



SELF STUDY  
BASED ON MY UNDERSTANDING OF THE SUBJECT

---

# Graph Neural Network

---

Compilation of my study materials

**Author:** Dr. Md Arafat Hossain Khan

**Last updated :** November 30, 2022

---

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Fundamentals</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Graph Neural Network Model Mathematics . . . . .	1
1.1.1	Labels of a graph . . . . .	1
1.1.2	Local transition and output functions . . . . .	2
1.1.3	Model Variation . . . . .	3
1.1.4	Global transition and output functions . . . . .	5
1.1.5	Learning set . . . . .	5
<b>A</b>	<b>Contraction mapping theorem</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>Index</b>	<b>10</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>11</b>

# Fundamentals

*Artificial intelligence is a tool, not a threat. Artificial intelligence is a tool, not a threat. Artificial intelligence is a tool, not a threat.*

*Rodney Brooks*

1.1	Graph Neural Network Model Mathematics . . . . .	1
1.1.1	Labels of a graph . . . . .	1
1.1.2	Local transition and output functions . . . . .	2
1.1.3	Model Variation . . . . .	3
1.1.4	Global transition and output functions . . . . .	5
1.1.5	Learning set . . . . .	5

## 1.1 Graph Neural Network Model Mathematics

This note is fundametally inspired by the original paper of graph neural network [1] and based on my undertsanding of the subject.

### 1.1.1 Labels of a graph

A graph  $G$  is a pair  $(N, E)$ , where  $N = \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{|N|-1}, n_{|N|}\}$  is the set of nodes and  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{|E|-1}, e_{|E|}\}$  is the set of edges.  $\mathcal{N}(n)$  stands for the neighbors of  $n \in N$ . If an *undirected* edge  $e$  connects node  $x$  and  $y$ , we can also denote  $e$  as  $(x, y)$  or  $(y, x)$ . All nodes are embedded into some euclidean space of dimation  $d_N \in \mathbb{N}$  and all edges are embedded into some euclidean space of dimation  $d_E \in \mathbb{N}$ , e.g.

label (embedding) for node  $n$  is defined as  $\ell_n : n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{d_N}$ , where  $n \in N$

label (embedding) for edge  $e$  is defined as  $\ell_e : e \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{d_E}$ , where  $e \in E$

The notion of labels can be extended to multiple nodes and edges. Suppose, we take a node set,  $\mathfrak{N} = \{\mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_2, \dots, \mathbf{n}_{|\mathfrak{N}|-1}, \mathbf{n}_{|\mathfrak{N}|}\} \subseteq N$ . Then we define,

$$\ell_{\mathfrak{N}} : \mathbb{R}^{d_N \times |\mathfrak{N}|} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{d_N} \quad \text{as} \quad (\ell_{\mathbf{n}_1}, \ell_{\mathbf{n}_2}, \dots, \ell_{\mathbf{n}_{|\mathfrak{N}|-1}}, \ell_{\mathbf{n}_{|\mathfrak{N}|}}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{d_N}$$

Here, we want  $\ell_{\mathfrak{N}}$  to be permutation invariant. Similarly, we take an edge set,  $\mathfrak{E} = \{\mathfrak{e}_1, \mathfrak{e}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{e}_{|\mathfrak{E}|-1}, \mathfrak{e}_{|\mathfrak{E}|}\} \subseteq E$ . Then we define,

$$\ell_{\mathfrak{E}} : \mathbb{R}^{d_E \times |\mathfrak{E}|} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{d_E} \text{ as } (\ell_{\mathfrak{e}_1}, \ell_{\mathfrak{e}_2}, \dots, \ell_{\mathfrak{e}_{|\mathfrak{E}|-1}}, \ell_{\mathfrak{e}_{|\mathfrak{E}|}}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{d_E}$$

Here, we want  $\ell_{\mathfrak{E}}$  to be permutation invariant. We extend the notion of the labels even further for the entire graph by the following permutation invariant map,

$$\ell : \mathbb{R}^{d_N \times |N|} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_E \times |E|} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^D \text{ as } (\ell_{n_1}, \ell_{n_2}, \dots, \ell_{|N|-1}, \ell_{|N|}, \ell_{e_1}, \ell_{e_2}, \dots, \ell_{|E|-1}, \ell_{|E|}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^D$$

where  $D \in \mathbb{N}$ .

