

Identifying links between amphorae workshops through morphometric similarity within the Roman Empire [☆]

Maria Coto-Sarmiento^{a,*}, Xavier Rubio-Campillo^b, José Remesal^c

^a*Barcelona Supercomputing Center (BSC), Jordi Girona 29, Office 3A, Nexus II Building, 08034, Barcelona, Spain*

^b*School of Histories, Classic & Archaeology, Room OOM.22, William Robertson Wing, Old Medical School, Teviot Place, University of Edinburgh, UK*

^c*CEIPAC, Department of Prehistory and Archaeology, Montalegre, 6-8, 08001, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain*

Abstract

The aim of this study is to analyse social learning patterns within amphorae production among workshops in the Roman Empire. Cultural Evolution is applied to understand the effect that this production might have on the evolution of social learning of potters. This analysis can be developed by the fact that we detect differences in the amphorae production through time and space that they might explain this dynamic of change. However, different debates revolve around how individuals or groups acquired and transmitted technical skills. In addition, this challenge is combined with the problem of detecting different modes of transmission in the archaeological record. In archaeology, several studies have analysed these processes focused on the handmade production techniques of pottery. In our case, we want to discover if these processes could be similar with a more standardized production.

Our main case study has been focused to understand the production dynamics of olive oil amphorae located in *Baetica* province (currently Andalusia, south Spain) during the Roman Empire (1st-3rd century AD). In particular, multivariate statistical methods have been applied to distinguish assemblages among different kinds of amphora shapes that it could serve to detect different

*Corresponding author

Email address: maria.coto@bsc.es (Maria Coto-Sarmiento)

patterns in the production techniques among workshops. Specifically, we want to identify if these changes might have been influenced by cultural reasons or other cultural constraints.

The analysis explores how cultural evolution provides a strong baseline for the interpretation of the cultural pattern. Finally, the results suggest that different factors as spatial distance can influence in the production processes.

Keywords: Cultural Evolution, Roman Empire, amphorae production, social learning

1. Introduction

Material culture allows to understand a part of the mechanism of the human behavior (Richerson and Boyd, 2005; Schillinger et al., 2016a). We can observe cultural patterns through material culture which can explain how the culture
5 evolves (Richerson and Boyd, 2005). This mechanism can be analysed by the study of variability on material culture which can help us detect social learning patterns as, for example, how to teach and make an amphora. In archaeology, the detection of these patterns in the archaeological records could also explain whether these changes are produced by cultural reasons which varying over time
10 and space (Basalla, 1988). As a result, different information is shared by social learning generating an accumulation of knowledge transmitted from generation to generation in different conditions of context and content. (Eerkens and Lipo, 2005; Neff, 1992; Henrich and McElreath, 2003; Boyd et al., 2011). In any case, the mode of learning transmission along with several external conditions
15 might affect directly or indirectly the pattern of manufacturing of the artefacts. Moreover the detection of these patterns can result more significant in large-scale pottery productions when this production is increasing to satisfy the demand of more complex societies, as the case of Roman Empire. This huge of production allows us to distinguish some morphometrical variations to understand a part
20 of the economic dynamic of Empire.

This paper explores the change in the production processes during the Ro-

man Empire. In particular, our study is focused on understanding the pottery-making techniques by analysing large-scale amphorae production in a specific area. In this case, an evolutionary framework (Mesoudi, 2015; Shennan, 2008a) is used for studying the implication and the impact that this production might involve on the evolution of social learning processes. Within evolutionary perspective, social learning is analysed to understand the production mechanisms in amphorae. Specifically, the aim of this study is understanding how the amphorae production were organized and whether it can be possible to identify amphorae made in different workshops. Our main hypothesis concerns about understanding the modes of transmission of pottery-making techniques and how those techniques could have been transmitted in time and space.

Following a large number of authors (Cavalli-Sforza and Feldman, 1981; Hosfield, 2009), pottery production can be learned on different modes of cultural transmission depending on the level of production in the communities. Vertical transmission is a mode of transmission when the teaching of the production is done from master to disciple while in horizontal transmission individuals teach pottery techniques to other individuals within the same level and those workers spread the knowledge to their community (Epstein, 1998)

In material culture, artefact variation may be also affected by geographical distance (Björklund et al., 2010; Shennan et al., 2015; Van Strien et al., 2015) where material culture is more similar in closer populations who interacted each other whereas in other cases the correlation between both seems not visible due to different factors (Hart, 2012).

