Assignment 4: Data Wrangling (Fall 2024)

Mazhar Bhuyan

OVERVIEW

This exercise accompanies the lessons in Environmental Data Analytics on Data Wrangling

Directions

- 1. Rename this file <FirstLast>_A04_DataWrangling.Rmd (replacing <FirstLast> with your first and last name).
- 2. Change "Student Name" on line 3 (above) with your name.
- 3. Work through the steps, **creating code and output** that fulfill each instruction.
- 4. Be sure to answer the questions in this assignment document.
- 5. When you have completed the assignment, **Knit** the text and code into a single PDF file.
- 6. Ensure that code in code chunks does not extend off the page in the PDF.

Set up your session

- 1a. Load the tidyverse, lubridate, and here packages into your session.
- 1b. Check your working directory.
- 1c. Read in all four raw data files associated with the EPA Air dataset, being sure to set string columns to be read in a factors. See the README file for the EPA air datasets for more information (especially if you have not worked with air quality data previously).
 - 2. Add the appropriate code to reveal the dimensions of the four datasets.

```
#1a
library(tidyverse)
library(lubridate)
library(here)
#1b
getwd()
```

[1] "/home/guest/EDA_Spring2025"

```
#1c
file_path_EPA1 <- here("Data", "Raw", "EPAair_03_NC2018_raw.csv")
file_path_EPA2 <- here("Data", "Raw", "EPAair_03_NC2019_raw.csv")
file_path_EPA3 <- here("Data", "Raw", "EPAair_PM25_NC2018_raw.csv")</pre>
```

```
file_path_EPA4 <- here("Data", "Raw", "EPAair_PM25_NC2019_raw.csv")</pre>
EPA_Oz_2018 <- read.csv(file_path_EPA1,</pre>
                           stringsAsFactors = TRUE)
EPA_Oz_2019 <- read.csv(file_path_EPA2,</pre>
                           stringsAsFactors = TRUE)
EPA_PM25_2018 <- read.csv(file_path_EPA3,</pre>
                           stringsAsFactors = TRUE)
EPA_PM25_2019 <- read.csv(file_path_EPA4,</pre>
                           stringsAsFactors = TRUE)
#2
dim(EPA_Oz_2018)
## [1] 9737
               20
dim(EPA_Oz_2019)
## [1] 10592
                 20
dim(EPA_PM25_2018)
## [1] 8983
               20
dim(EPA_PM25_2019)
## [1] 8581
               20
```

[1] 8581 20

All four datasets should have the same number of columns but unique record counts (rows). Do your datasets follow this pattern?

Yes. All datasets contain 20 variables, i.e. columns each. But obs are different

Wrangle individual datasets to create processed files.

