

# Package ‘mixingsimulation’

December 3, 2021

**Type** Package

**Title** Simulation results for the powder mixing process

**Version** 0.0.1

**Authors** Mayooraan Thevaraja [aut, cre], Kondaswamy Govindaraju [aut], Mark Bebbington [aut]

**URL** <https://github.com/Mayooraan1987/mixingsimulation>

**BugReports** <https://github.com/Mayooraan1987/mixingsimulation/issues>

**Description** This package develops for simulating the powder mixing process for microbial risk assessment in the bulk material production process.

**License** GPL (>= 2)

**Encoding** UTF-8

**LazyData** true

**Imports** ggplot2, ggthemes, plyr, reshape2, stats, VGAM

**Suggests** testthat

**RoxygenNote** 7.1.2

**Depends** R (>= 4.0)

**Maintainer** Mayooraan Thevaraja <mayooraan@eng.jfn.ac.lk>

**Language** en-US

## R topics documented:

compare_mixing_prevalence . . . . .	2
compare_mixing_stages . . . . .	4
sim_multiple . . . . .	6
sim_multiple_prevalence . . . . .	7
sim_multiple_stages . . . . .	9
sim_single . . . . .	10
sim_single_pd_stages . . . . .	11
sim_single_prevalence . . . . .	13
sim_single_stages . . . . .	14

<b>Index</b>	<b>17</b>
--------------	-----------

---

compare\_mixing\_prevalence

*Graphical comparison of mixing plans based on estimated average prevalence in the mixing process.*

---

## Description

This function provides a graphical display to compare mixing plans based on the estimated average prevalence in the mixing process using different mixing parameters such as revolutions, type of distribution and number of primary samples.

## Usage

```
compare_mixing_prevalence(
  mulower,
  muupper,
  sigma,
  alpha_in,
  k,
  l,
  rate,
  distribution,
  USL,
  n_sim
)
```

## Arguments

mulower	the lower value of the mean concentration ( $\mu$ ) for use in the graphical display's x-axis.
muupper	the upper value of the mean concentration ( $\mu$ ) for use in the graphical display's x-axis.
sigma	the standard deviation of the colony-forming units (CFUs) in the mixed sample on the logarithmic scale (default value 0.8)
alpha_in	concentration parameter at the initial stage
k	number of small portions / primary samples
l	number of revolutions / stages
rate	concentration parameter changing rate in each of the revolutions
distribution	what suitable distribution type we have employed for simulation such as "Poisson-Type A" or "Poisson-Type B" or "Lognormal-Type A" or "Lognormal-Type B" or "Poisson lognormal-Type A" or "Poisson lognormal-Type B"
USL	the upper stabilizing limit of the expected total CFUs, which can be found from a stabilising point when the mean is about standard deviation
n_sim	number of simulations

## Details

Let  $N'$  be the number of CFUs in the mixed sample, which is produced by a contribution of  $k$  primary samples mixing,  $N' = \sum N_i$ , and let  $l$  be the number of revolutions in the mixing. This function provides a graphical display to compare mixing plans based on the estimated average prevalence in the mixing process with different input variables, such as number of revolutions, type of distribution and number of primary samples.

The prevalence is given by the following formula,

$$Prevalence = 1 - (1 - p_d)^k;$$

where  $p_d$  is the probability of detection in each stage of the mixing process. For comparison purposes, we have applied average prevalence after  $l$  number of revolutions in each mixing plan. The probability of detection at every stage of the mixing process can be estimated by employing function [sim\\_single\\_pd\\_stages](#). However, if we want to estimate prevalence values in each revolution of the mixing process of each plan, we have to utilise function [sim\\_single\\_prevalence](#). We can flexibly change the mixing parameters of this function, which depends on what purpose the comparison is needed for.

## Value

Estimates the average prevalence-based graphical display for a comparison of mixing plans.

## References

- Nauta, M.J., 2005. Microbiological risk assessment models for partitioning and mixing during food handling. International Journal of Food Microbiology 100, 311-322.

