

DATE: G

Assignment-3

Ques1) write the following statements in symbolic form.

(i) Our crop will be destroyed if there is a flood.

p: There is a flood

q: Our crop will be destroyed
in symbolic it will be written as

$$p \rightarrow q$$

(ii) If it rains then I will not go to market.

p: it rains

q: I will go to market

$$p \rightarrow \sim q$$

(iii) I am in trouble if the work is not finished on time.

p: the work is finished on time

q: I am in trouble

$$\sim p \rightarrow q$$

iv) Our home team wins whenever it is raining

p: the home team wins

q: It is raining

$$p \rightarrow q$$

Ques Suppose the statement $P \vee Q$ is false. Find the truth values of the following formulas:

$$(i) \neg(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow Q;$$

$$(ii) \neg Q \rightarrow P \wedge Q;$$

$$(iii) \neg P \rightarrow Q \leftrightarrow P \rightarrow \neg Q.$$

($P \vee Q$ is False) given

(i)	P	Q	$P \wedge Q$	$\neg P \wedge Q$	$(\neg P \wedge Q) \rightarrow Q$
	F	F	F	T	F

(ii)	P	Q	$\neg Q$	$P \wedge Q$	$\neg Q \rightarrow P \wedge Q$
	F	F	T	F	F

$$(iii) \neg P \rightarrow Q \leftrightarrow P \rightarrow \neg Q$$

P	Q	$\neg P$	$\neg Q$	$\neg P \rightarrow Q$	$P \rightarrow \neg Q$	$\neg P \rightarrow Q \leftrightarrow P \rightarrow \neg Q$
F	F	T	T	F	T	F

Ques Truth table of $(P \rightarrow \neg Q) \rightarrow \neg P$

P	Q	$\neg P$	$\neg Q$	$P \rightarrow \neg Q$	$(P \rightarrow \neg Q) \rightarrow \neg P$
T	T	F	F	F	T
T	F	F	T	T	F
F	T	T	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T

(ii) $P \leftrightarrow (\neg P \vee \neg q)$

q	P	$\neg P$	$\neg q$	$\neg P \vee \neg q$	$P \leftrightarrow \neg P \vee \neg q$
T	T	F	F	F	F
F	T	F	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	T	F
F	F	T	T	T	F

Ans 4 Sautology :- A compound proposition that is always true for all possible truth values of its variables is called Sautology.

contradiction :- A compound proposition that is always false for all possible truth values of its variables is called contradiction.

Contingency :- A proposition that is neither a Sautology nor a contradiction is called contingency.

Satisfiability:- A compound proposition is satisfiable if it is true for some assignment of truth values to its variables.

$$(i) (P \vee q) \wedge (\neg P \vee r) \rightarrow q \vee r$$

$$\text{let } x = (P \vee q) \wedge (\neg P \vee r) \rightarrow q \vee r$$

P	q	r	$\neg p$	$P \vee q$	$\neg P \vee r$	$q \vee r$	$(P \vee q) \wedge (\neg P \vee r)$	x
T	T	T	F	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	T	F	T	F	T
T	F	T	F	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	F	T	T	F	T
F	F	F	T	F	T	F	F	T

(Hence it is a tautology)

Use algebraic method to determine which of the following is a tautology or a contradiction.

- (i) $\sim(q \rightarrow r) \wedge r \wedge (p \rightarrow q)$
 (ii) $((p \vee q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow r$

Solu (i) given,

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow \sim(q \rightarrow r) \wedge r \wedge (p \rightarrow q) \\ &\Rightarrow \sim(\sim q \vee r) \wedge r \wedge (\sim p \vee q) \\ &\Rightarrow \sim(\sim q) \vee r \wedge r \wedge (\sim p \vee q) \\ &\Rightarrow q \vee r \wedge r \wedge (\sim p \vee q) \\ &\Rightarrow q \vee F \wedge (\sim p \vee q) \\ &\Rightarrow F \wedge (\sim p \vee q) \\ &\Rightarrow F \end{aligned}$$

∴ the given expression / statement
will be a contradiction.

