# **Test Smell Research**

Welcome! We are a group of researchers in Software Engineering investigating how developers perceive and prioritize test smells in Python-based software systems. This study is part of a broader effort to evaluate how developer perceptions align with metric-based prioritization approaches.

Your expert insights are invaluable to us and will help align academic research with real-world practices.

The survey takes approximately 15 minutes. Your participation is voluntary and anonymous. We do not collect any personal data beyond your responses. All data is stored securely and used solely for academic research purposes. You may exit or opt out at any time.

For questions, contact: [Generic Contact Info]

\* Indicates required question

### **Section 2: Demographics**

Purpose: Collect contextual data about participants' roles and experience to analyze how these factors influence test smell perceptions.

01. What is your primary role in software development? (Select all that apply) \*

Check all that apply.

Software Developer/Engineer

Test Engineer

QA Engineer

Technical Lead/Manager

Researcher/Academic

Other:

2.	02. How long have you worked (in any way) in Software Development / Coding / Programming?	*
	Mark only one oval.	
	Never	
	Less than 1 year	
	1 year or more but less than 2 years	
	2 years or more but less than 5 years	
	5 years or more but less than 10 years	
	10 years or more but less than 15 years	
	15 years or more but less than 20 years	
	Over 20 years	
3.	03. How long have you been writing unit test cases (in any way)? *	
	Mark only one oval.	
	Never	
	Less than 1 year	
	1 year or more but less than 2 years	
	2 years or more but less than 5 years	
	5 years or more but less than 10 years	
	10 years or more but less than 15 years	
	15 years or more but less than 20 years	
	Over 20 years	

Section 3: Definition-and-Familiarity-of-Test-Smell

Test Smell: The test code, just like production code, is subject to sub-optimal design and bad programming practices, also known as test smells.

To explore a comprehensive list of test smells and their characteristics, please refer to:

The Open Catalog of Test Smells — https://test-smell-catalog.readthedocs.io/en/latest/

	Vac lles very formilier with took are alle
	Yes, I'm very familiar with test smells
	Yes, I've heard of them but am not very familiar
	No, I'm not familiar with test smells
	Other:
j.	06. Do you pay attention to test smells when designing/writing the test cases? *
	Mark only one oval.
	Yes
	No
	Other:
١.	07. If <b>no</b> , what could be the reasons why you don't pay attention to test smells? (Select all that apply)
).	07. If <b>no</b> , what could be the reasons why you don't pay attention to test smells?
) <b>.</b>	07. If <b>no</b> , what could be the reasons why you don't pay attention to test smells? (Select all that apply)
).	07. If <b>no</b> , what could be the reasons why you don't pay attention to test smells? (Select all that apply)  Check all that apply.
).	07. If <b>no</b> , what could be the reasons why you don't pay attention to test smells? (Select all that apply)  Check all that apply.  Lack of awareness about test smells and their impact
٠.	07. If <b>no</b> , what could be the reasons why you don't pay attention to test smells? (Select all that apply)  Check all that apply.  Lack of awareness about test smells and their impact  Time constraints, Resource constraints and delivery pressure
	07. If <b>no</b> , what could be the reasons why you don't pay attention to test smells? (Select all that apply)  Check all that apply.  Lack of awareness about test smells and their impact  Time constraints, Resource constraints and delivery pressure  Management not prioritizing test quality

4. 05. Are you familiar with the concept of "test smells"? \*

7.	08. If you had access to a data-driven <b>test smell prioritization list</b> that ranks smells * based on their impact, would it help you address test smells?		
	Mark only one oval.		
	Yes, definitely		
	Probably yes		
	Not sure		
	Probably not		
	No, definitely not		
S	ection 3 – Practical Insights and Prioritization of Test Smells		
F	or each test smell below, please:		
	<ol> <li>Read the definition</li> <li>Rate how important you believe it is to refactor this smell (1-5 scale)</li> <li>Indicate how frequently you encounter this smell in practice</li> </ol>		
8.	01. Assertion Roulette *		
	<b>Definition:</b> A test case contains more than one assertion statement without an explanation/message.		
	Mark only one oval.		
	1 - Not important (No need to refactor)		
	2 - Slightly important		
	3 - Moderately important		
	4 - Important		
	5 - Very important (Critical to refactor)		

