

## RESEARCH

# Physcraper: A Python package for continually updated phylogenetic trees using the Open Tree of Life

Luna L. Sanchez Reyes<sup>1\*</sup>, Martha Kandziora<sup>1,2</sup> and Emily Jane McTavish<sup>1\*</sup>

\*Correspondence:

[sanchez.reyes.luna@gmail.com](mailto:sanchez.reyes.luna@gmail.com);  
[ejmctavish@ucmerced.edu](mailto:ejmctavish@ucmerced.edu)

<sup>1</sup>School of Natural Sciences,  
University of California, Merced,  
USA

Full list of author information is  
available at the end of the article

## Abstract

**Background:** Phylogenies are a key part of research in many areas of biology. Tools that automate some parts of the process of phylogenetic reconstruction, mainly molecular character matrix assembly, have been developed for the advantage of both specialists in the field of phylogenetics and non-specialists. However, interpretation of results, comparison with previously available phylogenetic hypotheses, and selection of one phylogeny for downstream analyses and discussion still impose difficulties to one that is not a specialist either on phylogenetic methods or on a particular group of study.

**Results:** Physcraper is a command-line Python program that automates the update of published phylogenies by adding public DNA sequences to underlying alignments of previously published phylogenies. It also provides a framework for straightforward comparison of published phylogenies with their updated versions, by leveraging upon tools from the Open Tree of Life project to link taxonomic information across databases. The program can be used by the nonspecialist, as a tool to generate phylogenetic hypotheses based on publicly available expert phylogenetic knowledge. Phylogeneticists and taxonomic group specialists will find it useful as a tool to facilitate molecular dataset gathering and comparison of alternative phylogenetic hypotheses (topologies).

**Conclusions:** The Physcraper workflow showcases the benefits of doing open science for phylogenetics, encouraging researchers to strive for better sharing practices. Physcraper can be used with any OS and is released under an open-source license. Detailed instructions for installation and usage are available at <https://physcraper.readthedocs.io>.

**Keywords:** gene tree; interoperability; open science; reproducibility; public database; DNA alignment

## Background

Phylogenies capture the shared history of organisms and provide key evolutionary context for our biological observations [1]. Updating existing phylogenies with publicly available molecular sequence data that has never been incorporated into any phylogenetic estimate provides the opportunity to study the evolutionary history of many taxa in a reproducible and continuous manner. Here, we introduce Physcraper, a tool that establishes a data interoperability framework for biological databases to automate data connections across databases, with the main goal of building ~~on published alignments and extending upon~~ published alignments to

[extend](#) existing phylogenetic inferences with more data and taxa. Physcraper updates a starting tree and single locus alignments with public DNA data, and links the tips in these trees to a unified, interoperable taxonomic resource [2].

Data such as geographical location, fossil ranges, and genetic and phenotypic information increasingly available in public databases constitute an amazing resource for biological discovery [3]. One of the main challenges for automatic integration of biological data across databases are varying taxonomic idiosyncrasies. To address this challenge, the Open Tree of Life project (OpenTree) created a unified taxonomy for name standardization, by integrating taxonomic data from several databases [2], including the USA National Center for Biodiversity Information (NCBI) taxonomy [4, 5], and the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF) [6] among others. By using the existing OpenTree taxonomy programmatic tools to map tip names, Physcraper has a framework for connecting updated phylogenies with data from any biological database.

Decades of single locus sequencing have generated massive amounts of homologous DNA datasets that have the potential to be used for phylogenetic reconstruction at many scales [7]. More than a decade ago, GenBank release 159 (April 15, 2007) already hosted 72 million DNA sequences that were gauged to have the potential to resolve phylogenetic relationships of 98.05% of the almost 241,000 distinct taxa in the NCBI taxonomy at the time [7]. However, even thirteen years later, phylogenetic estimates for many of these taxa are not available [8]. OpenTree's comprehensive tree of life comprises 2.3 million tips, of which around 90,000 are supported by phylogenies - the remaining 1.4 million taxa are placed in the tree based on taxonomy. There is a considerable amount of phylogenetically informative data in GenBank with the potential to fill these phylogenetic gaps in the tree of life, but this data either has not been analysed or the analyses have not been made publicly available [8].