## See Also

[sim\\_single\\_prevalence](#)

## Examples

```
mulower <- 0
muupper <- 200
sigma <- 0.8
alpha_in <- 0.01
k <- c(30,30)
l <- c(500,25000)
rate <- 0.01
distribution <- c("Poisson lognormal-Type B","Poisson lognormal-Type B")
USL <- 138
n_sim <- 2000
compare_mixing_prevalence(mulower, muupper, sigma, alpha_in, k, l, rate, distribution, USL, n_sim)
```

---

`compare_mixing_stages` *Graphical comparison of mixing plans based on cumulative distribution of expected total CFUs in the mixing process.*

---

### Description

This function provides a graphical display to compare mixing plans based on the cumulative distribution of expected total CFUs in the mixing process using different mixing parameters, such as type of distribution and number of primary samples.

### Usage

```
compare_mixing_stages(mu, sigma, alpha_in, k, l, rate, distribution, n_sim)
```

### Arguments

<code>mu</code>	the average number of CFUs ( $\mu$ ) in the mixed sample, which is in a logarithmic scale if we use a Lognormal / Poisson lognormal distribution
<code>sigma</code>	the standard deviation of the colony-forming units in the mixed sample on the logarithmic scale (default value 0.8)
<code>alpha_in</code>	concentration parameter at the initial stage
<code>k</code>	number of small portions / primary samples
<code>l</code>	number of revolutions / stages
<code>rate</code>	concentration parameter changing rate in the each revolutions
<code>distribution</code>	what suitable distribution type we have employed for simulation such as "Poisson-Type A" or "Poisson-Type B" or "Lognormal-Type A" or "Lognormal-Type B" or "Poisson lognormal-Type A" or "Poisson lognormal-Type B"
<code>n_sim</code>	number of simulations

### Details

Let  $N'$  be the number of CFUs in the mixed sample, which is produced by the mixing of  $k$  primary samples and  $N' = \sum N_i$  and let  $N_i$  be the number of CFUs in the  $i^{th}$  primary sample; where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

For this package development, we have employed the notations 'Type-A' and 'Type-B' to indicate the type of distributions, which are applied in the previous literature as 'fair' and 'beta', respectively; see [Nauta \(2005\)](#).

Following [Nauta \(2005\)](#), the contribution weight of contamination by each primary sample can be defined by the random variable  $w_i$ , which is possible to be followed by either uniform distribution with parameter  $1/k$  or the joint distribution of  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k$  follows a Dirichlet distribution with concentration parameter  $\alpha$ . From the previous literature, a Dirichlet distribution can be formulated by beta or gamma algorithms, which have revealed the same results; see [Nauta \(2005\)](#).

This function is developed based on the beta algorithm and the following steps formulate it.

$$w_i = x_i \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} 1 - x_j \quad \forall i = 2, 3, \dots, k, \quad w_1 = x_1$$

;

where  $x_i$  follows  $Beta(\alpha, \alpha(k - i))$  and also  $\sum w_i$  must be equal to one.

- Case 1 (Poisson-Type A):  $N_i$  follows  $Poisson(\mu/k)$
- Case 2 (Poisson-Type B):  $N_i$  follows  $Poisson(\mu w_i)$
- Case 3 (Lognormal-Type A):  $N_i$  follows  $Binomial(M_i, 1/k)$ ; where  $M_i$  follows  $Lognormal(\mu, \sigma)$
- Case 4 (Lognormal-Type B):  $N_i$  follows  $Binomial(M_i, w_i)$ ; where  $M_i$  follows  $Lognormal(\mu, \sigma)$
- Case 5 (Poisson lognormal-Type A):  $N_i$  follows  $Binomial(M_i, 1/k)$ ; where  $M_i$  follows  $Poissonlognormal(\mu, \sigma)$
- Case 6 (Poisson lognormal-Type B):  $N_i$  follows  $Binomial(M_i, w_i)$ ; where  $M_i$  follows  $Poissonlognormal(\mu, \sigma)$

The powder-mixing process can be defined as breaking clusters stage-by-stage. Usually, it occurs systematically in the standard powder mixtures. For this package development, we assume that mixing parameters also systematically change with a fixed rate at each stage of the mixing. The mixing parameter can be defined as revolutions instead of the mixing stage in general. Due to the lack of theoretical results for the dependent random variable sum's distribution, we have chosen simulation techniques for this modelling.

Let  $l$  be the number of stages or revolutions of the mixture, and we also assumed a fixed concentration parameter value at the initial phase of the mixing process. Based on the literature in this area, the concentration parameter can be assumed to increase at every stage of the mixing, which is possible to do systematically.

Therefore, this function exhibits the graphical display with different quantities of primary sample mixing as a large unit.

## Value

Graphical comparison between different mixing schemes.

## References

- Nauta, M.J., 2005. Microbiological risk assessment models for partitioning and mixing during food handling. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 100, 311-322.

## See Also

[sim\\_single](#), [sim\\_single\\_stages](#), [sim\\_multiple\\_stages](#)

## Examples

```
mu <- 100
sigma <- 0.8
alpha_in <- 0.01
k <- c(30,75)
l <- 25000
rate <- 0.01
distribution <- c("Poisson lognormal-Type B","Poisson lognormal-Type B")
n_sim <- 20000
compare_mixing_stages(mu, sigma, alpha_in, k, l, rate, distribution, n_sim)
```

---

sim_multiple	<i>The expected total number of colony-forming units in the mixed sample in the multiple mixing schemes at the single stage of the mixing process.</i>
--------------	--

---

## Description

This function calculates the resulting expected total number of colony-forming units in the mixed sample in the multiple mixing plans at the single stage of the mixing process.

## Usage

```
sim_multiple(mu, sigma, alpha, k, distribution, n_sim)
```

## Arguments

mu	the average number of CFUs ( $\mu$ ) in the mixed sample, which is in a logarithmic scale if we use a Lognormal / Poisson lognormal distribution
sigma	the standard deviation of the colony-forming units in the mixed sample on the logarithmic scale (default value 0.8)
alpha	concentration parameter
k	number of small portions / primary samples
distribution	what suitable distribution type we have employed for simulation such as "Poisson-Type A" or "Poisson-Type B" or "Lognormal-Type A" or "Lognormal-Type B" or "Poisson lognormal-Type A" or "Poisson lognormal-Type B"
n_sim	number of simulations

## Details

Let  $N'$  be the number of colony-forming units in the mixed sample which is produced by contribution of  $k$  primary samples mixing and  $N' = \sum N_i$ . This function provides the simulated resulting of the expected total number of colony-forming units in the mixed sample in the multiple mixing plans at the single stage of the mixing process. To more details, please refer the details section of [compare\\_mixing\\_stages](#).

## Value

total number of colony forming units in the multiple mixing scheme

## References

- Nauta, M.J., 2005. Microbiological risk assessment models for partitioning and mixing during food handling. International Journal of Food Microbiology 100, 311-322.

## See Also

[sim\\_single](#), [compare\\_mixing\\_stages](#)

## Examples

```

set.seed(1350)
sigma <- 0.8
alpha <- c(0.1,5)
k <- c(30,30)
distribution <- c("Poisson lognormal-Type B","Poisson lognormal-Type B")
n_sim <- 200000
f_spr <- function(n_sim) {
  sprintf("Simulation results (no.simulations = %.0f)", n_sim)
}
f_spri <- function(alpha, distribution) {
  sprintf("mixing plan (alpha = %.1f, %s)", alpha, distribution)
}
mu <- seq(100, 200, 0.1)
sim.sum3 <- matrix(NA, nrow = length(mu), ncol = length(distribution))
for(i in 1:nrow(sim.sum3)){
  sim.sum3[i,] <- colMeans(sim_multiple(mu[i], sigma, alpha, k, distribution, n_sim))
}
result <- data.frame(mu, sim.sum3)
colnames(result) <- c("mu", f_spri(alpha, distribution))
melten.Prob <- reshape2::melt(result, id = "mu", variable.name = "mixing_scheme",
                             value.name = "Total_CFU")

plot_example <-
ggplot2::ggplot(melten.Prob, ggplot2::aes(Total_CFU, group = mixing_scheme, colour = mixing_scheme))+
  ggplot2::geom_line(stat="density",ggplot2::aes(x = Total_CFU))+
  ggplot2::ylab(expression("pmf"))+
  ggplot2::theme_classic()+ ggplot2::xlab(expression("Total number of CFU in the mixed sample"))+
  ggplot2::theme(plot.title = ggplot2::element_text(hjust = 0.5), legend.position = c(0.75,0.75))+
  ggplot2::ggtitle(label = f_spr(n_sim))+ ggthemes::scale_colour_colorblind()
plot_example

```

---

sim\_multiple\_prevalence

*The estimated average prevalence value in the multiple mixing plans.*

---

## Description

This function estimates the average prevalence in the mixing process after a specific number of revolutions for different mixing schemes.