However, different debates revolve around how individuals or groups acquired and transmitted technical skills (Bowser and Patton, 2008; Mesoudi and O'Brien, 2008). In addition, this challenge is combined with the difficulty of detecting the different modes of transmission in the archaeological record (Roux, 2015). In the case of archaeology, several studies have analysed this process focused on the production of handmade pottery (Steele, James et al., 2010) or with stylistic variations (Neiman, 1995; Shennan and Wilkinson, 2001). Specifically our work pretends to inquire whether learning processes could be similar with

a more standardized and massive production (Gandon et al., 2014), related to the case of pottery production in the Roman Empire. In this work we have explored the transmission of these processes associated with amphorae production
55 through a combination of empirical analysis and multivariate statistics.

The paper can be sketched as follows. The next section introduces the case study and existing hypotheses, the third section will illustrate about the methods that we have applied to analyse our case study, the next section will
60 deal about the results; and finally we highlight with a discussion about our results.

2. The amphoric production in Roman Baetica

Our case study examines the variation of the amphorae production located in *Baetica* province (currently Andalusia, south Spain). During the Roman Empire, a large-scale infrastructure of amphorae production was developed around
65 this area to supply the provinces of the Empire, with a huge impact during the supplying of the Roman army in places such as Britania (Funari, 2005; Carreras Monfort, 1998) or Germania (Remesal, 1986).

For this reason, this ancient province became an important support for the
70 production and distribution of olive oil to the rest of the Empire during three centuries (Chic, 2005; Berni, 1998; Remesal, 1998). *Baetica* had also a strong connection through rivers that allowed developing an important trade network mostly around the Mediterranean and Atlantic (García Vargas, 2010). As a result of this growth in size, almost a hundred of pottery workshops were currently located along the Guadalquivir river and its tributaries. The majority
75 of amphorae produced in this area belong to *Dressel 20* divided into different typologies, according with different authors (Berni, 2008; Martin-Kilcher, 1994). This amphora type was used mostly to transport olive oil for around 300 years in order to satisfy the demand within Roman Empire (Remesal, 1977). In particular, olive oil was a significant product frequently used in different aspect
80 of the Roman daily life such as consumption, lighting and hygiene (Mattingly,

D.J., 1988).

The importance of this commerce is also showed by the fact that Dressel 20 amphora production was identified with different marks about its provenance
85 although the meaning of the actors in this process seems not clear (Rubio-Campillo et al., fourthcoming). Thus, amphora production was a particular example of production strategy that experimented few changes around three centuries. In any case, our main question will be related to understand how the amphora workshops were organized in *Baetica* area and the transmission of
90 the production techniques by potters. Thereby, our hypothesis revolves around technological knowledge could have been vertically transmitted when production techniques are learned from master to apprentice providing technical skills. When vertical transmission predominates in this process over horizontal transmission then amphorae made in nearby workshops might share more similar
95 traits than amphorae made from farthest workshops. Otherwise if horizontal transmission is the main transmission in this process the social learning of these techniques would be transmitted by workers (Hosfield, 2009). Then there would not be differences among workshops on the production. In our case, we can detect measurable differences among this type of amphorae correlated with the
100 spatial distance.

3. Material and methods

We collected a dataset of 470 amphorae from 5 different workshops excavated in order to identify the social learning process that took place in the case study. The workshops were located in Malpica (Palma del Río, Córdoba), Cerro
105 del Belén (Palma del Río, Córdoba)(hereafter, Belén) (Díaz Trujillo, 1992), Parlamento (Sevilla) (García Vargas, 2000), Villaseca (Córdoba)(García Vargas and Morena, fourthcoming) and Las Delicias (Écija, Sevilla) (Fernández et al., 2001; Mauné et al., 2014) (see map 1).

We created a dataset where were selected 413 amphorae related to the se-
110 lected chronology, approximately 80-100 samples of each pottery workshops.




Figure 1: Map from *Baetica* province during the Roman Empire. Dressel 20 workshops were mostly distributed along the rivers Guadalquivir and Genil. Location of the five workshops analysed in this area.

