

HTTP vs WebSockets — One-Page Revision Notes

1 HTTP Basics

♦ HTTP/1.0

- Client sends request → Server sends response
- Connection closes after response
- Strict **request-response** model
- ✗ No persistent connection
- ✗ WebSockets not possible

```
Client —Request—> Server
Client <—Response—> Server
(Connection closed)
```

♦ HTTP/1.1

- Supports **persistent connections (keep-alive)**
- Still **client-initiated only**
- Server cannot push data on its own
- ✓ Required for WebSocket handshake

```
Client —Request—> Server
Client <—Response—> Server
(Connection kept alive, but server waits)
```

2 WebSockets (High Level)

- WebSocket is a **separate protocol**, not HTTP
- Uses **HTTP/1.1 only for handshake**
- After upgrade → switches to **WebSocket protocol**
- Enables **real-time, full-duplex communication**

 Key idea:

HTTP is used as a *vehicle* to establish WebSocket connection

3 WebSocket Handshake (Very Important)

◆ Steps

1. Client connects using:
 2. `ws://` (non-secure)
 3. `wss://` (secure over TLS)
 4. Client sends **HTTP/1.1 request** with headers:
 5. `Upgrade: websocket`
 6. `Connection: Upgrade`
 7. Server responds with:
 8. **HTTP/1.1 101 Switching Protocols**
 9. Protocol switches from **HTTP → WebSocket**
 10. Real-time communication starts

The diagram illustrates three distinct client-server communication patterns:

- HTTP/1.1 + Upgrade:** Represented by a solid arrow pointing from Client to Server.
- 101 Switching:** Represented by a double-headed arrow between Client and Server, with the label "(Protocol switched)" below it.
- WebSocket frames:** Represented by a double-headed arrow between Client and Server, with the label "(WebSocket frames)" below it.



101 status code is **mandatory**

4 After Handshake (How WS Works)

- Connection stays **open**
 - Client & server can send messages **anytime**
 - Uses lightweight **frames**, not HTTP messages
 - Much lower latency than HTTP

Client \leftrightarrow Server
(real-time, full-duplex)

5 WebSocket Use Cases

-  Chat applications (WhatsApp, Slack)
 -  Live feeds (stocks, crypto, sports)

-  Multiplayer games
 -  Progress updates / live logs
 - Collaborative tools (editors, whiteboards)
-

6 WebSocket Examples

- Browser ↔ Node.js server
 - Angular/React ↔ Backend
 - Real-time notifications
 - IoT live telemetry
-

7 WebSocket Pros (Advantages)

Full-Duplex

- Client & server send independently

Low Latency

- No repeated HTTP headers
- Faster data transfer

Server Push

- Server can push data without client request

HTTP Compatible

- Works over ports **80 / 443**

Firewall Friendly

- Usually allowed through corporate firewalls
-

8 WebSocket Cons (Disadvantages)

Proxying is Tricky

- Some proxies don't support upgrade well

Load Balancing (L7)

- Long-lived connections
- Requires sticky sessions / affinity

Stateful Connections

- Server keeps client state

- Harder to scale horizontally
- Often needs Redis / Pub-Sub

✗ Resource Intensive

- Each open connection uses memory

✗ Overkill for Simple Apps

- REST/HTTP better for CRUD
-

9 HTTP vs WebSocket (Quick Compare)

Feature	HTTP	WebSocket
Communication	Request-Response	Full-Duplex
Server Push	✗	✓
Connection	Short-lived	Long-lived
Latency	Higher	Low
Scaling	Easier	Harder
Use Case	APIs, CRUD	Real-time

Final Takeaway

HTTP is stateless and client-driven.

WebSockets are stateful and event-driven.

WebSockets trade scaling simplicity for real-time performance.

 Perfect for interviews, quick revision, and real-time system design basics.