

The markings of the trade: exploring the patterns of olive oil production in Roman Baetica

Abstract

The aim of this study is to detect the patterns of olive oil production that link amphora workshops and amphoric stamps. Roman provinces such as Baetica became important production and distribution centers during the Roman Empire. However, it remains under debate how this province was organized and whether it is possible to identify patterns in the olive oil market.

CAMBIAR

Our case of study has been focused on the production processes located in Baetica province (currently Andalusia) from 1st to 3rd AD. In particular, we want to explore economic dynamics that include the production and distribution of olive oil trade. Amphoric stamps are used to identify the presence of different producer groups that might share similar stamps. To achieve this goal, we analyse a set of stamps from different workshops in Baetica province in order to detect a relation between the distribution of amphoric stamps and the economic structure in this province. Here we use methods borrowed from Ecology that allow us to identify if amphora workshops share similar amphoric stamps depending on the spatial distance.

The analysis explores how quantitative approach provides a useful tool for the interpretation of the economic processes. Finally, results pretend to highlight the organization of Baetican olive oil production in the Roman Empire linked to the differences observed in the archaeological evidence.

1. Introduction

Material culture is one of the most frequent indicator of trade in the archaeological record. In archaeology, they allow us to highlight a part of mechanism of production and distribution of goods along the Mediterranean (Bevan, 2014). Particularly, the spread of these factors have an important impact during the Roman Age, when the progressive exploitation of communication networks allowed a major interaction between communities (Orengo and Livarda, 2016). As a result, an important mechanism of production control under Roman government was created spreading by different areas attending to the richness of different places.

Despite this issue has been widely discussed over many decades, the understanding about the production processes is still under debate, mostly due to the lack of written sources. The application of different approaches combined with the archaeological evidence has allowed us partly to deal with the complexity of understanding the Roman production (Orengo and Livarda, 2016; Brughmans and Poblome, 2016; Rubio-Campillo et al., 2017).

This paper aims to understand the production dynamics in relation to a specific area within Roman Empire. Specifically, our work pretend to detect microeconomic processes focused on a commercial product from a specific province (Isaksen, 2006). We want to detect the pattern of olive oil production that link amphora workshops and amphoric stamps used to mark them. We focus here on exploring the economic relation between stamps and amphora production centres. To do this, an Ecology approach has been used to analyse the dispersion of stamps between amphora workshops (Rubio-Campillo et al., 2018).

2. The amphora production in the Roman Empire

Roman province such as Baetica (currently Andalusia, south Spain) became important production centers of olive oil during the Roman Empire. Olive oil was considered as the liquid gold since it was used in different aspect of the daily life as cooking, hygiene or lighting (Mattingly, 1988). As a consequence,

the high demand of Roman provinces stimulated by the good condition of the Baetica lands allowed to develop a massive infrastructure of olive oil production. This product was distributed in large amount of amphorae along the province, mostly to supply the Roman Army and Italy (Blázquez, 1980).

The production and distribution of olive oil in this ancient province were growing exponentially during almost three centuries (Remesal, 2011). As a result, hundred of amphora workshops were created to support the high demand of Roman Empire. These workshops were located along the rivers Guadalquivir and Genil, supplying from the riverine connectivity to the Mediterranean and Atlantic (García Vargas, 2010).

The majority of olive oil amphorae produced in this province and shipped thought the provinces belong to Dressel 20 type (Dressel, 1878; Martin-Kilcher, 1987). This amphora is commonly associated with the transportation of Baetican olive oil during the Roman Empire (Berni, 2008). Most Dressel 20 were marked in stamps, inked in *tituli picti* and incise in graffities with different information. However, graffities and *tituli picti* have not enough analysed due to the fragmentation of the material or the shortage of samples (Aguilera, 2007; Rovira Guardiola, 2007). By contrast, stamps are the most studied in this type of amphorae. During almost three centuries, stamps were used to mark amphorae with a different chronological frequency (Remesal, 2016). Frequently, they were marked mainly in handles but rarely in rims and body (Berni, 1998). The information of the stamps is shown in different forms and letter content and it seems that there was not a unique criteria. Stamps was mostly formed for a code of three letters. These letters can appear in a abbreviated form or complete and they are known as *Tria Nomina* (Berni, 1996).