## Usage

```

sim_multiple_prevalence(
  mu,
  sigma,
  alpha_in,
  k,
  l,
  rate,
  distribution,
  USL,
  n_sim
)

```

**Arguments**

mu	the average number of CFUs ( $\mu$ ) in the mixed sample, which is in a logarithmic scale if we use a Lognormal / Poisson lognormal distribution
sigma	the standard deviation of the colony-forming units in the mixed sample on the logarithmic scale (default value 0.8)
alpha_in	concentration parameter at the initial stage
k	number of small portions / primary samples
l	number of revolutions / stages
rate	concentration parameter changing rate in the each revolutions
distribution	what suitable distribution type we have employed for simulation such as "Poisson-Type A" or "Poisson-Type B" or "Lognormal-Type A" or "Lognormal-Type B" or "Poisson lognormal-Type A" or "Poisson lognormal-Type B"
USL	the upper stabilizing limit of the expected total CFU, which can be found from stabilising point when the mean is about standard deviation
n_sim	number of simulations

**Details**

Let  $N'$  be the number of CFUs in the mixed sample, which is produced by the contribution of  $k$  primary samples mixing,  $N' = \sum N_i$  and let  $l$  be the number of stages in the mixing process. This function estimates the average prevalence value after a specific number of revolutions in each mixing scheme. However, we need to apply function [sim\\_single\\_prevalence](#) if we want to estimate individual prevalence values at each stage of the mixing process.

**Value**

The average prevalence in the mixing process after a specific number of revolutions.

**References**

- Nauta, M.J., 2005. Microbiological risk assessment models for partitioning and mixing during food handling. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 100, 311-322.

**See Also**

[sim\\_single\\_prevalence](#)

**Examples**

```
mu <- 100
sigma <- 0.8
alpha_in <- 0.01
k <- c(30,75)
l <- 25000
rate <- 0.01
distribution <- c("Poisson lognormal-Type B","Poisson lognormal-Type B")
USL <- 138
n_sim <- 20000
sim_multiple_prevalence(mu, sigma , alpha_in, k, l, rate, distribution, USL, n_sim)
```



---

sim_multiple_stages	<i>The expected total number of CFUs in the mixed sample in the multiple mixing schemes at each stage of the mixing process.</i>
---------------------	--

---

### Description

This function calculates the resulting expected total number of CFUs in the mixed sample in the multiple mixing plans at each stage of the mixing process.

### Usage

```
sim_multiple_stages(mu, sigma, alpha_in, k, l, rate, distribution, n_sim)
```

### Arguments

mu	the average number of CFUs ( $\mu$ ) in the mixed sample, which is in a logarithmic scale if we use a Lognormal / Poisson lognormal distribution
sigma	the standard deviation of the colony-forming units in the mixed sample on the logarithmic scale (default value 0.8)
alpha_in	concentration parameter at the initial stage
k	number of small portions / primary samples
l	number of revolutions / stages
rate	concentration parameter changing rate in the each revolutions
distribution	what suitable distribution type we have employed for simulation such as "Poisson-Type A" or "Poisson-Type B" or "Lognormal-Type A" or "Lognormal-Type B" or "Poisson lognormal-Type A" or "Poisson lognormal-Type B"
n_sim	number of simulations

### Details

Let  $N'$  be the number of CFUs in the mixed sample, which is produced by the mixing of  $k$  primary samples and  $N' = \sum N_i$  and let  $N_i$  be the number of CFUs. For this package development, we have employed the notations 'Type-A' and 'Type-B' to indicate the type of distributions, which are applied in the previous literature as 'fair' and 'beta', respectively; see [Nauta \(2005\)](#).

