Chapter 17: Metaprogramming, Big Picture

Tony ElHabr

R4DS Reading Group

































Writing programs (or code) that can manipulate other programs (or code).


Does metaprogamming == Non Standard Evaluation (NSE)?

[Metaprogramming] is the idea that code is data that can be inspected and modified programmatically... Closely related to metaprogramming is **non-standard evaluation**, NSE for short. This term, which is commonly used to describe the behaviour of R functions, is problematic.. NSE is actually a property of the argument (or arguments) of a function, so talking about NSE functions is a little sloppy.























But what does that mean???

"R code can be described as expressions, which can be drawn as trees."

An expression is anything that has a value. The simplest expressions are literal values like the number 1, the string "stuff", and the Boolean TRUE. A variable like least is also an expression: its value is whatever the variable currently refers to. Complex expressions are built out of simpler expressions: 1 + 2 is an expression that uses + to combine 1 and 2, while the expression c(10, 20, 30) uses the function c to create a vector out of the values 10, 20, 30. Expressions are often drawn as trees.

```
lobstr::ast(f(x, 'y', 1))

## o-f

## +-x

## +-"y"

## \-1
```

Colours will be shown when you call ast(), but do not appear in the book for complicated technical reasons.





But what does that mean???

"R code can be described as expressions, which can be drawn as trees."

An expression is anything that has a value. The simplest expressions are literal values like the number 1, the string "stuff", and the Boolean TRUE. A variable like least is also an expression: its value is whatever the variable currently refers to. Complex expressions are built out of simpler expressions: 1 + 2 is an expression that uses + to combine 1 and 2, while the expression c(10, 20, 30) uses the function c to create a vector out of the values 10, 20, 30. Expressions are often drawn as trees.

```
lobstr::ast(f(x, 'y', 1))

## o-f

## +-x

## +-"y"

## \-1
```

Colours will be shown when you call ast(), but do not appear in the book for complicated technical reasons.





Everything is a tree!

Assignment and infix operator (*)

```
x <- 1
lobstr::ast(y <- 2 * x)

## o-`<-`
## +-y
## \-o-`*`
## +-2
## \-x</pre>
```

Control flow statements

```
lobstr::ast(if(x > 1) y else x)
## o-`if`
## +-o-`>`
## | +-x
## | \-1
## +-y
## \-x
```

Functions

```
lobstr::ast(function(x, y) x + y)
## o-`function`
## +-o-x = ``
## +-0-`+`
## | +-x
## \-\
## \-<inline srcref>
ASTs
lobstr::ast(lobstr::ast(x + y))
## 0-0-`::`
## | +-lobstr
## | \-ast
## \-o-`+`
##
   +-x
## \-y
```



2. Capture trees by quoting

```
ex1 <- rlang::expr(x + y)
ex1

## x + y

x <- 1
y <- 2
eval(ex1)

## [1] 3

lobstr::ast(1 + 2)

## o-`+`
## +-1
## \-2</pre>
```





```
rlang::expr vs. rlang::enexpr

rlang::expr quotes your expression

f1 <- function(z) expr(z)
 f1(a + b)

## z

enexpr quotes user's expression

f2 <- function(z) enexpr(z)
 f2(a + b)

## a + b

en = "enriched"</pre>
```









imgflip.com

JAKE-CLARK. TUMBLE



2. Capture trees by quoting

```
mean(x + y)
library(ggplot2)
# ggplot(mtcars, aes(disp, mpg)) + geom_point()
mtcars$disp
z <- x + 1
data.frame(z = 3)</pre>
```

Blue: Evaluated using usual R rules

Red: Quoted and evaluated with special rules



```
lobstr::ast(eval(!!ex1))
## o-eval
## \-o-`+`
   +-x
##
##
   \-y
ex2 <- rlang::expr(x / !!ex1)</pre>
ex2
## x/(x + y)
eval(ex2)
## [1] 0.3333333
lobstr::ast(eval(!!ex2))
## o-eval
## \-o-`/`
##
   \-o-`+`
##
     +-x
##
    \-y
```





















```
x < -8 / 2 * (2 + 2)
lobstr::ast(x <- 8 / 2 * (2 + 2))
## o-`<-`
## \-o-`*`
   +-0-`/`
   | +-8
   \-2
   \-o-`(`
   \-o-`+`
##
     +-2
##
    \-2
##
X
## [1] 16
```





quosure == closure + quote

Quosures aremportant for disambiguating the context in which expressions are evaluated (e.g. a column in a data frame or a variable in the parent environment).




Result is tibble(x = 0, y = 1).

```
update <- function(df, col) {
    n <- 1
    col <- rlang::enexpr(col)
    res <- dplyr::mutate(df, y = !!col)
    res
}

df <- tibble::tibble(x = 0)
    n <- 2
    update(df, x + n)</pre>
```







Result is tibble(x = 0, y = 2).

```
update <- function(df, col) {
    n <- 1
    col <- rlang::enquo(col)
    res <- dplyr::mutate(df, y = !!col)
    res
}

df <- tibble::tibble(x = 0)
    n <- 2
    update(df, x + n)</pre>
```






contrived example

canonical example



The Power of Metaprogramming

Metaprogramming is awesome. R is great because of how much control it allows user to have.



What's next



- Chapter 18: More about R code as a tree
- Chapter 19: More about evaluating (quoting) unevaluated code
- Chapter 20: More about evaluating (unquoting) captured code

Aside about trees



Understanding how "code is a tree" would have helped me with my final project in my Intro to Programming class.

Programming Project 9 Final Project Phase A

EE312 Fall 2014 Due November 24tt, 2014 before 11:59PM CST

FIVE POINTS

General: For our final project we will write our own little toy programming language. The language will have functions, loops, conditional statements, arithmetic and (maybe) even pointer variables. For Phase A, however, we're looking for just straight-line code Please note that whether you choose to explicitly build a parse tree or not, you will almost certainly have to write your parsing and executing function(s) using recursion. Fortunately, the recursion required to do this is super easy (whether you build a parse tree or not, the recursion is very natural).

Implementing a Parse Tree is optional for Phase A. In Phase B, we will almost certainly mandate that you have a Parse Tree, and for Phase B, the parse trees are decidedly more complicated, since you will have both Expressions to represent and Statements to represent.

Aside about trees



TEST: Test07 DESCRIPTION: Light functions - no parameters WEIGHT: 0.375 RESULT: FAILED REASON: TEST CRASHED Test08 DESCRIPTION: Functions with one parameter WEIGHT: 0.375 RESULT: FAILED REASON: TEST CRASHED DESCRIPTION: Functions with no return statement WEIGHT: 0.340 RESULT: FAILED REASON: TEST CRASHED TEST: Test10 DESCRIPTION: Functions with local vars - testing scoping WEIGHT: 0.330 RESULT: FAILED REASON: TEST CRASHED DESCRIPTION: Other local scoping tests WEIGHT: 0.330 RESULT: FAILED REASON: TEST CRASHED DESCRIPTION: More interesting functions - recursion WEIGHT: 0.340 RESULT: FAILED REASON: TEST CRASHED Test13 DESCRIPTION: Additional functions test WEIGHT: 0.330 RESULT: FAILED REASON: TEST CRASHED Test14 DESCRIPTION: Nested function calls WEIGHT: 0.330 RESULT: FAILED TEST CRASHED ************************************