There is not a general consensus about the meaning of them (Remesal, 1998). On the one hand, stamps are identified as the land owner of the olive groves (Remesal, 1977). On the other, they could be the owner of the making amphorae workshops or even a production counting system (Berni, 2008). In any case, the use of these stamps became in a good proxy to define somehow the system of working in the workshops.

Nevertheless, some challenges remain under discussion such as how this province was organized and whether it is possible to distinguish production patterns in the olive oil trade. Our questions will be focused on the distribution of amphoric stamps. Did they follow some distribution pattern? Did stamps share the same workshop? Neither written sources have been found that it could explain the economic role of Baetica province in the Roman organization. On the other hand, archaeological evidence shows a highly specialized production with a long activity in a this specific area with apparently few changes (Remesal, 2004).

Here, therefore, this study proposes an approach to explore the effect of Baetic olive oil production by computing of dissimilarity index. The assumption of this analysis is that closer workshops concentrate similar amphoric stamps in a specific area than the farthest workshops. By contrast, if we found similar amphoric stamps in different areas between workshops then the correlation between spatial distance would not be valued.

3. Material and Methods

3.1. Case study

Our case study examines the relation between the distribution of amphoric stamps and the workshops. The workshops were situated in different locations in Baetica province, along the river Guadalquivir and its tributary Genil in order to detect similarities between stamps from workshops and spatial distance (see Fig.1)

The chronology in the workshops is widely diverse from the first to the third centuries AD (Berni, 1998; Remesal, 1998; Chic, 2005). Some stamps show a more specific chronology while the majority of them display a large activity of production being difficult to specify an accurate chronology. This could be due to two reasons. First, most of workshops were partially excavated and focused on archaeological surveys in order to collect the maximum stamps as possible. Second, this amphora type was produced during almost three centuries




Figure 1: Distribution of the workshops

with apparently few changes so they presented a long chronology. (PODRÍA CITARME AQUÍ MUAHA)

We studied a dataset of 3787 stamps collected from different Dressel 20 amphora workshops in Baetica province. The stamp database was compiled by CEIPAC database (Remesal et al., 2015) (see CEIPAC database). However, approximately the 70 % of stamps cannot be tested due to fragmentation or incomplete information. Consequently, we discard integrate the fragmented stamps in our dataset. We finally filter a total sample of 987 stamps composed by 131 different stamps from 81 workshops.

From the database, we collected the site where it was found and the stamp code. We also created a new column with the area where stamps were found. These areas, known as *conventus*, were administrative centers for territorial organization in the Roman Empire. Dressel 20 stamps were found in three different *conventus*: *Hispalis* (currently Seville), *Corduba* (currently Córdoba)

and *Astigi* (currently Écija, Sevilla) (Remesal, 1977; Chic, 2001; Berni, 2008) .

The distribution of amphora stamps in different conventus can be seen in Fig. 2. The majority of stamps found are concentrated in *Hispalis* with 574 stamps while *Corduba* and *Astigi* with 267 and 146 stamps, respectively. Mostly workshops show a homogeneity on frequency of stamps except La Catria and Arva (both show 29 different stamps) that show a big amount of different stamps. According to some researchers, those workshops became in one of the most important centres of amphora production although it could have been more intensely prospected then others. (Remesal et al., 1997)




Figure 2: Dendrogram obtained by Morisita-Horn algorithm of different amphora workshops in Baetica area. Colors are represented by areas divided into Hispalis (red), Astigi (green) and Corduba (blue)

explicar las razones del conventus no? AQUÍ QUIZÁS HABLAR DE SELLOS NO?