Assembling a DNA alignment from such a massive database as GenBank can be done "by hand", but that is a time consuming approach which is not highly reproducible. A variety of computational pipelines that mine DNA databases fast, efficiently, and reproducibly have been developed and used to infer phylogenetic relationships in a variety of organisms (e.g., [9, 10, 11, 12]). While genomics has, and will continue to, revolutionize phylogenetic inference, the diversity of alternative genomic sequencing approaches implemented produce largely non-overlapping homology hypotheses across taxa [13], creating challenges for phylogenetic reconstruction. Phylogenomics addresses this problem by focusing on targeted capture of informative regions [14]. However, fine-grained curated markers and alignments can significantly improve phylogenetic reconstructions, even in phylogenomic analyses [15].

Physcraper improves on previous work in automating phylogenetic reconstruction by leveraging the power of existing homology hypotheses that taxon specialists have assessed and deemed appropriate for a specific phylogenetic scope. There are almost 8,200 publicly available, peer-reviewed curated alignments, covering around 100,000 distinct taxa in the TreeBASE database [16], which can be leveraged as seeds to mine molecular databases, and as "jump-start" alignments for phylogenetic reconstructions [17] to continually enrich, update and compare existing phylogenetic knowledge.

Physcraper is implemented as a Python pipeline that uses OpenTree's programmatic access protocols (API's) to automatically link any phylogeny mapped to OpenTree's standardized taxonomy [18], to alignments from TreeBASE [19], and data from GenBank [20]. Its utility and functionalities are presented with a case-study analysis of a group of flowering plants, the hollies.

## Implementation

The general Physcraper framework (Figure 1) consists of 4 steps: 1) identifying and processing a tree and its underlying alignment; 2) performing a BLAST search of DNA sequences from original alignment on GenBank, and filtering of new sequences; 3) profile-aligning new sequences to original alignment; 4) performing a phylogenetic analysis and comparing the updated tree to existing phylogenies.

### The inputs: a tree and an alignment

Taxon names in the input tree must be standardized to OpenTree taxonomy [2] using OpenTree's bulk Taxonomic Name Resolution Service (TNRS) tool [21]. Users can upload their own tree, or choose from among the 2, 950 standardized trees stored in OpenTree's Phylsystem [22, 18] that also have alignments available on TreeBASE [16].

The input alignment is a single locus DNA dataset that was used in part or in whole to generate the input tree. Physcraper retrieves TreeBASE alignments automatically. Alternatively, users must provide the path to a local copy of the alignment. Only taxa that are both in the sequence alignment and in the tree are considered further for analysis; at least one taxon and its corresponding sequence are required.

### DNA sequence search and filtering

The Basic Local Alignment Search Tool, BLAST [23] is used for DNA sequence search on a remote or local GenBank database. It is constrained to a "search taxon", a taxonomic group in the NCBI taxonomy that is automatically identified using the OpenTree's taxonomic Most Recent Common Ancestor (MRCA) API [24, 2], as the MRCA of all ingroup taxa that is also a named clade in the NCBI taxonomy (Figure 1).

BLAST is performed using the 'blastn' algorithm [25] implemented in BioPython's [26] NCBIWWW module [27] modified to accept an alternative BLAST address. Each sequence in the alignment is BLASTed once against all DNA sequences in GenBank. New sequences are excluded for analysis if they 1) are not in the search taxon; 2) have an e-value above the cutoff (default to 0.00001); 3) fall outside a min and max length threshold, defined as the proportion of the average length without gaps of all sequences in input alignment (default values of 80 respectively); 4) or if they are either identical to or shorter than an existing sequence in the input alignment and they represent the same taxon in OpenTree or NCBI taxonomy. An arbitrary maximum number of randomly chosen sequences per taxon are allowed (default to 5).

Reverse, complement, and reverse-complement sequences are identified and translated using BioPython internal functions [26]. Iterative cycles of BLAST searches can be performed, by blasting all new sequences until no new ones are found. By default only one BLAST cycle is performed.

### New DNA sequence alignment

MUSCLE [28] is used to perform a profile alignment in which the original alignment is used as a template of homology criteria to align new sequences. The final alignment is not further automatically checked, and additional inspection and refinement are recommended.

### Tree reconstruction and comparison

RAxML [29] is implemented to reconstruct a Maximum Likelihood (ML) gene tree for each input alignment with default settings (GTRCAT model and 100 bootstrap replicates with default algorithm), using input tree as starting tree for ML searches. Bootstrap results are summarized using DendroPy's SumTrees module [30].

