA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
566	YOUNGSTOWN-WARREN-EAST LIVERPOOL, PA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
999 (M)	Missing	56	5.2 %	-

CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area
------	-----------------------------

Start: 43
End: 47
Width: 5
Type: character (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 99999

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
00000	No CBSA	84	7.8 %	8.2%
10020	Abbeville, LA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
10100	Aberdeen, SD	0	0.0 %	0.0%
10140	Aberdeen, WA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
10180	Abilene, TX	1	0.1 %	0.1%
10220	Ada, OK	0	0.0 %	0.0%
10260	Adjuntas, PR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
10300	Adrian, MI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
10380	Aguadilla-Isabela-San Sebastián, PR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
10420	Akron, OH	5	0.5 %	0.5%
10460	Alamogordo, NM	0	0.0 %	0.0%
10500	Albany, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
10540	Albany-Lebanon, OR	3	0.3 %	0.3%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area (cont.)
------	-------------------------------------

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
10580	Albany-Schenectady-Troy, NY	4	0.4 %	0.4%
10620	Albemarle, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
10660	Albert Lea, MN	1	0.1 %	0.1%
10700	Albertville, AL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
10740	Albuquerque, NM	2	0.2 %	0.2%
10760	Alexander City, AL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
10780	Alexandria, LA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
10820	Alexandria, MN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
10860	Alice, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
10880	Allegan, MI	1	0.1 %	0.1%
10900	Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton, PA-NJ	3	0.3 %	0.3%
10940	Alma, MI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
10980	Alpena, MI	1	0.1 %	0.1%
11020	Altoona, PA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
11060	Altus, OK	0	0.0 %	0.0%
11100	Amarillo, TX	1	0.1 %	0.1%
11140	Americus, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
11180	Ames, IA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
11220	Amsterdam, NY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
11260	Anchorage, AK	0	0.0 %	0.0%
11300	Anderson, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
11340	Anderson, SC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
11380	Andrews, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
11420	Angola, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
11460	Ann Arbor, MI	1	0.1 %	0.1%
11500	Anniston-Oxford, AL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
11540	Appleton, WI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
11580	Arcadia, FL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
11620	Ardmore, OK	0	0.0 %	0.0%
11660	Arkadelphia, AR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
11700	Asheville, NC	1	0.1 %	0.1%
11740	Ashland, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
11780	Ashtabula, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area (<i>cont.</i>)
------	--

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
11820	Astoria, OR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
11860	Atchison, KS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
11900	Athens, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
11940	Athens, TN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
11980	Athens, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
12020	Athens-Clarke County, GA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
12060	Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Marietta, GA	12	1.1 %	1.2%
12100	Atlantic City, NJ	2	0.2 %	0.2%
12140	Auburn, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
12180	Auburn, NY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
12220	Auburn-Opelika, AL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
12260	Augusta-Richmond County, GA-SC	4	0.4 %	0.4%
12300	Augusta-Waterville, ME	0	0.0 %	0.0%
12380	Austin, MN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
12420	Austin-Round Rock, TX	7	0.6 %	0.7%
12460	Bainbridge, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
12540	Bakersfield, CA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
12580	Baltimore-Towson, MD	9	0.8 %	0.9%
12620	Bangor, ME	1	0.1 %	0.1%
12660	Baraboo, WI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
12700	Barnstable Town, MA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
12740	Barre, VT	0	0.0 %	0.0%
12780	Bartlesville, OK	0	0.0 %	0.0%
12820	Bastrop, LA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
12860	Batavia, NY	1	0.1 %	0.1%
12900	Batesville, AR	1	0.1 %	0.1%
12940	Baton Rouge, LA	4	0.4 %	0.4%
12980	Battle Creek, MI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
13020	Bay City, MI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
13060	Bay City, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
13100	Beatrice, NE	0	0.0 %	0.0%
13140	Beaumont-Port Arthur, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
13180	Beaver Dam, WI	0	0.0 %	0.0%

Variable	Variable Description			
CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area (<i>cont.</i>)			
<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
13220	Beckley, WV	1	0.1 %	0.1%
13260	Bedford, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
13300	Beeville, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
13340	Bellefontaine, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
13380	Bellingham, WA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
13420	Bemidji, MN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
13460	Bend, OR	1	0.1 %	0.1%
13500	Bennettsville, SC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
13540	Bennington, VT	0	0.0 %	0.0%
13620	Berlin, NH-VT	0	0.0 %	0.0%
13660	Big Rapids, MI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
13700	Big Spring, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
13740	Billings, MT	4	0.4 %	0.4%
13780	Binghamton, NY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
13820	Birmingham-Hoover, AL	8	0.7 %	0.8%
13860	Bishop, CA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
13900	Bismarck, ND	1	0.1 %	0.1%
13940	Blackfoot, ID	0	0.0 %	0.0%
13980	Blacksburg-Christiansburg-Radford, VA	3	0.3 %	0.3%
14020	Bloomington, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
14060	Bloomington-Normal, IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
14100	Bloomsburg-Berwick, PA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
14140	Bluefield, WV-VA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
14180	Blytheville, AR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
14220	Bogalusa, LA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
14260	Boise City-Nampa, ID	1	0.1 %	0.1%
14340	Boone, IA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
14380	Boone, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
14420	Borger, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
14460	Boston-Cambridge-Quincy, MA-NH	24	2.2 %	2.3%
14500	Boulder, CO	1	0.1 %	0.1%
14540	Bowling Green, KY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
14580	Bozeman, MT	0	0.0 %	0.0%

Variable	Variable Description			
CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area (<i>cont.</i>)			
<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
14620	Bradford, PA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
14660	Brainerd, MN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
14700	Branson, MO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
14740	Bremerton-Silverdale, WA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
14780	Brenham, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
14820	Brevard, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
14860	Bridgeport-Stamford-Norwalk, CT	1	0.1 %	0.1%
14940	Brigham City, UT	0	0.0 %	0.0%
15020	Brookhaven, MS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
15060	Brookings, OR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
15100	Brookings, SD	1	0.1 %	0.1%
15140	Brownsville, TN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
15180	Brownsville-Harlingen, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
15220	Brownwood, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
15260	Brunswick, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
15340	Bucyrus, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
15380	Buffalo-Niagara Falls, NY	4	0.4 %	0.4%
15420	Burley, ID	0	0.0 %	0.0%
15460	Burlington, IA-IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
15500	Burlington, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
15540	Burlington-South Burlington, VT	2	0.2 %	0.2%
15580	Butte-Silver Bow, MT	0	0.0 %	0.0%
15620	Cadillac, MI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
15660	Calhoun, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
15700	Cambridge, MD	0	0.0 %	0.0%
15740	Cambridge, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
15780	Camden, AR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
15820	Campbellsville, KY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
15860	Canon City, CO	1	0.1 %	0.1%
15900	Canton, IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
15940	Canton-Massillon, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
15980	Cape Coral-Fort Myers, FL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
16020	Cape Girardeau-Jackson, MO-IL	1	0.1 %	0.1%

Variable	Variable Description			
CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area (<i>cont.</i>)			
<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
16060	Carbondale, IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
16100	Carlsbad-Artesia, NM	1	0.1 %	0.1%
16180	Carson City, NV	1	0.1 %	0.1%
16220	Casper, WY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
16260	Cedar City, UT	0	0.0 %	0.0%
16300	Cedar Rapids, IA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
16340	Cedartown, GA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
16380	Celina, OH	1	0.1 %	0.1%
16420	Central City, KY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
16460	Centralia, IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
16500	Centralia, WA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
16540	Chambersburg, PA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
16580	Champaign-Urbana, IL	2	0.2 %	0.2%
16620	Charleston, WV	2	0.2 %	0.2%
16660	Charleston-Mattoon, IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
16700	Charleston-North Charleston, SC	1	0.1 %	0.1%
16740	Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord, NC-SC	5	0.5 %	0.5%
16820	Charlottesville, VA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
16860	Chattanooga, TN-GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
16900	Chester, SC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
16940	Cheyenne, WY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
16980	Chicago-Naperville-Joliet, IL-IN-WI	20	1.8 %	1.9%
17020	Chico, CA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
17060	Chillicothe, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
17140	Cincinnati-Middletown, OH-KY-IN	7	0.6 %	0.7%
17180	City of The Dalles, OR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
17200	Claremont, NH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
17220	Clarksburg, WV	2	0.2 %	0.2%
17260	Clarksdale, MS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
17300	Clarksville, TN-KY	3	0.3 %	0.3%
17340	Clearlake, CA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
17380	Cleveland, MS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
17420	Cleveland, TN	1	0.1 %	0.1%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

CBSA Core Based Statistical Area (*cont.*)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
17460	Cleveland-Elyria-Mentor, OH	10	0.9 %	1.0%
17500	Clewiston, FL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
17540	Clinton, IA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
17580	Clovis, NM	0	0.0 %	0.0%
17620	Coamo, PR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
17660	Coeur d'Alene, ID	0	0.0 %	0.0%
17700	Coffeyville, KS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
17740	Coldwater, MI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
17780	College Station-Bryan, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
17820	Colorado Springs, CO	3	0.3 %	0.3%
17860	Columbia, MO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
17900	Columbia, SC	4	0.4 %	0.4%
17940	Columbia, TN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
17980	Columbus, GA-AL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
18020	Columbus, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
18060	Columbus, MS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
18100	Columbus, NE	0	0.0 %	0.0%
18140	Columbus, OH	8	0.7 %	0.8%
18180	Concord, NH	1	0.1 %	0.1%
18220	Connersville, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
18260	Cookeville, TN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
18300	Coos Bay, OR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
18340	Corbin, KY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
18380	Cordele, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
18420	Corinth, MS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
18460	Cornelia, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
18500	Corning, NY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
18580	Corpus Christi, TX	3	0.3 %	0.3%
18620	Corsicana, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
18660	Cortland, NY	1	0.1 %	0.1%
18700	Corvallis, OR	1	0.1 %	0.1%
18740	Coshocton, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
18820	Crawfordsville, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%

Variable	Variable Description			
CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area (<i>cont.</i>)			
<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
18860	Crescent City, CA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
18900	Crossville, TN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
18940	Crowley, LA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
18980	Cullman, AL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
19060	Cumberland, MD-WV	0	0.0 %	0.0%
19100	Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington, TX	17	1.6 %	1.7%
19140	Dalton, GA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
19180	Danville, IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
19220	Danville, KY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
19260	Danville, VA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
19300	Daphne-Fairhope, AL	2	0.2 %	0.2%
19340	Davenport-Moline-Rock Island, IA-IL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
19380	Dayton, OH	2	0.2 %	0.2%
19460	Decatur, AL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
19500	Decatur, IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
19540	Decatur, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
19580	Defiance, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
19620	Del Rio, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
19660	Deltona-Daytona Beach-Ormond Beach, FL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
19700	Deming, NM	0	0.0 %	0.0%
19740	Denver-Aurora, CO	11	1.0 %	1.1%
19760	De Ridder, LA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
19780	Des Moines, IA	3	0.3 %	0.3%
19820	Detroit-Warren-Livonia, MI	7	0.6 %	0.7%
19860	Dickinson, ND	0	0.0 %	0.0%
19900	Dillon, SC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
19940	Dixon, IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
19980	Dodge City, KS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
20020	Dothan, AL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
20060	Douglas, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
20100	Dover, DE	0	0.0 %	0.0%
20140	Dublin, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
20180	DuBois, PA	1	0.1 %	0.1%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

CBSA Core Based Statistical Area (*cont.*)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
20220	Dubuque, IA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
20260	Duluth, MN-WI	1	0.1 %	0.1%
20300	Dumas, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
20340	Duncan, OK	0	0.0 %	0.0%
20380	Dunn, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
20420	Durango, CO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
20460	Durant, OK	0	0.0 %	0.0%
20500	Durham, NC	1	0.1 %	0.1%
20540	Dyersburg, TN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
20580	Eagle Pass, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
20620	East Liverpool-Salem, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
20660	Easton, MD	0	0.0 %	0.0%
20700	East Stroudsburg, PA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
20740	Eau Claire, WI	1	0.1 %	0.1%
20780	Edwards, CO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
20820	Effingham, IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
20900	El Campo, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
20940	El Centro, CA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
20980	El Dorado, AR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
21020	Elizabeth City, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
21060	Elizabethtown, KY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
21120	Elk City, OK	0	0.0 %	0.0%
21140	Elkhart-Goshen, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
21220	Elko, NV	1	0.1 %	0.1%
21260	Ellensburg, WA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
21300	Elmira, NY	1	0.1 %	0.1%
21340	El Paso, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
21380	Emporia, KS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
21420	Enid, OK	0	0.0 %	0.0%
21460	Enterprise-Ozark, AL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
21500	Erie, PA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
21540	Escanaba, MI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
21580	Espanola, NM	0	0.0 %	0.0%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area (<i>cont.</i>)
------	--

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
21640	Eufaula, AL-GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
21660	Eugene-Springfield, OR	1	0.1 %	0.1%
21700	Eureka-Arcata-Fortuna, CA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
21740	Evanston, WY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
21780	Evansville, IN-KY	1	0.1 %	0.1%
21820	Fairbanks, AK	0	0.0 %	0.0%
21860	Fairmont, MN	1	0.1 %	0.1%
21900	Fairmont, WV	0	0.0 %	0.0%
21940	Fajardo, PR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
21980	Fallon, NV	0	0.0 %	0.0%
22020	Fargo, ND-MN	2	0.2 %	0.2%
22060	Faribault-Northfield, MN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
22100	Farmington, MO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
22140	Farmington, NM	0	0.0 %	0.0%
22180	Fayetteville, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
22220	Fayetteville-Springdale-Rogers, AR-MO	1	0.1 %	0.1%
22260	Fergus Falls, MN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
22300	Findlay, OH	1	0.1 %	0.1%
22340	Fitzgerald, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
22380	Flagstaff, AZ	1	0.1 %	0.1%
22420	Flint, MI	2	0.2 %	0.2%
22500	Florence, SC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
22520	Florence-Muscle Shoals, AL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
22540	Fond du Lac, WI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
22580	Forest City, NC	1	0.1 %	0.1%
22620	Forrest City, AR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
22660	Fort Collins-Loveland, CO	3	0.3 %	0.3%
22700	Fort Dodge, IA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
22780	Fort Leonard Wood, MO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
22820	Fort Morgan, CO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
22840	Fort Payne, AL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
22860	Fort Polk South, LA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
22900	Fort Smith, AR-OK	2	0.2 %	0.2%

Variable	Variable Description			
CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area (<i>cont.</i>)			
<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
22980	Fort Valley, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
23020	Fort Walton Beach-Crestview-Destin, FL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
23060	Fort Wayne, IN	1	0.1 %	0.1%
23140	Frankfort, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
23180	Frankfort, KY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
23300	Freeport, IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
23340	Fremont, NE	0	0.0 %	0.0%
23380	Fremont, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
23420	Fresno, CA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
23460	Gadsden, AL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
23500	Gaffney, SC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
23540	Gainesville, FL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
23580	Gainesville, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
23620	Gainesville, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
23660	Galesburg, IL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
23700	Gallup, NM	0	0.0 %	0.0%
23780	Garden City, KS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
23820	Gardnerville Ranchos, NV	0	0.0 %	0.0%
23860	Georgetown, SC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
23900	Gettysburg, PA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
23940	Gillette, WY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
23980	Glasgow, KY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
24020	Glens Falls, NY	2	0.2 %	0.2%
24100	Gloversville, NY	1	0.1 %	0.1%
24140	Goldsboro, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
24180	Granbury, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
24220	Grand Forks, ND-MN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
24260	Grand Island, NE	0	0.0 %	0.0%
24300	Grand Junction, CO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
24340	Grand Rapids-Wyoming, MI	2	0.2 %	0.2%
24380	Grants, NM	0	0.0 %	0.0%
24420	Grants Pass, OR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
24460	Great Bend, KS	0	0.0 %	0.0%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