3.2. Quantifying the diversity Dissimilarity correlation

The approach proposed here is based on the idea of measuring the similarity between amphora workshops by quantifying similar stamps. A measure

of dissimilarity has been chosen to analyse the dataset. We use the statistical technique Morisita-Horn index (Morisita, 1959; Horn, 1966). This method was performed to measure the overlap between different samples of sets. Generally, it describes the dissimilarity between the system of two communities based on the idea of inverse correlation between diversity and species (Magurran, 1988).

The formula can be described as follows (Magurran, 2004):

$$D(MH) = 1 - \frac{2 \sum (a_i \cdot b_i)}{(d_a + d_b) \cdot (N_a \cdot N_b)} \quad (1)$$

d_a and d_b are given by the following equation:

$$d_a = \frac{\sum a_i^2}{N_a^2} \quad (2)$$

where N_a is the total number of stamps in workshop A; N_b is the total number of stamps in workshop B; a_i is the number of different stamps for workshop A and b_i is the number of different stamps for workshop B.

Considering our dataset as non-uniform sample, this method provides a useful tool to handle large samples with different sizes and diversity (Wolda, 1981). Morisita-Horn index can be expressed considering 0 as total presence of similarity of stamps and 1 a totally dissimilarity between stamps. In our case, it will be calculated the number of times that one stamp appear in a amphora workshop. This method allows to bear in mind the similar number of times for each repeated stamp per workshop. If two workshops have similar stamp codes then the probability would be 0 while the results would be 1 when stamp codes are totally different.

4. Results

The analysis shows that amphoric stamps could be correlated with the spatial distance. The correlation coefficients range from a minimum to a maximum. The dendrogram shown in Fig. 3 was obtained with Morisita-Horn index. This dendrogram suggests that amphora workshops used different stamps for their production system. Nearby workshops show a similarity on the stamps while most of them seem to display different stamps roles. Additionally, no groups of similar stamps were found in the cluster. The majority of stamp grouping was composed by no more than three workshops. Indeed workshops that shared more similar amphoric stamps belonged to the same *conventus* area, as for instance Picachos, Cerro de los Pesebres and El Castillejo.




Figure 3: Dendrogram obtained by Morisita-Horn algorithm of different amphora workshops in Baetica area. Colors are represented by areas divided into Hispalis (red), Astigi (green) and Corduba (blue)

5. Discussion and Conclusion

In this study, we aimed to analyse whether amphoric stamps could play an important role in the organization of the workshops along rivers. For that, dissimilarity index was used to detect differences among workshops and stamps.

In our analysis, no strong relation between stamps and geographic distance were detected in amphora workshops. Indeed, the analysis suggests that there is not connection between stamps and the same amphora workshops, excluding

certain exceptions when nearby workshops share the same amphoric stamps. Consequently the majority of stamps are located in different amphora workshops and only similar stamps between closer amphora workshops were found. In any case, our results show that most similar stamps were detected in the same conventus area. These stamps tend to share the same area of production but there is not a general relation between groups of amphora workshops and area.

The hypothesis about groups of amphora workshops sharing the same stamps seems do not match with the results of the analysis even though there are similar stamps in closer workshops. Rather, it seems that each workshop were organized independently with different stamps. Those stamps detected in closer workshops do not move from other farthest workshops. In other words, the stamps tend to keep in the same area and different stamps were located in a same amphora workshops. This could be defined by several factors. Firstly, each workshop had a different organization involved to the use of stamps and they were not used in other workshops. Secondly, stamps similarity in closer workshops could be linked to a spatial pattern. It is more probably than closer workshops tend to share more traits than farthest. While the role of river was significant for the distribution of amphorae in consumption places, river connection amongst workshops was not relevant for the distribution of stamps. Finally, the distribution of stamps could have showed some research bias. In some cases, workshops have been catalogued with different names despite belonging to the same workshops or being closer between each other. Additionally, most workshops were not widely excavated.

