

## Rubidium Bromide (RbBr)

*Space group:* Fm-3m, 225, rocksalt

*Lattice vectors:*  $R_1 = (-a/2, 0, a/2)$ ;  $R_2 = (0, a/2, a/2)$ ;  $R_3 = (-a/2, a/2, 0)$

*Atom positions Cartesian:* Rb = (0, 0, 0); Br = (a/2, a/2, a/2)

*Atom positions crystallographic:* Rb = (0, 0, 0); Br = (1/2, 1/2, 1/2)

*Isotopes:* 72.17%  $^{85}\text{Rb}$  (84.912 amu); 27.83%  $^{87}\text{Rb}$  (86.909 amu);  
50.69%  $^{79}\text{Br}$  (78.918 amu); 49.31%  $^{81}\text{Br}$  (80.916 amu)

*DFT:* Use VASP or QE. PBE PAW (**USE PLAIN PBE!!**) The QE website does not have pbesol for Rb)

(QE: Rb.pbe-spn-kjpaw\_psl.1.0.0.UPF and Br.pbe-n-kjpaw\_psl.1.0.0.UPF;

VASP: standard version; Rb\_sv) –

**Checklist** (*all data should be reported for the 2-atom primitive cell*)

- Please provide all computational costs in cores\*hours

### *Structure/electrons*

- Converged relaxed ‘temperature (T)=0’ lattice constant  $a$  (target accuracy < 0.005 Å)
  - Single value with 4 significant figures: X.XXX
- Methods / convergence criteria
  - Energy/force thresholds
  - Integration mesh / grid shifting
  - Fermi band shift
  - Smearing used?
- Other notes / cpu hours (*e.g., multiple relaxations, compilers, hardware*)
- All input files to run fully converged calculations (*e.g., `qe.scf.in`, `POSCAR`*)
- Converged electron band dispersion (target accuracy < 0.1 eV for  $\Gamma$ /X/L frequencies)
  - Numerical data: normalized wavevectors (q) and band energies (E): top 3 valence bands and 5 conduction bands (excel file, text file, or google sheet)
  - q in units of  $2\pi/a$  and f in eV
  - 3 segments:  $\Gamma \rightarrow \text{X}$ ,  $\Gamma \rightarrow \text{K} \rightarrow \text{X}$ , and  $\Gamma \rightarrow \text{L}$  evenly divided with ~100 q points per segment
  - 3 files, one for each segment. For each scaled q from 0 to 1 list (~100 rows): q, E<sub>1</sub>, E<sub>2</sub>, E<sub>3</sub>, E<sub>4</sub>, E<sub>5</sub>, E<sub>6</sub>, E<sub>7</sub>, E<sub>8</sub>
- Methods / convergence criteria
- Evidence of converged band structure
  - Band structure with varying integration meshes
- Other notes / cpu hours
- All input files to run fully converged calculations

### *Harmonic*

- Converged dispersion (target accuracy < 0.1 THz for  $\Gamma$ /X/L frequencies)
  - Numerical data: normalized wavevectors (q) and frequencies (f) for 6

- polarizations ( $j$ ) (excel or text file)
  - $q$  in units of  $2\pi/a$  and  $f$  in THz ( $f=\omega/2\pi$ )
  - 3 segments:  $\Gamma \rightarrow X$ ,  $\Gamma \rightarrow K \rightarrow X$ , and  $\Gamma \rightarrow L$  evenly divided with  $\sim 100$   $q$  points per segment
  - 3 files, one for each segment. For each scaled  $q$  from 0 to 1 list ( $\sim 100$  rows):  $q$ ,  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ ,  $f_3$ ,  $f_4$ ,  $f_5$ ,  $f_6$
- Converged harmonic interatomic force constants (IFCs)
  - Supercell perturbations or DFPT (provide relevant details)
  - Standard format for code used (*e.g.*, QE, Phonopy)
  - Will be supplied as supplemental material upon publication
- Long range Coulomb corrections
  - Dielectric matrix (1-3x3 matrix)
  - Born effective charge matrices (2-3x3 matrices)
  - Method of long-range Coulomb corrections
- Methods / convergence criteria
  - Thresholds
  - Supercell size / integration mesh
  - Symmetries / irreducibility / number of calculations (*linked to cpu hours below*)
  - Post-processing (*e.g.*, *enforce invariance constraints*)
- Evidence of converged dispersion
  - Dispersions with varying supercell sizes and integration meshes
- Other notes / cpu hours (*e.g.*, *accuracy vs cpu cost*, *shifted meshes*)
- All input files to run fully converged calculations