(ii) given,

$$\begin{aligned} &((p \vee q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow r \\ &\Rightarrow ((p \vee q) \wedge (\sim p \vee r) \wedge (\sim q \vee r)) \rightarrow r \\ &\Rightarrow \neg((p \vee q) \wedge (\sim p \vee r) \wedge (\sim q \vee r)) \vee r \\ &\Rightarrow \sim((p \vee q) \wedge r \wedge (\sim p \vee \sim q) \vee r) \vee r \\ &\Rightarrow (\sim p \vee \sim q) \wedge (p \vee q) \vee \sim r \vee r \\ &\Rightarrow [F \vee r] \vee r \Rightarrow T \vee r \\ &\equiv T \end{aligned}$$

Hence the given statement will
be a tautology

Auss: i) $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$	$\neg p$	$\neg p \vee q$	$\neg q$	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$
T	T	T	F	T	F	T
T	F	F	F	F	T	F
F	T	T	T	T	F	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T

(ii) $p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (q \Rightarrow p) \equiv (p \vee q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$

$$\text{det } (p \vee q \vee \neg p \wedge \neg q) = x$$

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$p \vee q$	$\neg p \wedge \neg q$	x
T	T	F	F	T	F	T
T	F	F	T	T	F	T
F	T	T	F	T	F	T
F	F	T	T	F	T	T

Auss: $(p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \vee q) \rightarrow r$

$$(p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) = (\neg p \vee r) \wedge (\neg q \vee r)$$

$$= (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee r$$

$$= \neg (\neg p \vee q) \vee r \quad (\text{De-morgan})$$

$$= (p \vee q) \rightarrow r \quad (\text{Implication as disjunction})$$

$$(ii) ((P \wedge q \wedge a) \rightarrow r) \wedge (a \rightarrow (P \vee q \vee r)) \equiv (a \wedge (P \leftrightarrow q)) \rightarrow r$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \equiv ((P \wedge q \wedge a) \rightarrow r) \wedge (a \rightarrow (P \vee q \vee r)) \\
 & \equiv (\sim(P \wedge q \wedge a) \vee r) \wedge (\sim a \vee (P \vee q \vee r)) \\
 & \equiv ((\sim P \vee \sim q \vee \sim a) \vee r) \wedge (\sim a \vee P \vee q \vee r) \quad (\text{de-morgan}) \\
 & \equiv ((\sim P \vee \sim q \vee \sim a) \vee r) \wedge ((P \vee q \vee \sim a) \vee r) \quad (\text{commutative}) \\
 & \equiv ((\sim P \vee \sim q \vee \sim a) \wedge (P \vee q \vee \sim a)) \vee r \quad (\text{distributive}) \\
 & \equiv ((\sim P \vee \sim q) \wedge (P \vee q)) \vee \sim a \vee r \quad (\text{distributive}) \\
 & \equiv (\sim [(\sim P \wedge q) \vee (\sim P \wedge \sim q)] \vee \sim a) \vee r \quad (\text{de-morgan}) \\
 & \equiv \sim [((P \wedge q) \vee (P \wedge \sim q)) \wedge \sim a] \vee r \quad (\text{de-morgan}) \\
 & \equiv \sim ((P \leftrightarrow q) \wedge \sim a) \vee r \\
 & \equiv ((P \leftrightarrow q) \wedge a) \rightarrow r \quad (\text{Implication as disjunction})
 \end{aligned}$$

Ques 9)

$$(P \rightarrow q) \wedge P \Rightarrow q$$

We have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (P \rightarrow q) \wedge P \rightarrow q & \equiv (\sim P \vee q) \wedge P \rightarrow q \\
 & \equiv ((\sim P \wedge P) \vee (q \wedge P)) \rightarrow q \\
 & \equiv (F \vee (q \wedge P)) \rightarrow q \\
 & \equiv \sim (q \wedge P) \rightarrow q \\
 & \equiv (\sim q \vee \sim P) \vee q
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\equiv (\neg q \vee q) \vee \neg p \\
 &\equiv T \vee \neg p \\
 &\equiv T
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(ii) ((P \rightarrow q) \wedge \neg q) \Rightarrow \neg p$$