The results of the case study could be interpreted due to several reason according to previous hypotheses. On the one hand, the use of these amphoric stamps could have been exclusively running by the owner or owners of the workshop to distinguish the amphora workshop (CITAR). This hypotheses would explain the fact that we do not find similar stamps in different workshops, however, we found different amphora stamps in a same workshops that they would be barely difficult to assign different owners. Neither a kind of quality distinction does seem to be used to specify the quality or value of the product (Callender,

1965). On the other hand, it can be interpreted somehow a batch systematic organization. Considering that Dressel 20 was not marked in several cases, some authors point out that potters marked amphorae to prepare and distribute the commodity to be shipped (Berni, 2008). This method would be used as an identifier to count the number of amphorae of a branch (CITAR). This it could be explain wherefore we found different stamps in a same workshop. In any case, we do not have enough archaeological evidence that can validate the interpretations presented here and our results are certainly valid only with the context of our case study.

As summary, this method presented here provides a potential tool to understand mechanisms of production based on the similarity of artefacts. This method have identified differences in the case of the amphoric production within Roman Empire. Accordingly the results have highlighted to interpreter the complex economical processes based on the archaeological evidence.

6. Acknowledgements

The research was funded by European Research Council Advanced Grant EPNNet (340828). We are grateful to Simon Carrignon and Nachete. All data has been analysed and conducted in R program version 3.2.4, using the packages *vegan* (Oksanen et al., 2007).

7. References

- Aguilera, A., 2007. Evolución de los tituli picti de las ánforas Dressel 20 entre mediados del siglo I y mediados del siglo III, in: Acta XII Congressus Internationalis Epigraphiae Graecae et Latinae, Monografies de la Secció Històrico-Arqueològica, Barcelona. pp. 15–22.
- Berni, P., 1996. Amphora Epigraphy: proposals for the study of stamp contents. established by: Mauro Cristofani and Riccardo Francovich , 751–770.

- Berni, P., 1998. Las ánforas de aceite de la Bética y su presencia en la Cataluña romana. volume 4. Col .lecció Instrumenta. Universitat de Barcelona.
- Berni, P., 2008. Epigrafía anfórica de la Bética. Nuevas formas de análisis. volume 29. Col .lecció Instrumenta. Universitat de Barcelona.
- Bevan, A., 2014. Mediterranean Containerization. *Current Anthropology* 55, 387–418. URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/info/10.1086/677034>, doi:10.1086/677034.
- Blázquez, J., 1980. La exportación del aceite hispano en el Imperio romano: estado de la cuestión, in: Producción y comercio del aceite en la antigüedad. Primer Congreso Internacional. Madrid, pp. 19–46.
- Brughmans, T., Poblome, J., 2016. Roman bazaar or market economy? Explaining tableware distributions through computational modelling. *Antiquity* 90, 393–408. URL: http://www.journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S0003598X16000351.
- Callender, M., 1965. Roman Amphorae, with Index of Stamps. Oxford University Press.
- Chic, G., 2001. Datos para un estudio socioeconómico de la Bética: marcas de alfar sobre ánforas olearias. Gráficas Sol.
- Chic, G., 2005. El comercio de la Bética altoimperial. *Habis* 36, 313–332.
- Dressel, H., 1878. Ricerche sul Monte Testaccio. *Annali dell’Istituto di Corrispondenza Archeologica* 50, 118–192.
- García Vargas, E., 2010. Formal Romanisation and the Atlantic Projection of Amphorae from the Guadalquivir Valley, in: The Western Roman Atlantic Façade: A study of the economy and trade in the Mar Exterior from the Republic to the Principate. archaeopress ed.. Oxford, England. number 2162 in BAR International Series, pp. 55–66.