This package will consider stage-by-stage the mixing process and assumes systematically breaking clusters at every stage of the mixing. Therefore, it can be assumed the concentration parameter also systematically changes with the concentration of the contribution.

### Value

The expected total number of CFUs in each revolution / stage.

### References

- Nauta, M.J., 2005. Microbiological risk assessment models for partitioning and mixing during food handling. International Journal of Food Microbiology 100, [311-322](#).

### See Also

[sim\\_single](#)

**Examples**

```

rate <- 0.01
l <- 25000
mu <- 100
sigma <- 0.8
alpha_in <- 0.01
k <- c(30,75)
distribution <- c("Poisson lognormal-Type B","Poisson lognormal-Type B")
n_sim <- 20000
colMeans(sim_multiple_stages(mu, sigma, alpha_in, k, l, rate, distribution, n_sim))

```

---

sim_single	<i>The generated number of colony-forming units in the mixed sample by the simulation results in the single mixing plan with a single stage of the mixing.</i>
------------	--

---

**Description**

This function calculates the resulting generated number of colony forming units in the mixed sample in the single mixing plan with single stage of the mixing.

**Usage**

```
sim_single(mu, sigma, alpha, k, distribution, n_sim, summary = 1)
```

**Arguments**

mu	the average number of CFUs ( $\mu$ ) in the mixed sample, which is in a logarithmic scale if we use a Lognormal / Poisson lognormal distribution
sigma	the standard deviation of the colony-forming units in the mixed sample on the logarithmic scale (default value 0.8)
alpha	concentration parameter
k	number of small portions / primary samples
distribution	what suitable distribution type we have employed for simulation such as "Poisson-Type A" or "Poisson-Type B" or "Lognormal-Type A" or "Lognormal-Type B" or "Poisson lognormal-Type A" or "Poisson lognormal-Type B"
n_sim	number of simulations
summary	if we need to get all simulated $N'$ , use summary = 3; otherwise, if we use summary = 1 or summary = 2, the function provides the mean value of the simulated $N'$ or generated CFUs in each primary sample, respectively ( default summary = 1).

**Details**

Let  $N'$  be the number of colony-forming units in the mixed sample which is produced by mixing of  $k$  primary samples and  $N' = \sum N_i$ . To more details, please refer the details section of [compare\\_mixing\\_stages](#). (to be finished later on)

**Value**

total number of colony forming units in the single mixing plan

## References

- Nauta, M.J., 2005. Microbiological risk assessment models for partitioning and mixing during food handling. International Journal of Food Microbiology 100, 311-322.

## See Also

[compare\\_mixing\\_stages](#)

## Examples

```
mu <- 100
sigma <- 0.8
alpha <- 0.1
k <- 30
n_sim <- 20000
sim_single(mu, sigma, alpha, k, distribution = "Poisson lognormal-Type B", n_sim)
```

---

sim_single_pd_stages	<i>The estimated value of detection probability at each stage of the mixing process.</i>
----------------------	--

---

## Description

This function gives a probability of detection at each stage of the mixing process.

## Usage

```
sim_single_pd_stages(mu, sigma, alpha_in, k, l, rate, distribution, USL, n_sim)
```

## Arguments

mu	the average number of CFUs ( $\mu$ ) in the mixed sample, which is in a logarithmic scale if we use a Lognormal / Poisson lognormal distribution
sigma	the standard deviation of the colony-forming units in the mixed sample on the logarithmic scale (default value 0.8)
alpha_in	concentration parameter at the initial stage
k	number of small portions / primary samples
l	number of revolutions /stages
rate	concentration parameter changing rate in the each revolutions
distribution	what suitable distribution type we have employed for simulation such as "Poisson-Type A" or "Poisson-Type B" or "Lognormal-Type A" or "Lognormal-Type B" or "Poisson lognormal-Type A" or "Poisson lognormal-Type B"
USL	the upper stabilizing limit of the expected total CFU, which can be found from stabilising point when the mean is about standard deviation
n_sim	number of simulations

## Details

Let  $N'$  be the number of CFUs in the mixed sample, which is produced by the contribution of  $k$  primary samples mixing,  $N' = \sum N_i$  and let  $l$  be the number of stages in the mixing process. This function provides probability of detection at each stage of the mixing process. It can be determined by how many of the simulated samples exceed the upper limit set for the CFU at each stage of the mixing process.

The probability of detection ( $p_d$ ) can be estimated from following formula,

$$p_d = \frac{\text{number of simulated samples which are greater than USL}}{\text{number of simulations}};$$

where the upper stabilizing limit can be found from a stabilising point in the graphical display of the expected total number of CFUs versus the number of revolutions when the mean is about standard deviation.

## Value

The probability of detection at each stage of the mixing process.

## References

- Nauta, M.J., 2005. Microbiological risk assessment models for partitioning and mixing during food handling. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 100, 311-322.

## See Also

[sim\\_single\\_stages](#)

## Examples

```
mu <- 100
sigma <- 0.8
alpha_in <- 0.01
k <- 30
l <- 25000
rate <- 0.01
distribution <- "Poisson lognormal-Type B"
USL <- 138
n_sim <- 20000
no.revolutions <- c(1:l)
Prob_df <- data.frame(no.revolutions,
sim_single_pd_stages(mu,sigma,alpha_in,k,l,rate,distribution,USL,n_sim))
colnames(Prob_df) <- c("no.revolutions", "P_d")
cummean <- function(x){cumsum(x)/seq_along(x)}
cum_mean <- cummean(Prob_df[,2])
plot_example <- ggplot2::ggplot(Prob_df) +
  ggplot2::geom_line(ggplot2::aes(x = no.revolutions, y = P_d))+
  # ggplot2::geom_line(ggplot2::aes(x = log10(mean), y = P_d))+
  ggplot2::geom_line( ggplot2::aes(x = no.revolutions,y = cum_mean),color = "red",size = .75)+
  ggplot2::xlab(expression("Number of revolutions"))+
  ggplot2::ylab(expression("Probability of detection"))+
  ggplot2::theme_classic()+
  ggplot2::ggtitle(label = "Probability of detection versus number of revolutions")+
  ggplot2::theme(plot.title = ggplot2::element_text(hjust = 0.5))+
  ggthemes::scale_colour_colorblind()
print(plot_example)
```

---

sim\_single\_prevalence    *The estimated average prevalence value in the single mixing scheme.*

---

## Description

This function provides an estimated prevalence value in the mixing process of the single mixing scheme.

## Usage

```
sim_single_prevalence(
  mu,
  sigma,
  alpha_in,
  k,
  l,
  rate,
  distribution,
  USL,
  n_sim
)
```

## Arguments

mu	the average number of CFUs ( $\mu$ ) in the mixed sample, which is in a logarithmic scale if we use a Lognormal / Poisson lognormal distribution
sigma	the standard deviation of the colony-forming units in the mixed sample on the logarithmic scale (default value 0.8)
alpha_in	concentration parameter at the initial stage
k	number of small portions / primary samples
l	number of revolutions / stages
rate	concentration parameter changing rate in the each revolutions
distribution	what suitable distribution type we have employed for simulation such as "Poisson-Type A" or "Poisson-Type B" or "Lognormal-Type A" or "Lognormal-Type B" or "Poisson lognormal-Type A" or "Poisson lognormal-Type B"
USL	the upper stabilizing limit of the expected total CFU, which can be found from stabilising point when the mean is about standard deviation
n_sim	number of simulations

## Details

Let  $N'$  be the number of colony-forming units in the mixed sample which is produced by contribution of  $k$  primary samples mixing,  $N' = \sum N_i$  and  $l$  be the number of stages in the mixing process. This function provides an estimated prevalence in the mixing process of the single mixing scheme. To more details, please refer the details section of [compare\\_mixing\\_prevalence](#).

## Value

the estimated prevalence at each stage of the mixing process.

## References

- Nauta, M.J., 2005. Microbiological risk assessment models for partitioning and mixing during food handling. International Journal of Food Microbiology 100, 311-322.