The choice of these workshops corresponded to several reasons. Firstly, the workshops were selected from different spaces in order to analyse the production patterns depending on the distance of each workshop. Secondly, the extended chronology of these workshops serves as proxy to examine changes on the variation shape. In our case, the type Dressel 20 did not experiment especially
115 visible changes on the production pattern during almost three centuries (Berni and García Vargas, 2016). Finally, the workshops selected had been excavated in extension and provided a large number of materials.

Eight different measurements were taken for each amphorae sample of the
120 5 workshops studied. The measurements were focused on the rim sherds whose fragments were the most preserved on the archaeological sample. In the case of pottery attributes, rim sherds and the curvature of handles work as useful indicators of variability (Berni, 2008). The measurements were divided into exterior diameter, inside diameter, rim height, rim width, shape width, rim
125 inside height, rim width 2 and protruding rim, as the Fig 2 indicates. The method required a large sample size and for this reason the test was focused

on rim sherds. Other significant parts such as handles and bases were found in lesser quantities thus compromising the applicability of the method due to small sample size.




Figure 2: Example of the 8 measurements taken for the sample in order to provide morphometric data. A: External diameter. B: Inside diameter. C: Rim height. D: Rim width. E: Shape width. F: Rim inside height. G: Rim width 2. H: Protruding rim

130 In our study, we have selected three variants according to three centuries (Dressel C, Dressel D, Dressel E). Without important variations in three centuries, the chronology responds to a relative dating obtained by the classification identified on amphora shapes in different studies defined by P. Berni (Berni, 2008) and Martin Kilcher (Martin-Kilcher, 1994). All of the variants selected
135 were found in excavations from the workshops studied in order to avoid some material which can contaminate the sample. For the proposal of this study, the rest of variants were not taken into account from our study by not having enough material for the analysis.

3.1. Principal Component Analysis

140 The sample selected was analysed using statistical method such as Principal Component Analysis and Discriminant Analysis to explore these metrical differences on the rim sherds. We used Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

to simplify the large number of variables in our dataset. This method allows to capture the most of variation from our dataset and create a reduced number
145 of new variables without losing relevant information (Jolliffe, 2002; Shennan, 2008b). Moreover the new set of variables contain all the data information expressed as the result of the most variance from the original variables. This method is commonly used in archaeology for the study of the variation of material culture (Li et al., 2014; Schillinger et al., 2016b). In order to apply a degree
150 of uniformity, we created a training dataset of 210 samples. In our study, PCA allowed to capture the most variation of the measurement and retained into first two principal components.

3.2. Discriminant Linear Analysis

The variability of the first two principal components was used to cluster our
155 dataset using Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA). LDA will be conducted to find significant technical differences by the combination among variables obtained for the first principal components. LDA identifies which variables allow to distinguish each group and how many variables are necessary to achieve the best combination as possible. Thus, LDA is used to explore a better separate
160 training dataset from the results of the most relevant principal components. In our dataset, the first components produced by the amphora measurements are grouped into each workshop groups and are separated to obtain a better discrimination among groups. We also generate a Confusion Matrix (CM) to be able of quantifying the degree of confusion and compare the index of similarity among workshops. CM calculated the probability of success and error of
165 the results. It generates a matrix where higher value is the result of an incorrect classification. As example, this method has been commonly used to detect differences in artefact production (Charlton et al., 2012; Thorpe et al., 1984), and particularly for a similar study about pottery production in *Tarraconense*
170 (Aguilera, 1998)

3.3. Spatial Distance

The morphometric distance generated with the results of CM will be compared with the spatial distance to prove if it exists a correlation between each other. Euclidean distance will be used to measure the distance among workshops. We also analysed the potential correlation between morphometric and spatial distance by performing with Mantel test among all the workshops. Mantel test is a statistical method used to evaluate the association between cultural diversities with geographical distance when the distance can be related and dependent from each other (Mantel, 1967; Diniz-Filho et al., 2013). This method has been particularly used in archaeology to test the correlation between cultural constrains and spatial distance (Crema et al., 2014).

