

# Seminar - Markowitz Portfolio Optimization

PUJOL Martin  
RAMPONT Martin  
THOMASSIN Pablo  
STRIEBIG Maximilien

December 17, 2023

## Theoretical Part

### Problem Description

In the realm of financial portfolio management, the Markowitz portfolio optimization problem is a classical and essential topic. The primary objective is to allocate weights to different assets in a portfolio to maximize the expected return while minimizing the overall portfolio risk. Let's consider a portfolio with  $n$  assets. The goal is to find the optimal set of weights for these assets.

### Formalization

An other formulation of the problem is to minimize the portfolio risk  $\sigma_p$  while achieving a target expected return  $\mu$ :

The objective is to find the vector of weights  $\mathbf{w} = [w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n]$  that minimizes the portfolio risk  $\sigma_p$  while achieving a given expected portfolio return  $\mu$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Minimize } \sigma_p &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij}} \quad (\text{Portfolio risk}) \\
\text{Subject to } \mu &= \sum_{i=1}^n r_i w_i \quad (\text{Expected portfolio return}) \\
\sum_{i=1}^n w_i &= 1 \quad (\text{Sum of weights equals 1}) \\
w_i &\geq 0 \quad (\text{Non-negativity constraint})
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

In our problem we only want to minimize the portfolio risk  $\sigma_p$ .

After modifying the objective function to be unconstrained, we obtain the following problem formulation:

$$\text{Minimize } \sigma_p^2 = \frac{1}{(\sum_{k=1}^n e^{x_k})^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n e^{x_i} e^{x_j} \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij} \quad (\text{Portfolio risk}) \tag{2}$$

With the variable change:

$$w_i = \frac{e^{x_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^n e^{x_j}} \tag{3}$$

We also calculate the derivate of the objective function:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \sigma_p^2 = \frac{-2e^{x_n}}{(\sum_{k=1}^N e^{x_k})^3} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N e^{x_i} e^{x_j} \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij} + 2\sigma_n e^{x_n} \sum_{j=1}^N e^{x_j} \sigma_j \rho_{nj} \tag{4}$$

## Numerical Part

### Selected Optimization Methods

We have chosen to implement two different numerical optimization methods to solve the Markowitz portfolio optimization problem:

1. Method 1: [Fixed step : Gradient descent]
2. Method 2: [Variable step : Golden section search]

## Algorithm Implementation

Below are the basic functions describing the two chosen algorithms:

### Method 1: [Fixed step : Gradient descent]

The gradient step method can be formulated as follows:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha \nabla f(x_k) \quad (5)$$

Where  $\alpha_k$  is the step size and  $\nabla f(x_k)$  is the gradient of the objective function at  $x_k$ .

We implemented in the following python code :

```
def descente_markowitz(x0,covariance_matrix,e,p, max_iter=10000):
    """
    Gradient descent algorithm to minimize the portfolio risk.

    Parameters:
        x0 (numpy array): Initial portfolio weights.
        covariance_matrix (numpy array): Covariance matrix of asset
        e (float): Tolerance for the stopping criterion.
        p (float): Step size.
        max_iter (int): Maximum number of iterations.

    Returns:
        numpy array: Optimal portfolio weights.
        int: Number of iterations.
        float: Portfolio risk.
    """
    k = 0
    ek = 2*e
    while ek>=e and k<max_iter:
        wk = -1*grad_f(x0,covariance_matrix)
        x0 = x0+p*wk
        ek = np.linalg.norm(p*wk)
        k+=1
    return np.exp(x0)/np.sum(np.exp(x0)),k,f(x0,covariance_matrix)
```

## Method 2: [Variable step : Golden section search]

The golden section search method can be formulated as follows:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k \quad (6)$$

Where  $\alpha_k$  is the step size and  $d_k$  is the search direction.

We implemented in the following python code :

```
def descente2(x0,covariance_matrix,e,method='golden_section', max_iter=1000):
    """
    Golden section algorithm to minimize the portfolio risk.

    Parameters:
        x0 (numpy array): Initial portfolio weights.
        covariance_matrix (numpy array): Covariance matrix of asset
        e (float): Tolerance for the stopping criterion.
        method (str): Line search method.
        max_iter (int): Maximum number of iterations.

    Returns:
        numpy array: Optimal portfolio weights.
        int: Number of iterations.
        float: Portfolio risk.
    """
    k = 0
    ek = 2*e
    while ek>=e and k<max_iter:
        wk = -1*grad_f(x0,covariance_matrix)
        p = pk(x0,covariance_matrix,wk,method)
        x0 = (x0+p*wk)
        ek = np.linalg.norm(p*wk)
        k+=1
    return np.exp(x0)/np.sum(np.exp(x0)),k,f(x0,covariance_matrix)

def pk(x,covariance_matrix, wk, method='golden_section'):
    """
    Line search to find the optimal step size.
```

*Parameters:*

*x (numpy array): Portfolio weights.*

*covariance\_matrix (numpy array): Covariance matrix of asset*

*wk (numpy array): Negative gradient of the portfolio risk.*

*method (str): Line search method.*

*Returns:*

*float: Optimal step size.*

"""

**if** method == 'golden\_section':

    alpha = golden\_section\_line\_search(x, covariance\_matrix, wk)

**else:**

    alpha = wolfe\_conditions\_line\_search(x, wk)

**return** alpha

**def** golden\_section\_line\_search(x, covariance\_matrix, wk, c1=1e-4, ma  
"""

*Golden section line search to find the optimal step size.*

*Parameters:*

*x (numpy array): Portfolio weights.*

*covariance\_matrix (numpy array): Covariance matrix of asset*

*wk (numpy array): Negative gradient of the portfolio risk.*

*c1 (float): Parameter for the stopping criterion.*

*max\_iter (int): Maximum number of iterations.*

*Returns:*

*float: Optimal step size.*

"""

a = 0.0

b = 1.0

tau = 0.618

```

for _ in range(max_iter):
    alpha1 = a + (1 - tau) * (b - a)
    alpha2 = a + tau * (b - a)

    f1 = f(x+ alpha1* wk, covariance_matrix)
    f2 = f(x +alpha2* wk, covariance_matrix)

    if f2 > f1:
        b = alpha2
    else:
        a = alpha1

    if abs(alpha2 - alpha1) < c1:
        break

return (alpha1 + alpha2) / 2.0

```

We have applied both methods to the Markowitz portfolio optimization problem and obtained the following results:

[Insert results, tables, or graphs]

### Interpretation

[Provide interpretation of the results]

### Comparison

To compare the two methods, we analyze factors such as computational time and the number of iterations:

[Insert comparison results]

## Annexe : Objective function and constraints

### Objective function

For solving the Markowitz portfolio optimization problem, we have chosen two numerical optimization methods:

In order to simplify the problem, we will first forget about the expected return constraint. We will only focus on minimizing the portfolio risk  $\sigma_p$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Minimize } \sigma_p = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij}} \quad (\text{Portfolio risk}) \\
& \text{Subject to } \sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1 \quad (\text{Sum of weights equals 1}) \\
& w_i \geq 0 \quad (\text{Non-negativity constraint})
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

To restruct the weight vector to be positive, we can use a variable change:

$$w_i = e^{x_i} \quad \text{with } x_i \in \mathbb{R} \tag{8}$$

The problem becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Minimize } \sigma_p = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n e^{x_i} e^{x_j} \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij}} \quad (\text{Portfolio risk}) \\
& \text{Subject to } \sum_{i=1}^n e^{x_i} = 1 \quad (\text{Sum of weights equals 1})
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

We can also forget about the square root in the objective function, as it does not change the optimal solution.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Minimize } \sigma_p^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n e^{x_i} e^{x_j} \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij} \quad (\text{Portfolio risk}) \\
& \text{Subject to } \sum_{i=1}^n e^{x_i} = 1 \quad (\text{Sum of weights equals 1})
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Finally we can use the softmax function to ensure that the sum of the weights equals 1, such as:

$$w_i = \frac{e^{x_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^n e^{x_j}} \tag{11}$$

Leading to the following problem formulation (with softmax):

$$\text{Minimize } \sigma_p^2 = \frac{1}{(\sum_{k=1}^n e^{x_k})^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n e^{x_i} e^{x_j} \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij} \quad (\text{Portfolio risk}) \tag{12}$$

## Derivate of the objective function

Now, let's delve into the derivate of the objective function:

We are going to derive it term by term using the chain rule, we first derive the term outside the sum such as :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \frac{1}{(\sum_{k=1}^N e^{x_k})^2} = \frac{-2e^{x_n}}{(\sum_{k=1}^N e^{x_k})^3} \quad (13)$$

Where  $N$  is the number of assets in the portfolio and  $x_n$  is the variable we are deriving with respect to.

The second term is a bit more complicated, we will use the product rule:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N e^{x_i} e^{x_j} \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} e^{x_i} \right) e^{x_j} \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N e^{x_i} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} e^{x_j} \right) \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij} \quad (14)$$

Because the two terms are similar, we will only focus on the first one: We can see that the derivate is not null if  $i \neq n$ :

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} e^{x_i} \right) e^{x_j} \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^N e^{x_n} e^{x_j} \sigma_n \sigma_j \rho_{nj} = \sigma_n e^{x_n} \sum_{j=1}^N e^{x_j} \sigma_j \rho_{nj} \quad (15)$$

We can simplify the two sums by using the fact that  $\rho_{ij} = \rho_{ji}$  and  $\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i$ :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N e^{x_i} e^{x_j} \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij} = 2\sigma_n e^{x_n} \sum_{j=1}^N e^{x_j} \sigma_j \rho_{nj} \quad (16)$$

Finally, we can derive the whole objective function:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \sigma_p^2 = \frac{-2e^{x_n}}{(\sum_{k=1}^N e^{x_k})^3} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N e^{x_i} e^{x_j} \sigma_i \sigma_j \rho_{ij} + 2\sigma_n e^{x_n} \sum_{j=1}^N e^{x_j} \sigma_j \rho_{nj} \quad (17)$$