Introduction to Software Engineering

Group Coursework

Team 49

Cover Page Draft

Functional Requirements

User requirements definition will be denoted by numbers and system requirements by letters

- 1. Module leader can create a report of a possible misconduct case
 - a. System creates a query form to be filled out by the module leader
 - b. System should validate student ID
 - c. The form must contain the fields:
 - i. Module code
 - ii. Academic year
 - iii. Term
 - iv. Student number
 - v. Student name
 - vi. Student email
 - vii. Type of misconduct
 - viii. Type of assessment
 - ix. % of marks that affect the total mark of the module
 - x. Anonymized evidence
 - d. System should validate the form ensuring its fields match specification
 - e. System should generate a unique code for the case
 - f. Allows the module leader to submit the form
 - g. Sends the submitted form to the Academic Misconduct Team (AMT)
- 2. Member of AMT checks program the student is on and decides if there is enough evidence
 - a. System must be able to access the student database
 - b. System must be able to lookup students' details
- 3. If there is enough evidence the case should be forwarded to the home department and the home department's AMT should be notified
 - a. System sends the case to the home department
 - b. System sends notification to home department AMT
- 4. Investigatory interview is scheduled
 - a. The system must have a scheduling service
- 5. Invite sent to the student
 - a. The system creates the invitation in combination with the scheduling system
 - b. The system then sends the invite to the student either via email or via account
 - i. If via email the system would need an integrated mail client
 - ii. If via account, the system would need a database with accounts stored and be able to lookup the student's account to send the notification
- 6. Student confirms attendance
 - a. The system receives the reply and logs it onto the system
- 7. Email of summary of interview sent to student
 - a. The system must be capable of note taking
 - b. The system must have an integrated email client
 - c. System must be able to lookup student's details
 - d. System must be able to form connection to external email server
- 8. The case is referred to SCO
 - a. The system takes note that the case has been referred
 - b. Follow requirement 11

- 9. SCO verifies if there are previous offences at the college level
 - a. System must be able to access previous cases
 - b. System must be able to display previous case in a HTML format
 - c. System must be able to lookup specific cases involving specific students
 - d. System must be able to return a suitable message if there are no cases involving requested student else returns all cases against the student.

10. If there are no previous cases

- a. Requirement 4 8 is carried out with at least 7 days' notice given to module leader and a member of misconduct team.
- b. System should include electronic form hosting service with a capability to set a deadline
- c. System sends form to student and notifies them
- d. System should only allow 5 days for the student to fill out and sign form
- e. System sends completed form to misconduct team and notifies them
- f. System allows misconduct team to validate the form
 - i. Return the form to the student to correct if needed
 - ii. Else notify the professional service team (PS) who sends copy to student, module leader and SCO
- g. System should be able to allow user to change status of current open case (drop/close)

11. If there are previous offences

- a. System should be able to send email to module leader asking for description of case
- b. System should allow hosting of a referral form to be completed by misconduct team
- c. System should be able to send case to SCA
- d. System should be able to schedule a hearing by the SCA
- e. Outcome of cases is to be sent by email to the PS team and stored in the database

12. All actions must be time-stamped

- a. System must record all actions and associate them with the time and date
- b. The system must record the user who completed the action with the following details
 - i. Name
 - ii. ID number

13. Only users from relevant departments can access the system

- a. System must include user authentication
- b. System must be able to store and refer to a list of relevant admin departments which can access the system
- 14. Department staff and students have different sign-on portals with different permissions
 - a. System must be able to differentiate between students and staff
 - b. System must offer different functionality to different users depending on the type of user.

Non-Functional Requirements

- 1) Flexibility
- 2) Have the ability to change misconduct process due to dynamic nature

- 3) Only display cases which the user is relevant in
- 4) View multiple cases and see which actions are currently required from the user in them
- 5) Multiple cases can be created and viewed simultaneously by different users
- 6) For Scheduling have form to select type of event along with location and issue invites to participants, different interview types may have different requirements to schedule
- 7) Students do not have access to create/modify cases
- 8) Input should be validated to insure it meets field specification
- 9) Student ID should be checked to ensure it is a valid student ID
- 10) All cases are kept even if they were dropped
- 11) Only actions that the current user has the power to do will be displayed to that user
- 12) Notifications be sent by email as well as displayed on the appropriate user portal
- 13) Software has to be maintainable as it will be in long term use.
- 14) System should not be required to run overnight due to environment requirements.
- 15) Easy to use interface.
- 16) The system must use SCO APIs that are already provided, the operations are: Providing number of previous offences, Referral of cases and Consultation of status of cases.
- 17) Storing or displaying information in accordance too confidentiality legalisation.

Description of Use Cases

- Name: Send evidence
- Short description: additional evidence is sent to the Academic Misconduct Team (AMT)
- Precondition: additional evidence is requested by the AMT
- Postcondition: extra evidence is forwarded to the AMT
- Error situations: N/A
- System state in the event of an error: N/A
- Actors: Module Leaders OR Lectures
- Trigger: the AMT requests further information
- Standard process:
 - o A request was filed, by the AMT
 - o the module leaders or lecturers forwards extra evidence
 - o the further evidence is reviewed anew
- Name: Review reported case
- Short description: a reported case is now reviewed and deemed to have sufficient evidence
- Precondition: there must be a case to be reviewed
- Postcondition: the case is classified to be worthy of further action
- Error situations: case is invalid
- System state in the event of an error: an update is requested
- Actors: Academic Misconduct Team
- Trigger: a case has been received from the module instructor
- Standard process:
 - o 1. the new case is received
 - o 2. the aforementioned case is analysed for validity
 - o 3. the case is analysed for sufficient evidence
 - o 4. the case is referred
- Alternative process(es):
 - o 2'. the case doesn't contain sufficient validity
 - o 3'. further information is requested from student or PST
 - o 3". the case does not contain sufficient evidence
 - o 4". a request for more evidence is given to the module instructor (abstract)

- Name: Request more evidence
- Short description: upon review, the case has been concluded to be valid, but requires further evidence
- Precondition: the module instructor is a module instructor relevant to the case
- Postcondition: more evidence is requested from module instructor (abstract)
- Error situations: N/A
- System state in the event of an error: N/A
- Actors: Academic Misconduct Team
- Trigger: the case requires further evidence for a conclusion to be made
- Standard process:
 - o 1. a request for more evidence is placed to the module instructor (abstract)
 - o 2. the evidence is received
 - o 3. the case is re-evaluated for sufficiency of evidence
 - o 4. the case is referred
- Alternate process:
 - o 3'. the case is still not valid
 - o 4'. a repeated request is made
 - o 5'. if still not sufficient after this request, the case is dropped
- Name: Refer case
- Short description: the case is now sent to the Department Misconduct Team (DMT)
- Precondition: a case exists and is valid and with sufficient evidence
- Postcondition: the case is sent to the DMT
- Error situations: N/A
- System state in the event of an error: N/A
- Actors: Academic Misconduct Team
- Trigger: the case is with complete info to be forwarded
- Standard process:
 - o 1. the case is received
 - o 2. the case is sent to the DMT
- Name: Check student's past offences
- Short description: the DMT shall check if the included student (in the case file) has any past offences
- Precondition: the case exists and must have a student's information
- Postcondition: the past offences are located (if any)
- Error situations: N/A
- System state in the event of an error: N/A
- Actors: Department Misconduct Team
- Trigger: the case must include a student's complete history
- Standard process:
 - o 1. the case is received
 - o 2. the student's past offences are included
 - o 3. the case is forwarded
- Name: Declare case type
- Short description: the case's type is decided
- Precondition: the case exists and must have sufficient evidence
- Postcondition: the case's type is decided upon
- Error situations: N/A

- System state in the event of an error: N/A
- Actors: Department Misconduct Team
- Trigger: the case must include the type for the appropriate response to be made
- Standard process:
 - o 1. decide if case is with 3rd party involvement
 - o 2. the case type is included
 - o 3. the case is forwarded
- Alternate process:
 - o 1'. the case is without third-party involvement
- Name: Log action
- Short description: any kind of action taken is logged in the system
- Precondition: any kind of system action is taken
- Postcondition: the specific action is logged
- Error situations: N/A
- System state in the event of an error: N/A
- Actors: N/A
- Trigger: any type of system action is made
- Standard process:
 - o 1. an action is taken
 - o 2. the action is then logged, and possibly placed on portal (if relevant)
- Name: Case dropped
- Short description: a invalid case is removed from active case
- Precondition: a case exists and is NOT valid or doesn't have sufficient evidence
- Postcondition: the case is dropped
- Error situations: N/A
- System state in the event of an error: N/A
- Actors: N/A
- Trigger: the case doesn't have sufficient evidence or info
- Standard process:
 - o 1. a case is received
 - o 2. the case is not valid
 - o 3. the case is dropped
- Alternative process:
 - o 2'. the case is valid
 - o 3'. the case is without sufficient evidence
 - o 4'. the case is dropped
- Name: Submit interview summary
- Short description: complete a summary of a specific interview
- Precondition: an interview has taken places
- Postcondition: the summary is posted on the portal
- Error situations: N/A
- System state in the event of an error: N/A
- Actors: SCO
- Trigger: a interview has been completed
- Standard process:
 - o 1. an interview is completed
 - o 2. a summary is made