4. Results

The morphometric variation was analysed in amphorae production to quantify the technical differences on the pattern production among workshops. The analysis of PCA produces a set of values for each observed variable. Variables show how much variability exist in the dataset grouped by each principal components. The results, indicated in the Table 1, show the most differences were focused on the protruding rim.

The patterns observed in the first two Principal Components were plotted to visualize the degree of variation by isolation among workshops. The results suggested that amphorae from closer workshops tend to be more similar than amphorae made in furthest workshops. In particular, the Fig. 3 illustrates how the four closest workshops show variation on PC1 (i.e. Belén, Las Delicias, Villaseca and Malpica) while Parlamento displays a distinctive pattern than the rest of workshops on PC2 values.

Discriminant Analysis was used to analyse the results obtained from PCA. A training dataset used in PCA was analysed assuming the workshops as 5 groups ("belen", "delicias", "malpica", "parlamento" and "villaseca"). For the analysis, a total of 93 samples were correctly classified while 113 were incor-

Variables	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4	PC5	PC6	PC7	PC8
Exterior diameter		-0.195			0.281	-0.217	0.369	0.829
Inside diameter			0.105	-0.414	0.838		-0.257	-0.202
Rim height			-0.143	-0.425	-0.242	-0.744	-0.424	
Rim width		-0.524	0.136			-0.342	0.582	-0.497
Shape width		-0.170	-0.920	0.253	0.232			
Rim inside		0.156	-0.299	-0.750	-0.240	0.345	0.377	
Rim width 2		-0.789		-0.113	-0.219	0.393	-0.369	0.139
Protruding rim	0.989							

Table 1: Result of the 8 principal components. Most variability was concentrated in the measurement protruding rim.




Figure 3: First and Second Principal Components for the amphorae measurement dataset from the 5 workshops analysed

	PC1	PC2
Standard Dev	0.3480758	0.1873301
Proportion	0.5747347	0.1664696
Cumulative	0.5747347	0.7412043

Table 2: Result values from the first two principal components

200 rectly classified, as shown the Fig. 4. The results showed a similarity into three groups (Belén, Malpica and Villaseca) while two groups depicted a different pattern (Las Delicias and Parlamento). This could be caused by the geographical proximity, being Parlamento and Las Delicias both workshops with the geographical distance more farther than rest of workshops.




Figure 4: Plot with the correct and incorrect predictions result of Discriminant Analysis

205 The results of Confusion Matrix proved that workshops with a minor spatial distance such as Malpica and Belén had more troubles of being distinguished due to the similarity in the results obtained (see Table 3). Classification test with the whole dataset gave an accuracy percentage of 50.61%. Applying this to a training dataset reduced the accuracy to 46.19%. Therefore, spatial distance
210 could be inversely correlated with making techniques processes of amphorae in

the case of *Baetica* area.

	Belén	Delicias	Malpica	Parlamento	Villaseca
Belén	31	6	12	11	13
Delicias	2	27	6	13	1
Malpica	6	4	16	2	13
Parlamento	3	2	3	14	6
Villaseca	0	3	5	2	9

Table 3: Confusion Matrix with rows pointing out the workshops analysed. The sample analysed gave an accuracy percentage of 46.19 %. Results of P.Value <0.01.

We calculated the correlation between spatial distance generated by the Euclidean distance and the distance among amphora measurements, calculated using the Confusion Matrix. The workshops were chosen with different geographical distance in order to prove the correlation between spatial distance and variability of the amphora production techniques. Mantel test indicated results more reasonable (rm= 0.3841; p= 0.025 with 199 permutations). The analysis shows that morphometric distance of the amphorae are strongly correlated with the spatial distance of workshops. Accordingly closer workshops tend to be more similar than the rest: when geographic distance is low, as the example of Belén and Malpica, the morphometric distance seems more similar whereas when distance is higher, as Parlamento, the morphometric distance displays differences with the rest of workshops. Thus, the results suggest that the variability on the making-techniques processes might depend on the spatial distance.

