Response to Reviewers

Manuscript ID: manuscript ID

Title: The title of your paper

Dear Editor,

Thank you for your email on [Date], regarding our paper entitled "*[Paper Title]*", submitted to *[Journal/Conference Name]* (Log number: [Manuscript ID]).

We are pleased to submit the revised version of our manuscript, which addresses the valuable feedback provided by you and the reviewers. A detailed, point-by-point response to the reviewers' comments is included in this letter.

Additionally, for clarity and readability, please note that the reviewers' comments are presented in *italic font*, while our responses are presented in regular font. Revised sentences in the manuscript are highlighted in yellow, and the corresponding changes are indicated in blue text within this response letter. Minor issues raised by the reviewers are also numbered and addressed in the same format for completeness.

We sincerely appreciate the thoughtful insights and constructive suggestions provided by the reviewers, which have significantly contributed to improving the quality of our work.

Sincerely yours,

Z.-H. Zhou[1], P.-L. Run[2], Eng Hen[1]

[1] School of Auto Sci and Ele Eng, Aeiang University, Beijing, China.
[2] School of Neering and Tech, Tral Quend University, Austra.

mail 1; mail 2; mail 3

Responses to the Comments from Editor

General Comment:

Thank you for your submission. The reviewers have raised several important concerns regarding methodology, clarity, and experimental evidence. Please address these points in your revision.

General Response:

We sincerely thank the Editor for the valuable feedback and suggestions. We have carefully revised our manuscript and addressed all comments raised by the reviewers. Specifically, we have improved the clarity of our methodology, enhanced the experimental analysis, and revised the manuscript for better presentation.

Response to Reviewer #1

General Comment:
This paper addresses an important problem and proposes a new method. However, I have concerns regarding the experimental setup and clarity of some explanations [1].

General Response:
We thank the reviewer for the thorough review and constructive suggestions. In response, we have:

- Improved the explanation of our proposed method (see Section X).
- Revised the experimental setup to enhance clarity and reproducibility (see Section Y).
- Clarified our results and added further discussion to address your concerns (see Section Z).

We hope these revisions address your concerns.

Bibliography

[1] J. Smith and A. Johnson, "Deep learning approaches for automated software testing," *Journal of Software Engineering Research*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 123–138, 2023.

Response to Reviewer #2

General Comment:
The manuscript proposes an interesting approach. However, the novelty and empirical validation need to be further clarified. Some related work is missing [1].

General Response:
We appreciate the reviewer's thoughtful comments and suggestions. To address your concerns, we have:

- Clarified the novelty and main contributions of our work (see Section X).
- Added additional related work (see Section Y).
- Expanded the empirical validation with new experiments and more detailed analysis (see Section Z).

Thank you for helping us improve the manuscript.

Bibliography

[1] J. Smith and A. Johnson, "Deep learning approaches for automated software testing," *Journal of Software Engineering Research*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 123–138, 2023.

Response to Reviewer #3

General Comment:
While the topic is relevant, the manuscript could benefit from improved organization and more detailed explanations of the main concepts [1].

General Response:
We thank the reviewer for the constructive feedback. In this revision, we have:

- Improved the organization of the manuscript to enhance readability.
- Added detailed explanations of key concepts and revised relevant sections for clarity.

We hope these changes address your suggestions.

Bibliography

[1] J. Smith and A. Johnson, "Deep learning approaches for automated software testing," *Journal of Software Engineering Research*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 123–138, 2023.