- Horn, H.S., 1966. Measurement of "overlap" in comparative ecological studies. *The American Naturalist* 100, 419–424.
- Isaksen, L., 2006. Network analysis of transport vectors in Roman Baetica, in: *Digital discovery: exploring new frontiers in human heritage. Proceedings of the 34th CAA Conference, Fargo*, pp. 76–87.
- Magurran, A.E., 1988. Why diversity?, in: *Ecological diversity and its measurement*. Springer, pp. 1–5.
- Magurran, A.E., 2004. *Measuring biological diversity*. Blackwell Science Ltd.
- Martin-Kilcher, S., 1987. Die römischen Amphoren aus Augst und Kaiser-augst. Ein Beitrag zur römischen Handels- und Kulturgeschichte, 1. Die südspanischen Ölamphoren (Gruppe 1). volume 7/1. *Forschungen in Augst*.
- Mattingly, D.J., 1988. Oil for export? A comparison of Libyan, Spanish and Tunisian olive oil production in the Roman Empire. *Journal of Roman Archaeology* 1, 33–56.
- Morisita, M., 1959. Measuring of the dispersion of individuals and analysis of the distribution patterns. *Memoirs of the Faculty of Science, Kyushu University*, ser. E (Biology) 2, 215–235.
- Oksanen, J., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., O'Hara, B., Stevens, M.H.H., Oksanen, M.J., Suggests, M., 2007. The vegan package. *Community ecology package* 10, 631–637.
- Orengo, H.A., Livarda, A., 2016. The seeds of commerce: A network analysis-based approach to the Romano-British transport system. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 66, 21–35. URL: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0305440315003192>, doi:10.1016/j.jas.2015.12.003.
- Remesal, J., 1977. La economía oleícola bética: nuevas formas de análisis. *Archivo Español de Arqueología* 50, 87–144.

- Remesal, J., 1998. Baetican olive oil and the Roman economy. *Journal of Roman Archaeology - Suppl. series* 29, 183–200.
- Remesal, J., 2004. Las ánforas Dressel 20 y su sistema epigráfico. J. Remesal Rodríguez (éd.), *Epigrafía anfórica. Proyecto Amphorae, Instrumenta* 17, 127–148.
- Remesal, J., 2011. *La Bética en el concierto del Imperio Romano*. Real Academia de la Historia, Madrid.
- Remesal, J., 2016. Sellar, ¿para qué? El sistema de comunicación en los sellos de las ánforas Dressel 20. *Antichità AltoAdriatiche* 83, 73–90.
- Remesal, J., Aguilera, A., García Sánchez, M., Martín-Arroyo, D., Pérez González, J., Revilla, V., 2015. Centro para el Estudio de la Interdependencia Provincial en la Antigüedad Clásica (CEIPAC). *Pyrenae* , 245–275.
- Remesal, J., Revilla, V., Berni, P., Carreras Monfort, C., 1997. Arva: prospecciones en un centro productor de ánforas Dressel 20 (Alcolea del Río, Sevilla). *Pyrenae* 28, 151–178.
- Rovira Guardiola, R., 2007. Gráfitos ante coctvram sobre ánforas Dressel : una propuesta de evolución cronológica, in: *Acta XII Congressus Internationalis Epigraphiae Graecae et Latinae, Monografies de la Secció Històrico-Arqueològica*, Barcelona. pp. 1255–1262.
- Rubio-Campillo, X., Coto-Sarmiento, M., Remesal, J., 2017. Bayesian analysis and free market trade within the Roman Empire. *Antiquity* 91, 1241–1252.
- Rubio-Campillo, X., Montanier, J.M., Rull, G., Lorenzo, J.M.B., Díaz, J.M., González, J.P., Rodríguez, J.R., 2018. The ecology of Roman trade. Reconstructing provincial connectivity with similarity measures. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 92, 37–47.
- Wolda, H., 1981. Similarity indices, sample size and diversity. *Oecologia* 50, 296–302.