- 3. Change the Date columns to be date objects.
- 4. Select the following columns: Date, DAILY_AQI_VALUE, Site.Name, AQS_PARAMETER_DESC, COUNTY, SITE_LATITUDE, SITE_LONGITUDE
- 5. For the PM2.5 datasets, fill all cells in AQS_PARAMETER_DESC with "PM2.5" (all cells in this column should be identical).
- 6. Save all four processed datasets in the Processed folder. Use the same file names as the raw files but replace "raw" with "processed".

```
glimpse(EPA_Oz_2018$Date)
## Factor w/ 364 levels "01/01/2018","01/02/2018",...: 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 ...
EPA_0z_2018$Date <- mdy(EPA_0z_2018$Date)</pre>
EPA_0z_2019$Date <- mdy(EPA_0z_2019$Date)</pre>
EPA_PM25_2018$Date <- mdy (EPA_PM25_2018$Date)</pre>
EPA_PM25_2019$Date <- mdy(EPA_PM25_2019$Date)</pre>
#4
EPA_0z_2018_Processed <-EPA_0z_2018 %>%
                           select(Date,
                            DAILY_AQI_VALUE,
                            Site.Name,
                            AQS PARAMETER DESC,
                            COUNTY,
                            SITE LATITUDE,
                            SITE_LONGITUDE)
EPA_0z_2019_Processed <- EPA_0z_2019 %>%
                           select(Date,
                                 DAILY_AQI_VALUE,
                                 Site.Name,
                                 AQS_PARAMETER_DESC,
                                 COUNTY,
                                 SITE_LATITUDE,
                                 SITE_LONGITUDE)
EPA_PM25_2018_Processed <- EPA_PM25_2018 %>%
                             select(Date,
                                     DAILY_AQI_VALUE,
                                     Site.Name,
                                     AQS_PARAMETER_DESC,
                                     COUNTY,
                                     SITE_LATITUDE,
                                     SITE LONGITUDE)
EPA_PM25_2019_Processed <- EPA_PM25_2019 %>%
                             select(Date,
                                     DAILY_AQI_VALUE,
                                     Site.Name,
                                     AQS_PARAMETER_DESC,
                                     COUNTY,
                                     SITE_LATITUDE,
                                     SITE_LONGITUDE)
```

```
#5
#[kept the previous filename]
EPA PM25 2018 Processed <- EPA PM25 2018 %>%
                             select (Date,
                                    DAILY_AQI_VALUE,
                                    Site.Name,
                                    AQS_PARAMETER_DESC,
                                    COUNTY,
                                    SITE LATITUDE,
                                    SITE LONGITUDE) %>%
                            mutate(AQS_PARAMETER_DESC = "PM2.5")
EPA_PM25_2019_Processed <- EPA_PM25_2019 %>%
                             select(Date,
                                    DAILY_AQI_VALUE,
                                    Site.Name,
                                    AQS_PARAMETER_DESC,
                                    COUNTY,
                                    SITE LATITUDE,
                                    SITE LONGITUDE) %>%
                            mutate(AQS_PARAMETER_DESC = "PM2.5")
#6
write.csv(EPA_Oz_2018_Processed,
          file = here("Data", "Processed", "EPAair_03_NC2018_processed.csv"),
          row.names = FALSE)
write.csv(EPA_Oz_2019_Processed,
          file = here("Data", "Processed", "EPAair_03_NC2019_processed.csv"),
          row.names = FALSE)
write.csv(EPA_PM25_2018_Processed,
          file = here("Data", "Processed", "EPAair_PM25_NC2018_processed.csv"),
          row.names = FALSE)
write.csv(EPA PM25 2019 Processed,
          file = here("Data", "Processed", "EPAair PM25 NC2019 processed.csv"),
          row.names = FALSE)
```

Combine datasets

- 7. Combine the four datasets with rbind. Make sure your column names are identical prior to running this code.
- 8. Wrangle your new dataset with a pipe function (%>%) so that it fills the following conditions:
- Include only sites that the four data frames have in common:

[&]quot;Linville Falls", "Durham Armory", "Leggett", "Hattie Avenue",

[&]quot;Clemmons Middle", "Mendenhall School", "Frying Pan Mountain", "West Johnston Co.", "Garinger High School", "Castle Hayne", "Pitt Agri. Center", "Bryson City", "Millbrook School"

(the function intersect can figure out common factor levels - but it will include sites with missing site information, which you don't want...)

- Some sites have multiple measurements per day. Use the split-apply-combine strategy to generate daily means: group by date, site name, AQS parameter, and county. Take the mean of the AQI value, latitude, and longitude.
- Add columns for "Month" and "Year" by parsing your "Date" column (hint: lubridate package)
- Hint: the dimensions of this dataset should be 14,752 x 9.
- 9. Spread your datasets such that AQI values for ozone and PM2.5 are in separate columns. Each location on a specific date should now occupy only one row.
- 10. Call up the dimensions of your new tidy dataset.
- 11. Save your processed dataset with the following file name: "EPAair_O3_PM25_NC1819_Processed.csv"