5. Discussion and Conclusion

Differences on the making techniques processes among workshops show a variability correlated with spatial distance. The analysed morphometric traits suggest that the similarity between amphorae decreases with the spatial distance between the workshops where they were produced. As a result, amphorae

made in nearby workshops with a minor spatial distance share more traits than amphorae made in pottery workshops farthest. In other words, the variability on the making techniques processes was more difficult to differentiate in nearest workshops. In our case, Malpica and Belén workshops where the geographical
235 proximity is the closest shared more traits in comparison with other workshops (Parlamento and Las Delicias). Thus the probability of interaction between workshops is increasing when the proximity is closest while this likelihood decreases when the possibility of interaction is low.

We have observed than rivers courses could have affected in the transmission
240 factors. In the case of the commerce, rivers and its tributaries played an important role for the transport of goods. The huge demand within Roman Empire and the good conditions for the loading and unloading of products (Bevan, 2014) might have influenced the mode of transmission due to the continuous contact between workshops.

245 The results also suggest that vertical transmission could be the main cultural mechanism to explain the variability between workshops. The different morphological traits among workshops seem proper of a low contact between potters from other workshops. The evidence confirms therefore that these pottery techniques were transmitted with high fidelity and few changes during three
250 centuries. It would mean that the disciples could have remained the learning techniques in the workshops where they were trained. By contrast, horizontal transmission doesn't seem to be the most probable process. The continuous contact between potters from different places generated a greater homogeneity in the technical practises. As results, workshops were sharing the same produc-
255 tion techniques that it would generate a social network where potters within the same generation worked in different workshops at the same time. Our result suggest a progressive contact with closer workshops instead. Moreover, the fact that isolation by distance is detected suggests a limited displacement between distant workshops. Thus, vertical transmission would be explained with this
260 observed process. However, the diversity of social learning processes are clearly complex. In other words, the transmission of technical skills between master

and disciple did not discard that horizontal transmission played an important role in this process as well. It can be a process where this vertical transmission dominated at first in the same workshops but consequently this transmission would be affected by workers who exchanged ideas or workers moving to other workshops.

The combination of empirical analysis with statistical method have provided a strong baseline for a better understanding of the amphora production in the Roman Empire. These methods offer also an alternative complement to other methods as archaeometry for the characterization of production sites and places of consumption. We have identified measurable differences in the techniques by observing and we have tested those particularities using multivariate methods and Mantel test. Our analysis provides a useful guideline for the exploration of the social learning processes related with amphora production in the Roman Empire. Hence, the results have lightened to understand the link between social learning and archaeological evidence in a diversity of scenarios.

6. Acknowledgments

The research was funded by European Research Council Advanced Grant EPNNet (340828). We are grateful to Enrique García Vargas and Simon Carrignon for helpful suggestions and constructive comments on previous versions of the paper. The Museum of Écija (Antonio Fernández Ugalde), Museum of Palma del Río (Reyes Lopera and Emilio Navarro), Museum of Córdoba and Museum of Seville for kindly allowing us access to their information. Data were collected, performed and analysed in R version 3.2.4. statistical language and implemented with the package MASS and vegan. Map was done by QGIS 2.8 Wien with Pleiades template.

References

Aguilera, A., 1998. Análisis multivariable: una nueva vía para la caracterización cerámica. *Pyrenae* 29, 117–134.

- 290 Basalla, G., 1988. The evolution of technology. Cambridge University Press.
- Berni, P., 1998. Las ánforas de aceite de la Bética y su presencia en la Cataluña romana. volume 4. Col .lecció Instrumenta. Universitat de Barcelona.
- Berni, P., 2008. Epigrafía anfórica de la Bética. Nuevas formas de análisis. volume 29. Col .lecció Instrumenta. Universitat de Barcelona.
- 295 Berni, P., García Vargas, E., 2016. Dressel 20 (Guadalquivir Valley). URL: <http://amphorae.icac.cat/amphora/dressel-20-guadalquivir-valley>.
- Bevan, A., 2014. Mediterranean Containerization. Current Anthropology 55, 387–418. URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/info/10.1086/677034>,
300 doi:10.1086/677034.
- Björklund, M., Bergek, S., Ranta, E., Kaitala, V., 2010. The effect of local population dynamics on patterns of isolation by distance. Ecological Informatics 5, 167–172.
- Bowser, B.J., Patton, J.Q., 2008. Learning and Transmission of Pottery Style.
305 Women’s Life Histories and Communities of Practice in the Ecuadorian Amazon, in: Cultural transmission and material culture: Breaking down boundaries. The University of Arizona Press, pp. 105–129.
- Boyd, R., Richerson, P.J., Henrich, J., 2011. The cultural niche: Why social learning is essential for human adaptation. Proceedings of the National
310 Academy of Sciences 108, 10918–10925.
- Carreras Monfort, C., 1998. Britannia and the imports of Baetican and Lusitanian amphorae. Journal of Iberian Archaeology 1, 159–172.
- Cavalli-Sforza, L.L., Feldman, M.W., 1981. Cultural transmission and evolution: a quantitative approach. Princeton University Press.