Physcraper's main result is an updated phylogenetic hypothesis for the search taxon. Updated and original tree are compared with Robinson-Foulds weighted and unweighted metrics estimated with Dendropy [30], and with a node by node comparison between the synthetic OpenTree and original and updated tree individually, using OpenTree's conflict API [31].

## Results

### Case Study: The hollies

A user is interested in phylogenetic relationships within the genus *Ilex*. Commonly known as "hollies", the genus encompasses between 400-700 living species, and is the only extant clade within the family Aquifoliaceae, order Aquifoliales of flowering plants.

An online literature review in June 2020 (Google scholar search for "ilex phylogeny") reveals that there are several published phylogenies showing relationships within the hollies [32, 33, 34, 35], but only two have data publicly available [36, 37]. [36] made original tree and alignment available in TreeBASE (study 1091 [38]). ~~The tree sampling~~ This tree (Gottlieb2005 tree from now on) samples 41 species ~~is also,~~ is available from OpenTree's Phylesystem (study pg\_2827 [39]), and has been integrated into OpenTree's synthetic tree [40]. The most recent *Ilex* tree [37] (Yao2020 tree from now on) is available in OpenTree's Phylesystem (study ot\_1984 [41]), and in the DRYAD repository [42]. With 175 tips, the [37]-Yao2020 tree is the best sampled phylogeny yet available for the ~~hollies~~ genus *Ilex*.

We ran Physcraper on a laptop Linux computer to update an internal transcribed spacer DNA region (ITS) alignment from [36], using a local GenBank database. BLAST and RAxML analyses ran for 19hrs 45min, with bootstrap analyses taking an additional 13hrs. The updated [36]-Gottlieb2005 tree (Figure 2) displays all 41 distinct taxa from the original study plus 231 new tips, contributing phylogenetic data to 84 additional *Ilex* taxa. The best RaxML tree is 99% resolved, with 25% of nodes with bootstrap support < 0.1 and 48% nodes with bootstrap support > 0.75. A large portion of internal branches are negligibly small, with 30 branches < 0.00001 substitution rate units, from which only 9 have a bootstrap support > 0.75 (Figure 2). ~~For comparison, The Yao2020 tree [37] also contains all 41 distinct taxa from the original [36] study, and contributes sampled in the Gottlieb2005 tree [36], while contributing~~ phylogenetic data to 134 additional *Ilex* taxa, ~~from which~~ . From these, 67 taxa are also in ~~updated the~~ Physcraper updated Gottlieb2005

tree [36]. While ~~[37] also used the Yao2020 tree [37]~~ was also constructed using ITS as a marker, their GenBank data is not released yet, ~~so~~. Hence, Physcraper was unable to incorporate 68 ~~additional taxa into the analysis. However, taxa that are only on the Yao2020 tree because the DNA data is unavailable.~~ We also note that Physcraper incorporates 18 *Ilex* taxa that are not in the Yao2020 tree [37]. These taxa appear nested among other *Ilex* species and visual inspection of the DNA sequences suggests they are correctly assigned as *Ilex*. The ITS alignment that underlies the Yao2020 tree was constructed without any tool to scrape GenBank [37], which could explain why Physcraper was able to incorporate these 18 ~~taxa that were not in [37].~~ additional *Ilex* taxa.

### Verification test

We pruned 9 out of the 41 original tips of the Gottlieb et al. tree, corresponding to a 20% trim, excluding the outgroups. We then performed a Physcraper run to test if we would recover the dropped tips. We successfully recovered 6 out of 9 pruned tips in the updated tree. Closer examination of results revealed that the 3 missing tips were correctly retrieved with BLAST along with the other 6 tips that were included in the updated tree. Examining the ITS sequences belonging to the tips missed by the test, by following the GenBank accession numbers reported in the original publication, showed that these sequences contain a 100 bp long gap of unidentified nucleotides (Ns). These Ns were absent in the 3 sequences published in the original alignment.

## Discussion

Databases preserving and democratizing access to biological data have become essential resources for science. New molecular data keep accumulating and tools facilitating its integration into existent evolutionary knowledge contribute to the acceleration of scientific discovery.

Physcraper is a tool that builds upon previous knowledge stored in published alignments, with the main goal to extend our knowledge of phylogenetic relationships across the tree of life.