CBSA Core Based Statistical Area (*cont.*)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
24500	Great Falls, MT	0	0.0 %	0.0%
24540	Greeley, CO	2	0.2 %	0.2%
24580	Green Bay, WI	2	0.2 %	0.2%
24620	Greeneville, TN	1	0.1 %	0.1%
24660	Greensboro-High Point, NC	1	0.1 %	0.1%
24700	Greensburg, IN	1	0.1 %	0.1%
24740	Greenville, MS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
24780	Greenville, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
24820	Greenville, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
24860	Greenville, SC	2	0.2 %	0.2%
24900	Greenwood, MS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
24940	Greenwood, SC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
24980	Grenada, MS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
25020	Guayama, PR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
25060	Gulfport-Biloxi, MS	1	0.1 %	0.1%
25100	Guymon, OK	0	0.0 %	0.0%
25180	Hagerstown-Martinsburg, MD-WV	0	0.0 %	0.0%
25220	Hammond, LA	3	0.3 %	0.3%
25260	Hanford-Corcoran, CA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
25300	Hannibal, MO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
25340	Harriman, TN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
25380	Harrisburg, IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
25420	Harrisburg-Carlisle, PA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
25460	Harrison, AR	1	0.1 %	0.1%
25500	Harrisonburg, VA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
25540	Hartford-West Hartford-East Hartford, CT	6	0.6 %	0.6%
25580	Hastings, NE	0	0.0 %	0.0%
25620	Hattiesburg, MS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
25660	Havre, MT	1	0.1 %	0.1%
25700	Hays, KS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
25720	Heber, UT	0	0.0 %	0.0%
25740	Helena, MT	1	0.1 %	0.1%
25780	Henderson, NC	1	0.1 %	0.1%

Variable	Variable Description			
CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area (<i>cont.</i>)			
<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
25820	Hereford, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
25860	Hickory-Lenoir-Morganton, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
25900	Hilo, HI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
25940	Hilton Head Island-Beaufort, SC	1	0.1 %	0.1%
25980	Hinesville-Fort Stewart, GA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
26020	Hobbs, NM	0	0.0 %	0.0%
26100	Holland-Grand Haven, MI	1	0.1 %	0.1%
26140	Homosassa Springs, FL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
26180	Honolulu, HI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
26220	Hood River, OR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
26260	Hope, AR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
26300	Hot Springs, AR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
26340	Houghton, MI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
26380	Houma-Bayou Cane-Thibodaux, LA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
26420	Houston-Baytown-Sugar Land, TX	12	1.1 %	1.2%
26460	Hudson, NY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
26480	Humboldt, TN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
26500	Huntingdon, PA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
26540	Huntington, IN	1	0.1 %	0.1%
26580	Huntington-Ashland, WV-KY-OH	1	0.1 %	0.1%
26620	Huntsville, AL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
26660	Huntsville, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
26700	Huron, SD	0	0.0 %	0.0%
26740	Hutchinson, KS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
26780	Hutchinson, MN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
26820	Idaho Falls, ID	1	0.1 %	0.1%
26860	Indiana, PA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
26900	Indianapolis, IN	2	0.2 %	0.2%
26940	Indianola, MS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
26980	Iowa City, IA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
27020	Iron Mountain, MI-WI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
27060	Ithaca, NY	1	0.1 %	0.1%
27100	Jackson, MI	0	0.0 %	0.0%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

CBSA Core Based Statistical Area (*cont.*)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
27140	Jackson, MS	1	0.1 %	0.1%
27180	Jackson, TN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
27220	Jackson, WY-ID	0	0.0 %	0.0%
27260	Jacksonville, FL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
27300	Jacksonville, IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
27340	Jacksonville, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
27380	Jacksonville, TX	1	0.1 %	0.1%
27420	Jamestown, ND	0	0.0 %	0.0%
27460	Jamestown-Dunkirk-Fredonia, NY	2	0.2 %	0.2%
27500	Janesville, WI	2	0.2 %	0.2%
27540	Jasper, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
27580	Jayuya, PR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
27620	Jefferson City, MO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
27660	Jennings, LA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
27700	Jesup, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
27740	Johnson City, TN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
27780	Johnstown, PA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
27860	Jonesboro, AR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
27900	Joplin, MO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
27940	Juneau, AK	0	0.0 %	0.0%
27980	Kahului-Wailuku, HI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
28020	Kalamazoo-Portage, MI	2	0.2 %	0.2%
28060	Kalispell, MT	0	0.0 %	0.0%
28100	Kankakee-Bradley, IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
28140	Kansas City, MO-KS	4	0.4 %	0.4%
28180	Kapaa, HI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
28260	Kearney, NE	0	0.0 %	0.0%
28300	Keene, NH	1	0.1 %	0.1%
28340	Kendallville, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
28380	Kennett, MO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
28420	Kennewick-Richland-Pasco, WA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
28460	Keokuk-Fort Madison, IA-MO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
28500	Kerrville, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

CBSA Core Based Statistical Area (*cont.*)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
28540	Ketchikan, AK	0	0.0 %	0.0%
28580	Key West-Marathon, FL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
28620	Kill Devil Hills, NC	1	0.1 %	0.1%
28660	Killeen-Temple-Fort Hood, TX	1	0.1 %	0.1%
28700	Kingsport-Bristol-Bristol, TN-VA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
28740	Kingston, NY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
28780	Kingsville, TX	1	0.1 %	0.1%
28820	Kinston, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
28860	Kirksville, MO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
28900	Klamath Falls, OR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
28940	Knoxville, TN	5	0.5 %	0.5%
28980	Kodiak, AK	0	0.0 %	0.0%
29020	Kokomo, IN	1	0.1 %	0.1%
29060	Laconia, NH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
29100	La Crosse, WI-MN	1	0.1 %	0.1%
29140	Lafayette, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
29180	Lafayette, LA	3	0.3 %	0.3%
29220	La Follette, TN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
29260	La Grande, OR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
29300	LaGrange, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
29340	Lake Charles, LA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
29380	Lake City, FL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
29420	Lake Havasu City-Kingman, AZ	0	0.0 %	0.0%
29460	Lakeland, FL	2	0.2 %	0.2%
29500	Lamesa, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
29540	Lancaster, PA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
29580	Lancaster, SC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
29620	Lansing-East Lansing, MI	2	0.2 %	0.2%
29660	Laramie, WY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
29700	Laredo, TX	1	0.1 %	0.1%
29740	Las Cruces, NM	1	0.1 %	0.1%
29780	Las Vegas, NM	0	0.0 %	0.0%
29820	Las Vegas-Paradise, NV	7	0.6 %	0.7%

Variable	Variable Description			
CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area (<i>cont.</i>)			
<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
29860	Laurel, MS	1	0.1 %	0.1%
29900	Laurinburg, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
29940	Lawrence, KS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
29980	Lawrenceburg, TN	1	0.1 %	0.1%
30020	Lawton, OK	2	0.2 %	0.2%
30060	Lebanon, MO	1	0.1 %	0.1%
30100	Lebanon, NH-VT	0	0.0 %	0.0%
30140	Lebanon, PA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
30220	Levelland, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
30260	Lewisburg, PA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
30280	Lewisburg, TN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
30300	Lewiston, ID-WA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
30340	Lewiston-Auburn, ME	1	0.1 %	0.1%
30380	Lewistown, PA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
30420	Lexington, NE	0	0.0 %	0.0%
30460	Lexington-Fayette, KY	2	0.2 %	0.2%
30500	Lexington Park, MD	0	0.0 %	0.0%
30580	Liberal, KS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
30620	Lima, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
30660	Lincoln, IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
30700	Lincoln, NE	0	0.0 %	0.0%
30740	Lincolnton, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
30780	Little Rock-North Little Rock, AR	4	0.4 %	0.4%
30820	Lock Haven, PA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
30860	Logan, UT-ID	0	0.0 %	0.0%
30900	Logansport, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
30940	London, KY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
30980	Longview, TX	2	0.2 %	0.2%
31020	Longview, WA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
31060	Los Alamos, NM	1	0.1 %	0.1%
31100	Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana, CA	35	3.2 %	3.4%
31140	Louisville, KY-IN	4	0.4 %	0.4%
31180	Lubbock, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%

Variable	Variable Description			
CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area (<i>cont.</i>)			
<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
31260	Lufkin, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
31300	Lumberton, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
31340	Lynchburg, VA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
31380	Macomb, IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
31420	Macon, GA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
31460	Madera, CA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
31500	Madison, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
31540	Madison, WI	5	0.5 %	0.5%
31580	Madisonville, KY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
31620	Magnolia, AR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
31660	Malone, NY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
31700	Manchester-Nashua, NH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
31740	Manhattan, KS	1	0.1 %	0.1%
31820	Manitowoc, WI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
31860	Mankato-North Mankato, MN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
31900	Mansfield, OH	1	0.1 %	0.1%
31940	Marinette, WI-MI	1	0.1 %	0.1%
31980	Marion, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
32020	Marion, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
32060	Marion-Herrin, IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
32100	Marquette, MI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
32140	Marshall, MN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
32180	Marshall, MO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
32220	Marshall, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
32260	Marshalltown, IA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
32280	Martin, TN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
32300	Martinsville, VA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
32340	Maryville, MO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
32380	Mason City, IA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
32420	Mayagüez, PR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
32460	Mayfield, KY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
32500	Maysville, KY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
32540	McAlester, OK	0	0.0 %	0.0%

Variable	Variable Description			
CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area (<i>cont.</i>)			
<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
32580	McAllen-Edinburg-Pharr, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
32620	McComb, MS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
32660	McMinnville, TN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
32700	McPherson, KS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
32740	Meadville, PA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
32780	Medford, OR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
32820	Memphis, TN-MS-AR	3	0.3 %	0.3%
32860	Menomonie, WI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
32900	Merced, CA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
32940	Meridian, MS	1	0.1 %	0.1%
32980	Merrill, WI	1	0.1 %	0.1%
33020	Mexico, MO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
33060	Miami, OK	0	0.0 %	0.0%
33100	Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Miami Beach, FL	15	1.4 %	1.5%
33140	Michigan City-La Porte, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
33180	Middlesborough, KY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
33220	Midland, MI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
33260	Midland, TX	1	0.1 %	0.1%
33300	Milledgeville, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
33340	Milwaukee-Waukesha-West Allis, WI	2	0.2 %	0.2%
33380	Minden, LA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
33420	Mineral Wells, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
33460	Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington, MN-WI	9	0.8 %	0.9%
33500	Minot, ND	0	0.0 %	0.0%
33540	Missoula, MT	0	0.0 %	0.0%
33580	Mitchell, SD	1	0.1 %	0.1%
33620	Moberly, MO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
33660	Mobile, AL	4	0.4 %	0.4%
33700	Modesto, CA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
33740	Monroe, LA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
33780	Monroe, MI	1	0.1 %	0.1%
33820	Monroe, WI	2	0.2 %	0.2%
33860	Montgomery, AL	0	0.0 %	0.0%

Variable	Variable Description			
CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area (<i>cont.</i>)			
<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
33940	Montrose, CO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
33980	Morehead City, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
34020	Morgan City, LA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
34060	Morgantown, WV	0	0.0 %	0.0%
34100	Morristown, TN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
34140	Moscow, ID	0	0.0 %	0.0%
34180	Moses Lake, WA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
34220	Moultrie, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
34260	Mountain Home, AR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
34300	Mountain Home, ID	0	0.0 %	0.0%
34340	Mount Airy, NC	1	0.1 %	0.1%
34380	Mount Pleasant, MI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
34420	Mount Pleasant, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
34460	Mount Sterling, KY	1	0.1 %	0.1%
34500	Mount Vernon, IL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
34540	Mount Vernon, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
34580	Mount Vernon-Anacortes, WA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
34620	Muncie, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
34660	Murray, KY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
34700	Muscatine, IA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
34740	Muskegon-Norton Shores, MI	1	0.1 %	0.1%
34780	Muskogee, OK	1	0.1 %	0.1%
34820	Myrtle Beach-Conway-North Myrtle Beach, SC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
34860	Nacogdoches, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
34900	Napa, CA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
34940	Naples-Marco Island, FL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
34980	Nashville-Davidson--Murfreesboro, TN	9	0.8 %	0.9%
35020	Natchez, MS-LA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
35060	Natchitoches, LA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
35100	New Bern, NC	1	0.1 %	0.1%
35140	Newberry, SC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
35220	New Castle, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
35260	New Castle, PA	1	0.1 %	0.1%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area (<i>cont.</i>)
------	--

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
35300	New Haven-Milford, CT	1	0.1 %	0.1%
35340	New Iberia, LA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
35380	New Orleans-Metairie-Kenner, LA	3	0.3 %	0.3%
35420	New Philadelphia-Dover, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
35460	Newport, TN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
35500	Newton, IA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
35580	New Ulm, MN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
35620	New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-PA	58	5.4 %	5.7%
35660	Niles-Benton Harbor, MI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
35700	Nogales, AZ	0	0.0 %	0.0%
35740	Norfolk, NE	0	0.0 %	0.0%
35820	North Platte, NE	2	0.2 %	0.2%
35860	North Vernon, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
35900	North Wilkesboro, NC	1	0.1 %	0.1%
35940	Norwalk, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
35980	Norwich-New London, CT	0	0.0 %	0.0%
36020	Oak Harbor, WA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
36060	Oak Hill, WV	0	0.0 %	0.0%
36100	Ocala, FL	2	0.2 %	0.2%
36140	Ocean City, NJ	0	0.0 %	0.0%
36180	Ocean Pines, MD	0	0.0 %	0.0%
36220	Odessa, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
36260	Ogden-Clearfield, UT	4	0.4 %	0.4%
36300	Ogdensburg-Massena, NY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
36340	Oil City, PA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
36380	Okeechobee, FL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
36420	Oklahoma City, OK	4	0.4 %	0.4%
36460	Olean, NY	1	0.1 %	0.1%
36500	Olympia, WA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
36540	Omaha-Council Bluffs, NE-IA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
36580	Oneonta, NY	1	0.1 %	0.1%
36620	Ontario, OR-ID	1	0.1 %	0.1%
36660	Opelousas-Eunice, LA	0	0.0 %	0.0%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area (cont.)
------	-------------------------------------

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
36700	Orangeburg, SC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
36740	Orlando, FL	5	0.5 %	0.5%
36780	Oshkosh-Neenah, WI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
36820	Oskaloosa, IA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
36860	Ottawa-Streator, IL	3	0.3 %	0.3%
36900	Ottumwa, IA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
36940	Owatonna, MN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
36980	Owensboro, KY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
37020	Owosso, MI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
37060	Oxford, MS	1	0.1 %	0.1%
37100	Oxnard-Thousand Oaks-Ventura, CA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
37140	Paducah, KY-IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
37220	Pahrump, NV	0	0.0 %	0.0%
37260	Palatka, FL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
37300	Palestine, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
37340	Palm Bay-Melbourne-Titusville, FL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
37380	Palm Coast, FL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
37420	Pampa, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
37460	Panama City-Lynn Haven, FL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
37500	Paragould, AR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
37540	Paris, TN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
37580	Paris, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
37620	Parkersburg-Marietta, WV-OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
37660	Parsons, KS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
37700	Pascagoula, MS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
37740	Payson, AZ	0	0.0 %	0.0%
37780	Pecos, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
37800	Pella, IA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
37820	Pendleton-Hermiston, OR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
37860	Pensacola-Ferry Pass-Brent, FL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
37900	Peoria, IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
37940	Peru, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
37980	Philadelphia-Camden-Wilmington, PA-NJ-DE-MD	18	1.7 %	1.8%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area (<i>cont.</i>)
------	--

