

Homework 1 for MATH 1530

Zhen Yao

Problem 1. Use the equivalence

$$(1) \quad p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$$

to prove

$$p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r).$$

To this end apply (1) to $\neg p$, $\neg q$, $\neg r$ in place of p , q , r , and negate the statement using De Morgan's Laws.

Proof. Place $\neg p$, $\neg q$, $\neg r$ into the first equation, and we can have

$$(\neg p) \wedge ((\neg q) \vee (\neg r)) \equiv (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg r)$$

and we negate the statement by using De Morgan's Laws several times, which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \neg((\neg p) \wedge ((\neg q) \vee (\neg r))) &\equiv \neg(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \wedge \neg(\neg p \wedge \neg r) \\ \Rightarrow p \vee \neg((\neg q) \vee (\neg r)) &\equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r) \\ \Rightarrow p \vee (q \wedge r) &\equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r) \end{aligned}$$

□

Problem 2. Negate the statement¹

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists \delta > 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R} \quad (|x - y| < \delta \Rightarrow |\sin x - \sin y| < \varepsilon).$$

Proof.

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0 \quad \forall \delta > 0 \quad \exists x \in \mathbb{R} \quad \exists y \in \mathbb{R} \quad (|x - y| < \delta \wedge |\sin x - \sin y| \geq \varepsilon).$$

□

Problem 3. Negate the statement: *For all real numbers x, y satisfying $x < y$, there is a rational number q such that $x < q < y$.* Formulate the negation as a sentence and not as a formula involving quantifiers.

Proof. Formulate the statement into formula is that

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R} \quad \exists q \in \mathbb{Q} \quad (x < y \Rightarrow x < q < y)$$

The negation of this formula is

$$\exists x \in \mathbb{R} \quad \exists y \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{Q} \quad (x < y \wedge ((q \leq x) \vee (q \geq y)))$$

So the sentence is: *There exist real numbers x, y satisfying $x < y$, then for any rational number $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, q satisfies the condition $q \leq x$ or $y \leq q$.* □

Problem 4. Use an argument by contradiction prove that $\sqrt{3}$ is irrational.

¹This is a true statement known as uniform continuity of the function $\sin x$. However, you are not asked to prove the statement only to negate it.

Proof. Let's assume that $\sqrt{3}$ is a rational number. Then $\sqrt{3} = p/q$ for some positive integers and we assume p and q have no common factors. Then we have

$$3 = \frac{p^2}{q^2}, \quad p^2 = 3q^2$$

(1) Firstly, if p is an even number, and the square of an even number is still even, so $3q^2$ is an even number. The q^2 is an even number since 3 is odd, then we have q is also an even number. Thus, p and q are all even number and have common factor 2, which contradicts our assumption.

(2) Secondly, if p is an odd number, and the square of an odd number is still odd, so $3q^2$ is an odd number. The q^2 is an odd number since 3 is odd, then we have q is also an odd number. Now both p and q are odd number, then we can set $p = 2n + 1$ and $q = 2m + 1$ where n and m are some positive integers. And we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4n^2 + 4n + 1 &= 3(4m^2 + 4m + 1) \\ \Rightarrow 2n^2 + 2n &= 6m^2 + 6m + 1 \end{aligned}$$

The left side is even and the right side is odd, which is impossible. Also this condition contradicts our assumption. So $\sqrt{3}$ is not a rational number. \square

Problem 5. Prove the following statement²

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow n^{-1} \leq \varepsilon).$$

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that the statement is false, then its negation is true

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0 \quad \forall n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \quad \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (n \geq n_0 \wedge n^{-1} > \varepsilon)$$

There exist a $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every n_0 , there is n such that $n \geq n_0$ and $n^{-1} > \varepsilon$, and it is true for $n_0 = \frac{2}{\varepsilon}$. This means that for $n_0 = \frac{2}{\varepsilon}$, there is a n such that

$$n \geq \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{n} > \varepsilon \Rightarrow n < \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$$

These two inequalities contradict each other. The proof is complete. \square

Problem 6. Find a mistake in the solution to Problem 9 provided on page 19 in my notes and write a correct solution.

Proof. (1) The mistake is that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ does not imply that $|f(x) - f(y)| < 0$, we cannot have $|f(x) - f(y)| = 0$. So the proof is not correct.