[1] 37893 7

```
## 'summarise()' has grouped output by 'Date', 'Site.Name', 'AQS_PARAMETER_DESC'.
## You can override using the '.groups' argument.
```

```
# Count the total number of missing values in the data frame
#sum(is.na(EPA combined)) #no missing value in combined dataset
dim(EPA_common_sites)
## [1] 14752
#9
EPAair_03_PM25_NC1819_Processed <- EPA_common_sites %>%
 pivot_wider(
   names_from = AQS_PARAMETER_DESC,
    values_from = Mean_AQI)
#10
dim(EPAair_03_PM25_NC1819_Processed)
## [1] 8976
#11
write.csv(EPAair_O3_PM25_NC1819_Processed,
          file = here("Data", "Processed", "EPAair_03_PM25_NC1819_Processed.csv"),
          row.names = FALSE)
```

Generate summary tables

- 12. Use the split-apply-combine strategy to generate a summary data frame. Data should be grouped by site, month, and year. Generate the mean AQI values for ozone and PM2.5 for each group. Then, add a pipe to remove instances where mean **ozone** values are not available (use the function drop_na in your pipe). It's ok to have missing mean PM2.5 values in this result.
- 13. Call up the dimensions of the summary dataset.

```
#12

EPA_summary <- EPAair_03_PM25_NC1819_Processed %>%
  group_by(Site.Name, Month, Year) %>%
  summarise(
    Mean_AQI_Ozone = mean(Ozone, na.rm = TRUE),
    Mean_AQI_PM25 = mean(PM2.5, na.rm = TRUE),
    .groups = "drop"
) %>%
  drop_na(Mean_AQI_Ozone)

summary(EPA_summary)
```

```
##
                   Site.Name
                                  Month
                                                          Mean AQI Ozone
                                                Year
## Garinger High School: 24
                              Mar
                                      :26
                                           Min.
                                                  :2018
                                                          Min.
                                                                 :23.90
## Millbrook School
                                           1st Qu.:2018
                                                          1st Qu.:34.55
                       : 24
                              Apr
                                     :26
## Clemmons Middle
                                           Median:2019
                                                          Median :41.61
                       : 18
                              May
                                     :26
```

```
Durham Armory
                          : 18
                                 Jun
                                         :26
                                               Mean
                                                       :2019
                                                                       :40.57
                                                               Mean
                                               3rd Qu.:2019
    Frying Pan Mountain: 18
                                         :26
##
                                 Jul
                                                               3rd Qu.:45.46
##
    Leggett
                          : 18
                                 Aug
                                         :26
                                               Max.
                                                       :2019
                                                               Max.
                                                                       :59.23
##
    (Other)
                          :119
                                 (Other):83
##
    Mean_AQI_PM25
##
    Min.
          : 1.778
    1st Qu.:25.516
##
    Median :31.935
##
##
    Mean
            :30.148
##
    3rd Qu.:36.014
##
    Max.
            :44.600
##
    NA's
            :16
#13
dim(EPA_summary)
```

[1] 239 5

14. Why did we use the function drop_na rather than na.omit? Hint: replace drop_na with na.omit in part 12 and observe what happens with the dimensions of the summary date frame.

```
EPA_summary_na_omit <- EPAair_03_PM25_NC1819_Processed %>%
  group_by(Site.Name, Month, Year) %>%
  summarise(
    Mean_AQI_Ozone = mean(Ozone, na.rm = TRUE),
    Mean_AQI_PM25 = mean(PM2.5, na.rm = TRUE),
    .groups = "drop"
) %>%
  na.omit()
dim(EPA_summary_na_omit)
```

[1] 223 5

Answer:

The na.omit() function removed 16 observations where it found NA values, as it is not selective about which columns to check. In contrast, when we applied drop_na(), we observed that, for example, the Frying Pan Mountain site had NA values specifically for AQS_PM25. However, when na.omit() was used, it removed these observations entirely.

Removing observations from a dataset requires careful investigation, as it can significantly impact the analysis and outcomes. This is why we chose to use drop_na() instead of na.omit(), allowing for a more targeted approach to handling missing values without unnecessarily discarding data.