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
38020	Phoenix Lake-Cedar Ridge, CA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
38060	Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale, AZ	3	0.3 %	0.3%
38100	Picayune, MS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
38180	Pierre, SD	1	0.1 %	0.1%
38200	Pierre Part, LA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
38220	Pine Bluff, AR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
38260	Pittsburg, KS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
38300	Pittsburgh, PA	10	0.9 %	1.0%
38340	Pittsfield, MA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
38380	Plainview, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
38420	Platteville, WI	1	0.1 %	0.1%
38460	Plattsburgh, NY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
38500	Plymouth, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
38540	Pocatello, ID	0	0.0 %	0.0%
38580	Point Pleasant, WV-OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
38620	Ponca City, OK	1	0.1 %	0.1%
38660	Ponce, PR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
38700	Pontiac, IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
38740	Poplar Bluff, MO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
38780	Portales, NM	0	0.0 %	0.0%
38820	Port Angeles, WA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
38860	Portland-South Portland-Biddeford, ME	4	0.4 %	0.4%
38900	Portland-Vancouver-Beaverton, OR-WA	9	0.8 %	0.9%
38940	Port St. Lucie-Fort Pierce, FL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
39020	Portsmouth, OH	1	0.1 %	0.1%
39060	Pottsville, PA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
39100	Poughkeepsie-Newburgh-Middletown, NY	4	0.4 %	0.4%
39140	Prescott, AZ	1	0.1 %	0.1%
39220	Price, UT	0	0.0 %	0.0%
39260	Prineville, OR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
39300	Providence-New Bedford-Fall River, RI-MA	4	0.4 %	0.4%
39340	Provo-Orem, UT	0	0.0 %	0.0%
39380	Pueblo, CO	1	0.1 %	0.1%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area (<i>cont.</i>)
------	--

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
39420	Pullman, WA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
39460	Punta Gorda, FL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
39500	Quincy, IL-MO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
39540	Racine, WI	1	0.1 %	0.1%
39580	Raleigh-Cary, NC	2	0.2 %	0.2%
39660	Rapid City, SD	0	0.0 %	0.0%
39700	Raymondville, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
39740	Reading, PA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
39780	Red Bluff, CA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
39820	Redding, CA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
39860	Red Wing, MN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
39900	Reno-Sparks, NV	5	0.5 %	0.5%
39940	Rexburg, ID	0	0.0 %	0.0%
39980	Richmond, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
40060	Richmond, VA	7	0.6 %	0.7%
40080	Richmond-Berea, KY	3	0.3 %	0.3%
40100	Rio Grande City, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
40140	Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario, CA	10	0.9 %	1.0%
40180	Riverton, WY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
40220	Roanoke, VA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
40260	Roanoke Rapids, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
40300	Rochelle, IL	2	0.2 %	0.2%
40340	Rochester, MN	2	0.2 %	0.2%
40380	Rochester, NY	4	0.4 %	0.4%
40420	Rockford, IL	2	0.2 %	0.2%
40460	Rockingham, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
40500	Rockland, ME	0	0.0 %	0.0%
40540	Rock Springs, WY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
40580	Rocky Mount, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
40620	Rolla, MO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
40660	Rome, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
40700	Roseburg, OR	1	0.1 %	0.1%
40740	Roswell, NM	0	0.0 %	0.0%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

CBSA Core Based Statistical Area (*cont.*)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
40780	Russellville, AR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
40820	Ruston, LA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
40860	Rutland, VT	0	0.0 %	0.0%
40900	Sacramento--Arden-Arcade--Roseville, CA	5	0.5 %	0.5%
40940	Safford, AZ	0	0.0 %	0.0%
40980	Saginaw-Saginaw Township North, MI	1	0.1 %	0.1%
41060	St. Cloud, MN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
41100	St. George, UT	1	0.1 %	0.1%
41140	St. Joseph, MO-KS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
41180	St. Louis, MO-IL	12	1.1 %	1.2%
41220	St. Marys, GA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
41260	St. Marys, PA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
41420	Salem, OR	2	0.2 %	0.2%
41460	Salina, KS	1	0.1 %	0.1%
41500	Salinas, CA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
41540	Salisbury, MD	0	0.0 %	0.0%
41580	Salisbury, NC	1	0.1 %	0.1%
41620	Salt Lake City, UT	4	0.4 %	0.4%
41660	San Angelo, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
41700	San Antonio, TX	4	0.4 %	0.4%
41740	San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos, CA	11	1.0 %	1.1%
41780	Sandusky, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
41820	Sanford, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
41860	San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont, CA	23	2.1 %	2.2%
41900	San Germán-Cabo Rojo, PR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
41940	San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara, CA	6	0.6 %	0.6%
41980	San Juan-Caguas-Guaynabo, PR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
42020	San Luis Obispo-Paso Robles, CA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
42060	Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Goleta, CA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
42100	Santa Cruz-Watsonville, CA	4	0.4 %	0.4%
42140	Santa Fe, NM	1	0.1 %	0.1%
42180	Santa Isabel, PR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
42220	Santa Rosa-Petaluma, CA	4	0.4 %	0.4%

Variable	Variable Description			
CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area (<i>cont.</i>)			
<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
42260	Sarasota-Bradenton-Venice, FL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
42300	Sault Ste. Marie, MI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
42340	Savannah, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
42380	Sayre, PA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
42420	Scottsbluff, NE	0	0.0 %	0.0%
42460	Scottsboro, AL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
42500	Scottsburg, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
42540	Scranton--Wilkes-Barre, PA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
42580	Seaford, DE	0	0.0 %	0.0%
42620	Searcy, AR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
42660	Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue, WA	14	1.3 %	1.4%
42700	Sebring, FL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
42740	Sedalia, MO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
42780	Selinsgrove, PA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
42820	Selma, AL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
42860	Seneca, SC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
42900	Seneca Falls, NY	1	0.1 %	0.1%
42940	Sevierville, TN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
42980	Seymour, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
43060	Shawnee, OK	0	0.0 %	0.0%
43100	Sheboygan, WI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
43140	Shelby, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
43180	Shelbyville, TN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
43220	Shelton, WA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
43260	Sheridan, WY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
43300	Sherman-Denison, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
43340	Shreveport-Bossier City, LA	4	0.4 %	0.4%
43380	Sidney, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
43420	Sierra Vista-Douglas, AZ	1	0.1 %	0.1%
43460	Sikeston, MO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
43500	Silver City, NM	0	0.0 %	0.0%
43540	Silverthorne, CO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
43580	Sioux City, IA-NE-SD	0	0.0 %	0.0%

Variable	Variable Description			
CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area (<i>cont.</i>)			
<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
43620	Sioux Falls, SD	2	0.2 %	0.2%
43660	Snyder, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
43700	Somerset, KY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
43740	Somerset, PA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
43780	South Bend-Mishawaka, IN-MI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
43860	Southern Pines-Pinehurst, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
43900	Spartanburg, SC	1	0.1 %	0.1%
43940	Spearfish, SD	0	0.0 %	0.0%
43980	Spencer, IA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
44020	Spirit Lake, IA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
44060	Spokane, WA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
44100	Springfield, IL	2	0.2 %	0.2%
44140	Springfield, MA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
44180	Springfield, MO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
44220	Springfield, OH	2	0.2 %	0.2%
44260	Starkville, MS	1	0.1 %	0.1%
44300	State College, PA	3	0.3 %	0.3%
44340	Statesboro, GA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
44380	Statesville-Mooresville, NC	1	0.1 %	0.1%
44420	Staunton-Waynesboro, VA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
44500	Stephenville, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
44540	Sterling, CO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
44580	Sterling, IL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
44620	Stevens Point, WI	2	0.2 %	0.2%
44660	Stillwater, OK	0	0.0 %	0.0%
44700	Stockton, CA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
44740	Storm Lake, IA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
44780	Sturgis, MI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
44860	Sulphur Springs, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
44900	Summerville, GA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
44940	Sumter, SC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
44980	Sunbury, PA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
45000	Susanville, CA	0	0.0 %	0.0%

Variable	Variable Description			
CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area (<i>cont.</i>)			
<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
45020	Sweetwater, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
45060	Syracuse, NY	3	0.3 %	0.3%
45140	Tahlequah, OK	1	0.1 %	0.1%
45180	Talladega-Sylacauga, AL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
45220	Tallahassee, FL	2	0.2 %	0.2%
45260	Tallulah, LA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
45300	Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL	8	0.7 %	0.8%
45340	Taos, NM	0	0.0 %	0.0%
45380	Taylorville, IL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
45460	Terre Haute, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
45500	Texarkana, TX-Texarkana, AR	1	0.1 %	0.1%
45540	The Villages, FL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
45580	Thomaston, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
45620	Thomasville, GA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
45640	Thomasville-Lexington, NC	1	0.1 %	0.1%
45660	Tiffin, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
45700	Tifton, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
45740	Toccoa, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
45780	Toledo, OH	5	0.5 %	0.5%
45820	Topeka, KS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
45860	Torrington, CT	1	0.1 %	0.1%
45900	Traverse City, MI	2	0.2 %	0.2%
45940	Trenton-Ewing, NJ	0	0.0 %	0.0%
45980	Troy, AL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
46020	Truckee-Grass Valley, CA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
46060	Tucson, AZ	2	0.2 %	0.2%
46100	Tullahoma, TN	1	0.1 %	0.1%
46140	Tulsa, OK	6	0.6 %	0.6%
46180	Tupelo, MS	1	0.1 %	0.1%
46220	Tuscaloosa, AL	2	0.2 %	0.2%
46260	Tuskegee, AL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
46300	Twin Falls, ID	0	0.0 %	0.0%
46340	Tyler, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

CBSA Core Based Statistical Area (*cont.*)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
46380	Ukiah, CA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
46420	Union, SC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
46460	Union City, TN-KY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
46500	Urbana, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
46540	Utica-Rome, NY	1	0.1 %	0.1%
46580	Utuado, PR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
46620	Uvalde, TX	1	0.1 %	0.1%
46660	Valdosta, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
46700	Vallejo-Fairfield, CA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
46740	Valley, AL	1	0.1 %	0.1%
46780	Van Wert, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
46820	Vermillion, SD	0	0.0 %	0.0%
46860	Vernal, UT	0	0.0 %	0.0%
46900	Vernon, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
46940	Vero Beach, FL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
46980	Vicksburg, MS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
47020	Victoria, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
47080	Vidalia, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
47180	Vincennes, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
47220	Vineland-Millville-Bridgeton, NJ	0	0.0 %	0.0%
47260	Virginia Beach-Norfolk-Newport News, VA-NC	6	0.6 %	0.6%
47300	Visalia-Porterville, CA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
47340	Wabash, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
47380	Waco, TX	0	0.0 %	0.0%
47420	Wahpeton, ND-MN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
47460	Walla Walla, WA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
47500	Walterboro, SC	1	0.1 %	0.1%
47540	Wapakoneta, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
47580	Warner Robins, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
47620	Warren, PA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
47660	Warrensburg, MO	1	0.1 %	0.1%
47700	Warsaw, IN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
47780	Washington, IN	1	0.1 %	0.1%

Variable	Variable Description			
CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area (<i>cont.</i>)			
<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
47820	Washington, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
47860	Washington, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
47900	Washington-Arlington-Alexandria, DC-VA-MD-WV	17	1.6 %	1.7%
47940	Waterloo-Cedar Falls, IA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
47980	Watertown, SD	0	0.0 %	0.0%
48020	Watertown-Fort Atkinson, WI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
48060	Watertown-Fort Drum, NY	1	0.1 %	0.1%
48100	Wauchula, FL	0	0.0 %	0.0%
48140	Wausau, WI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
48180	Waycross, GA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
48260	Weirton-Steubenville, WV-OH	2	0.2 %	0.2%
48300	Wenatchee, WA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
48340	West Helena, AR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
48460	West Plains, MO	0	0.0 %	0.0%
48500	West Point, MS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
48540	Wheeling, WV-OH	1	0.1 %	0.1%
48580	Whitewater, WI	0	0.0 %	0.0%
48620	Wichita, KS	4	0.4 %	0.4%
48660	Wichita Falls, TX	1	0.1 %	0.1%
48700	Williamsport, PA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
48740	Willimantic, CT	0	0.0 %	0.0%
48780	Williston, ND	0	0.0 %	0.0%
48820	Willmar, MN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
48900	Wilmington, NC	2	0.2 %	0.2%
48940	Wilmington, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
48980	Wilson, NC	0	0.0 %	0.0%
49020	Winchester, VA-WV	0	0.0 %	0.0%
49060	Winfield, KS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
49100	Winona, MN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
49180	Winston-Salem, NC	2	0.2 %	0.2%
49220	Wisconsin Rapids-Marshfield, WI	2	0.2 %	0.2%
49260	Woodward, OK	0	0.0 %	0.0%
49300	Wooster, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

CBSA	Core Based Statistical Area (cont.)
------	-------------------------------------

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
49340	Worcester, MA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
49380	Worthington, MN	0	0.0 %	0.0%
49420	Yakima, WA	0	0.0 %	0.0%
49460	Yankton, SD	0	0.0 %	0.0%
49500	Yauco, PR	0	0.0 %	0.0%
49540	Yazoo City, MS	0	0.0 %	0.0%
49620	York-Hanover, PA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
49660	Youngstown-Warren-Boardman, OH-PA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
49700	Yuba City, CA	1	0.1 %	0.1%
49740	Yuma, AZ	0	0.0 %	0.0%
49780	Zanesville, OH	0	0.0 %	0.0%
99999 (M)	Missing	56	5.2 %	-

METRODIV	Metropolitan Division
----------	-----------------------

Start: 48
End: 52
Width: 5
Type: character (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 99999

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
00000	(COUNTIES NOT IN METROPOLITAN DIVISION)	778	71.9 %	75.8%
13644	BETHESDA-FREDERICK-GAITHERSBURG, MD	4	0.4 %	0.4%
14484	BOSTON-QUINCY, MA	11	1.0 %	1.1%
15764	CAMBRIDGE-NEWTON-FRAMINGHAM, MA	4	0.4 %	0.4%
15804	CAMDEN, NJ	5	0.5 %	0.5%
16974	CHICAGO-NAPERVILLE-JOLIET, IL	17	1.6 %	1.7%
19124	DALLAS-PLANO-IRVING, TX	10	0.9 %	1.0%
19804	DETROIT-LIVONIA-DEARBORN, MI	3	0.3 %	0.3%
20764	EDISON, NJ	6	0.6 %	0.6%
21604	ESSEX COUNTY, MA	7	0.6 %	0.7%
22744	FT LAUDERDALE-POMPANO-DEERFIELD, FL	2	0.2 %	0.2%
23104	FORT WORTH-ARLINGTON, TX	7	0.6 %	0.7%
23844	GARY, IN	2	0.2 %	0.2%
29404	LAKE COUNTY-KENOSHA COUNTY, IL-WI	1	0.1 %	0.1%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

METRODIV	Metropolitan Division (cont.)
----------	-------------------------------

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
31084	LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH-GLENDALE, CA	24	2.2 %	2.3%
33124	MIAMI-MIAMI BEACH-KENDALL, FL	7	0.6 %	0.7%
35004	35004: Unknown Code	9	0.8 %	0.9%
35084	NEWARK-UNION, NJ-PA	9	0.8 %	0.9%
35644	NEW YORK-WAYNE-WHITE PLAINS, NY	34	3.1 %	3.3%
36084	OAKLAND-FREMONT-HAYWARD, CA	17	1.6 %	1.7%
37964	PHILADELPHIA, PA	12	1.1 %	1.2%
40484	ROCKINGHAM COUNTY-STRAFFORD COUNTY, NH	2	0.2 %	0.2%
41884	SAN FRANCISCO-SAN MATEO-REDWOOD CITY, CA	6	0.6 %	0.6%
42044	SANTA ANA-ANAHEIM-IRVINE, CA	11	1.0 %	1.1%
42644	SEATTLE-BELLEVUE-EVERETT, WA	12	1.1 %	1.2%
44844	SUFFOLK COUNTY-NASSAU COUNTY, NY	0	0.0 %	0.0%
45104	TACOMA, WA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
47644	WARREN-FARMINGTON HILLS-TROY, MI	4	0.4 %	0.4%
47894	WASHINGTON-ARLINGTON-ALEXANDRIA, DC-VA-MD-WV	13	1.2 %	1.3%
48424	WEST PALM BEACH-BOCA RATON-BOYNTON BEACH, FL	6	0.6 %	0.6%
48864	WILMINGTON, DE-MD-NJ	1	0.1 %	0.1%
99999 (M)	Missing	56	5.2 %	-