### ***Anharmonic thermal transport***

- Four converged T-dependent thermal conductivities ( $k$ ) (target accuracy  $< 2\%$  difference between successive grids - please contact us if a problem): natural isotopes with full BTE solution ( $k_{nat,full}$ ), natural isotopes with the relaxation time approximation (RTA) ( $k_{nat,RTA}$ ), isotopically pure (*e.g.*, 100%  $^{85}\text{Rb}$  and 100%  $^{79}\text{Br}$ ) with full BTE solution ( $k_{pure,full}$ ), and isotopically pure with RTA ( $k_{pure,RTA}$ ). If only RTA available, then only  $k_{nat,RTA}$  and  $k_{pure,RTA}$ 
  - Do not include boundary scattering, even at low T. We want to see how the codes behave at low T without this extrinsic scattering.
  - Numerical data: T (K) and  $k$  (W/m/K) in range  $10\text{K} < T < 1000\text{K}$  (excel or text file)
  - For  $10\text{K} \leq T \leq 50\text{K}$  increments of 10K (5 data points); for  $50\text{K} < T \leq 300\text{K}$  increments of 25K (10 data points); for  $300\text{K} < T \leq 1000\text{K}$  increments of 100K (7 data points).
  - 1 file with T from 10K to 1000K list (22 rows): T,  $k_{nat,full}$ ,  $k_{nat,RTA}$ ,  $k_{pure,full}$ ,  $k_{pure,RTA}$
  - Masses used for pure and natural calculations
- Accumulated T=300K  $k_{acc}$  vs frequency and  $k_{acc}$  vs mean free path (mfp) for converged  $k_{nat,RTA}$  value
  - Numerical data for each mode ( $q, j$ ) sampled in the Brillouin zone integration:  $f$  (THz),  $mfp = |\text{sqrt}(v_x^2 + v_y^2 + v_z^2) \times \text{lifetime}|$  (nm), mode contribution to  $k$  (W/m/K) for  $k_{nat,RTA}$

- 1 file (excel or text) with row for each mode  $(q, j)$ :  $f$ ,  $mfp$ , mode contribution to  $k$
- RTA T=300K three-phonon scattering rates ( $1/\tau_{3ph}$ ) and phonon-isotope scattering rates for natural abundance ( $1/\tau_{iso}$ )
  - Numerical data:  $f$  (THz),  $1/\tau_{3ph}$  (THz=1/ps), and  $1/\tau_{iso}$  (THz)
  - 1 file (excel or text) with row for each mode  $(q, j)$ :  $f$ ,  $1/\tau_{3ph}$ ,  $1/\tau_{iso}$
- Converged third-order anharmonic IFCs
  - Standard format for code used
  - Will be supplied as supplemental material upon publication
- Methods / convergence criteria: thermal conductivity
  - Delta function representation (*with details; e.g., adaptive smearing, cutoff*)
  - Integration grid
  - Symmetries used
- Methods / convergence criteria: anharmonic IFCs
  - Cutoff radius, supercell size, integration mesh, thresholds, displacement parameter for supercell derivatives
  - Post-processing
- Evidence of converged  $k$  at T=300K
  - Varying integration meshes
  - Target accuracy <2% difference between successive grids
- Other notes / cpu hours
- All input files to run fully converged calculations