

# HTTP vs WebSockets — One-Page Revision Notes

---

## 1 HTTP Basics

### ♦ HTTP/1.0

- Client sends request → Server sends response
- Connection closes after response
- Strict **request-response** model
- ❌ No persistent connection
- ❌ WebSockets not possible

```
Client  —Request—▶  Server
Client  ◀Response—  Server
(Connection closed)
```


### ♦ HTTP/1.1

- Supports **persistent connections (keep-alive)**
- Still **client-initiated only**
- Server cannot push data on its own
- ✅ Required for WebSocket handshake

```
Client  —Request—▶  Server
Client  ◀Response—  Server
(Connection kept alive, but server waits)
```

## 2 WebSockets (High Level)

- WebSocket is a **separate protocol**, not HTTP
- Uses **HTTP/1.1 only for handshake**
- After upgrade → switches to **WebSocket protocol**
- Enables **real-time, full-duplex communication**

 Key idea:

HTTP is used as a *vehicle* to establish WebSocket connection


---

### 3 WebSocket Handshake (Very Important)

#### ◆ Steps

1. Client connects using:
2. `ws://` (non-secure)
3. `wss://` (secure over TLS)
4. Client sends **HTTP/1.1 request** with headers:
5. `Upgrade: websocket`
6. `Connection: Upgrade`
7. Server responds with:
8. `HTTP/1.1 101 Switching Protocols`
9. Protocol switches from **HTTP → WebSocket**
10. Real-time communication starts

Client —HTTP/1.1 + Upgrade—► Server  
Client ◀—101 Switching—— Server  
(Protocol switched)  
Client ⇄⇄⇄⇄⇄⇄⇄⇄⇄ Server  
(WebSocket frames)



 101 status code is **mandatory**



### 4 After Handshake (How WS Works)

- Connection stays **open**
- Client & server can send messages **anytime**
- Uses lightweight **frames**, not HTTP messages
- Much lower latency than HTTP

Client ⇄ Server  
(real-time, full-duplex)

### 5 WebSocket Use Cases

-  Chat applications (WhatsApp, Slack)
-  Live feeds (stocks, crypto, sports)

-  Multiplayer games
  -  Progress updates / live logs
  - Collaborative tools (editors, whiteboards)
- 

## WebSocket Examples

- Browser ↔ Node.js server
  - Angular/React ↔ Backend
  - Real-time notifications
  - IoT live telemetry
- 

## WebSocket Pros (Advantages)

### Full-Duplex

- Client & server send independently

### Low Latency

- No repeated HTTP headers
- Faster data transfer

### Server Push

- Server can push data without client request

### HTTP Compatible

- Works over ports **80 / 443**

### Firewall Friendly

- Usually allowed through corporate firewalls
- 

## WebSocket Cons (Disadvantages)

### Proxying is Tricky

- Some proxies don't support upgrade well

### Load Balancing (L7)

- Long-lived connections
- Requires sticky sessions / affinity

### Stateful Connections

- Server keeps client state

- Harder to scale horizontally
- Often needs Redis / Pub-Sub

### Resource Intensive



- Each open connection uses memory

### Overkill for Simple Apps

- REST/HTTP better for CRUD

---

## HTTP vs WebSocket (Quick Compare)

Feature	HTTP	WebSocket
Communication	Request-Response	Full-Duplex
Server Push		
Connection	Short-lived	Long-lived
Latency	Higher	Low
Scaling	Easier	Harder
Use Case	APIs, CRUD	Real-time

---


## Final Takeaway

**HTTP is stateless and client-driven.**

**WebSockets are stateful and event-driven.**

**WebSockets trade scaling simplicity for real-time performance.**

---

 *Perfect for interviews, quick revision, and real-time system design basics.*