(Impi. as disjunction)

$$\equiv ((\neg P \wedge \neg q) \vee (q \wedge \neg q)) \rightarrow \neg p$$

(distributive)

$$\equiv (\neg (\neg P \wedge \neg q) \vee F) \rightarrow \neg p$$

(de-morgan)

$$\equiv \neg (\neg P \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow \neg p$$

(Identity-law)

$$\equiv \neg P \vee \neg \neg q$$

(commutative)

$$\equiv T \vee q$$

(complement)

$$\equiv T$$

(dominance)

$$(iii) \quad \neg(P \rightarrow q) \wedge \neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$$

$$(P \vee q) \wedge \neg p \Rightarrow q$$

(distributive)

$$\equiv ((P \wedge \neg q) \vee (q \wedge \neg q)) \rightarrow p$$

(complement law)

$$\equiv (P \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow p$$

(Identity-law)

$$\equiv \neg (P \wedge \neg q) \vee p$$

(Impi. as disjunction)

$$\equiv (\neg P \vee q) \vee p$$

(de-morgan)

$$\equiv (\neg P \vee p) \vee q$$

(commutative)

$$\equiv T \vee q$$

(Identity law)

$$\equiv T$$

(dominance)

Ques 10) Rule of Inference:-

- ① Modus Ponens :- If the statement p and $p \rightarrow q$ are accepted as true then q must be true.

$$\begin{array}{c} p \rightarrow q \\ p \\ \hline \therefore q \text{ is valid} \end{array}$$

- ② Modus Tollens :- if statement $p \rightarrow q$ and $\neg q$ are true then $\neg p$ must be true.

$$\begin{array}{c} p \rightarrow q \\ \neg q \\ \hline \therefore \neg p \text{ is valid} \end{array}$$

- ③ Hypothetical Syllogism :- whenever the two implications $p \rightarrow q$ and $q \rightarrow r$ accepted as true then implication $p \rightarrow r$ is also true.

$$\begin{array}{c} p \rightarrow q \\ q \rightarrow r \\ \hline \therefore p \rightarrow r \text{ is true} \end{array}$$

(4) Disjunctive syllogism: an argument of the form

$$\begin{array}{c} p \vee q \\ \sim q \\ \therefore p \end{array}$$

is valid

(5) Addition: An argument of the form

$$\begin{array}{c} p \\ \hline \therefore p \vee q \end{array}$$

is valid

(6) Simplification: $\frac{p \wedge q}{\therefore p}$ or $\frac{p \wedge q}{\therefore q}$

(7) Conjunction: If p and q are true then $p \wedge q$ is also true.

$$\begin{array}{c} p \\ q \\ \hline \therefore p \wedge q \end{array}$$

(8) Constructive dilemma:

$$p \rightarrow q \wedge (r \rightarrow s)$$

$$p \vee r$$

$$\therefore p \vee s$$

(9) Destructive dilemma:

$$p \rightarrow q \wedge (r \rightarrow s)$$

$$\sim q \vee \sim s$$

$$\therefore \sim p \vee \sim r$$

Ans 11 Consistent premises:- A set of premises P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n are said to be consistent if their conjunction $P_1 \wedge P_2 \wedge \dots \wedge P_n$ has truth value T in at least one possible case of their variables.

Inconsistent premises:- A set of premises P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n are said to be inconsistent if $P_1 \wedge P_2 \wedge \dots \wedge P_n \rightarrow F$ i.e. they lead to contradiction.

Ques 12 $r \rightarrow \neg q, r \vee s, s \rightarrow \neg a, p \rightarrow q$ imply $\neg p$

- 1) $p \rightarrow q$ (Rule P)
- 2) $r \rightarrow \neg q$ (Rule P)
- 3) $q \rightarrow \neg r$ (Rule T on 2)
- 4) $p \rightarrow \neg r$ (H.S)
- 5) $s \rightarrow \neg q$ (Rule P)
- 6) $q \rightarrow \neg s$ (Rule T on 5)
- 7) $p \rightarrow \neg s$ (H.S) (1)(6)
- 8) $r \rightarrow \neg p$ (Rule T)
- 9) $s \rightarrow \neg p$ (Rule T)
- 10) $(r \rightarrow \neg p) \wedge (s \rightarrow \neg p)$ (conjunction) (8)(9)
- 11) $r \vee s$ (Rule P)
- 12) $\neg p \vee \neg p$ (10)(11) (constructive)
- 13) $\neg p$ Idempotent

ques13)

- E: Nermalala misses many classes
 S: Nermalala fails high school
 A: Nermalala reads lot of books
 H: Nermalala is uneducated.

1)	$E \rightarrow S$	(RMCP)
2)	$S \rightarrow H$	(RMCP)
3)	$E \rightarrow H$	(RMCP 1 on 1 2)
4)	$A \rightarrow \sim H$	(RMCP)
5)	$H \rightarrow \sim A$	(RUE \neg)
6)	$E \rightarrow \sim A$	(RUE \neg) (4)
7)	$\sim E \rightarrow \sim A$	(RUE \neg (8) (5))
8)	$\sim (E \wedge A)$	(BUE \neg (6))
9)	$\sim (E \wedge A)$	BUE \neg (7)
10)	$E \wedge A$	(RMCP)
11)	$(E \wedge A) \wedge \sim (E \wedge A)$	(RUE \neg) (8) (9)
		$\equiv F$

Since false set of premises are inconsistent

ques14)

- a: It rains
 b: It is foggy
 c: The sailing race will be held
 d: The lifesaving demonstration will go on
 e: Trophy will be awarded.

$(\neg a \vee b) \rightarrow (c \wedge d)$, $c \rightarrow e$ and $\neg c$

Premise	Argument
$\neg c$	RULE P
$c \rightarrow \neg c$	RULE P
$\neg c$	Modus Tollens
$(\neg a \vee b) \rightarrow (c \wedge d)$	(RULE P)
$\neg(c \wedge d) \rightarrow \neg(\neg a \vee b)$	(RULE T)
$(\neg c \vee \neg d) \rightarrow (\neg a \vee b)$	(De-morgan)
$\neg c \vee \neg d$	(Addition using)
$a \wedge b$	
$\neg r$	(Simplification)

ques 15) Justify that the premise "It is not sunny ---"

- p: It is sunny this afternoon
- q: It is colder than yesterday
- r: we will go to swimming
- s: we will take a canoe trip
- t: we will be home by sunset

$\neg p \wedge q, r \rightarrow p, \neg r \rightarrow s$ and $s \rightarrow t$

- 1) $\neg p \wedge q$ (RUCP)
- 2) $\neg p$ (1)
- 3) $r \rightarrow p$ (RUC-P)
- 4) $\neg r$ (Modus Tollens) on (2)(3)
- 5) $\neg r \rightarrow s$ (RUCP)
- 6) s (Modus Tollens)
- 7) $s \rightarrow t$ (RUCP)
- 8) t (Modus Ponens) (G) (7)

Ques 6 (i) Not all birds can fly

$B(x)$: x is a Bird

$F(x)$: x can fly

$$\text{So, } \neg (\forall x [B(x) \rightarrow F(x)]) \rightarrow \\ \exists x [B(x) \wedge \neg F(x)]$$

(ii) Everybody loves somebody

Let $L(x, y)$: x loves y

$$\text{So, } \forall x \exists y L(x, y)$$

(iii) All students need financial aids.

let $S(x)$: x is a student

$F(x)$: x needs financial aids

$$\text{So, } \forall x [S(x) \rightarrow F(x)]$$

(iv) All integers are either odd or even

$\forall x [E(x) \vee O(x)]$

$E(x)$: x is even

$O(x)$: x is odd

so, $\forall x [E(x) \vee O(x)]$

(v) If a person is female and is a parent then this person is someone's mother.

