COMP62542 Pattern-Based Software Development
Coursework Marking Scheme and Feedback

Quality of the Report	Mark
Excellent (80-100%) An extremely well written and well-presented report covering all the required sections; the need for each pattern very convincingly justified and very clearly explained; high quality design as illustrated by the UML Class Diagram **Very Good (70-79%)** A well written and well-presented report covering all the required sections; the need for each pattern convincingly justified and clearly explained; very good design as illustrated by the UML Class Diagram **Good (60-69%)** A good report covering all the required sections; most patterns explained with some clarity; good design as illustrated by the UML Class Diagram **Satisfactory (50-59%)** A readable report covering all the required sections; some patchy explanation given to the patterns; design with some faults as shown in UML Class Diagram **Unsatisfactory (40-49%)** A barely readable report covering all the required sections; some patchy and difficult to understand explanation given to the patterns; a chaotic design as shown in UML Class Diagram **Poor (below 40%)** A poorly written and poorly presented report worse than expected *Evaluate between 0 and 100. Raw mark will be weighted by 0.50.*	

Quality of the Coding	Mark
Excellent (80-100%) A coherent and cohesive system with all the scenarios correctly implemented and functioning seamlessly; all the patterns correctly and efficiently implemented; high quality coding similar to professional standards **Very Good (70-79%)** A coherent and cohesive system with all the scenarios correctly implemented and functioning seamlessly; all the patterns correctly implemented; very good quality coding **Good (60-69%)** A somehow coherent and cohesive system with all the scenarios correctly implemented and functioning seamlessly; all the patterns appeared to be correctly implemented; good quality coding **Satisfactory (50-59%)** The system somehow functioning with some remaining bugs; most of the scenarios implemented; clear attempt made at implementing some patterns; acceptable quality coding **Unsatisfactory (40-49%)** The system managed to support only one or two scenarios; patterns not recognizable in the code; poor coding practice **Poor (below 40%)** The system not functioning at all and patterns not recognizable in the code *Evaluate between 0 and 100. Raw mark will be weighted by 0.50.*	

Feedback

Total mark: