

# Seminar - Markowitz Portfolio Optimization

PUJOL Martin  
RAMPONT Martin  
THOMASSIN Pablo  
STRIEBIG Maximilien

December 16, 2023

## Theoretical Part

### Problem Description

In the realm of financial portfolio management, the Markowitz portfolio optimization problem is a classical and essential topic. The primary objective is to allocate weights to different assets in a portfolio to maximize the expected return while minimizing the overall portfolio risk. Let's consider a portfolio with  $n$  assets. The goal is to find the optimal set of weights for these assets.

### Formalization

An other formulation of the problem is to minimize the portfolio risk  $\sigma_p$  while achieving a target expected return  $\mu$ :

The objective is to find the vector of weights  $\mathbf{w} = [w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n]$  that minimizes the portfolio risk  $\sigma_p$  while achieving a given expected portfolio return  $\mu$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Minimize} \quad \sigma_p = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij}} \quad (\text{Portfolio risk}) \\
& \text{Subject to} \quad \mu = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i w_i \quad (\text{Expected portfolio return}) \\
& \quad \sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1 \quad (\text{Sum of weights equals 1}) \\
& \quad w_i \geq 0 \quad (\text{Non-negativity constraint})
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

In our problem we only want to minimize the portfolio risk  $\sigma_p$ .

After modifying the objective function to be unconstrained, we obtain the following problem formulation:

$$\text{Minimize} \quad \sigma_p^2 = \frac{1}{(\sum_{k=1}^n e^{x_k})^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n e^{x_i} e^{x_j} \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij} \quad (\text{Portfolio risk}) \tag{2}$$

With the variable change:

$$w_i = \frac{e^{x_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^n e^{x_j}} \tag{3}$$

We also calculate the derivate of the objective function:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \sigma_p^2 = \frac{-2e^{x_n}}{(\sum_{k=1}^N e^{x_k})^3} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N e^{x_i} e^{x_j} \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij} + 2\sigma_n e^{x_n} \sum_{j=1}^N e^{x_j} \sigma_j \rho_{nj} \tag{4}$$

## Numerical Part

### Selected Optimization Methods

1. Method 1: [Fixed step : Gradient descent]
2. Method 2: [Variable step : Golden section search]

## Algorithm Implementation

Below are the basic functions describing the two chosen algorithms:

### Method 1: [Insert Method 1 Name]

The gradient step method can be formulated as follows:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha_k \nabla f(x_k) \quad (5)$$

Where  $\alpha_k$  is the step size and  $\nabla f(x_k)$  is the gradient of the objective function at  $x_k$ .

We implemented in the following python code :

### Method 2: [Insert Method 2 Name]

[Insert code or pseudocode for Method 2 implementation]

## Results and Analysis

We have applied both methods to the Markowitz portfolio optimization problem and obtained the following results:

[Insert results, tables, or graphs]

### Interpretation

[Provide interpretation of the results]

### Comparison

To compare the two methods, we analyze factors such as computational time and the number of iterations:

[Insert comparison results]

## Annexe : Objective function and constraints

### Objective function

For solving the Markowitz portfolio optimization problem, we have chosen two numerical optimization methods:

In order to simplify the problem, we will first forget about the expected return constraint. We will only focus on minimizing the portfolio risk  $\sigma_p$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Minimize } \sigma_p = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij}} \quad (\text{Portfolio risk}) \\
& \text{Subject to } \sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1 \quad (\text{Sum of weights equals 1}) \\
& \quad \quad \quad w_i \geq 0 \quad (\text{Non-negativity constraint})
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

To restruct the weight vector to be positive, we can use a variable change:

$$w_i = e^{x_i} \quad \text{with } x_i \in \mathbb{R} \tag{7}$$

The problem becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Minimize } \sigma_p = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n e^{x_i} e^{x_j} \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij}} \quad (\text{Portfolio risk}) \\
& \text{Subject to } \sum_{i=1}^n e^{x_i} = 1 \quad (\text{Sum of weights equals 1})
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

We can also forget about the square root in the objective function, as it does not change the optimal solution.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Minimize } \sigma_p^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n e^{x_i} e^{x_j} \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij} \quad (\text{Portfolio risk}) \\
& \text{Subject to } \sum_{i=1}^n e^{x_i} = 1 \quad (\text{Sum of weights equals 1})
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Finally we can use the softmax function to ensure that the sum of the weights equals 1, such as:

$$w_i = \frac{e^{x_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^n e^{x_j}} \tag{10}$$

Leading to the following problem formulation (with softmax):

$$\text{Minimize } \sigma_p^2 = \frac{1}{(\sum_{k=1}^n e^{x_k})^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n e^{x_i} e^{x_j} \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij} \quad (\text{Portfolio risk}) \tag{11}$$

## Derivate of the objective function

Now, let's delve into the derivate of the objective function:

We are going to derive it term by term using the chain rule, we first derive the term outside the sum such as :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \frac{1}{(\sum_{k=1}^N e^{x_k})^2} = \frac{-2e^{x_n}}{(\sum_{k=1}^N e^{x_k})^3} \quad (12)$$

Where  $N$  is the number of assets in the portfolio and  $x_n$  is the variable we are deriving with respect to.

The second term is a bit more complicated, we will use the product rule:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N e^{x_i} e^{x_j} \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} e^{x_i} \right) e^{x_j} \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N e^{x_i} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} e^{x_j} \right) \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij} \quad (13)$$

Because the two terms are similar, we will only focus on the first one: We can see that the derivate is not null if  $i \neq n$ :

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} e^{x_i} \right) e^{x_j} \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^N e^{x_n} e^{x_j} \sigma_n \sigma_j \rho_{nj} = \sigma_n e^{x_n} \sum_{j=1}^N e^{x_j} \sigma_j \rho_{nj} \quad (14)$$

We can simplify the two sums by using the fact that  $\rho_{ij} = \rho_{ji}$  and  $\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i$ :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N e^{x_i} e^{x_j} \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij} = 2\sigma_n e^{x_n} \sum_{j=1}^N e^{x_j} \sigma_j \rho_{nj} \quad (15)$$

Finally, we can derive the whole objective function:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \sigma_p^2 = \frac{-2e^{x_n}}{(\sum_{k=1}^N e^{x_k})^3} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N e^{x_i} e^{x_j} \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij} + 2\sigma_n e^{x_n} \sum_{j=1}^N e^{x_j} \sigma_j \rho_{nj} \quad (16)$$