$\forall x [F(x) \wedge P(x) \rightarrow \exists y H(x, y)]$

$F(x)$: x is female

$P(x)$: x is parent

$H(x, y)$: x is the mother of y

so, $\forall x [F(x) \wedge P(x) \rightarrow \exists y H(x, y)]$

(vi) All flowers are beautiful

$F(x)$: x is a flower

$B(x)$: x is beautiful

so, $\forall x [F(x) \rightarrow B(x)]$

Ans 17) Universal modus ponens:- Rule rule of universal quantified instantiation can be combined with modus ponen to obtain the rule called \forall modus ponen.

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall x, (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \\ & P(a) \\ & \therefore Q(a) \end{aligned}$$

Universal modus tollens:-

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \\ & \sim Q(a) \\ & \therefore \sim P(a) \end{aligned}$$

a is some element of universe

(Rules) $\Rightarrow \forall x P(x)$ is true iff $P(x)$ is true for every x in the universe of discourse the implication $x P(x) \rightarrow P(y)$ holds.

(Rules): If $(\exists x) P(x)$ is true then $P(x)$ is true for some x in the universe and we have the impudication.

$$(\exists x) P(x) \rightarrow P(a)$$

Rule of Generalization: Rule von wonnen wir
wenn $P(x)$ ist true for all x it follows
that $\forall x P(x)$ ist true i.e.

$P(x) \Rightarrow \forall y P(y)$ holds

Rule EG1 :- If $P(x)$ is true for at least one subject $x=a$ in the universe of discourse then we have the conclusion $P(a) \Rightarrow (\exists x) P(x)$ & this is known as Rule EG1.

Ans 18) Show that:-

$$(i) \quad \forall x [P(x) \vee Q(x)] \Rightarrow \exists x P(x) \vee \exists Q(x)$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \sim [\forall x P(x) \vee \exists x Q(x)]$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad [\neg \forall x P(x)] \wedge \neg [\exists x Q(x)]$$

(De-morgan)

$$\exists x (\neg P(x)) \wedge \forall x (\neg Q(x))$$

(Negation.)

$$\textcircled{4} \quad \exists x (\sim p(x))$$

2

(Simplification)

$$\textcircled{5} \quad +x [\sim Q(x)]]$$

$\exists n \in \mathbb{N} \exists a$)

$\sim g(a)$

YESS

Essential Instantaneous)

- (8) $\sim P(a) \wedge \sim Q(a)$ (Conjunction)
 (9) $\sim [P(a) \vee Q(a)]$ (De-morgan)
 (10) F containing conjunction of (9) and i)

(ii) $(\forall x) P(x) \wedge \exists Q(x) \Rightarrow \exists [P(x) \wedge Q(x)]$

- 1 $\exists x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)]$ (Premise)
 2 $P(a) \wedge Q(y)$ (essential specification)
 3 $P(a)$
 4 $Q(a)$ } (Simplification)
 5 $\exists x P(x)$ } (essential generalization)
 6 $\exists x Q(x)$ }
 7 $\exists x P(x) \wedge \exists x Q(x)$ [5, 6 conjunction]

Ques 20 (i) For every no there is a number greater than that numbers.

Let x and $y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$
 For all x ; there exists a y
 such that y is greater than x

Let $P(x, y)$: y is greater than x

Our given statement is

$$(\forall x)(\exists y) P(x, y)$$

(ii) sum of Every two integer is an integer

x : a is an integer

y : b is an integer

$p(x, y)$: $a+b$ is an integer

Hence $\forall x \forall y p(x, y)$

(iii) Not Every man is perfect

Let $H(x)$: x is a man

$G(x)$: x is not perfect

Hence,

$\exists x [H(x) \wedge G(x)]$

(iv) There is NO student in class who known both Spanish and German.

$S(x)$: x knows Spanish

$G(x)$: x knows German

$\forall x [\neg(G(x) \wedge S(x))]$