- Name: Schedule
- Short description: schedule a hearing, investigation interview or LAMP interview
- Precondition: a case exists, requires further insight, and/or needs validation
- Postcondition: a meeting is scheduled
- Error situations: N/A
- System state in the event of an error: N/A
- Actors: Academic Misconduct Team OR SCO
- Trigger: further investigation
- Standard process:
 - o 1. a case is received, and needs further action
 - o 2. a meeting type is decided
 - o 3. the appropriate meeting type is scheduled
- Name: Create and complete form
- Short description: the interview summary is made, and the student must sign a form within 5 days
- Precondition: the student is the relevant one to the case
- Postcondition: the case is updated
- Error situations: N/A
- System state in the event of an error: N/A
- Actors: Student
- Trigger: the case decision has been reached, and sent out
- Standard process:
 - o 1. a form is requested by the misconduct team
 - o 2. the student signs the form
- Name: Confirm attendance
- Short description: a student's attendance to an interview is confirmed
- Precondition: the student is the one being asked to attend
- Postcondition: the student is confirmed to attend meeting
- Error situations: the student doesn't confirm
- System state in the event of an error: request a re-schedule
- Actors: Student
- Trigger: an interview has been scheduled
- Standard process:
 - o 1. an interview is scheduled
 - o 2. student confirms attendance
- Alternative process:
 - o 2'. student doesn't confirm
 - o 3'. the interview would be rescheduled
- Name: Case registered
- Short description: a concluded case is registered with PST
- Precondition: a case is concluded
- Postcondition: a case is concluded and action has been decided upon
- Error situations: N/A
- System state in the event of an error: N/A
- Actors: Professional Service Team
- Trigger: the case has been decided upon
- Standard process:
 - o 1. a case is received

- o 2. the case is checked for a decision and action
- o 3. the case is registered
- Name: Case closed
- Short description: the case has been reviewed, and checked, and a decision has been made
- Precondition: there was a case, it has been reviewed, meeting needs to have been convened, and decision has been reached
- Postcondition: case is closed
- Error situations: N/A
- System state in the event of an error: N/A
- Actors: PST
- Trigger: a decision has been reached
- Standard process:
 - o 1. case decision made
 - o 2. case closed
- Name: Send information
- Short description: the case info has been updated, and now prepared to be released
- Precondition: the must be a case update to send
- Postcondition: information update is released to be sent out
- Error situations: N/A
- System state in the event of an error: N/A
- Actors: N/A
- Trigger: an update status is made on the case
- Standard process:
 - o 1. info on case is updated
 - o 2. released to be sent out
- Name: Display on relevant user's portal
- Short description: a case or case update is shown on a user's portal
- Precondition: a case must exist, and/or must have an status update
- Postcondition: the info is displayed on user's portal
- Error situations: N/A
- System state in the event of an error: N/A
- Actors: N/A
- Trigger: info is to be sent out
- Standard process:
 - o 1. info is updated
 - o 2. the info is released for sending
 - o 3. the info is shown on the user's portal
- Name: Send email
- Short description: released info update is sent via email
- Precondition: new info has been released and an email included in file, with the acceptance of receiving updates via email
- Postcondition: the email is sent
- Error situations: no consent for emails is given
- System state in the event of an error:
- Actors: N/A
- Trigger: the info is released for sending

- Standard process:
 - o 1. the info update is released
 - o 2. system checks if email consent is given
 - o 3. email is sent out
- Alternative process:
 - o 2'. no consent given
 - o 3'. no email is sent out
- Name: Submit hearing result
- Short description: the hearing results are received by the PST
- Precondition: the hearing with student has taken place
- Postcondition: the results are received by PST
- Error situations: N/A
- System state in the event of an error: N/A
- Actors: SCO
- Triggers: the hearing has taken place
- Standard process:
 - o 1. the hearing is completed
 - o 2. the hearing is result is recorded
 - o 3. the result is forwarded to PST
- Name: Receive case
- Short description: a case is received, and a hearing can now be scheduled
- Precondition: a case exists and requires a hearing with the student
- Postcondition: a hearing is scheduled
- Error situations: N/A
- System state in the event of an error: N/A
- Actors: SCO
- Trigger: a case has been forwarded by misconduct teams
- Standard process:
 - o 1. a case is received
 - o 2. hearing is scheduled
 - o 3. the student accepts the hearing date