## See Also

[sim\\_single](#)

## Examples

```
mu <- 100
sigma <- 0.8
alpha_in <- 0.01
k <- 30
l <- 25000
rate <- 0.01
distribution <- "Poisson lognormal-Type B"
USL <- 138
n_sim <- 2000
sim_single_prevalence(mu, sigma, alpha_in, k, l, rate, distribution, USL, n_sim)
```

---

sim_single_stages	<i>The total number of colony-forming units in the mixed sample by the simulation results in the single mixing plan with l number of stages.</i>
-------------------	--

---

## Description

This function gives a simulated number of CFU after each stage of the mixing process.

## Usage

```
sim_single_stages(
  mu,
  sigma,
  alpha_in,
  k,
  l,
  rate,
  distribution,
  n_sim,
  summary = 1
)
```

## Arguments

mu	the average number of CFUs ( $\mu$ ) in the mixed sample, which is in a logarithmic scale if we use a Lognormal / Poisson lognormal distribution
sigma	the standard deviation of the colony-forming units in the mixed sample on the logarithmic scale (default value 0.8)
alpha_in	concentration parameter at the initial stage
k	number of small portions / primary samples

l	number of revolutions / stages
rate	concentration parameter changing rate in the each revolutions
distribution	what suitable distribution type we have employed for simulation such as "Poisson-Type A" or "Poisson-Type B" or "Lognormal-Type A" or "Lognormal-Type B" or "Poisson lognormal-Type A" or "Poisson lognormal-Type B"
n_sim	number of simulations
summary	if we need to get all simulated $N'$ , use summary = 3; otherwise, if we use summary = 1 or summary = 2, the function provides the mean value of the simulated $N'$ or generated CFUs in each primary sample, respectively ( default summary = 1).

### Details

Let  $N'$  be the number of colony-forming units in the mixed sample which is produced by contribution of  $k$  primary samples mixing,  $N' = \sum N_i$  and  $l$  be the number of stages in the mixing process. This function provides simulated number of CFU after each stages of the mixing process. To more details, please refer the details section of [compare\\_mixing\\_stages](#).

### Value

average number of colony forming units in the single mixing plan with  $l$  number of stages.

### References

- Nauta, M.J., 2005. Microbiological risk assessment models for partitioning and mixing during food handling. International Journal of Food Microbiology 100, 311-322.

### See Also

[sim\\_single](#)

### Examples

```
mu <- 100
sigma <- 0.8
alpha_in <- 0.01
k <- 30
l <- 25000
rate <- 0.01
distribution <- "Poisson lognormal-Type B"
n_sim <- 20000
no.revolutions <-c(1:l)
Prob_df <-
data.frame(no.revolutions,sim_single_stages(mu,sigma,alpha_in,k,l,rate,distribution,n_sim))
colnames(Prob_df) <- c("no.revolutions","CFU")
cummean <- function(x){cumsum(x)/seq_along(x)}
cum_mean <- cummean(Prob_df[,2])
plot_example <- ggplot2::ggplot(Prob_df) +
ggplot2::geom_line(ggplot2::aes(x = no.revolutions, y = CFU))+
ggplot2::geom_line( ggplot2::aes(x = no.revolutions, y = cum_mean), color = "red", size = .75)+
ggplot2::xlab(expression("Number of revolutions"))+
ggplot2::ylab(expression("Expected total number of CFU"))+
ggplot2::theme_classic()+
ggplot2::ggtitle(label = "Expected total number of CFU versus number of revolutions")+
ggplot2::theme(plot.title = ggplot2::element_text(hjust = 0.5))+
```

```
ggthemes::scale_colour_colorblind()  
print(plot_example)
```



# Index

compare\_mixing\_prevalence, [2](#), [13](#)  
compare\_mixing\_stages, [4](#), [6](#), [10](#), [11](#), [15](#)  
  
sim\_multiple, [6](#)  
sim\_multiple\_prevalence, [7](#)  
sim\_multiple\_stages, [5](#), [9](#)  
sim\_single, [5](#), [6](#), [9](#), [10](#), [14](#), [15](#)  
sim\_single\_pd\_stages, [3](#), [11](#)  
sim\_single\_prevalence, [3](#), [8](#), [13](#)  
sim\_single\_stages, [5](#), [12](#), [14](#)