9.	Have you ever encountered this test smell in your work? *
	Mark only one oval.
	Never
	Rarely
	Sometimes
	Often
	Always
10.	02. Conditional Test Logic *
	<b>Definition:</b> A test case contains one or more control statements (i.e., if, for, while).
	Mark only one oval.
	1 - Not important (No need to refactor)
	2 - Slightly important
	3 - Moderately important
	4 - Important
	5 - Very important (Critical to refactor)
11.	Have you ever encountered this test smell in your work? *
	Mark only one oval.
	Never
	Rarely
	Sometimes
	Often
	Always

## 12. **03. Constructor Initialization**

	<b>Definition:</b> A test suite contains a constructor declaration (aninit method).
	Mark only one oval.
	1 - Not important (No need to refactor)
	2 - Slightly important
	3 - Moderately important
	4 - Important
	5 - Very important (Critical to refactor)
13.	Have you ever encountered this test smell in your work? *
	Mark only one oval.
	Never
	Rarely
	Sometimes
	Often
	Always

## 14. 04. **Duplicate Assert**

	<b>Definition:</b> A test case contains more than one assertion statement with the same parameters.
	Mark only one oval.
	1 - Not important (No need to refactor)
	2 - Slightly important
	3 - Moderately important
	4 - Important
	5 - Very important (Critical to refactor)
15.	Have you ever encountered this test smell in your work? *
	Mark only one oval.
	Never
	Rarely
	Sometimes
	Often
	Always

## 18. **06. Exception Handling**

**Definition:** A test case contains either the try/except statement or the raise statement. Mark only one oval. 1 - Not important (No need to refactor) 2 - Slightly important 3 - Moderately important 4 - Important 5 - Very important (Critical to refactor) 19. Have you ever encountered this test smell in your work? \* Mark only one oval. Never Rarely Sometimes Often Always

## 20. 07. **General Fixture**

Rarely

Often

Always

Sometimes

21.

Definition: Not all fields instantiated within the setUp() method of a test suite are utilized by all test cases in this test suite.

Mark only one oval.

1 - Not important (No need to refactor)

2 - Slightly important

3 - Moderately important

4 - Important

5 - Very important (Critical to refactor)

Have you ever encountered this test smell in your work? \*

Mark only one oval.

Never

#### 22. 8. Lack of Cohesion of Test Cases

**Definition:** The mean of the pairwise cosine similarities between test cases in a test suite  $\leq 0.4$ . Mark only one oval. 1 - Not important (No need to refactor) 2 - Slightly important 3 - Moderately important 4 - Important 5 - Very important (Critical to refactor) 23. Have you ever encountered this test smell in your work? \* Mark only one oval. Never Rarely Sometimes Often Always

## 24. 9. Magic Number Test

**Definition:** A test case contains an assertion statement that contains a numeric literal as an argument. Mark only one oval. 1 - Not important (No need to refactor) 2 - Slightly important 3 - Moderately important 4 - Important 5 - Very important (Critical to refactor) 25. Have you ever encountered this test smell in your work? \* Mark only one oval. Never Rarely Sometimes Often Always

## 26. 10. **Obscure In-Line Setup**

	<b>Definition:</b> A test case contains ten or more local variables declarations.
	Mark only one oval.
	1 - Not important (No need to refactor)
	2 - Slightly important
	3 - Moderately important
	4 - Important
	5 - Very important (Critical to refactor)
27.	Have you ever encountered this test smell in your work? *
	Mark only one oval.
	Never
	Rarely
	Sometimes
	Often
	Always

## 28. 11. Redundant Assertion

**Definition:** A test case contains an assertion statement in which (1) the expected and actual parameters of equality are the same, e.g., assertEqual(X, X) or (2) the assertion of truth is carried out on the unchangeable object, e.g., assertTrue(True).

