Lab 2

Jan Salama 213769151

Mias Ghantous 213461692

Question 1:

The method run() simply executes the run method on the current thread whereas the method start() starts a new thread and executes run on it

If we wanted to run a thread parallel to the main thread we need to use start().

Question 2:

- 1. Hello world from thread number 8
 Hello world from thread number 9
 Hello world from thread number 2
 Hello world from thread number 1
 Hello world from thread number 1
 Hello world from thread number 6
 Hello world from thread number 3
 Hello world from thread number 0
 Hello world from thread number 4
 Hello world from thread number 7
 That's all, folks
- That's all, folks
 Hello world from thread number2
 Hello world from thread number6
 Hello world from thread number1
 Hello world from thread number8
 Hello world from thread number7

Hello world from thread number3

Hello world from thread number9

Hello world from thread number0

Hello world from thread number5

Hello world from thread number4

After removing the loop that joined the threads, the main thread did not wait for the other threads to terminate and immediately continued, that is why we see "That's all, folks" at the start this time.

3. Hello world from thread number0
Hello world from thread number1
Hello world from thread number2
Hello world from thread number3
Hello world from thread number4
Hello world from thread number5
Hello world from thread number6
Hello world from thread number7
Hello world from thread number8
Hello world from thread number8

That's all, folks

Since we joined after every start(), that means the main thread waited for each thread it started to terminate before ending the loop iteration, that's why we see the outputs in order.

No thread was started before the one that was created earlier finished running.

4. Thread.currentThread.join() is an error, essentially we are making a thread wait for itself to stop running, resulting in endless waiting.

Question 3:

1. The output of the normal Sum class:

4294967296

Total execution time: 0 min, 1 sec

The output of SumThreads:

4294967290

Total execution time: 0 min, 0 sec

These results were consistent over numerous running attempts, showing clearly that the multi-threader approach was advantageous.

2. Since the execution time showed 0.0 consistently, it appears as though the run time was consistent.

However, this is because the run time is so low.

In general when working with multiple threads run time usually differ based on how the cpu allocated runtime to each thread, the amount of overhead from context switching, and many other differences in execution.

This is clear after completing question 2, as every run of the original code yielded a different order of thread outputs.

3. The code of SumThreads:

```
package org.example;
                                                                                                                                    A 3
public class SumThreads {
        private static long count = (long) Math.pow(2, 32); 1usage
        private static long sum = 0; 2 usages
        public static void main(String[] args) {
            Thread[] threads = new Thread[10];
            threads[\underline{i}] = new Thread(new AddThread(individual\_count)); //creating a new addthread with the overridden run method
            for (Thread thread : threads) { // joining the threads
                } catch (InterruptedException e) {
                    e.printStackTrace();
            System.out.println(sum);
            long difference = System.nanoTime() - startTime;
            long minutesInDifference = TimeUnit.NANOSECONDS.toMinutes(difference);
            long secondsInDifference = TimeUnit.NANOSECONDS.toSeconds(difference) - TimeUnit.MINUTES.toSeconds(minutesInDifference);
```

Question 4:

After reading part 7 in the lab pdf file I decided to read into and use the BlockingQueue collection.

This is my new code:

```
package org.example;

import java.util.concurrent.BlockingQueue;

import java.util.concurrent.LinkedBlockingQueue;

public class ProducerConsumer2 { no usages
    private final int MAX_SIZE = 10; // Maximum number of items in the queue 1 usage
    private BlockingQueue<Integer> workingQueue = new LinkedBlockingQueue<>(MAX_SIZE); 2 usages

public void produce(int num) throws InterruptedException { no usages
    workingQueue.put(num); // This will wait if the queue is full
}

public Integer consume() throws InterruptedException { no usages
    return workingQueue.take(); // This will wait if the queue is empty
}
```

Lab Exercise 2:

After writing both the normal sum and the threaded sum classes, and measuring the time via the calendar utility as instructed.

Both classes shows a run time of 0 seconds.

Even when I tried adding milliseconds to the calendar, they show 0-millisecond runtime.

**Side-Note: Since this exercise resembles question 3, I used the same classes I used there and modified them according to the new demands.