We believe this is a key step to successfully establish an open, reproducible workflow for phylogenetics, facilitating phylogenetic knowledge for ecologists and other non-specialists, effectively democratizing phylogenetic studies.

As a tool for automatizing phylogenetic reconstruction from molecular databases, Physcraper presents several advantages over existing phylogenetic pipelines designed to make evolutionary sense of the vast amount of public molecular data available.

Several analysis tools create full phylogenies *de novo* by mining of molecular databases [10, 7, 43, 44, 12]. In particular, Phylota [7], and PHLAWD [9], have been cited and used abundantly.

Physcraper builds on this automated database mining concept by incorporating prior phylogenetic work and existing taxonomic domain knowledge on appropriate markers and alignment construction. This decreases error (requiring less manual downstream processing) and eases comparison with previous phylogenetic knowledge.

Results from the verification test highlight the importance of incorporating existing expertly curated homology statements to automatically update phylogenetic relationships, instead of ignoring the information they contain and building homology statements fully *de novo*. The three sequences that were in the original tree but were not included in the updated tree by Physcraper in the verification test were excluded because they contain a 100 bp long gap of unidentified nucleotides (Ns) in GenBank that was manually curated and trimmed in the original alignment.

Unlike phylogenetic placement approaches [45, 46], which add new taxa without modifying the input tree, Physcraper estimates all the relationships anew in the context of the new data. PUMPER [11] shares these conceptual strengths, but is no longer under active development, is challenging to install and run, and has resulted in very few phylogenetic analyses since its publication.

Physcraper generates gene trees, which individually do not capture the full complexity of species' evolutionary history [47]. However, Physcraper facilitates gathering alignments and gene trees for multiple loci from a group of interest, that together can be used to reconstruct species trees taking into account coalescent processes with ASTRAL [48], BEAST2 [49], or SVD Quartets [50]). Rigorous analyses of multiple loci allows for more complex evolutionary models than analyses of large genomic data sets, and can provide better evolutionary estimates.

Physcraper has the added advantage of facilitating the linkage of taxonomic information about tips in the output phylogenies to data available in a variety of biological databases [2], such as geographical locations for taxa from the GBIF [6].

The Physcraper workflow can be used to rapidly (in a matter of hours) create phylogenies which can address challenges overarching both fields of ecology and evolution, such as phylogenetically placing newly discovered species [51], curating taxonomic assignments [52], and generating custom trees for ecological [53] and evolutionary downstream analyses [54].

## Conclusions

Data repositories hold more information than meets the eye. Beyond the main data, they are rich sources of metadata that can be leveraged for the advantage of all areas of biology as well as the advancement of scientific policy and applications. Initial ideas about the data are constantly changed by results from new analyses. Physcraper provides a framework for reproducible phylogenetics that has the potential to consistently provide context for these ideas, highlighting the importance of data sharing and open science in the field, biology and science.

## Availability and requirements

**Project name:** Physcraper

**Project home page:** <https://physcraper.readthedocs.io/en/latest/index.html>

**Operating System:** Linux, Mac, Windows

**Programming Language:** Python

**Other requirements:** Dependencies

**License:** GNU

**Any restrictions to use by non-academics:** As specified by the License

## Abbreviations

**OpenTree:** The Open Tree of Life project  
**TNRS:** Taxonomic Name Resolution Service  
**MRCA:** Most Recent Common Ancestor  
**BLAST:** Basic Local Alignment Search Tool  
**NCBI:** USA National Center for Biodiversity Information  
**GBIF:** Global Biodiversity Information Facility

## Declarations

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

### Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and analysed during the current study are available in the repositories "physcraper" containing the source code, <https://github.com/McTavishLab/physcraper>; "physcraperex" containing the examples, <https://github.com/McTavishLab/physcraperex>; and, "physcraper.ms" containing this reproducible manuscript, <https://github.com/McTavishLab/physcraper.ms>.

### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Funding

This research was supported by the grant "Sustaining the Open Tree of Life", NSF ABI No. 1759838, and ABI No. 1759846. Computer time was provided by the Multi-Environment Research Computer for Exploration and Discovery (MERCED) cluster from the University of California, Merced (UCM), supported by the NSF Grant No. ACI-1429783.

### Authors' contributions

LLSR wrote manuscript, alignment code, documentation, performed analyses and developed examples; MK wrote code for ncbitdataparser module, filtering of sequences per OTU and using offline blast searches, wrote documentation and tests; EJM conceived study, wrote most of the code, documentation and tests. All authors contributed to the manuscript and gave final approval for publication. . . .

### Acknowledgements

We thank the members of the OpenTree development team and the "short bar" Science and Engineering Building 1, UCM, joint lab paper discussion group for valuable comments on this manuscript.

### Author details

<sup>1</sup>School of Natural Sciences, University of California, Merced, USA. <sup>2</sup>Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic.

## References

1. Dobzhansky, T.: Nothing in biology makes sense except in the light of evolution. *The American Biology Teacher* **35**(3), 125–129 (1973)
2. Rees, J.A., Cranston, K.: Automated assembly of a reference taxonomy for phylogenetic data synthesis. *Biodiversity Data Journal* (5) (2017). doi:[10.3897/BDJ.5.e12581](https://doi.org/10.3897/BDJ.5.e12581)
3. Baxevanis, A.D., Bateman, A.: The importance of biological databases in biological discovery. *Current Protocols in Bioinformatics* **50**(1), 1–1 (2015)
4. Federhen, S.: The NCBI Taxonomy database. *Nucleic Acids Research* **40**(D1), 136–143 (2012). doi:[10.1093/nar/gkr1178](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr1178). Publisher: Oxford Academic. Accessed 2020-12-03
5. Schoch, C.L., Ciufu, S., Domrachev, M., Hottel, C.L., Kannan, S., Khovanskaya, R., Leipe, D., McVeigh, R., O'Neill, K., Robertse, B., Sharma, S., Soussov, V., Sullivan, J.P., Sun, L., Turner, S., Karsch-Mizrachi, I.: NCBI Taxonomy: a comprehensive update on curation, resources and tools. *Database* **2020** (2020)
6. Secretariat, G.: GBIF Backbone Taxonomy (2019). doi:[10.15468/39omei](https://doi.org/10.15468/39omei). Accessed 2020-12-03
7. Sanderson, M.J., Boss, D., Chen, D., Cranston, K.A., Wehe, A.: The PhyLoTA Browser: Processing GenBank for Molecular Phylogenetics Research. *Systematic Biology* **57**(3), 335–346 (2008). doi:[10.1080/10635150802158688](https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150802158688). <https://academic.oup.com/sysbio/article-pdf/57/3/335/24203605/57-3-335.pdf>
8. McTavish, E.J., Drew, B.T., Redelings, B., Cranston, K.A.: How and Why to Build a Unified Tree of Life. *BioEssays* **39**(11) (2017). doi:[10.1002/bies.201700114](https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.201700114). Number: 11. Accessed 2018-04-10
9. Smith, S.A., Beaulieu, J.M., Donoghue, M.J.: Mega-phylogeny approach for comparative biology: an alternative to supertree and supermatrix approaches. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **9**(1), 37 (2009). doi:[10.1186/1471-2148-9-37](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-9-37)
10. Antonelli, A., Hettling, H., Condamine, F.L., Vos, K., Nilsson, R.H., Sanderson, M.J., Sauquet, H., Scharn, R., Silvestro, D., Töpel, M., *et al.*: Toward a self-updating platform for estimating rates of speciation and migration, ages, and relationships of taxa. *Systematic Biology* **66**(2), 152–166 (2017). doi:[10.1093/sysbio/syw066](https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syw066)



11. Izquierdo-Carrasco, F., Cazes, J., Smith, S.A., Stamatakis, A.: Pumper: phylogenies updated perpetually. *Bioinformatics* **30**(10), 1476–1477 (2014). doi:[10.1093/bioinformatics/btu053](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu053)
12. Pearse, W.D., Purvis, A.: phylgenerator: an automated phylogeny generation tool for ecologists. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **4**(7), 692–698 (2013)
13. Jones, M.R., Good, J.M.: Targeted capture in evolutionary and ecological genomics. *Molecular Ecology* **25**(1), 185–202 (2016). doi:[10.1111/mec.13304](https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13304)
14. Andermann, T., Torres Jiménez, M.F., Matos-Maraví, P., Batista, R., Blanco-Pastor, J.L., Gustafsson, A.L.S., Kistler, L., Liberal, I.M., Oxelman, B., Bacon, C.D., Antonelli, A.: A guide to carrying out a phylogenomic target sequence capture project. *Frontiers in Genetics* **10**(1407), 1–20 (2020). doi:[10.3389/fgene.2019.01407](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.01407)
15. Fragoso-Martínez, I., Salazar, G.A., Martínez-Gordillo, M., Magallón, S., Sánchez-Reyes, L., Lemmon, E.M., Lemmon, A.R., Sazatornil, F., Mendoza, C.G.: A pilot study applying the plant Anchored Hybrid Enrichment method to New World sages (*Salvia* subgenus *Calospatha*; Lamiaceae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **117**, 124–134 (2017). doi:[10.1016/j.ympev.2017.02.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2017.02.006)
16. Piel, W., Chan, L., Dominus, M., Ruan, J., Vos, R., Tannen, V.: Treebase v. 2: A database of phylogenetic knowledge. e-Biosphere. London (2009)
17. Morrison, D.A.: Multiple sequence alignment for phylogenetic purposes. *Australian Systematic Botany* **19**(6), 479–539 (2006). doi:[10.1071/SB06020](https://doi.org/10.1071/SB06020)
18. McTavish, E.J., Hinchliff, C.E., Allman, J.F., Brown, J.W., Cranston, K.A., Holder, M.T., Rees, J.A., Smith, S.A.: Phylsystem: A git-based data store for community-curated phylogenetic estimates. *Bioinformatics* **31**(17), 2794–2800 (2015). doi:[10.1093/bioinformatics/btv276](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv276)
19. Sanderson, M., Donoghue, M., Piel, W., Eriksson, T.: Treebase: a prototype database of phylogenetic analyses and an interactive tool for browsing the phylogeny of life. *American Journal of Botany* **81**(6), 183 (1994). doi:[publication does not exist](https://doi.org/10.1093/ajbot/81.6.183)
20. Benson, D.A., Karsch-Mizrachi, I., Lipman, D.J., Ostell, J., Rapp, B.A., Wheeler, D.L.: Genbank. *Nucleic Acids Research* **28**(1), 15–18 (2000). doi:[10.1093/nar/28.1.15](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/28.1.15)
21. OpenTreeOfLife: Name Resolution (TNRS) bulk mapping tool. <https://tree.opentreeoflife.org/curator/ttnrs/>
22. OpenTreeOfLife, E.J. McTavish, Hinchliff, C.E., Allman, J.F., Brown, J.W., Cranston, K.A., Holder, M.T., Rees, J.A., Smith, S.A.: Phylsystem's top-level repository in the Open Tree of Life phylogenetic study document store. <https://github.com/opentreeoflife/phylsystem>
23. Altschul, S.F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E.W., Lipman, D.J.: Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **215**(3), 403–410 (1990). doi:[10.1016/S0022-2836\(05\)80360-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2)
24. OpenTreeOfLife, Rees, J.A., Cranston, K.: OpenTree's taxonomic MRCA API. <https://github.com/OpenTreeOfLife/germinator/wiki/Taxonomy-API-v3#mrca>
25. Camacho, C., George, C., Vahram, A., Ning, M., Jason, P., Kevin, B., Thomas, L.: BLAST+: Architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics* **10**(1), 421 (2009). doi:[10.1186/1471-2105-10-421](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-421)
26. Cock, P.J., Antao, T., Chang, J.T., Chapman, B.A., Cox, C.J., Dalke, A., Friedberg, I., Hamelryck, T., Kauff, F., Wilczynski, B., et al.: Biopython: freely available Python tools for computational molecular biology and bioinformatics. *Bioinformatics* **25**(11), 1422–1423 (2009). doi:[10.1093/bioinformatics/btp163](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp163)
27. The BioPython Contributors, et al.: BioPython's Bio.Blast.NCBIWWW module. <https://biopython.org/DIST/docs/api/Bio.Blast.NCBIWWW-module.html>
28. Edgar, R.C.: Muscle: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research* **32**(5), 1792–1797 (2004). doi:[10.1093/nar/gkh340](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh340)
29. Stamatakis, A.: Raxml version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**(9), 1312–1313 (2014). doi:[10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033)
30. Sukumaran, J., Holder, M.T.: DendroPy: a Python library for phylogenetic computing. *Bioinformatics* **26**(12), 1569–1571 (2010). doi:[10.1093/bioinformatics/btq228](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq228)
31. Redelings, B.D., Holder, M.T.: A supertree pipeline for summarizing phylogenetic and taxonomic information for millions of species. *PeerJ* **5**, 3058 (2017). doi:[10.7717/peerj.3058](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.3058)
32. Cuénoud, P., Martinez, M.A.d.P., Loizeau, P.-A., Spichiger, R., Andrews, S., Manen, J.-F.: Molecular phylogeny and biogeography of the genus *Ilex* L.(aquifoliaceae). *Annals of Botany* **85**(1), 111–122 (2000). doi:[10.1006/anbo.1999.1003](https://doi.org/10.1006/anbo.1999.1003)
33. Manen, J.-F., Barriera, G., Loizeau, P.-A., Naciri, Y.: The history of extant *Ilex* species (Aquifoliaceae): evidence of hybridization within a Miocene radiation. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **57**(3), 961–977 (2010). doi:[10.1016/j.ympev.2010.09.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2010.09.006)
34. Setoguchi, H., Watanabe, I.: Intersectional gene flow between insular endemics of *Ilex* (Aquifoliaceae) on the Bonin Islands and the Ryukyu Islands. *American Journal of Botany* **87**(6), 793–810 (2000). doi:[10.2307/2656887](https://doi.org/10.2307/2656887)
35. Selbach-Schnadelbach, A., Cavalli, S.S., Manen, J.-F., Coelho, G.C., De Souza-Chies, T.T.: New information for *Ilex* phylogenetics based on the plastid psbA-trnH intergenic spacer (Aquifoliaceae). *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **159**(1), 182–193 (2009). doi:[10.1111/j.1095-8339.2008.00898.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.2008.00898.x)
36. Gottlieb, A.M., Giberti, G.C., Poggio, L.: Molecular analyses of the genus *Ilex* (aquifoliaceae) in southern south america, evidence from aifp and its sequence data. *American Journal of Botany* **92**(2), 352–369 (2005). doi:[10.3732/ajb.92.2.352](https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.92.2.352)
37. Yao, X., Song, Y., Yang, J.-B., Tan, Y.-H., Corlett, R.T.: Phylogeny and biogeography of the hollies (*Ilex* L., aquifoliaceae). *Journal of Systematics and Evolution* **58**(5), 1–10 (2020). doi:[10.1111/jse.12567](https://doi.org/10.1111/jse.12567)
38. Gottlieb, A.M., Giberti, G.C., Poggio, L.: TreeBASE study 1091. <https://treebase.org/treebase-web/search/study/summary.html?id=1091>
39. Gottlieb, A.M., Giberti, G.C., Poggio, L.: Phylsystem study pg.2827. [https://tree.opentreeoflife.org/curator/study/edit/pg\\_2827/?tab=home](https://tree.opentreeoflife.org/curator/study/edit/pg_2827/?tab=home)
40. OpenTreeOfLife, Redelings, B., Reyes, L.L.S., Cranston, K.A., Allman, J., Holder, M.T., McTavish, E.J.: Open Tree of Life Synthetic subtree, node id mrcaott68451ott89474. <https://tree.opentreeoflife.org/>