(2) The continuous functions satisfy the condition. clearly the continuous functions satisfy this conditions since it is defined as this way. Suppose that a function f satisfies this condition, then we have for any $\varepsilon > 0$, and for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a $\delta > 0$, such that

$$|x - y| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$$

then we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow y} f(x) = f(y)$$

²Compare with Example 1.12.

since $y \in \mathbb{R}$ is arbitrary, so function f is continuous in every point $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, the function f that satisfies the condition is a continuous function. \square

Problem 7. Prove that for any set A and any family of sets $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$

$$\begin{aligned} A \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i &= \bigcap_{i \in I} (A \setminus A_i), \\ A \setminus \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i &= \bigcup_{i \in I} (A \setminus A_i). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (1) We have

$$\begin{aligned} x \in A \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i &= x \in A \wedge \neg \left(x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \right) \\ &= x \in A \wedge \neg (x \in A_1 \vee \cdots \vee x \in A_i \cdots, i \in I) \\ &= x \in A \wedge (x \notin A_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x \notin A_i \cdots) \\ &= (x \in A \wedge x \notin A_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (x \in A \wedge x \notin A_i) \cdots \\ &= (x \in A \setminus A_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (x \in A \setminus A_i) \cdots \\ &= x \in (A \setminus A_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (A \setminus A_i) \\ &= x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} (A \setminus A_i) \end{aligned}$$

(2) We have

$$\begin{aligned} x \in A \setminus \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i &= x \in A \wedge \neg \left(x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \right) \\ &= x \in A \wedge \neg (x \in A_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x \in A_i \cdots) \\ &= x \in A \wedge (x \notin A_1 \vee \cdots \vee x \notin A_i \cdots) \\ &= (x \in A \wedge x \notin A_1) \vee \cdots \vee (x \in A \wedge x \notin A_i) \cdots \\ &= x \in (A \setminus A_1) \vee \cdots \vee x \in (A \setminus A_i) \cdots \\ &= x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} (A \setminus A_i) \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

Problem 8. Prove that if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a function and A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots are subsets of X , then

$$f \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} f(A_i),$$

and

$$(2) \quad f \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \right) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} f(A_i).$$

Provide an example to show that we do not necessarily have equality in (2)

Proof. (1) First, if $y \in f(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i)$, then we can have $y = f(x)$ for some $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$. Then we can know there exist one subset A_k such that $x \in A_k$, then we have $y = f(x) \in f(A_k) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} f(A_i)$. We proved that

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) &\Rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} f(A_i) \\ f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) &\subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} f(A_i) \end{aligned}$$

If $y \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} f(A_i)$, then there exist one A_m such that $y \in f(A_m)$. Then we have $y = f(x)$ for some $x \in A_m$. Since $A_m \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$, then $y = f(x) \in f(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i)$. We proved that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} f(A_i) \subset f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right)$$

i.e.,

$$f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} f(A_i)$$

(2) If $y \in f(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i)$, then $y = f(x)$ for some $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$. Thus, $x \in A_k$ for every $A_k, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, so we have $y = f(x) \in f(A_k)$ for every A_k , and then $y = f(x) \in \bigcap f(A_i)$ which implies

$$\begin{aligned} y \in f\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) &\Rightarrow \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} f(A_i) \\ y \in f\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) &\subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} f(A_i) \end{aligned}$$

Example: Let $f(x) = x^2, x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $A_1 = [-4, 0], A_2 = [0, 4]$. Then we have $f(A_1) = [0, 16]$ and $f(A_2) = [0, 16]$, so $f(A_1) \cap f(A_2) = [0, 16]$. However, $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$, then $f(A_1 \cap A_2) = \emptyset$. Then we have, in this case, $f(A_1) \cap f(A_2) \neq f(A_1 \cap A_2)$. □

Problem 9. Prove that if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is one-to-one and A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots are subsets of X , then

$$f\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} f(A_i).$$

Proof. If $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} f(A_i)$, then there exist one $x \in X$ such that $y = f(x) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} f(A_i)$. Then we have $f(x) \in f(A_k)$ for every k , then we get $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$. Hence, $y = f(x) \in$

$f(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i)$, which implies

$$\begin{aligned}\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} f(A_i) &\Rightarrow f\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) \\ \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} f(A_i) &\subset f\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right)\end{aligned}$$

Since we already know that $f(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} f(A_i)$, then we proved that, if f is one-to-one function, then

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} f(A_i) = f\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right)$$

□

Problem 10. Prove that $5^{2n} - 1$ is divisible by 8 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (1) For $n = 1$, $5^2 - 1 = 24 = 3 \cdot 8$, which is divisible by 8.

(2) For $n > 1$, suppose that $5^{2n} - 1$ is divisible by 8. We need to prove that $5^{2(n+1)} - 1$ is divisible by 8. By assumption, that $5^{2n} - 1 = 8k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}5^{2(n+1)} - 1 &= 5^{2n}5^2 - 1 = 25 \cdot (8k + 1) - 1 = 25 \cdot 8k + 3 \cdot 8 \\ &= 8 \cdot (25k + 3)\end{aligned}$$

which is divisible by 8.

□

Problem 11. Prove that $1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \geq \sqrt{n}$.

Proof. (1) For $n = 1$, we have $1 \geq 1$, which is true.

(2) Suppose the inequality is true for $n = k$, then we need to prove it for $k + 1$

$$1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}} \geq \sqrt{k} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}}$$

Now all we need to prove is that $\sqrt{k} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}} \geq \sqrt{k+1}$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{k} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}} - \sqrt{k+1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}} \left(\sqrt{k(k+1)} + 1 - (k+1) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}} \left(\sqrt{k^2 + k} - k \right) \\ &> 0\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\sqrt{k^2 + k} - k > 0$, then the proof is complete.

□

Problem 12. Let $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n$ be positive numbers. Prove that

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (a_i + b_i)^{1/n} \geq \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{1/n} + \prod_{i=1}^n b_i^{1/n}.$$

Hint: Divide both sides by the expression on the left hand side and use the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality.

Proof. Divide the right side of the equation by $\prod_{i=1}^n (a_i + b_i)$ and apply arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, then we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{a_i}{a_i + b_i} \right)^{1/n} \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i}{a_i + b_i}$$

and $\prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{b_i}{a_i + b_i} \right)^{1/n} \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{b_i}{a_i + b_i}$

Adding these two inequalities and we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{a_i + b_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{1/n} + \prod_{i=1}^n b_i^{1/n} \right) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1 = \frac{1}{n} n = 1$$

And multiplying both sides with $\prod_{i=1}^n (a_i + b_i)^{\frac{1}{n}}$, we can have final result

$$\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{1/n} + \prod_{i=1}^n b_i^{1/n} \leq \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i + b_i)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

□

Problem 13. Prove that Schwartz inequality

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \right| \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. (1) For $n = 1$, we have $\|a_1 b_1\| \leq a_1 b_1$. It is true.

(2) For $n = 2$, by arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2)^2 &= a_1^2 b_1^2 + 2a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2 + a_2^2 b_2^2 \\ &\leq a_1^2 b_1^2 + a_2^2 b_2^2 + (a_1^2 b_2^2 + a_2^2 b_1^2) \\ &= (a_1^2 + a_2^2)(b_1^2 + b_2^2) \end{aligned}$$

Then the inequality holds for $n = 2$.

(3) Suppose the inequality holds for $n = k > 2$, then we need to show that it still holds for $n = k + 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i b_i \right)^2 &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i b_i \right)^2 + 2a_{k+1} b_{k+1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i b_i \right) + (a_{k+1} b_{k+1})^2 \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k b_i^2 \right) + 2a_{k+1} b_{k+1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i b_i \right) + (a_{k+1} b_{k+1})^2 \end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile, we also have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} b_i^2 \right) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k b_i^2 \right) + b_{k+1}^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 \right) + a_{k+1}^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^k b_i^2 \right) + (a_{k+1} b_{k+1})^2$$

Then we only have to prove that

$$b_{k+1}^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 \right) + a_{k+1}^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^k b_i^2 \right) \geq 2a_{k+1}b_{k+1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i b_i \right)$$

By by arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, we have

$$\frac{b_{k+1}}{a_{k+1}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 \right) + \frac{a_{k+1}}{b_{k+1}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k b_i^2 \right) \geq 2 \sqrt{\left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k b_i^2 \right)}$$

multiplying both sides with $b_{k+1}a_{k+1}$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k+1}^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 \right) + a_{k+1}^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^k b_i^2 \right) &\geq 2a_{k+1}b_{k+1} \sqrt{\left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k b_i^2 \right)} \\ &\geq 2a_{k+1}b_{k+1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i b_i \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the the last step is from the assumption that the inequality holds for $n = k$. The proof is complete. \square

Problem 14. Use the Schwarz inequality to prove that if $a_1, \dots, a_n > 0$, then

$$\frac{n}{\frac{1}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{a_n}} \leq \frac{a_1 + \dots + a_n}{n}.$$

Proof. By Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1 + \dots + a_n) \left(\frac{1}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{a_n} \right) &\geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{1}{a_i} \right)^2 \\ &\geq n^2 \end{aligned}$$

then we rearrange the inequality, which implies

$$\frac{a_1 + \dots + a_n}{n} \geq \frac{n}{\frac{1}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{a_n}}$$

The proof is complete. \square