FIPS	FIPS County
------	-------------

Start: 53
End: 57
Width: 5
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 99999

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
99999 (M)	Blanked for Confidentiality	1082	100.0 %	-

ZIP	ZIP CODE
-----	----------

Start: 58
End: 62
Width: 5

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
99999 (M)	Blanked for Confidentiality	1082	100.0 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

ZIP	ZIP CODE (<i>cont.</i>)
-----	---------------------------

Type: character (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 99999

CNTYSIZE	Nielsen County Size
----------	---------------------

Start: 63
End: 64
Width: 2
Type: character (ISO)
Interval: discrete

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
A	All counties belonging to the 25 largest metropolitan areas	393	36.3 %	36.3%
B	Pop. over 150k or within metro area with pop. over 150k.	340	31.4 %	31.4%
C	Pop. over 35k or within metro area with pop. over 35k.	171	15.8 %	15.8%
D	All other counties	178	16.5 %	16.5%

USR	Urban, Suburban, Rural
-----	------------------------

Start: 65
End: 66
Width: 2
Type: character (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 9

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
9 (M)	Missing	37	3.4 %	-
R	Rural	216	20.0 %	20.7%
S	Suburban	480	44.4 %	45.9%
U	Urban	349	32.3 %	33.4%

NIELSMKT	Nielsen Scantrack Market
----------	--------------------------

Start: 67
End: 69
Width: 3
Type: character (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 999

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
000	None	0	0.0 %	0.0%
001	ALBANY	12	1.1 %	1.1%
002	ATLANTA	20	1.8 %	1.9%
003	BALTIMORE	9	0.8 %	0.9%
004	BIRMINGHAM	13	1.2 %	1.2%
005	BOSTON	33	3.0 %	3.2%
006	BUFFALO-ROCHESTER	12	1.1 %	1.1%
007	CHARLOTTE	7	0.6 %	0.7%
008	CHICAGO	18	1.7 %	1.7%
009	CINCINNATI	9	0.8 %	0.9%
010	CLEVELAND	20	1.8 %	1.9%
011	COLUMBUS	10	0.9 %	1.0%
012	DALLAS	18	1.7 %	1.7%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

NIELSMKT	Nielsen Scantrack Market (cont.)
----------	----------------------------------

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
013	DENVER	21	1.9 %	2.0%
014	DES MOINES	9	0.8 %	0.9%
015	DETROIT	22	2.0 %	2.1%
016	GRAND RAPIDS	10	0.9 %	1.0%
017	HARTFORD-NEW HAVEN	10	0.9 %	1.0%
018	HOUSTON	12	1.1 %	1.1%
019	INDIANAPOLIS	5	0.5 %	0.5%
020	JACKSONVILLE	5	0.5 %	0.5%
021	KANSAS CITY	6	0.6 %	0.6%
022	LITTLE ROCK	7	0.6 %	0.7%
023	LOS ANGELES	46	4.3 %	4.4%
024	LOUISVILLE	12	1.1 %	1.1%
025	MEMPHIS	5	0.5 %	0.5%
026	MIAMI	15	1.4 %	1.4%
027	MILWAUKEE	3	0.3 %	0.3%
028	MINNEAPOLIS	15	1.4 %	1.4%
029	NASHVILLE	14	1.3 %	1.3%
030	NEW ORLEANS-MOBILE	22	2.0 %	2.1%
031	NEW YORK	61	5.6 %	5.8%
032	OKLAHOMA CITY-TULSA	13	1.2 %	1.2%
033	OMAHA	2	0.2 %	0.2%
034	ORLANDO	9	0.8 %	0.9%
035	PHILADELPHIA	28	2.6 %	2.7%
036	PHOENIX	8	0.7 %	0.8%
037	PITTSBURGH	18	1.7 %	1.7%
038	PORTLAND	20	1.8 %	1.9%
039	RALEIGH-DURHAM	12	1.1 %	1.1%
040	RICHMOND	18	1.7 %	1.7%
041	SACRAMENTO	10	0.9 %	1.0%
042	SALT LAKE CITY-BOISE	13	1.2 %	1.2%
043	SAN ANTONIO	13	1.2 %	1.2%
044	SAN DIEGO	11	1.0 %	1.1%
045	SAN FRANCISCO	38	3.5 %	3.6%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

NIELSMKT	Nielsen Scantrack Market (cont.)
----------	----------------------------------

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
046	SEATTLE	20	1.8 %	1.9%
047	ST LOUIS	15	1.4 %	1.4%
048	SYRACUSE	12	1.1 %	1.1%
049	TAMPA	13	1.2 %	1.2%
050	WASHINGTON, DC	21	1.9 %	2.0%
051	LAS VEGAS	7	0.6 %	0.7%
052	WEST TEXAS	7	0.6 %	0.7%
151	REM ATLANTA	15	1.4 %	1.4%
152	REM BOSTON	8	0.7 %	0.8%
153	REM CHARLOTTE	12	1.1 %	1.1%
154	REM DENVER	14	1.3 %	1.3%
155	REM DETROIT	7	0.6 %	0.7%
156	GREENVILLE	8	0.7 %	0.8%
157	REM INDIANAPOLIS	8	0.7 %	0.8%
158	REM JACKSONVILLE	8	0.7 %	0.8%
159	REM KANSAS CITY	10	0.9 %	1.0%
160	LOS ANGELES COLLAR	4	0.4 %	0.4%
161	REM MEMPHIS	6	0.6 %	0.6%
162	REM MILWAUKEE	28	2.6 %	2.7%
163	REM MINNEAPOLIS	9	0.8 %	0.9%
164	REM NEW ORLEANS	15	1.4 %	1.4%
165	REM NORTHERN CALIFORNIA	20	1.8 %	1.9%
167	REM OMAHA	16	1.5 %	1.5%
168	REM PHILADELPHIA	6	0.6 %	0.6%
169	REM PITTSBURGH	15	1.4 %	1.4%
170	REM RICHMOND-NORFOLK	12	1.1 %	1.1%
172	REM SEATTLE-PORTLAND	7	0.6 %	0.7%
173	REM ST LOUIS	11	1.0 %	1.1%
178	REM WEST TEXAS	17	1.6 %	1.6%
999 (M)	Missing	37	3.4 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

PCTBLACK	Percent Black
----------	---------------

Start: 70
End: 71
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: -1

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-1 (M)	System Missing	37	3.4 %	-
0	-	229	21.2 %	21.9%
1	-	161	14.9 %	15.4%
2	-	98	9.1 %	9.4%
3	-	60	5.5 %	5.7%
4	-	51	4.7 %	4.9%
5	-	45	4.2 %	4.3%
6	-	42	3.9 %	4.0%
7	-	26	2.4 %	2.5%
8	-	20	1.8 %	1.9%
9	-	20	1.8 %	1.9%
10	-	26	2.4 %	2.5%
11	-	22	2.0 %	2.1%
12	-	21	1.9 %	2.0%
13	-	16	1.5 %	1.5%
14	-	12	1.1 %	1.1%
15	-	12	1.1 %	1.1%
16	-	6	0.6 %	0.6%
17	-	10	0.9 %	1.0%
18	-	12	1.1 %	1.1%
19	-	9	0.8 %	0.9%
20	-	13	1.2 %	1.2%
21	-	10	0.9 %	1.0%
22	-	6	0.6 %	0.6%
23	-	8	0.7 %	0.8%
24	-	8	0.7 %	0.8%
25	-	8	0.7 %	0.8%
26	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
27	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
28	-	7	0.6 %	0.7%
29	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
30	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
31	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

PCTBLACK	Percent Black (<i>cont.</i>)
----------	--------------------------------

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
32	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
33	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
34	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
35	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
36	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
38	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
39	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
40	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
41	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
42	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
43	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
45	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
46	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
47	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
48	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
49	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
50	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
52	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
56	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
57	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
59	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
60	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
61	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
65	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
66	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
70	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
72	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
74	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
75	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
78	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
83	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
89	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
91	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

PCTBLACK	Percent Black (<i>cont.</i>)
----------	--------------------------------

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
95	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
1045	0.00	95.00	8.77	13.99

PCTASIAN	Percent Asian
----------	---------------

Start: 72
End: 73
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: -1

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-1 (M)	System Missing	37	3.4 %	-
0	-	354	32.7 %	33.9%
1	-	258	23.8 %	24.7%
2	-	142	13.1 %	13.6%
3	-	81	7.5 %	7.8%
4	-	47	4.3 %	4.5%
5	-	41	3.8 %	3.9%
6	-	14	1.3 %	1.3%
7	-	9	0.8 %	0.9%
8	-	18	1.7 %	1.7%
9	-	16	1.5 %	1.5%
10	-	9	0.8 %	0.9%
11	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
12	-	10	0.9 %	1.0%
13	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
14	-	6	0.6 %	0.6%
15	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
16	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
17	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
18	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
19	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
20	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
21	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
22	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
23	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
24	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
26	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

PCTASIAN	Percent Asian (<i>cont.</i>)
----------	--------------------------------

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
30	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
33	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
34	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
36	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
44	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
1045	0.00	44.00	2.59	4.53

PCTHISP	Percent Hispanic
---------	------------------

Start: 74
End: 75
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: -1

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-1 (M)	System Missing	37	3.4 %	-
0	-	110	10.2 %	10.5%
1	-	285	26.3 %	27.3%
2	-	141	13.0 %	13.5%
3	-	80	7.4 %	7.7%
4	-	66	6.1 %	6.3%
5	-	40	3.7 %	3.8%
6	-	30	2.8 %	2.9%
7	-	35	3.2 %	3.3%
8	-	30	2.8 %	2.9%
9	-	12	1.1 %	1.1%
10	-	17	1.6 %	1.6%
11	-	13	1.2 %	1.2%
12	-	11	1.0 %	1.1%
13	-	20	1.8 %	1.9%
14	-	6	0.6 %	0.6%
15	-	13	1.2 %	1.2%
16	-	12	1.1 %	1.1%
17	-	11	1.0 %	1.1%
18	-	10	0.9 %	1.0%
19	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
20	-	6	0.6 %	0.6%
21	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

PCTHISP	Percent Hispanic (<i>cont.</i>)
---------	-----------------------------------

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
22	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
23	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
24	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
25	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
26	-	6	0.6 %	0.6%
27	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
28	-	10	0.9 %	1.0%
29	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
30	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
31	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
32	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
33	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
34	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
35	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
36	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
37	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
38	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
39	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
40	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
41	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
42	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
43	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
46	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
47	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
48	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
49	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
50	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
54	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
56	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
57	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
59	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
60	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
62	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

PCTHISP	Percent Hispanic (<i>cont.</i>)
---------	-----------------------------------

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
63	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
68	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
69	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
86	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
91	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
1045	0.00	91.00	6.92	11.32

CONGDIST	Congressional District
----------	------------------------

Start: 76
End: 77
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: -1

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-1 (M)	System Missing	37	3.4 %	-
1	-	137	12.7 %	13.1%
2	-	100	9.2 %	9.6%
3	-	92	8.5 %	8.8%
4	-	73	6.7 %	7.0%
5	-	79	7.3 %	7.6%
6	-	67	6.2 %	6.4%
7	-	56	5.2 %	5.4%
8	-	48	4.4 %	4.6%
9	-	59	5.5 %	5.6%
10	-	26	2.4 %	2.5%
11	-	36	3.3 %	3.4%
12	-	24	2.2 %	2.3%
13	-	21	1.9 %	2.0%
14	-	22	2.0 %	2.1%
15	-	25	2.3 %	2.4%
16	-	6	0.6 %	0.6%
17	-	16	1.5 %	1.5%
18	-	10	0.9 %	1.0%
19	-	14	1.3 %	1.3%
20	-	10	0.9 %	1.0%
21	-	9	0.8 %	0.9%
22	-	7	0.6 %	0.7%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

CONGDIST	Congressional District (<i>cont.</i>)
----------	---

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
23	-	8	0.7 %	0.8%
24	-	11	1.0 %	1.1%
25	-	10	0.9 %	1.0%
26	-	6	0.6 %	0.6%
27	-	10	0.9 %	1.0%
28	-	6	0.6 %	0.6%
29	-	6	0.6 %	0.6%
30	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
31	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
32	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
33	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
34	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
36	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
38	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
40	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
41	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
42	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
44	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
45	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
46	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
47	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
48	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
49	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
50	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
51	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
52	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
53	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
1045	1.00	53.00	9.44	10.01

BLOCKCNT	RDD Block Count
----------	-----------------

Start: 78
End: 80
Width: 3

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
10	-	7	0.6 %	0.7%

Variable	Variable Description				
BLOCKCNT	RDD Block Count (<i>cont.</i>)				
Type: character (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 999	<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
	11	-	8	0.7 %	0.8%
	12	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
	13	-	7	0.6 %	0.7%
	14	-	12	1.1 %	1.2%
	15	-	8	0.7 %	0.8%
	16	-	17	1.6 %	1.7%
	17	-	13	1.2 %	1.3%
	18	-	14	1.3 %	1.4%
	19	-	19	1.8 %	1.9%
	2	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
	20	-	16	1.5 %	1.6%
	21	-	21	1.9 %	2.0%
	22	-	28	2.6 %	2.7%
	23	-	33	3.0 %	3.2%
	24	-	26	2.4 %	2.5%
	25	-	32	3.0 %	3.1%
	26	-	36	3.3 %	3.5%
	27	-	29	2.7 %	2.8%
	28	-	33	3.0 %	3.2%
	29	-	26	2.4 %	2.5%
	3	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
	30	-	30	2.8 %	2.9%
	31	-	26	2.4 %	2.5%
	32	-	23	2.1 %	2.2%
	33	-	31	2.9 %	3.0%
	34	-	31	2.9 %	3.0%
	35	-	36	3.3 %	3.5%
	36	-	34	3.1 %	3.3%
	37	-	25	2.3 %	2.4%
	38	-	32	3.0 %	3.1%
	39	-	32	3.0 %	3.1%
	4	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
	40	-	35	3.2 %	3.4%

Variable	Variable Description				
BLOCKCNT	RDD Block Count (<i>cont.</i>)				
	<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
	41	-	29	2.7 %	2.8%
	42	-	21	1.9 %	2.0%
	43	-	21	1.9 %	2.0%
	44	-	15	1.4 %	1.5%
	45	-	21	1.9 %	2.0%
	46	-	14	1.3 %	1.4%
	47	-	22	2.0 %	2.1%
	48	-	11	1.0 %	1.1%
	49	-	18	1.7 %	1.8%
	5	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
	50	-	7	0.6 %	0.7%
	51	-	10	0.9 %	1.0%
	52	-	9	0.8 %	0.9%
	53	-	13	1.2 %	1.3%
	54	-	14	1.3 %	1.4%
	55	-	8	0.7 %	0.8%
	56	-	7	0.6 %	0.7%
	57	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
	58	-	8	0.7 %	0.8%
	59	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
	6	-	6	0.6 %	0.6%
	60	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
	61	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
	62	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
	63	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
	66	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
	7	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
	70	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
	8	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
	9	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
	999 (M)	Missing	56	5.2 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

TIMES	TIMES TRIED
-------	-------------

Start: 81
End: 85
Width: 5
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: -9 thru -4

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
1	-	444	41.0 %	41.0%
2	-	230	21.3 %	21.3%
3	-	152	14.0 %	14.0%
4	-	95	8.8 %	8.8%
5	-	66	6.1 %	6.1%
6	-	29	2.7 %	2.7%
7	-	30	2.8 %	2.8%
8	-	24	2.2 %	2.2%
9	-	9	0.8 %	0.8%
10	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
1082	1.00	10.00	2.55	1.91

Q1	Q.1 Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling his job as president?
----	--

Start: 86
End: 87
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	83	7.7 %	-
1	Approve STRONGLY	286	26.4 %	29.4%
2	Approve SOMEWHAT	193	17.8 %	19.8%
3	Disapprove SOMEWHAT	113	10.4 %	11.6%
4	Disapprove STRONGLY	381	35.2 %	39.2%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	26	2.4 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q1	Q.1 Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling his job as president? (cont.)
----	--

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
973	1.00	4.00	2.61	1.27

Q1NET	Q1 Bush Approve/Disapprove NET
-------	--------------------------------

Start: 88
End: 95
Width: 8.2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: -1.00, 8.00

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-1.00 (M)	System Missing	83	7.7 %	-
1.00	Approve NET	479	44.3 %	49.2%
2.00	Disapprove NET	494	45.7 %	50.8%
8.00 (M)	DK/No opinion	26	2.4 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
973	1.00	2.00	1.51	0.50

Q2_1	Q.2a Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bush is handling - Social Security?
------	--

Start: 96
End: 97
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	83	7.7 %	-
1	Approve	327	30.2 %	34.8%
2	Disapprove	612	56.6 %	65.2%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	60	5.5 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
939	1.00	2.00	1.65	0.48

Q2_2	Q.2b Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bush is handling - The situation in Iraq?
------	--

Start: 98
End: 99
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q2_2	Q.2b Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bush is handling - The situation in Iraq? (cont.)
------	---

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	79	7.3 %	-
1	Approve	439	40.6 %	44.6%
2	Disapprove	546	50.5 %	55.4%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	18	1.7 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
985	1.00	2.00	1.55	0.50

Q2_3	Q.2c Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bush is handling - The economy?
------	--

Start: 100
End: 101
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	79	7.3 %	-
1	Approve	420	38.8 %	43.1%
2	Disapprove	554	51.2 %	56.9%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	29	2.7 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
974	1.00	2.00	1.57	0.50

Q2_4	Q.2d Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bush is handling - The US campaign against terrorism?
------	--

Start: 102
End: 103
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	81	7.5 %	-
1	Approve	566	52.3 %	58.2%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q2_4	Q.2d Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bush is handling - The US campaign against terrorism? (cont.)
------	--

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
2	Disapprove	406	37.5 %	41.8%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	29	2.7 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
972	1.00	2.00	1.42	0.49

Q2_5	Q.2e Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bush is handling - Energy policy?
------	--

Start: 104
End: 105
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	82	7.6 %	-
1	Approve	362	33.5 %	40.0%
2	Disapprove	544	50.3 %	60.0%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	94	8.7 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
906	1.00	2.00	1.60	0.49

Q3	Q.3 Which of these should be the highest priority for Bush and Congress this year:, or something else?
----	--

Start: 106
End: 107
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	77	7.1 %	-
1	The U.S. campaign against terrorism	140	12.9 %	14.1%
2	The war in Iraq	220	20.3 %	22.1%
3	The economy and jobs	312	28.8 %	31.4%
4	Health care	163	15.1 %	16.4%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q3 Q.3 Which of these should be the highest priority for Bush and Congress this year:, or something else? (cont.)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
5	Social Security	94	8.7 %	9.5%
6	Something else	45	4.2 %	4.5%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	11	1.0 %	-
50	Immigration/illegal aliens	9	0.8 %	0.9%
51	Education	11	1.0 %	1.1%

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
994	1.00	51.00	3.94	6.81

Q4 Q.4 Would you support or oppose a plan in which people who chose to could invest some of their Social Security contributions in the stock market?

Start: 108
End: 109
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	81	7.5 %	-
1	Support	463	42.8 %	47.9%
2	Oppose	504	46.6 %	52.1%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	34	3.1 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
967	1.00	2.00	1.52	0.50

Q5 Q.5 What if that plan also reduced the rate of growth in guaranteed Social Security benefits for future retirees - in that case would you support or oppose it?

Start: 110
End: 111
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	623	57.6 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q5 Q.5 What if that plan also reduced the rate of growth in guaranteed Social Security benefits for future retirees - in that case would you support or oppose it? (cont.)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Support	268	24.8 %	60.2%
2	Oppose	177	16.4 %	39.8%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	14	1.3 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
445	1.00	2.00	1.40	0.49

Q6 Q.6 Who do you trust to do a better job handling Social Security:

Start: 112
End: 113
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	77	7.1 %	-
1	Bush	360	33.3 %	37.0%
2	Democrats	471	43.5 %	48.4%
3	(VOL) Both equally	23	2.1 %	2.4%
4	(VOL) Neither	119	11.0 %	12.2%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	32	3.0 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
973	1.00	4.00	1.90	0.93

Q7 Q.7 On another subject, all in all, considering the costs to the United States versus the benefits to the United States, do you think the war with Iraq was worth fighting, or not?

Start: 114
End: 115
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	77	7.1 %	-
1	Yes, worth fighting, STRONGLY	329	30.4 %	33.3%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q7 Q.7 On another subject, all in all, considering the costs to the United States versus the benefits to the United States, do you think the war with Iraq was worth fighting, or not? (cont.)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
2	Yes, worth fighting, SOMEWHAT	121	11.2 %	12.2%
3	No, not worth fighting, SOMEWHAT	111	10.3 %	11.2%
4	No, not worth fighting, STRONGLY	427	39.5 %	43.2%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	17	1.6 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
988	1.00	4.00	2.64	1.33

Q7NET Q7 War worth fighting NET

Start: 116
End: 123
Width: 8.2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: -1.00, 8.00

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-1.00 (M)	System Missing	77	7.1 %	-
1.00	Worth fighting NET	450	41.6 %	45.5%
2.00	Not worth fighting NET	538	49.7 %	54.5%
8.00 (M)	DK/No opinion	17	1.6 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
988	1.00	2.00	1.54	0.50

Q8 Q.8 Do you think the United States (has gotten bogged down in Iraq), or do you think the United States (is making good progress in Iraq?)

Start: 124
End: 125
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	76	7.0 %	-
1	Has gotten bogged down	579	53.5 %	59.2%
2	Is making good progress	399	36.9 %	40.8%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	28	2.6 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
978	1.00	2.00	1.41	0.49

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q9	Q.9 How confident are you that Iraq will have a stable, democratic government a year from now - very confident, somewhat confident, not too confident or not confident at all?
----	--

Start: 126
End: 127
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	77	7.1 %	-
1	Very confident	76	7.0 %	7.6%
2	Somewhat confident	319	29.5 %	32.0%
3	Not too confident	286	26.4 %	28.7%
4	Not confident at all	315	29.1 %	31.6%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	9	0.8 %	-
<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
996	1.00	4.00	2.84	0.96

Q10_1	Q.10a How confident are you in the ability of - The federal government - to respond effectively to a biological or chemical attack?
-------	---

Start: 128
End: 129
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	78	7.2 %	-
1	Very confident	125	11.6 %	12.6%
2	Somewhat confident	516	47.7 %	52.2%
3	Not too confident	223	20.6 %	22.5%
4	Not confident at all	125	11.6 %	12.6%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	15	1.4 %	-
<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
989	1.00	4.00	2.35	0.86

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q10_2	Q.10b How confident are you in the ability of - Your local government and police - to respond effectively to a biological or chemical attack?
-------	---

Start: 130
End: 131
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	77	7.1 %	-
1	Very confident	126	11.6 %	12.6%
2	Somewhat confident	438	40.5 %	43.8%
3	Not too confident	265	24.5 %	26.5%
4	Not confident at all	170	15.7 %	17.0%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	6	0.6 %	-
<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
999	1.00	4.00	2.48	0.92

Q10_3	Q.10c How confident are you in the ability of - Your local hospitals and health agencies - to respond effectively to a biological or chemical attack?
-------	---

Start: 132
End: 133
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	76	7.0 %	-
1	Very confident	221	20.4 %	22.2%
2	Somewhat confident	483	44.6 %	48.6%
3	Not too confident	189	17.5 %	19.0%
4	Not confident at all	101	9.3 %	10.2%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	12	1.1 %	-
<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
994	1.00	4.00	2.17	0.89

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q14	Q.14 Do you think Bush does or does not understand the problems of people like you?
-----	---

Start: 134
End: 135
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	76	7.0 %	-
1	Yes, understands	423	39.1 %	42.8%
2	No, does not understand	566	52.3 %	57.2%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	17	1.6 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
989	1.00	2.00	1.57	0.49

Q15	Q.15 Do you think Bush does or does not share your values?
-----	--

Start: 136

End: 137

Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	77	7.1 %	-
1	Yes, does	494	45.7 %	50.2%
2	No, does not	491	45.4 %	49.8%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	20	1.8 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
985	1.00	2.00	1.50	0.50

Q17	Q.17 On another subject, do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?
-----	--

Start: 138 End: 139 Width: 2 Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)	<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
	-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
	-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
	-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
	-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q17 Q.17 On another subject, do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder? (*cont.*)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	84	7.8 %	-
1	Favor	653	60.4 %	69.4%
2	Oppose	288	26.6 %	30.6%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	57	5.3 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
941	1.00	2.00	1.31	0.46

Q18 Q.18 Do you think same-sex couples should be or should not be allowed to obtain legal recognition of their relationships?

Start: 140
End: 141
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	85	7.9 %	-
1	Allowed legally to marry	259	23.9 %	27.0%
2	Allowed legally to form civil unions, but not to marry	323	29.9 %	33.6%
3	Should not be allowed to obtain legal recognition of their r	379	35.0 %	39.4%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	36	3.3 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
961	1.00	3.00	2.12	0.81

Q19 Q.19 (Would you support amending the U.S. Constitution to make it AGAINST THE LAW for homosexual couples to get married anywhere in the U.S.), or (should each state make its own laws on homosexual marriage)?

Start: 142
End: 143
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q19	Q.19 (Would you support amending the U.S. Constitution to make it AGAINST THE LAW for homosexual couples to get married anywhere in the U.S.), or (should each state make its own laws on homosexual marriage)? (<i>cont.</i>)
-----	--

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	92	8.5 %	-
1	Support amending Constitution	366	33.8 %	38.9%
2	Each state should make own laws	576	53.2 %	61.1%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	48	4.4 %	-
<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
942	1.00	2.00	1.61	0.49

Q21	Q.21 Do you think abortion should be legal in all cases, legal in most cases, illegal in most cases or illegal in all cases?
-----	--

Start: 144
End: 145
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	78	7.2 %	-
1	Legal in all cases	204	18.9 %	20.8%
2	Legal in most cases	365	33.7 %	37.3%
3	Illegal in most cases	271	25.0 %	27.7%
4	Illegal in all cases	139	12.8 %	14.2%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	25	2.3 %	-
<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
979	1.00	4.00	2.35	0.96

Q21NET	Q21 Abortion Legal/Illegal NET
--------	--------------------------------

Start: 146
End: 153
Width: 8.2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: -1.00, 8.00

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-1.00 (M)	System Missing	78	7.2 %	-
1.00	Legal NET	569	52.6 %	58.1%
2.00	IllegalNET	410	37.9 %	41.9%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q21NET	Q21 Abortion Legal/Illegal NET (cont.)
--------	--

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
8.00 (M)	DK/No opinion	25	2.3 %	-
<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
979	1.00	2.00	1.42	0.49

Q20	Q.20 Do you support or oppose embryonic stem cell research?
-----	---

Start: 154
End: 155
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	82	7.6 %	-
1	Support	658	60.8 %	71.1%
2	Oppose	268	24.8 %	28.9%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	74	6.8 %	-
<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
926	1.00	2.00	1.29	0.45

Q11	Q.11 On another subject, would you describe the state of the nation's economy these days as excellent, good, not so good or poor?
-----	---

Start: 156
End: 157
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	75	6.9 %	-
1	Excellent	19	1.8 %	1.9%
2	Good	378	34.9 %	37.6%
3	Not so good	401	37.1 %	39.9%
4	Poor	207	19.1 %	20.6%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	2	0.2 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q11	Q.11 On another subject, would you describe the state of the nation's economy these days as excellent, good, not so good or poor? (<i>cont.</i>)
-----	--

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
1005	1.00	4.00	2.79	0.78

Q12	Q.12 Have recent price increases in gasoline caused any financial hardship for you or others in your household, or not serious?
-----	---

Start: 158
End: 159
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	75	6.9 %	-
1	Yes, SERIOUS	308	28.5 %	30.6%
2	Yes, NOT SERIOUS	314	29.0 %	31.2%
3	No	384	35.5 %	38.2%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	1	0.1 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
1006	1.00	3.00	2.08	0.83

Q13	Q.13 Who do you blame for the recent rise in oil and gasoline prices -
-----	--

Start: 160
End: 161
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	76	7.0 %	-
1	Bush administration	274	25.3 %	28.1%
2	U.S. oil companies	241	22.3 %	24.7%
3	Other oil-producing countries	265	24.5 %	27.2%
4	(VOL) All equally	94	8.7 %	9.6%
5	(VOL) Other	44	4.1 %	4.5%
6	(VOL) None	58	5.4 %	5.9%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q13	Q.13 Who do you blame for the recent rise in oil and gasoline prices - (cont.)
-----	--

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	30	2.8 %	-
<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
976	1.00	6.00	2.56	1.41

Q22	Q.22 Do you think a political leader should or should not rely on his or her religious beliefs in making policy decisions?
-----	--

Start: 162
End: 163
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	76	7.0 %	-
1	Yes, should	421	38.9 %	42.4%
2	No, should not	531	49.1 %	53.5%
3	(VOL) Depends	41	3.8 %	4.1%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	13	1.2 %	-
<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
993	1.00	3.00	1.62	0.56

Q26	Q.26 Would you rather see religion have GREATER influence in politics and public life than it does now, LESS influence, or about THE SAME influence as it does now?
-----	---

Start: 164
End: 165
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	76	7.0 %	-
1	Greater influence	273	25.2 %	27.5%
2	Less influence	362	33.5 %	36.5%
3	About the same influence	356	32.9 %	35.9%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	15	1.4 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q26 Q.26 Would you rather see religion have GREATER influence in politics and public life than it does now, LESS influence, or about THE SAME influence as it does now?
(cont.)

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
991	1.00	3.00	2.08	0.79

Q23 Q.23 Do you think that people and groups that hold values similar to yours are gaining influence in American life in general these days, or do you think that they are losing influence?

Start: 166
End: 167
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	76	7.0 %	-
1	Gaining influence	344	31.8 %	35.1%
2	Losing influence	579	53.5 %	59.0%
3	(VOL) Neither	58	5.4 %	5.9%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	25	2.3 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
981	1.00	3.00	1.71	0.57

Q24 Q.24 Which political party, the do you think better represents your own personal values?

Start: 168
End: 169
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	76	7.0 %	-
1	Democrats	459	42.4 %	46.5%
2	Republicans	399	36.9 %	40.4%
3	(VOL) Both equally	21	1.9 %	2.1%
4	(VOL) Neither	108	10.0 %	10.9%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q24	Q.24 Which political party, the do you think better represents your own personal values? (cont.)
-----	--

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	19	1.8 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
987	1.00	4.00	1.78	0.94

Q25_1	Q.25a Generally speaking, which political party, the (Democrats) or the (Republicans),\~do you think is more - tolerant of different kinds of people and different points of view:
-------	--

Start: 170
End: 171
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	78	7.2 %	-
1	Democrats	625	57.8 %	64.8%
2	Republicans	250	23.1 %	25.9%
3	(VOL) Both equally	35	3.2 %	3.6%
4	(VOL) Neither	55	5.1 %	5.7%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	39	3.6 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
965	1.00	4.00	1.50	0.82

Q25_2	Q.25b Generally speaking, which political party, the (Democrats) or the (Republicans),\~do you think is more - sympathetic to religion and religious people
-------	---

Start: 172
End: 173
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	78	7.2 %	-
1	Democrats	314	29.0 %	33.2%
2	Republicans	514	47.5 %	54.3%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q25_2 Q.25b Generally speaking, which political party, the (Democrats) or the (Republicans), do you think is more - sympathetic to religion and religious people (cont.)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
3	(VOL) Both equally	60	5.5 %	6.3%
4	(VOL) Neither	59	5.5 %	6.2%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	57	5.3 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
947	1.00	4.00	1.86	0.79

Q27_1 Q.27 Do you think religious conservatives have too (much) influence, too (little) influence or about the right amount of influence over the Republican Party?

Start: 174
End: 175
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	75	6.9 %	-
1	Too much influence	430	39.7 %	45.0%
2	Too little influence	152	14.0 %	15.9%
3	About the right amount of influence	374	34.6 %	39.1%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	51	4.7 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
956	1.00	3.00	1.94	0.92

Q27_2 Q.28 Do you think liberals have too (much) influence, too (little) influence or about the right amount of influence over the Democratic Party?

Start: 176
End: 177
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	76	7.0 %	-
1	Too much influence	386	35.7 %	40.3%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q27_2	Q.28 Do you think liberals have too (much) influence, too (little) influence or about the right amount of influence over the Democratic Party? (cont.)
-------	--

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
2	Too little influence	207	19.1 %	21.6%
3	About the right amount of influence	365	33.7 %	38.1%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	48	4.4 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
958	1.00	3.00	1.98	0.89

Q29	Q.29 On another subject, do you approve or disapprove of the way Tom Delay is handling his job as majority leader of the U.S. House of Representatives?
-----	---

Start: 178
End: 179
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	88	8.1 %	-
1	Approve	331	30.6 %	44.1%
2	Disapprove	419	38.7 %	55.9%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	244	22.6 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
750	1.00	2.00	1.56	0.50

Q30	Q.30 How closely have you been following the ethics charges that have been made against Delay - very closely, somewhat closely, not too closely or not closely at all?
-----	--

Start: 180
End: 181
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	75	6.9 %	-
1	Very closely	105	9.7 %	10.5%
2	Somewhat closely	327	30.2 %	32.8%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q30	Q.30 How closely have you been following the ethics charges that have been made against Delay - very closely, somewhat closely, not too closely or not closely at all? (cont.)
-----	---

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
3	Not too closely	265	24.5 %	26.6%
4	Not closely at all	301	27.8 %	30.2%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	9	0.8 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
998	1.00	4.00	2.76	1.00

Q31	Q.31 Do you think Delay should (step down) as majority leader, or (remain in his job)?
-----	--

Start: 182
End: 183
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	85	7.9 %	-
1	Step down	432	39.9 %	57.2%
2	Remain in his job	323	29.9 %	42.8%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	242	22.4 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
755	1.00	2.00	1.43	0.50

Q33	Q.33 Overall, do you think the federal judges in this country are (too liberal), (too conservative), or about right?
-----	--

Start: 184
End: 185
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	79	7.3 %	-
1	Too liberal	288	26.6 %	29.8%
2	Too conservative	183	16.9 %	18.9%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q33

Q.33 Overall, do you think the federal judges in this country are (too liberal), (too conservative), or about right? (*cont.*)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
3	About right	496	45.8 %	51.3%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	36	3.3 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
967	1.00	3.00	2.22	0.87

Q35_1

Q.35 Do you approve or disapprove of the way that - Republicans in the Senate - are handling the confirmation process for federal court judges nominated by Bush?

Start: 186
End: 187
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	80	7.4 %	-
1	Approve	431	39.8 %	49.8%
2	Disapprove	435	40.2 %	50.2%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	136	12.6 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
866	1.00	2.00	1.50	0.50

Q35_2

Q.35 Do you approve or disapprove of the way that - Democrats in the Senate - are handling the confirmation process for federal court judges nominated by Bush?

Start: 188
End: 189
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	81	7.5 %	-
1	Approve	406	37.5 %	46.7%
2	Disapprove	464	42.9 %	53.3%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	131	12.1 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q35_2	Q.35 Do you approve or disapprove of the way that - Democrats in the Senate - are handling the confirmation process for federal court judges nominated by Bush? (cont.)
-------	---

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
870	1.00	2.00	1.53	0.50

Q34	Q.34 The Senate has confirmed 35 federal appeals court judges nominated by Bush, while Senate Democrats have blocked 10 others. Do you think the Senate Democrats are right or wrong to block these nominations?
-----	--

Start: 190
End: 191
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	82	7.6 %	-
1	Right, STRONGLY	239	22.1 %	27.3%
2	Right, SOMEWHAT	239	22.1 %	27.3%
3	Wrong, SOMEWHAT	178	16.5 %	20.3%
4	Wrong, STRONGLY	186	17.2 %	21.2%
5	(VOL) Right in some cases, wrong in others	35	3.2 %	4.0%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	123	11.4 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
877	1.00	5.00	2.47	1.21

Q34NET	Q34 SenDems Block Right/WrongNET
--------	----------------------------------

Start: 192
End: 199
Width: 8.2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: -1.00, 8.00

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-1.00 (M)	System Missing	117	10.8 %	-
1.00	Right NET	478	44.2 %	56.8%
2.00	Wrong NET	364	33.6 %	43.2%
8.00 (M)	DK/No opinion	123	11.4 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
842	1.00	2.00	1.43	0.50

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q36	Q.36 Would you support or oppose changing Senate rules to make it easier for the Republicans to confirm Bush's judicial nominees?
-----	---

Start: 200
End: 201
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	79	7.3 %	-
1	Support	259	23.9 %	27.7%
2	Oppose	675	62.4 %	72.3%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	69	6.4 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
934	1.00	2.00	1.72	0.45

PROJECT	project
---------	---------

Start: 202	<i>Value</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	%	<i>Valid %</i>
End: 211				
Width: 10	117637	1007	93.1 %	93.1%
Type: character (ISO)	117637c	75	6.9 %	6.9%
Interval: discrete				

Q911	Q.911 RELIGION
------	----------------

Start: 212

End: 213

Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Missing: 99, (-9 thru -1)

When including this variable for analysis, the weight variable OSWGT (OVERSAMPLE WEIGHT) must be applied.

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	25	2.3 %	-
1	Agnostic	10	0.9 %	1.0%
2	Atheist	11	1.0 %	1.0%
3	Baptist	96	8.9 %	9.1%
4	Catholic/Roman Catholic	284	26.2 %	27.0%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q911	Q.911 RELIGION (cont.)
------	------------------------

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
5	Christian	0	0.0 %	0.0%
6	Episcopalian	15	1.4 %	1.4%
7	Jewish	18	1.7 %	1.7%
8	Lutheran	43	4.0 %	4.1%
9	Methodist	42	3.9 %	4.0%
10	Pentecostal	11	1.0 %	1.0%
11	Presbyterian	14	1.3 %	1.3%
12	Protestant	120	11.1 %	11.4%
13	Southern Baptist	10	0.9 %	1.0%
14	NONE	111	10.3 %	10.6%
15	OTHER (SPECIFY)	16	1.5 %	1.5%
21	Christian (Protestant)	118	10.9 %	11.2%
22	Christian (Non-Protestant)	92	8.5 %	8.8%
23	Other Non-Christian	23	2.1 %	2.2%
24	Islam/Muslim	5	0.5 %	0.5%
25	Mormon/Latter Day Saints	12	1.1 %	1.1%
99 (M)	DK/No opinion	6	0.6 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
1051	1.00	25.00	10.92	7.17

Q911SUP	Q.911SUP
---------	----------

Start: 214
End: 215
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 99, (-9 thru -1)

When including this variable for analysis, the weight variable
OSWGT (OVERSAMPLE WEIGHT) must be applied.

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	1025	94.7 %	-
1	Bahai	1	0.1 %	1.8%
2	Buddhist	8	0.7 %	14.5%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q911SUP	Q.911SUP (cont.)
---------	------------------

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
3	Church of Christ	0	0.0 %	0.0%
4	Church of God	0	0.0 %	0.0%
5	Druid	0	0.0 %	0.0%
6	Hindu	1	0.1 %	1.8%
7	Humanitarian/Humanity	2	0.2 %	3.6%
8	Jehovah's Witness	1	0.1 %	1.8%
9	Latter Day Saints	2	0.2 %	3.6%
10	Metaphysical	0	0.0 %	0.0%
11	Muslim	1	0.1 %	1.8%
12	Native American/Indian	0	0.0 %	0.0%
13	Non-Christian	2	0.2 %	3.6%
14	Non-Denominational	17	1.6 %	30.9%
15	Orthodox (Any Mention)	3	0.3 %	5.5%
16	Pagans	0	0.0 %	0.0%
17	Satanist	0	0.0 %	0.0%
18	Seventh Day Adventist	1	0.1 %	1.8%
19	Spiritualist/Spiritual	3	0.3 %	5.5%
20	Unitarian/Universalist	2	0.2 %	3.6%
21	Wiccan	0	0.0 %	0.0%
22	Other	11	1.0 %	20.0%
99 (M)	DK/No opinion	2	0.2 %	-
<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
55	1.00	22.00	13.45	6.71

Q911N	Q.911N. Is that a Christian religion or not?
-------	--

Start: 216
 End: 217
 Width: 2
 Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

When including this variable for analysis, the weight variable OSWGT (OVERSAMPLE WEIGHT) must be applied.

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q911N	Q.911N. Is that a Christian religion or not? (<i>cont.</i>)
-------	---

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	1066	98.5 %	-
1	Yes	14	1.3 %	87.5%
2	No	2	0.2 %	12.5%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	0	0.0 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
16	1.00	2.00	1.12	0.34

Q911A	Q.911A. Is that a Protestant denomination, or not?
-------	--

Start: 218
End: 219
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

When including this variable for analysis, the weight variable
OSWGT (OVERSAMPLE WEIGHT) must be applied.

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	890	82.3 %	-
1	Yes	111	10.3 %	60.0%
2	No	74	6.8 %	40.0%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	7	0.6 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
185	1.00	2.00	1.40	0.49

Q911B	Q.911B. BORN AGAIN
-------	--------------------

Start: 220
End: 221
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

When including this variable for analysis, the weight variable
OSWGT (OVERSAMPLE WEIGHT) must be applied.

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q911B	Q.911B. BORN AGAIN (cont.)
-------	----------------------------

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	227	21.0 %	-
1	Yes	322	29.8 %	38.3%
2	No	518	47.9 %	61.7%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	15	1.4 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
840	1.00	2.00	1.62	0.49

RELNET	Religion NETS
--------	---------------

Start: 222
End: 223
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: -1, 8

When including this variable for analysis, the weight variable
OSWGT (OVERSAMPLE WEIGHT) must be applied.

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-1 (M)	System Missing	25	2.3 %	-
1	Protestant	469	43.3 %	44.6%
2	Catholic	284	26.2 %	27.0%
3	Christian (Non-protestant)	104	9.6 %	9.9%
4	Other Non-Christian	62	5.7 %	5.9%
5	None	132	12.2 %	12.6%
8 (M)	Don't Know	6	0.6 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
1051	1.00	5.00	2.15	1.38

Q911E	Q.911E Aside from weddings and funerals, how often do you attend religious services - at least once a week, a few times a month, or less often than that?
-------	---

Start: 224
End: 225
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

When including this variable for analysis, the weight variable
OSWGT (OVERSAMPLE WEIGHT) must be applied.

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q911E Q.911E Aside from weddings and funerals, how often do you attend religious services - at least once a week, a few times a month, or less often than that? (*cont.*)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	7	0.6 %	-
1	At least once a week	430	39.7 %	40.1%
2	A few times a month	173	16.0 %	16.1%
3	Less often than that	426	39.4 %	39.7%
4	(VOL) Never	43	4.0 %	4.0%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	3	0.3 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
1072	1.00	4.00	2.08	0.98

Q32 Q.32 How important is religion in your everyday life: The most important thing in your life very important, somewhat important, or not important at all?

Start: 226
End: 227
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

When including this variable for analysis, the weight variable OSWGT (OVERSAMPLE WEIGHT) must be applied.

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	1	0.1 %	-
1	The most important thing	228	21.1 %	21.2%
2	Extremely important, but not the most important thing	219	20.2 %	20.3%
3	Very important	278	25.7 %	25.8%
4	Somewhat important	244	22.6 %	22.7%
5	Not important at all	108	10.0 %	10.0%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	4	0.4 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
1077	1.00	5.00	2.80	1.28

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q37	Q.37 On another subject, overall would you say you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the Catholic Church?
-----	--

Start: 228
End: 229
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

When including this variable for analysis, the weight variable OSWGT (OVERSAMPLE WEIGHT) must be applied.

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	22	2.0 %	-
1	Favorable	622	57.5 %	62.8%
2	Unfavorable	369	34.1 %	37.2%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	69	6.4 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
991	1.00	2.00	1.37	0.48

Q38	Q.38 Do you approve or disapprove of the selection of Cardinal Joseph Ratzinger, now known as Pope Benedict the 16th, as the next pope?
-----	---

Start: 230
End: 231
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

When including this variable for analysis, the weight variable OSWGT (OVERSAMPLE WEIGHT) must be applied.

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	36	3.3 %	-
1	Approve	646	59.7 %	77.5%
2	Disapprove	188	17.4 %	22.5%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	212	19.6 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
834	1.00	2.00	1.23	0.42

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q39	Q.39 How would you describe your feelings about the selection of Ratzinger enthusiastic, or not enthusiastic at all?
-----	--

Start: 232
End: 233
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

When including this variable for analysis, the weight variable OSWGT (OVERSAMPLE WEIGHT) must be applied.

Question was asked of Catholics only (identified as Catholic/Roman Catholic in variable Q911 (RELIGION)).

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	799	73.8 %	-
1	Very enthusiastic	74	6.8 %	26.8%
2	Somewhat enthusiastic	128	11.8 %	46.4%
3	Not too enthusiastic	45	4.2 %	16.3%
4	Not enthusiastic at all	29	2.7 %	10.5%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	7	0.6 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
276	1.00	4.00	2.11	0.92

Q40	Q.40 Do you think Pope Benedict should (maintain the traditional policies of the Church), or should he (change Church policies to reflect the attitudes and lifestyles of Catholics today)?
-----	---

Start: 234
End: 235
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

When including this variable for analysis, the weight variable OSWGT (OVERSAMPLE WEIGHT) must be applied.

Question was asked of Catholics only (identified as Catholic/Roman Catholic in variable Q911 (RELIGION)).

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
Q40	Q.40 Do you think Pope Benedict should (maintain the traditional policies of the Church), or should he (change Church policies to reflect the attitudes and lifestyles of Catholics today)? (<i>cont.</i>)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-1 (M)	System Missing	802	74.1 %	-
1	Maintain the traditional Church policies	144	13.3 %	52.0%
2	Change Church policies to reflect attitudes/lifestyles	133	12.3 %	48.0%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	3	0.3 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
277	1.00	2.00	1.48	0.50

Q41	Q.41 What do you think Pope Benedict WILL do - (maintain the traditional policies of the Church), or (change Church policies to reflect the attitudes and lifestyles of Catholics today)?
-----	---

Start: 236
End: 237
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

When including this variable for analysis, the weight variable OSWGT (OVERSAMPLE WEIGHT) must be applied.

Question was asked of Catholics only (identified as Catholic/Roman Catholic in variable Q911 (RELIGION)).

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	798	73.8 %	-
1	Maintain the traditional Church policies	239	22.1 %	89.5%
2	Change Church policies to reflect attitudes/lifestyles	28	2.6 %	10.5%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	17	1.6 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
267	1.00	2.00	1.10	0.31

Q42_1	Q42a How high a priority should - Preserving the church's traditions - be for Pope Benedict:
-------	--

Start: 238

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q42_1	Q42a How high a priority should - Preserving the church's traditions - be for Pope Benedict: <i>(cont.)</i>
-------	---

End: 239
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

When including this variable for analysis, the weight variable OSWGT (OVERSAMPLE WEIGHT) must be applied.

Question was asked of Catholics only (identified as Catholic/Roman Catholic in variable Q911 (RELIGION)).

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	799	73.8 %	-
1	Highest priority	109	10.1 %	38.8%
2	High but not the highest	125	11.6 %	44.5%
3	Lower priority	46	4.3 %	16.4%
4	(VOL) No priority	1	0.1 %	0.4%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	2	0.2 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
281	1.00	4.00	1.78	0.72

Q42_2	Q42b How high a priority should - Responding to the concerns of women in the church - be for Pope Benedict:
-------	---

Start: 240
End: 241
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

When including this variable for analysis, the weight variable OSWGT (OVERSAMPLE WEIGHT) must be applied.

Question was asked of Catholics only (identified as Catholic/Roman Catholic in variable Q911 (RELIGION)).

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	799	73.8 %	-
1	Highest priority	68	6.3 %	24.3%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q42_2	Q42b How high a priority should - Responding to the concerns of women in the church - be for Pope Benedict: <i>(cont.)</i>
-------	--

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
2	High but not the highest	154	14.2 %	55.0%
3	Lower priority	50	4.6 %	17.9%
4	(VOL) No priority	8	0.7 %	2.9%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	3	0.3 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
280	1.00	4.00	1.99	0.73

Q42_3	Q42c How high a priority should - Responding to the concerns of young Catholics - be for Pope Benedict:
-------	---

Start: 242
End: 243
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

When including this variable for analysis, the weight variable OSWGT (OVERSAMPLE WEIGHT) must be applied.

Question was asked of Catholics only (identified as Catholic/Roman Catholic in variable Q911 (RELIGION)).

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	798	73.8 %	-
1	Highest priority	118	10.9 %	41.7%
2	High but not the highest	150	13.9 %	53.0%
3	Lower priority	15	1.4 %	5.3%
4	(VOL) No priority	0	0.0 %	0.0%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	1	0.1 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
283	1.00	3.00	1.64	0.58

Q42_4	Q42d How high a priority should - Making it attractive for people to serve as priests - be for Pope Benedict:
-------	---

Start: 244
End: 245

When including this variable for analysis, the weight variable

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q42_4 Q42d How high a priority should - Making it attractive for people to serve as priests - be for Pope Benedict: (*cont.*)

Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

OSWGT (OVERSAMPLE WEIGHT) must be applied.

Question was asked of Catholics only (identified as Catholic/
Roman Catholic in variable Q911 (RELIGION)).

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	798	73.8 %	-
1	Highest priority	89	8.2 %	31.8%
2	High but not the highest	126	11.6 %	45.0%
3	Lower priority	57	5.3 %	20.4%
4	(VOL) No priority	8	0.7 %	2.9%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	4	0.4 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
280	1.00	4.00	1.94	0.80

Q42_5 Q42e How high a priority should - Improving the Catholic Church's relations with other religions - be for Pope Benedict:

Start: 246
End: 247
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

When including this variable for analysis, the weight variable
OSWGT (OVERSAMPLE WEIGHT) must be applied.

Question was asked of Catholics only (identified as Catholic/
Roman Catholic in variable Q911 (RELIGION)).

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	798	73.8 %	-
1	Highest priority	96	8.9 %	34.2%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q42_5	Q42e How high a priority should - Improving the Catholic Church's relations with other religions - be for Pope Benedict: (<i>cont.</i>)
-------	---

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
2	High but not the highest	151	14.0 %	53.7%
3	Lower priority	28	2.6 %	10.0%
4	(VOL) No priority	6	0.6 %	2.1%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	3	0.3 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
281	1.00	4.00	1.80	0.70

Q42_6	Q42f How high a priority should - Encouraging human rights - be for Pope Benedict:
-------	--

Start: 248
End: 249
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

When including this variable for analysis, the weight variable OSWGT (OVERSAMPLE WEIGHT) must be applied.

Question was asked of Catholics only (identified as Catholic/Roman Catholic in variable Q911 (RELIGION)).

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	798	73.8 %	-
1	Highest priority	175	16.2 %	62.1%
2	High but not the highest	98	9.1 %	34.8%
3	Lower priority	9	0.8 %	3.2%
4	(VOL) No priority	0	0.0 %	0.0%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	2	0.2 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
282	1.00	3.00	1.41	0.55

Q42_7	Q42g How high a priority should - Addressing the issue of sexual abuse by priests - be for Pope Benedict:
-------	---

Start: 250
End: 251
Width: 2

When including this variable for analysis, the weight variable OSWGT (OVERSAMPLE WEIGHT) must be applied.

Variable	Variable Description
Q42_7	Q42g How high a priority should - Addressing the issue of sexual abuse by priests - be for Pope Benedict: (<i>cont.</i>)

Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Question was asked of Catholics only (identified as Catholic/
Roman Catholic in variable Q911 (RELIGION)).

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	798	73.8 %	-
1	Highest priority	197	18.2 %	69.6%
2	High but not the highest	75	6.9 %	26.5%
3	Lower priority	10	0.9 %	3.5%
4	(VOL) No priority	1	0.1 %	0.4%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	1	0.1 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
283	1.00	4.00	1.35	0.57

Q43	Q.43 In general, do you think the Roman Catholic Church is in touch with the views of Catholics in America today, or is it out of touch?
-----	--

Start: 252
End: 253
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

When including this variable for analysis, the weight variable
OSWGT (OVERSAMPLE WEIGHT) must be applied.

Question was asked of Catholics only (identified as Catholic/
Roman Catholic in variable Q911 (RELIGION)).

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	799	73.8 %	-
1	In touch	119	11.0 %	43.6%
2	Out of touch	154	14.2 %	56.4%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q43	Q.43 In general, do you think the Roman Catholic Church is in touch with the views of Catholics in America today, or is it out of touch? (<i>cont.</i>)
-----	---

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	10	0.9 %	-
<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
273	1.00	2.00	1.56	0.50

Q44	Q.44 Would you want your son to become a priest, or not?
-----	--

Start: 254
End: 255
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

When including this variable for analysis, the weight variable OSWGT (OVERSAMPLE WEIGHT) must be applied.

Question was asked of Catholics only (identified as Catholic/Roman Catholic in variable Q911 (RELIGION)).

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	799	73.8 %	-
1	Yes, would	148	13.7 %	56.1%
2	No, would not	116	10.7 %	43.9%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	19	1.8 %	-
<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
264	1.00	2.00	1.44	0.50

Q44A	Q.44a Would you support or oppose the Catholic church denying communion to Catholic politicians who are in favor of legal abortion?
------	---

Start: 256
End: 257
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

When including this variable for analysis, the weight variable OSWGT (OVERSAMPLE WEIGHT) must be applied.

Question was asked of Catholics only (identified as Catholic/Roman Catholic in variable Q911 (RELIGION)).

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q44A	Q.44a Would you support or oppose the Catholic church denying communion to Catholic politicians who are in favor of legal abortion? <i>(cont.)</i>
------	--

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	798	73.8 %	-
1	Support	80	7.4 %	29.0%
2	Oppose	196	18.1 %	71.0%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	8	0.7 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
276	1.00	2.00	1.71	0.45

Q914	Q.914 MARITAL STATUS
------	----------------------

Start: 258
End: 259
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	81	7.5 %	-
1	Married and living with your spouse	587	54.3 %	58.6%
2	Separated	28	2.6 %	2.8%
3	Divorced	134	12.4 %	13.4%
4	Widowed	72	6.7 %	7.2%
5	Never married	180	16.6 %	18.0%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	0	0.0 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
1001	1.00	5.00	2.23	1.60

Q915	Q.915 Do you have any children under age 18 living at home, or not?
------	---

Start: 260
End: 261
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q915	Q.915 Do you have any children under age 18 living at home, or not? (cont.)
------	---

Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	81	7.5 %	-
1	Yes	333	30.8 %	33.3%
2	No	668	61.7 %	66.7%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	0	0.0 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
1001	1.00	2.00	1.67	0.47

Q45	Q.45 Are you yourself employed outside the home, or not?
-----	--

Start: 262
End: 263
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	80	7.4 %	-
1	Yes	651	60.2 %	65.0%
2	No	351	32.4 %	35.0%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	0	0.0 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
1002	1.00	2.00	1.35	0.48

Q46	Q.46 And, is your spouse employed outside the home, or not?
-----	---

Start: 264
End: 265
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	497	45.9 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q46	Q.46 And, is your spouse employed outside the home, or not? (cont.)
-----	---

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Yes	380	35.1 %	65.0%
2	No	205	18.9 %	35.0%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	0	0.0 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
585	1.00	2.00	1.35	0.48

Q47_1	Q.47a How satisfied are you with - your life overall?
-------	---

Start: 266
End: 267
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	77	7.1 %	-
1	Very satisfied	625	57.8 %	62.3%
2	Somewhat satisfied	319	29.5 %	31.8%
3	Not too satisfied	45	4.2 %	4.5%
4	Not at all satisfied	14	1.3 %	1.4%
5	(VOL) Not a parent	0	0.0 %	0.0%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	2	0.2 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
1003	1.00	4.00	1.45	0.65

Q47_2	Q.47b How satisfied are you with - your ability to balance work and family life?
-------	--

Start: 268
End: 269
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	433	40.0 %	-
1	Very satisfied	342	31.6 %	52.8%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q47_2 Q.47b How satisfied are you with - your ability to balance work and family life? (cont.)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
2	Somewhat satisfied	241	22.3 %	37.2%
3	Not too satisfied	53	4.9 %	8.2%
4	Not at all satisfied	12	1.1 %	1.9%
5	(VOL) Not a parent	0	0.0 %	0.0%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	1	0.1 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
648	1.00	4.00	1.59	0.72

Q47_3 Q.47c How satisfied are you with - the job you're doing as a parent?

Start: 270
End: 271
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	749	69.2 %	-
1	Very satisfied	200	18.5 %	60.2%
2	Somewhat satisfied	119	11.0 %	35.8%
3	Not too satisfied	11	1.0 %	3.3%
4	Not at all satisfied	1	0.1 %	0.3%
5	(VOL) Not a parent	1	0.1 %	0.3%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	1	0.1 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
332	1.00	5.00	1.45	0.61

Q47_4 Q.47d How satisfied are you with - the amount of free time you have in an average week?

Start: 272
End: 273
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q47_4

Q.47d How satisfied are you with - the amount of free time you have in an average week? (cont.)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-1 (M)	System Missing	77	7.1 %	-
1	Very satisfied	436	40.3 %	43.4%
2	Somewhat satisfied	343	31.7 %	34.1%
3	Not too satisfied	159	14.7 %	15.8%
4	Not at all satisfied	67	6.2 %	6.7%
5	(VOL) Not a parent	0	0.0 %	0.0%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	0	0.0 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
1005	1.00	4.00	1.86	0.92

Q48

Q.48 If you could live as well as you do now WITHOUT working, would you choose to:

Start: 274
End: 275
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	432	39.9 %	-
1	Continue working the same amount	177	16.4 %	27.2%
2	Continue working, but work fewer hours/days per week	354	32.7 %	54.5%
3	Or, Stop working altogether	119	11.0 %	18.3%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	0	0.0 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
650	1.00	3.00	1.91	0.67

Q49

Q.49 All in all, do you think mothers are now doing a BETTER job as parents than their own mothers did 20 or 30 years ago, a WORSE job -- or about the SAME job?

Start: 276
End: 277
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q49	Q.49 All in all, do you think mothers are now doing a BETTER job as parents than their own mothers did 20 or 30 years ago, a WORSE job -- or about the SAME job? (cont.)
-----	--

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	78	7.2 %	-
1	Better	127	11.7 %	12.9%
2	Worse	480	44.4 %	48.7%
3	Same	378	34.9 %	38.4%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	19	1.8 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
985	1.00	3.00	2.25	0.67

Q50_1	Q.50 Do you think motherhood today is (more) demanding than it was for the previous generation, (less) demanding, or about the same?
-------	--

Start: 278
End: 279
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	77	7.1 %	-
1	More	697	64.4 %	69.8%
2	Less	71	6.6 %	7.1%
3	Same	231	21.3 %	23.1%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	6	0.6 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
999	1.00	3.00	1.53	0.84

Q50_2	Q.50 Do you think fatherhood today is (more) demanding than it was for the previous generation, (less) demanding, or about the same?
-------	--

Start: 280
End: 281
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q50_2	Q.50 Do you think fatherhood today is (more) demanding than it was for the previous generation, (less) demanding, or about the same? (<i>cont.</i>)
-------	---

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	77	7.1 %	-
1	More	614	56.7 %	61.6%
2	Less	87	8.0 %	8.7%
3	Same	296	27.4 %	29.7%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	8	0.7 %	-
<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
997	1.00	3.00	1.68	0.90

Q51	Q.51 Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: It may be necessary for mothers to be working because the family needs money, but it would be better if she could stay home and take care of the house and children.
-----	--

Start: 282
End: 283
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	83	7.7 %	-
1	Agree	780	72.1 %	80.3%
2	Disagree	191	17.7 %	19.7%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	28	2.6 %	-
<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
971	1.00	2.00	1.20	0.40

Q52	Q.52 On another subject, would you advise a young person close to you to join the military, or not?
-----	---

Start: 284
End: 285
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q52	Q.52 On another subject, would you advise a young person close to you to join the military, or not? (<i>cont.</i>)
-----	--

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	85	7.9 %	-
1	Yes	442	40.9 %	46.8%
2	No	503	46.5 %	53.2%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	52	4.8 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
945	1.00	2.00	1.53	0.50

Q901	Q901. PARTY ID
------	----------------

Start: 286
End: 287
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	77	7.1 %	-
1	Democrat	331	30.6 %	33.0%
2	Republican	295	27.3 %	29.4%
3	Independent	332	30.7 %	33.1%
4	Other	44	4.1 %	4.4%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	3	0.3 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
1002	1.00	4.00	2.09	0.91

Q908A	Q908A. IDEOLOGY
-------	-----------------

Start: 288
End: 289
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	77	7.1 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q908A	Q908A. IDEOLOGY (cont.)
-------	-------------------------

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Liberal	209	19.3 %	21.0%
2	Moderate	465	43.0 %	46.7%
3	Conservative	306	28.3 %	30.8%
4	(VOL) Don't think in those terms	15	1.4 %	1.5%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	10	0.9 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
995	1.00	4.00	2.13	0.75

Q909	Q909. EDUCATION
------	-----------------

Start: 290
End: 291
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	1	0.1 %	-
1	8th grade or less	7	0.6 %	0.6%
2	Some high school	47	4.3 %	4.3%
3	Graduated high school	294	27.2 %	27.2%
4	Some college	308	28.5 %	28.5%
5	Graduated College	276	25.5 %	25.5%
6	Post-graduate	149	13.8 %	13.8%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	0	0.0 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
1081	1.00	6.00	4.15	1.14

Q909A	Q909A. Was that an associate's degree, a bachelor's degree, or what?
-------	--

Start: 292
End: 293
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q909A	Q909A. Was that an associate's degree, a bachelor's degree, or what? (cont.)
-------	--

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	806	74.5 %	-
1	Associates degree	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	Bachelors degree	211	19.5 %	76.4%
3	Other	65	6.0 %	23.6%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	0	0.0 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
276	2.00	3.00	2.24	0.43

EDUBREAK	EDUCATION BREAKS
----------	------------------

Start: 294
End: 301
Width: 8.2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: -1.00

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-1.00 (M)	System Missing	1	0.1 %	-
1.00	Less than high school	54	5.0 %	5.0%
2.00	Graduated high school	294	27.2 %	27.2%
3.00	Some college +	733	67.7 %	67.8%
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
1081	1.00	3.00	2.63	0.58

Q910	Q910. AGE
------	-----------

Start: 302
End: 305
Width: 4
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: -9 thru -1

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	14	1.3 %	-
18	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
19	-	9	0.8 %	0.8%
20	-	10	0.9 %	0.9%
21	-	11	1.0 %	1.0%
22	-	11	1.0 %	1.0%
23	-	11	1.0 %	1.0%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q910	Q910. AGE (<i>cont.</i>)
------	----------------------------

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
24	-	7	0.6 %	0.7%
25	-	16	1.5 %	1.5%
26	-	6	0.6 %	0.6%
27	-	10	0.9 %	0.9%
28	-	18	1.7 %	1.7%
29	-	18	1.7 %	1.7%
30	-	15	1.4 %	1.4%
31	-	14	1.3 %	1.3%
32	-	22	2.0 %	2.1%
33	-	15	1.4 %	1.4%
34	-	10	0.9 %	0.9%
35	-	17	1.6 %	1.6%
36	-	23	2.1 %	2.2%
37	-	15	1.4 %	1.4%
38	-	16	1.5 %	1.5%
39	-	12	1.1 %	1.1%
40	-	30	2.8 %	2.8%
41	-	20	1.8 %	1.9%
42	-	27	2.5 %	2.5%
43	-	19	1.8 %	1.8%
44	-	22	2.0 %	2.1%
45	-	30	2.8 %	2.8%
46	-	19	1.8 %	1.8%
47	-	30	2.8 %	2.8%
48	-	27	2.5 %	2.5%
49	-	30	2.8 %	2.8%
50	-	39	3.6 %	3.7%
51	-	13	1.2 %	1.2%
52	-	26	2.4 %	2.4%
53	-	25	2.3 %	2.3%
54	-	24	2.2 %	2.2%
55	-	30	2.8 %	2.8%
56	-	21	1.9 %	2.0%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q910	Q910. AGE (<i>cont.</i>)
------	----------------------------

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
57	-	31	2.9 %	2.9%
58	-	32	3.0 %	3.0%
59	-	13	1.2 %	1.2%
60	-	18	1.7 %	1.7%
61	-	16	1.5 %	1.5%
62	-	20	1.8 %	1.9%
63	-	9	0.8 %	0.8%
64	-	21	1.9 %	2.0%
65	-	15	1.4 %	1.4%
66	-	13	1.2 %	1.2%
67	-	15	1.4 %	1.4%
68	-	9	0.8 %	0.8%
69	-	8	0.7 %	0.7%
70	-	14	1.3 %	1.3%
71	-	12	1.1 %	1.1%
72	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
73	-	12	1.1 %	1.1%
74	-	8	0.7 %	0.7%
75	-	14	1.3 %	1.3%
76	-	8	0.7 %	0.7%
77	-	8	0.7 %	0.7%
78	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
79	-	7	0.6 %	0.7%
80	-	6	0.6 %	0.6%
81	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
82	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
83	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
84	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
85	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
86	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
87	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
88	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
89	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q910	Q910. AGE (cont.)
------	-------------------

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
90	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
1068	18.00	90.00	49.27	15.79

Q910AA	Q.910a Could you please tell me if you are between the ages of:
--------	---

Start: 306
End: 307
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	1071	99.0 %	-
1	18 to 30	0	0.0 %	0.0%
2	31 to 44	4	0.4 %	36.4%
3	45 to 60	4	0.4 %	36.4%
4	61 or older	3	0.3 %	27.3%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	0	0.0 %	-
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
11	2.00	4.00	2.91	0.83

AGEBREAK	AGE BREAKS
----------	------------

Start: 308
End: 315
Width: 8.2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: -1.00

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-1.00 (M)	System Missing	14	1.3 %	-
1.00	18-29	132	12.2 %	12.4%
2.00	30-39	159	14.7 %	14.9%
3.00	40-49	254	23.5 %	23.8%
4.00	50-64	338	31.2 %	31.6%
5.00	65+	185	17.1 %	17.3%
Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
1068	1.00	5.00	3.27	1.26

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q918	Q918. RACE
------	------------

Start: 316

End: 317

Width: 2

Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	12	1.1 %	-
1	White	876	81.0 %	81.9%
2	Black	70	6.5 %	6.5%
3	White Hispanic	38	3.5 %	3.6%
4	Black Hispanic	4	0.4 %	0.4%
5	Hispanic (no race given)	14	1.3 %	1.3%
6	Asian	14	1.3 %	1.3%
7	Other Race	54	5.0 %	5.0%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	0	0.0 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
1070	1.00	7.00	1.57	1.51

RACENET	Race NET
---------	----------

Start: 318
End: 325
Width: 8.2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: -1.00, 8.00

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-1.00 (M)	System Missing	12	1.1 %	-
1.00	White	876	81.0 %	81.9%
2.00	Black	70	6.5 %	6.5%
3.00	Hispanic NET	56	5.2 %	5.2%
6.00	Asian	14	1.3 %	1.3%
7.00	Other	54	5.0 %	5.0%
8.00 (M)	DK/No opinion	0	0.0 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
1070	1.00	7.00	1.54	1.46

INCOME	INCOME
--------	--------

Start: 326
End: 327

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

INCOME	INCOME (cont.)
--------	----------------

Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	146	13.5 %	-
1	Under 20 thousand dollars	119	11.0 %	12.7%
2	20 to under 35 thousand	163	15.1 %	17.4%
3	35 to under 50 thousand	175	16.2 %	18.7%
4	50 to under 75 thousand	180	16.6 %	19.2%
5	75 to under 100 thousand	127	11.7 %	13.6%
6	100 thousand or more	172	15.9 %	18.4%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	0	0.0 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
936	1.00	6.00	3.59	1.65

Q920A	Q920A. REPORTER CALL
-------	----------------------

Start: 328
End: 329
Width: 2
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	451	41.7 %	-
1	Yes	451	41.7 %	71.7%
2	No	178	16.5 %	28.3%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	2	0.2 %	-

Valid	Min	Max	Mean	Stdev
629	1.00	2.00	1.28	0.45

Q921	Q921. GENDER
------	--------------

Start: 330
End: 331
Width: 2

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
-9 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

Q921	Q921. GENDER (cont.)
------	----------------------

Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: 8, (-9 thru -1)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-7 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
-4 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
-1 (M)	System Missing	0	0.0 %	-
1	Male	575	53.1 %	53.1%
2	Female	507	46.9 %	46.9%
8 (M)	DK/No opinion	0	0.0 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
1082	1.00	2.00	1.47	0.50

RDDWT	RDD WEIGHT
-------	------------

Start: 332 End: 343 Width: 12.4 Type: numeric (ISO) Interval: discrete Missing: -9.0000 thru -1.0000	<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
	-9.0000 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
	-7.0000 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
	-6.0000 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
	-5.0000 (M)	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-
	-4.0000 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
	-1.0000 (M)	System Missing	75	6.9 %	-
	0.4028	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
	0.5161	-	144	13.3 %	14.3%
	0.5171	-	74	6.8 %	7.3%
	0.5903	-	65	6.0 %	6.5%
	0.7331	-	100	9.2 %	9.9%
	0.7762	-	89	8.2 %	8.8%
	0.7894	-	62	5.7 %	6.2%
	0.9433	-	79	7.3 %	7.8%
	1.0070	-	10	0.9 %	1.0%
	1.0184	-	53	4.9 %	5.3%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

RDDWT	RDD WEIGHT (cont.)
-------	--------------------

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1.1153	-	40	3.7 %	4.0%
1.1480	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
1.1509	-	7	0.6 %	0.7%
1.1652	-	7	0.6 %	0.7%
1.1681	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
1.2947	-	7	0.6 %	0.7%
1.3052	-	52	4.8 %	5.2%
1.3676	-	43	4.0 %	4.3%
1.3917	-	39	3.6 %	3.9%
1.4501	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
1.4731	-	35	3.2 %	3.5%
1.5105	-	6	0.6 %	0.6%
2.2406	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
2.4464	-	17	1.6 %	1.7%
2.4534	-	22	2.0 %	2.2%
2.5334	-	19	1.8 %	1.9%
2.6518	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
2.8196	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
2.8867	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
2.9203	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
3.9776	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
5.2364	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
1007	0.4028	5.2364	1.0000	0.5534

OSWGT	OS WEIGHT
-------	-----------

Start: 344
End: 355
Width: 12.4
Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Missing: -9.0000 thru -1.0000

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-9.0000 (M)	Other (specify)	0	0.0 %	-
-7.0000 (M)	Don't know	0	0.0 %	-
-6.0000 (M)	Refused	0	0.0 %	-
-5.0000	No Answer	0	0.0 %	-

Variable	Variable Description				
OSWGT	OS WEIGHT (cont.)				
	<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
	(M)				
	-4.0000 (M)	Blank	0	0.0 %	-
	-1.0000 (M)	System Missing	0	0.0 %	-
	0.4309	-	48	4.4 %	4.4%
	0.4328	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
	0.4559	-	24	2.2 %	2.2%
	0.4808	-	22	2.0 %	2.0%
	0.5283	-	112	10.4 %	10.4%
	0.5588	-	54	5.0 %	5.0%
	0.5894	-	52	4.8 %	4.8%
	0.6092	-	30	2.8 %	2.8%
	0.6404	-	35	3.2 %	3.2%
	0.6764	-	14	1.3 %	1.3%
	0.7468	-	81	7.5 %	7.5%
	0.7850	-	66	6.1 %	6.1%
	0.8291	-	52	4.8 %	4.8%
	0.8428	-	19	1.8 %	1.8%
	0.8651	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
	0.8949	-	17	1.6 %	1.6%
	0.9253	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
	1.0006	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
	1.0331	-	62	5.7 %	5.7%
	1.0605	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
	1.0630	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
	1.0820	-	10	0.9 %	0.9%
	1.0970	-	39	3.6 %	3.6%
	1.1136	-	14	1.3 %	1.3%
	1.1342	-	6	0.6 %	0.6%
	1.1995	-	11	1.0 %	1.0%
	1.2266	-	35	3.2 %	3.2%
	1.2324	-	13	1.2 %	1.2%
	1.2520	-	7	0.6 %	0.6%

Variable	Variable Description
----------	----------------------

OSWGT	OS WEIGHT (<i>cont.</i>)
-------	----------------------------

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1.2787	-	9	0.8 %	0.8%
1.3031	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
1.3196	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
1.3651	-	42	3.9 %	3.9%
1.3659	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
1.3911	-	7	0.6 %	0.6%
1.4704	-	34	3.1 %	3.1%
1.5107	-	28	2.6 %	2.6%
1.5675	-	28	2.6 %	2.6%
1.6177	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
1.6744	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
2.1013	-	5	0.5 %	0.5%
2.2164	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
2.3049	-	8	0.7 %	0.7%
2.4074	-	4	0.4 %	0.4%
2.5758	-	16	1.5 %	1.5%
2.7170	-	14	1.3 %	1.3%
2.8254	-	14	1.3 %	1.3%
2.8493	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
3.0296	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
3.1017	-	3	0.3 %	0.3%
3.1378	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%
4.2739	-	2	0.2 %	0.2%
5.6264	-	1	0.1 %	0.1%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
1082	0.4309	5.6264	1.0000	0.5915

ICPSR APPENDIX FOR # 04326
ABC News/ Washington Post Monthly Poll, April 2005

The codes listed in the appendix provide the complete listing of states (including the District of Columbia) composing the Census Region (variable **REG4** in the codebook) and the Census Division (**CENSDIV**). Additionally, the three-character state codes composing the ABC State Number (variable **ABCNUM** in the codebook) are listed.

A series of questions were asked only of an oversample of Catholic respondents. A complete list of the variables corresponding to these questions is provided.

United States Census Region Codes [REGION]

1 EAST

Connecticut
Delaware
District of Columbia
Maine
Maryland
Massachusetts
New Hampshire
New Jersey
New York
Pennsylvania
Rhode Island
Vermont

2 MIDWEST

Illinois
Indiana
Iowa
Kansas
Michigan
Minnesota
Missouri
Nebraska
North Dakota
Ohio
South Dakota
Wisconsin

3 SOUTH

Alabama
Arkansas
Florida
Georgia
Kentucky
Louisiana
Mississippi
North Carolina
Oklahoma
South Carolina
Tennessee
Texas
Virginia
West Virginia

4 WEST

Alaska
Arizona
California
Colorado
Hawaii
Idaho
Montana
Nevada
New Mexico
Oregon
Utah
Washington
Wyoming

United States Census Division Codes [CENSDIV]

1 NEW ENGLAND

Connecticut	New Hampshire
Maine	Rhode Island
Massachusetts	Vermont

2 MIDDLE ATLANTIC

New Jersey
New York
Pennsylvania

3 EAST NORTH CENTRAL

Illinois	Ohio
Indiana	Wisconsin
Michigan	

4 WEST NORTH CENTRAL

Iowa	Nebraska
Kansas	North Dakota
Minnesota	South Dakota
Missouri	

5 SOUTH ATLANTIC

Delaware	North Carolina
District of Columbia	South Carolina
Florida	Virginia
Georgia	West Virginia
Maryland	

6 EAST SOUTH CENTRAL

Alabama	Mississippi
Kentucky	Tennessee

7 WEST SOUTH CENTRAL

Arkansas	Oklahoma
Louisiana	Texas

8 MOUNTAIN

Arizona
Colorado
Idaho
Montana

Nevada
New Mexico
Utah
Wyoming

9 PACIFIC

Alaska
California
Hawaii

Oregon
Washington

STATE CODES [ABCNUM]

ALA	01	Alabama	MON	27	Montana
LAS	02	Alaska	NEB	28	Nebraska
ARI	03	Arizona	NEV	29	Nevada
ARK	04	Arkansas	N/H	30	New hampshire
CAL	05	California	N/J	31	New Jersey
COL	06	Colorado	N/M	32	New Mexico
CON	07	Connecticut	N/Y	33	New York
DEL	08	Delaware	N/C	34	North Carolina
D/C	09	District of Columbia	N/D	35	North Dakota
FLO	10	Florida	OHI	36	Ohio
GEO	11	Georgia	OKL	37	Oklahoma
HAW	12	Hawaii	ORE	38	Oregon
IDA	13	Idaho	PEN	39	Pennsylvania
ILL	14	Illinois	R/I	40	Rhode Island
IND	15	Indiana	S/C	41	South Carolina
IOW	16	Iowa	S/D	42	South Dakota
KAN	17	Kansas	TEN	43	Tennessee
KEN	18	Kentucky	TEX	44	Texas
LOU	19	Louisiana	UTA	45	Utah
MAI	20	Maine	VER	46	Vermont
MAR	21	Maryland	VIR	47	Virginia
MAS	22	Massachusetts	WAS	48	Washington
MIC	23	Michigan	W/V	49	West Virginia
MIN	24	Minnesota	WIS	50	Wisconsin
MIS	25	Mississippi	WYO	51	Wyoming
ISS	26	Missouri			

Questions Asked Only of the Catholic Oversample (N=284)

When including any of these variables for analysis, the data need to be weighted by the variable **OSWGT** prior to analysis.

VARIABLE NAME	QUESTIONNAIRE ITEM
Q911	Q.911 RELIGION
Q911SUP	Q.911SUP
Q911N	Q.911N. Is that a Christian religion or not?
Q911A	Q.911A. Is that a Protestant denomination, or not?
Q911B	Q.911B. BORN AGAIN
RELNET	Religion NETS
Q911E	Q.911E Aside from weddings and funerals, how often do you attend religious services - at least once a week, a few times a month, or less often than that?
Q32	Q.32 How important is religion in your everyday life: The most important thing in your life very important, somewhat important, or not important at all?
Q37	Q.37 On another subject, overall would you say you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the Catholic Church?
Q38	Q.38 Do you approve or disapprove of the selection of Cardinal Joseph Ratzinger, now known as Pope Benedict the 16th, as the next pope?
Q39	Q.39 How would you describe your feelings about the selection of Ratzinger enthusiastic, or not enthusiastic at all?
Q40	Q.40 Do you think Pope Benedict should (maintain the traditional policies of the Church), or should he (change Church policies to reflect the attitudes and lifestyles of Catholics today)?
Q41	Q.41 What do you think Pope Benedict WILL do - (maintain the traditional policies of the Church), or (change Church policies to reflect the attitudes and lifestyles of Catholics today)?
Q42_1	Q42a How high a priority should - Preserving the church's traditions - be for Pope Benedict:
Q42_2	Q42b How high a priority should - Responding to the concerns of women in the church - be for Pope Benedict:
Q42_3	Q42c How high a priority should - Responding to the concerns of young Catholics - be for Pope Benedict:
Q42_4	Q42d How high a priority should - Making it attractive for people to serve as priests - be for Pope Benedict:

Q42_5	Q42e How high a priority should - Improving the Catholic Church's relations with other religions - be for Pope Benedict:
Q42_6	Q42f How high a priority should - Encouraging human rights - be for Pope Benedict:
Q42_7	Q42g How high a priority should - Addressing the issue of sexual abuse by priests - be for Pope Benedict:
Q43	Q.43 In general, do you think the Roman Catholic Church is in touch with the views of Catholics in America today, or is it out of touch?
Q44	Q.44 Would you want your son to become a priest, or not?
Q44A	Q.44a Would you support or oppose the Catholic church denying communion to Catholic politicians who are in favor of legal abortion?