- 315 Charlton, M.F., Blakelock, E., Martín-Torres, M., Young, T., 2012. Investigating the production provenance of iron artifacts with multivariate methods. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 39, 2280–2293.
- Chic, G., 2005. El comercio de la Bética altoimperial. *Habis* 36, 313–332.
- Crema, E.R., Kerig, T., Shennan, S., 2014. Culture, space, and metapopulation: a simulation-based study for evaluating signals of blending and branching. 320 *Journal of Archaeological Science* 43, 289–298.
- Díaz Trujillo, O., 1992. Excavación arqueológica de urgencia en el Cerro de Belén: Palma del Río, Córdoba, in: *Anuario Arqueológico de Andalucía*. Junta de Andalucía, pp. 121–129.
- 325 Diniz-Filho, J.A.F., Soares, T.N., Lima, J.S., Dobrovolski, R., Landeiro, V.L., Telles, M.P.d.C., Rangel, T.F., Bini, L.M., 2013. Mantel test in population genetics. *Genetics and Molecular Biology* 36, 475–485.
- Eerkens, J., Lipo, C., 2005. Cultural transmission, copying errors, and the generation of variation in material culture and the archaeological record. 330 *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 24, 316–334.
- Epstein, S.R., 1998. Craft guilds, apprenticeship, and technological change in preindustrial Europe. *Journal of Economic History* 58, 684–713.
- Fernández, P.S., Muñoz, J.T., Vargas, E.G., de la Vega, S.G.D., 2001. Excavación arqueológica de urgencia en el alfar romano de las Delicias (écija, 335 Sevilla) 1997., in: *Anuario arqueológico de Andalucía 1997*. Junta de Andalucía, pp. 562–575.
- Funari, P., 2005. The Economic history of Roman Britain: olive oil contribution to the debate. *História e economia* 1, 29–46.
- Gandon, E., Roux, V., Coyle, T., 2014. Copying errors of potters from three 340 cultures: predictable directions for a so-called random phenomenon. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 33, 99–107.

- García Vargas, E., 2000. Ánforas romanas producidas en Hispalis: primeras evidencias arqueológicas. *Habis* 31, 235–260.
- García Vargas, E., 2010. Formal Romanisation and the Atlantic Projection of Amphorae from the Guadalquivir Valley, in: *The Western Roman Atlantic Façade: A study of the economy and trade in the Mar Exterior from the Republic to the Principate*. archaeopress ed.. Oxford, England. number 2162 in BAR International Series, pp. 55–66.
- García Vargas, E., Morena, J.A., forthcoming. La excavación del alfar de ánforas Dr. 20 de Villaseca (Córdoba). Unpublished.
- Hart, J.P., 2012. The effects of geographical distances on pottery assemblage similarities: a case study from Northern Iroquoia. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 39, 128–134.
- Henrich, J., McElreath, R., 2003. The evolution of cultural evolution. *Evolutionary Anthropology: Issues, News, and Reviews* 12, 123–135. URL: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/evan.10110>, doi:10.1002/evan.10110.
- Hosfield, R., 2009. Modes of transmission and material culture patterns in craft skills, in: *Pattern and Process in Cultural Evolution. Origins of Human Behavior and Culture*, 2. University of California Press, pp. 45–60.
- Jolliffe, I., 2002. Principal component analysis. Wiley Online Library.
- Li, X.J., Bevan, A., Martínón-Torres, M., Rehren, T., Cao, W., Xia, Y., Zhao, K., 2014. Crossbows and imperial craft organisation: the bronze triggers of China's Terracotta Army. *Antiquity* 88, 126–140.
- Mantel, N., 1967. The detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression approach. *Cancer Research* 27, 209–220.
- Martin-Kilcher, S., 1994. Die römischen Amphoren aus Augst und Kaiseraugst: ein Beitrag zur römischen Handels-und Kulturgeschichte. Römermuseum.