	Mayleanlyanaayal
	Mark only one oval.
	1 - Not important (No need to refactor)
	2 - Slightly important
	3 - Moderately important
	4 - Important
	5 - Very important (Critical to refactor)
29.	Have you ever encountered this test smell in your work? *
	Mark only one oval.
	Never
	Rarely
	Sometimes
	Often
	Always

	<b>Definition:</b> A test case invokes the print() function.	
	Mark only one oval.	
	1 - Not important (No need to refactor)	
	2 - Slightly important	
	3 - Moderately important	
	4 - Important	
	5 - Very important (Critical to refactor)	
0.1		
31.	Have you ever encountered this test smell in your work? *	
	Mark only one oval.	
	Never	
	Rarely	
	Sometimes	
	Often	
	Always	
32.	13. Sleepy Test	*
	<b>Definition:</b> A test case invokes the time.sleep() function with no comment.	
	Mark only one oval.	
	1 - Not important (No need to refactor)	
	2 - Slightly important	
	3 - Moderately important	
	4 - Important	
	5 - Very important (Critical to refactor)	

30. 12. Redundant Print

33.	Have you ever encountered this test smell in your work? *
	Mark only one oval.
	Never
	Rarely
	Sometimes
	Often
	Always
34.	14. Suboptimal Assert
	<b>Definition:</b> A test case uses a general assertion function (e.g., assertTrue,
	assertFalse, assertEqual) instead of a more specific unittest assertion function (e.g., assertIn, assertNotEqual, assertIs), reducing the clarity of the test's intent and the informativeness of failure messages
	(e.g., assertIn, assertNotEqual, assertIs), reducing the clarity of the test's intent
	(e.g., assertIn, assertNotEqual, assertIs), reducing the clarity of the test's intent and the informativeness of failure messages
	(e.g., assertIn, assertNotEqual, assertIs), reducing the clarity of the test's intent and the informativeness of failure messages  Mark only one oval.
	(e.g., assertIn, assertNotEqual, assertIs), reducing the clarity of the test's intent and the informativeness of failure messages  Mark only one oval.  1 - Not important (No need to refactor)
	(e.g., assertIn, assertNotEqual, assertIs), reducing the clarity of the test's intent and the informativeness of failure messages  Mark only one oval.  1 - Not important (No need to refactor)  2 - Slightly important
	(e.g., assertIn, assertNotEqual, assertIs), reducing the clarity of the test's intent and the informativeness of failure messages  Mark only one oval.  1 - Not important (No need to refactor)  2 - Slightly important  3 - Moderately important
	(e.g., assertIn, assertNotEqual, assertIs), reducing the clarity of the test's intent and the informativeness of failure messages  Mark only one oval.  1 - Not important (No need to refactor)  2 - Slightly important  3 - Moderately important  4 - Important

\*

35.	Have you ever encountered this test smell in your work? *	
	Mark only one oval.	
	Never	
	Rarely	
	Sometimes	
	Often	
	Always	
36.	15. <b>Test Maverick</b>	*
	<b>Definition:</b> A test suite contains at least one test case that does not use a single field from the SetUp() method.	
	Mark only one oval.	
	1 - Not important (No need to refactor)	
	2 - Slightly important	
	3 - Moderately important	
	4 - Important	
	5 - Very important (Critical to refactor)	
37.	Have you ever encountered this test smell in your work? *	
	Mark only one oval.	
	Never	
	Rarely	
	Sometimes	
	Often	
	Always	

## **Section 5: Final Thoughts**

#### Thank You!

Thank you for participating in our research on test smell prioritization. Your responses will help improve our understanding of how developers perceive and prioritize test smells, and will contribute to the development of better tools and methodologies for test code maintenance.

ma	aintenance.
Fo	r questions or feedback about this research, please contact:
[G	eneric Contact Info]
38.	Do you have any additional comments or insights about <b>test smell prioritization</b> that weren't covered in this survey?

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms