Other Regarding Preferences - CES

December 8, 2016

1 Introduction

1.1 Budget

$$p = \frac{p_x}{p_y} = \frac{m_y}{m_x}; m_y = \frac{M}{p_y}; m_x = \frac{M}{p_x}$$
 (if $m_y = m_x$ we denote both by m).

1.2 CES Preferences

$$u\left(x,y\right)=\left[lpha imes x^{
ho}+\left(1-lpha
ight) imes y^{
ho}
ight]^{\frac{1}{
ho}}$$
 where $lpha\in[0,1].^{1}$

The definition of elasticity of substitution is $\sigma_{xy} = -\frac{\frac{\Delta_y^2}{y}}{\frac{D}{y}}$. If the DM maximizes utility and the chosen bundle is interior then at this bundle the price ratio equals the MRS. Therefore, $\sigma_{xy} = -\frac{\frac{\Delta_y^2}{y}}{\frac{D}{y}}$. Next, we take advantage of the equality $d\ln(f(x)) = \frac{df(x)}{f(x)}$ and we write $\sigma_{xy} = -\frac{d\ln(\frac{x}{y})}{d\ln(\frac{D}{y})} = -\frac{d\ln(\frac{x}{y})}{d\ln(MRS_{xy})}$. For an interior optimal choice in a standard case $(\alpha \in (0,1), x > 0, y > 0, \rho \notin \{-\infty, 0, 1, \infty\})$: $MRS_{xy} = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\rho-1}$. Now, denote $MRS_{xy} = \theta$. Then, $\theta = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\rho-1}$ and therefore $\frac{x}{y} = (\theta \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}}$. By the expression we found above, $\sigma_{xy} = -\frac{d\ln((\theta \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}})}{d\ln(\theta)}$. Hence, we get, $\sigma_{xy} = -\frac{\frac{d((\theta \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}})}{(\theta \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}}}}{\frac{d\theta}{\theta}} = -\frac{d((\theta \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}})}{(\theta \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}}} = -\frac{1}{\rho-1} \times (\theta \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}-1} \times \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \times \frac{\theta}{(\theta \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}}} = \frac{1}{1-\rho}$. Hence, the elasticity of substitution is constant since it does not depend on the chosen bundle. As ρ increases $(\rho \leqslant 1)$ the elasticity of substitution increases, meaning that the DM is more sensitive to changes in the relative price.

2 Utility Maximization Problem

Case 1 (Extreme Altruism). $\alpha = 0$ means that u(x, y) = y and the chosen bundle is $(0, m_y)$.

Case 2 (Extreme Selfishness). $\alpha = 1$ means that u(x, y) = x and the chosen bundle is $(m_x, 0)$.

Case 3 ($\sigma_{xy} = 0$). If $\alpha \in (0,1)$ then $\rho \to -\infty$ means that $u(x,y) = \min\{x,y\}$ and the chosen bundle is $(\frac{m_y}{1+p}, \frac{m_y}{1+p})^2$.

Case 4 ($\sigma_{xy} = 1$). If $\alpha \in (0,1)$ then $\rho = 0$ means that the DM has Cobb-Douglas preferences, $u(x,y) = x^{\alpha} \times y^{1-\alpha}$ and the chosen bundle is $(\alpha m_x, (1-\alpha)m_y)$.³

Case 5 $(\sigma_{xy} \to \infty)$. If $\alpha \in (0,1)$ then $\rho = 1$ means that $u(x,y) = \alpha \times x + (1-\alpha) \times y$. Therefore, the indifference curves are linear with the slope $\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}$. Thus, the chosen bundle is,

Case 6. If $\alpha \in (0,1)$, $\rho \in (-\infty,1)$ and $\rho \neq 0$, the CES utility function

$$\begin{array}{ll} \lim_{\rho \to 0} u\left(x,y\right) &= \lim_{\rho \to 0} \left[\alpha \times x^{\rho} + (1-\alpha) \times y^{\rho}\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}} &= \lim_{\rho \to 0} e^{\ln\left[\alpha \times x^{\rho} + (1-\alpha) \times y^{\rho}\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}} \\ &= e^{\lim_{\rho \to 0} \ln\left[\alpha \times x^{\rho} + (1-\alpha) \times y^{\rho}\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}} &= e^{\lim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{\ln\left[\alpha \times x^{\rho} + (1-\alpha) \times y^{\rho}\right]}{\rho}} \end{array}$$

By L'Hopital rule,

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{\ln[\alpha \times x^{\rho} + (1-\alpha) \times y^{\rho}]}{\rho} &= \lim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{\frac{\alpha \times x^{\rho} \times \ln x + (1-\alpha) \times y^{\rho} \times \ln y}{\alpha \times x^{\rho} + (1-\alpha) \times y^{\rho}}}{1} \\ &= \lim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{\alpha \times x^{\rho} \times \ln x + (1-\alpha) \times y^{\rho} \times \ln y}{\alpha \times x^{\rho} + (1-\alpha) \times y^{\rho}} \\ &= \alpha \times \ln x + (1-\alpha) \times \ln y \end{split}$$

Hence, $\lim_{\rho \to 0} u(x, y) = e^{\alpha \times \ln x + (1 - \alpha) \times \ln y} = x^{\alpha} \times y^{1 - \alpha}$.

²The Leontief function is due to the fact that the lower quantity is the dominant quantity when raised to the power of minus infinity.

³When $\rho = 0$ the value of the utility cannot be determined. To recover the utility function consider,

represents monotonic⁴ and convex⁵ preferences. Therefore, the chosen bundle is interior and it satisfies $MRS_{xy} = p$ and $p_x x + p_y y = M$. Hence, the chosen bundle is $\left(\frac{m_y}{p + \left[p\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}}, \frac{m_y}{1 + \frac{p}{\left[p\frac{1-\alpha}{1-\rho}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}}}\right)$.

Case 7. If $\alpha \in (0,1)$, $\rho \in (1,\infty)$, the CES utility function represents monotonic and concave preferences. Therefore, the chosen bundle is

$$(0, m_y) if \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}
$$\{(0, m_y), (m_x, 0)\} if \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} = p$$

$$(m_x, 0) if \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} > p$$$$

Remark 1. Cases 6 and 7 demonstrate that when $\rho \geq 1$, ρ cannot be identified. Cases 1 and 2 demonstrate that when $\alpha \in \{0,1\}$, ρ also cannot be identified. Therefore, ρ is identified only when $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $\rho < 1$

3 Expenditure Minimization Problem

Case 8 (Extreme Altruism). $\alpha = 0$: $e(p_x, p_y, (x_0, y_0)) = p_y \times y_0$.

Case 9 (Extreme Selfishness). $\alpha = 1 : e(p_x, p_y, (x_0, y_0)) = p_x \times x_0$.

Case 10
$$(\sigma_{xy} = 0)$$
. $\rho \to -\infty$: $e(p_x, p_y, (x_0, y_0)) = (p_x + p_y) \times \min\{x_0, y_0\}$.

Case 11
$$(\sigma_{xy} = 1)$$
. $\rho = 0 : e(p_x, p_y, (x_0, y_0)) = \left[\frac{p_x x_0}{\alpha}\right]^{\alpha} \times \left[\frac{p_y y_0}{1-\alpha}\right]^{1-\alpha}$.

Case 12
$$(\sigma_{xy} \to \infty)$$
. $\rho = 1 : e(p_x, p_y, (x_0, y_0)) =$

$$p_{y} \times (y_{0} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}x_{0}) \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} < p$$

$$p_{x} \times x_{0} + p_{y} \times y_{0} \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} = p$$

$$p_{x} \times (x_{0} + \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}y_{0}) \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} > p$$

⁴Consider the case where $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $\rho \in (-\infty,\infty)$ and $\rho \notin \{0,1\}$. Then, $u_x = \alpha [\alpha \times x^{\rho} + (1-\alpha) \times y^{\rho}]^{\frac{1}{\rho}-1} x^{\rho-1} \ge 0$ and $u_y = (1-\alpha) [\alpha \times x^{\rho} + (1-\alpha) \times y^{\rho}]^{\frac{1}{\rho}-1} y^{\rho-1} \ge 0$. Hence, the CES preferences are monotonic.

⁵Consider the case where $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $\rho \in (-\infty,\infty)$ and $\rho \notin \{0,1\}$. Then, $MRS_{xy} = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\rho-1}$. If $\rho < 1$ then when $\frac{x}{y}$ increases the MRS decreases while if $\rho > 1$ then when $\frac{x}{y}$ increases the MRS increases. Since the the CES preferences are monotonic, when $\rho < 1$ the CES preferences are concave.

Case 13.
$$\rho < 1, \rho \neq 0, \alpha \in (0,1) : e\left(p_x, p_y, (x_0, y_0)\right) =$$

$$u(x_0, y_0) \times \left[\frac{p_x}{\left[\alpha + \frac{\alpha^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}}}{(1-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}}p^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}} + \frac{p_y}{\left[(1-\alpha) + \frac{(1-\alpha)^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}}p^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}}}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}} \right]$$

Case 14. If
$$\alpha \in (0,1), \rho \in (1,\infty) : e(p_x, p_y, (x_0, y_0)) =$$

$$\frac{p_y}{1-\alpha} \left[\alpha \times x_0^\rho + (1-\alpha) \times y_0^\rho\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \leqslant p$$

$$\frac{p_x}{\alpha} \left[\alpha \times x_0^\rho + (1-\alpha) \times y_0^\rho\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} > p$$