- Mattingly, D.J., 1988. Oil for export? A comparison of Libyan, Spanish and Tunisian olive oil production in the Roman Empire. *Journal of Roman Archaeology* 1, 33–56.
- Mauné, S., García Vargas, E., Bourgeon, O., Corbeel, S., Carrato, C., García Dils, S., Bigot, F., Vázquez Paz, J., 2014. L’atelier d’amphores à huile Dr. 20 de Las Delicias à Écija (prov. de Séville, Espagne), in: SFECAG, Actes du Congrès de Chartres, pp. 419–444.
- Mesoudi, A., 2015. Cultural Evolution: A Review of Theory, Findings and Controversies. *Evolutionary Biology* 43, 481–497. URL: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11692-015-9320-0>, doi:10.1007/s11692-015-9320-0.
- Mesoudi, A., O’Brien, M.J., 2008. The cultural transmission of Great Basin projectile-point technology II: an agent-based computer simulation. *American Antiquity* 73, 627–644.
- Neff, H., 1992. Ceramics and evolution. *Archaeological Method and Theory* 4, 141–193.
- Neiman, F.D., 1995. Stylistic variation in evolutionary perspective: inferences from decorative diversity and interassemblage distance in Illinois Woodland ceramic assemblages. *American Antiquity* 60, 7–36.
- Remesal, J., 1977. La economía oleícola bética: nuevas formas de análisis. *Archivo Español de Arqueología* 50, 87–144.
- Remesal, J., 1986. La annona militaris y la exportación de aceite bético a Germania. Editorial Complutense.
- Remesal, J., 1998. Baetican olive oil and the Roman economy. *Journal of Roman Archaeology - Suppl. series* 29, 183–200.
- Richerson, P.J., Boyd, R., 2005. Not by genes alone. How culture transformed Human Evolution. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

- Roux, V., 2015. Standardization of ceramic assemblages: Transmission mechanisms and diffusion of morpho-functional traits across social boundaries. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 40, 1–9.
- Rubio-Campillo, X., Coto-Sarmiento, M., Remesal, J., forthcoming. Bayesian analysis and free market trade within the Roman Empire. *Antiquity* .
- Schillinger, K., Mesoudi, A., Lycett, S.J., 2016a. Copying error, evolution, and phylogenetic signal in artifactual traditions: An experimental approach using “model artifacts”. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 70, 23–34.
- Schillinger, K., Mesoudi, A., Lycett, S.J., 2016b. Differences in Manufacturing Traditions and Assemblage-Level Patterns: the Origins of Cultural Differences in Archaeological Data. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* 24, 640–658. doi:10.1007/s10816-016-9280-4.
- Shennan, S., 2008a. Evolution in Archaeology. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 37, 75–91. URL: <http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/abs/10.1146/annurev.anthro.37.081407.085153>, doi:10.1146/annurev.anthro.37.081407.085153.
- Shennan, S., 2008b. Quantifying archaeology. Edinburgh University Press.
- Shennan, S.J., Crema, E.R., Kerig, T., 2015. Isolation-by-distance, homophily, and “core” vs. “package” cultural evolution models in Neolithic Europe. *Evolution and Human Behavior* 36, 103–109.
- Shennan, S.J., Wilkinson, J.R., 2001. Ceramic Style Change and Neutral Evolution: A Case Study from Neolithic Europe. *American Antiquity* 66, 577–593. URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2694174>.
- Steele, James, Glatz, Claudia, Kandler, Anne, 2010. Ceramic diversity, random copying, and tests for selectivity in ceramic production. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 30, 1–11.

- ⁴²⁰ Thorpe, O.W., Warren, S.E., Nandris, J., 1984. The distribution and provenance of archaeological obsidian in Central and Eastern Europe. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 11, 183–212.
- Van Strien, M.J., Holderegger, R., Van Heck, H.J., 2015. Isolation-by-distance in landscapes: considerations for landscape genetics. *Heredity* 114, 27–37.