- [opentree/opentree12.3@mrcaott68451ott89474/Ilex-theizans--Ilex-dumosa](https://opentree/opentree12.3@mrcaott68451ott89474/Ilex-theizans--Ilex-dumosa)
41. Yao, X., Song, Y., Yang, J.-B., Tan, Y.-H., Corlett, R.T.: Phylsystem study ot.1984. [https://tree.opentreeoflife.org/curator/study/view/ot\\_1984](https://tree.opentreeoflife.org/curator/study/view/ot_1984)
  42. Yao, X., Song, Y., Yang, J.-B., Tan, Y.-H., Corlett, R.T.: Phylogeny and biogeography of the hollies (*Ilex* L., Aquifoliaceae), Dryad, Dataset. <https://datadryad.org/stash/dataset/doi:10.5061/dryad.k0p2ngf4x>. Accessed April 2020
  43. Smith, S.A., Walker, J.F.: Pyphlawd: A python tool for phylogenetic dataset construction. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **10**(1), 104–108 (2019). doi:[10.1111/2041-210X.13096](https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13096)
  44. Bennett, D.J., Hettling, H., Silvestro, D., Zizka, A., Bacon, C.D., Faurby, S., Vos, R.A., Antonelli, A.: phylotar: An automated pipeline for retrieving orthologous dna sequences from genbank in r. *Life* **8**(2), 20 (2018). doi:[10.3390/life8020020](https://doi.org/10.3390/life8020020)
  45. Berger, S.A., Krompass, D., Stamatakis, A.: Performance, Accuracy, and Web Server for Evolutionary Placement of Short Sequence Reads under Maximum Likelihood. *Systematic Biology*, 010 (2011). doi:[10.1093/sysbio/syr010](https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syr010). Accessed 2015-01-12
  46. Matsen, F., Kodner, R., Armbrust, E.V.: pplacer: linear time maximum-likelihood and Bayesian phylogenetic placement of sequences onto a fixed reference tree. *BMC bioinformatics* **11**(1), 538 (2010). Number: 1. Accessed 2013-12-17
  47. Song, S., Liu, L., Edwards, S.V., Wu, S.: Resolving conflict in eutherian mammal phylogeny using phylogenomics and the multispecies coalescent model. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**(37), 14942–14947 (2012). doi:[10.1073/pnas.1211733109](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1211733109)
  48. Mirarab, S., Reaz, R., Bayzid, M.S., Zimmermann, T., Swenson, M.S., Warnow, T.: ASTRAL: genome-scale coalescent-based species tree estimation. *Bioinformatics* **30**(17), 541–548 (2014). doi:[10.1093/bioinformatics/btu462](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu462)
  49. Bouckaert, R., Vaughan, T.G., Barido-Sottani, J., Duchêne, S., Fourment, M., Gavryushkina, A., Heled, J., Jones, G., Kühnert, D., Maio, N.D., Matschiner, M., Mendes, F.K., Müller, N.F., Ogilvie, H.A., Plessis, L.d., Poppinga, A., Rambaut, A., Rasmussen, D., Siveroni, I., Suchard, M.A., Wu, C.-H., Xie, D., Zhang, C., Stadler, T., Drummond, A.J.: BEAST 2.5: An advanced software platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis. *PLOS Computational Biology* **15**(4), 1006650 (2019). doi:[10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006650](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006650). Publisher: Public Library of Science
  50. Chifman, J., Kubatko, L.: Quartet inference from SNP data under the coalescent model. *Bioinformatics* **30**(23), 3317–3324 (2014). doi:[10.1093/bioinformatics/btu530](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu530). Publisher: Oxford Academic
  51. Webb, C.O., Slik, J.F., Triono, T.: Biodiversity inventory and informatics in Southeast Asia. *Biodiversity and Conservation* **19**(4), 955–972 (2010). doi:[10.1007/s10531-010-9817-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-010-9817-x)
  52. San Mauro, D., Agorreta, A.: Molecular systematics: a synthesis of the common methods and the state of knowledge. *Cellular & Molecular Biology Letters* **15**(2), 311 (2010). doi:[10.2478/s11658-010-0010-8](https://doi.org/10.2478/s11658-010-0010-8)
  53. Helmus, M.R., Ives, A.R.: Phylogenetic diversity–area curves. *Ecology* **93**(sp8), 31–43 (2012). doi:[10.1890/11-0435.1](https://doi.org/10.1890/11-0435.1)
  54. Stoltzfus, A., Lapp, H., Matasci, N., Deus, H., Sidlauskas, B., Zmasek, C.M., Vaidya, G., Pontelli, E., Cranston, K., Vos, R., *et al.*: Phylotastic! making tree-of-life knowledge accessible, reusable and convenient. *BMC Bioinformatics* **14**(1), 158 (2013). doi:[10.1186/1471-2105-14-158](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-14-158)

## Figures

**Figure 1** The Physcraper framework consists of four general steps. The software is fully described on its documentation website at <https://physcraper.readthedocs.io>, along with installation instructions, function usage descriptions, examples and tutorials.

**Figure 2** A) Phylogeny updated with Physcraper from original [36] tree in B. Tips in original alignment and new tips added with Physcraper are depicted in black and red, respectively. Physcraper obtained sequences from the GenBank database via local BLAST of all sequences in the original alignment that generated tree in B), filtered them following criteria from section "DNA sequence search and filtering", aligned them to original alignment using MUSCLE and performed a phylogenetic reconstruction using RAxML with 100 bootstraps. B-D conflict analyses performed with OpenTree tools.