### 1.1.2 Local transition and output functions

Let us take  $n \in N$  from the graph  $G = (N, E)$  and define the following,

- $\ell_n \in \mathbb{R}^{d_N}$ : node label of  $n \in N$ .
- $\ell_{\mathcal{N}(n)} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_N}$ : label of the neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}(n) = \{\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_{|\mathcal{N}(n)|-1}, \nu_{|\mathcal{N}(n)|}\} \subseteq N$ .
- $\mathcal{E}(n)$ : edges connected to  $n$  i.e  $\mathcal{E}(n) = \{(\nu_1, n), (\nu_2, n), \dots, (\nu_{|\mathcal{N}(n)|-1}, n), (\nu_{|\mathcal{N}(n)|}, n)\}$ .
- $\ell_{\mathcal{E}(n)}$ : edge label of  $\mathcal{E}(n)$ .

Now we can define something called the *state* of  $n$ , denoted as  $x_n \in \mathbb{R}^s$ , which captures the the local information of  $n$  using its own node label  $\ell_n \in \mathbb{R}^{d_N}$ , node label of the neighbors  $\ell_{\mathcal{N}(n)} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_N}$ , connected edges label  $\ell_{\mathcal{E}(n)}$ , and the *state* of the neighbors  $x_{\mathcal{N}(n)} \in \mathbb{R}^s$ . Note that,  $x_{\mathcal{N}(n)}$  is a permutation invariant map, defined by

$$x_{\mathcal{N}(n)} : \mathbb{R}^{s \times |\mathcal{N}(n)|} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^s \text{ as } (x_{\nu_1}, x_{\nu_2}, \dots, x_{\nu_{|\mathcal{N}(n)|-1}}, x_{\nu_{|\mathcal{N}(n)|}}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^s.$$

Then we define the *local transition function*, that expresses the dependence of a node on its neighborhood as

$$f_w : \mathbb{R}^{d_N} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_E} \times \mathbb{R}^s \times \mathbb{R}^{d_N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^s \text{ as } (\ell_n, \ell_{\mathcal{E}(n)}, x_{\mathcal{N}(n)}, \ell_{\mathcal{N}(n)}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^s.$$

where  $(\ell_{(\nu_1, n)}, \ell_{(\nu_2, n)}, \dots, \ell_{(\nu_{|\mathcal{N}(n)|-1}, n)}, \ell_{(\nu_{|\mathcal{N}(n)|}, n)}) := \ell_{\mathcal{E}(n)}$

$$(x_{\nu_1}, x_{\nu_2}, \dots, x_{\nu_{|\mathcal{N}(n)|-1}}, x_{\nu_{|\mathcal{N}(n)|}}) := x_{\mathcal{N}(n)}$$

$$(\ell_{\nu_1}, \ell_{\nu_2}, \dots, \ell_{\nu_{|\mathcal{N}(n)|-1}}, \ell_{\nu_{|\mathcal{N}(n)|}}) := \ell_{\mathcal{N}(n)}$$

And we also express the *local output function* that describes how the output is produced as follows,

$$g_w : \mathbb{R}^s \times \mathbb{R}^{d_N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^r \text{ as } (x_n, \ell_n) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^r$$

Hence, we have the following model,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{local transition function, } x_n &= f_w(\ell_n, \ell_{\mathcal{E}(n)}, x_{\mathcal{N}(n)}, \ell_{\mathcal{N}(n)}) \\ \text{local output function, } o_n &= g_w(x_n, \ell_n) \end{aligned}$$

### 1.1.3 Model Variation

#### Minimal model

##### Definition 1: Minimal Model

A model is said to be minimal if it has the smallest number of variables while retaining the same computational power.

Note that, one may wish to remove the labels  $\ell_{\mathcal{N}(n)}$ , since they include information that is implicitly contained in  $x_{\mathcal{N}(n)}$ . Thus one can hunt for a minimal model. It can be shown that the minimal model exists but not unique.

#### Extension of neighborhood

The neighborhood could contain nodes that are two or more links away from  $n$  capturing a bigger local region.

#### Directed and mixed graph

For directed or mixed graph we may find a variation of model definition by incorporating the following map into the model definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{d}_{\mathcal{E}(n)} : \mathcal{E}(n) &\mapsto \{0, 1\} \text{ as} \\ \mathfrak{d}_{\mathcal{E}(n)}(e) = \mathfrak{d}_e &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e \in \mathcal{E}(n) \text{ directs towards } n \\ 0 & \text{if } e \in \mathcal{E}(n) \text{ comes out of } n \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the definition of *local transition function* changes as follows,

$$f_w : \mathbb{R}^{d_N} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_E} \times \mathbb{R}^s \times \mathbb{R}^{d_N} \times \{0, 1\}^{|\mathcal{N}(n)|} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^s \text{ as } (\ell_n, \ell_{\mathcal{E}(n)}, x_{\mathcal{N}(n)}, \ell_{\mathcal{N}(n)}, \mathfrak{d}_{\mathcal{E}(n)}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^s.$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (\ell_{(\nu_1, n)}, \ell_{(\nu_2, n)}, \dots, \ell_{(\nu_{|\mathcal{N}(n)|-1}, n)}, \ell_{(\nu_{|\mathcal{N}(n)|}, n)}) &:= \ell_{\mathcal{E}(n)} \\ (x_{\nu_1}, x_{\nu_2}, \dots, x_{\nu_{|\mathcal{N}(n)|-1}}, x_{\nu_{|\mathcal{N}(n)|}}) &:= x_{\mathcal{N}(n)} \\ (\ell_{\nu_1}, \ell_{\nu_2}, \dots, \ell_{\nu_{|\mathcal{N}(n)|-1}}, \ell_{\nu_{|\mathcal{N}(n)|}}) &:= \ell_{\mathcal{N}(n)} \\ (\mathfrak{d}_{(\nu_1, n)}, \mathfrak{d}_{(\nu_2, n)}, \dots, \mathfrak{d}_{(\nu_{|\mathcal{N}(n)|-1}, n)}, \mathfrak{d}_{(\nu_{|\mathcal{N}(n)|}, n)}) &:= \mathfrak{d}_{\mathcal{E}(n)} \end{aligned}$$

However, unless explicitly stated, all the results proposed in this paper hold also for directed graphs and for graphs with mixed directed and undirected links.

#### Node specific local transition and output functions

Moreover, each node can have its own *local transition function* and *the local output function* definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{local transition function, } x_n &= f_{w_n}^{(n)}(\ell_n, \ell_{\mathcal{E}(n)}, x_{\mathcal{N}(n)}, \ell_{\mathcal{N}(n)}) \\ \text{local output function, } o_n &= g_{w_n}^{(n)}(x_n, \ell_n) \end{aligned}$$

However, for the sake of simplicity, our analysis will consider a particular model where all the nodes share the same implementation.

## Positional and nonpositional graphs

Nonpositional graphs are those described so far. Positional graphs differ since a unique integer identifier is assigned to each neighbors of a node to indicate its logical position.

### Definition 2: Positional graphs

If for a graph  $G = (N, E)$  and for each  $n \in N$ , there exists,

$$\rho_n : \mathcal{N}(n) \hookrightarrow [|N|]$$

then  $G$  is called a positional graph. Note that the symbol  $\hookrightarrow$  means an injection and  $|N|$  means the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, |N|\}$ .

For  $n \in N$ ,

$$\rho_n(u) = i \implies u \text{ is the } i\text{-th neighbor of } n.$$

An example of this assignment can be such that  $\rho_n$  might enumerate the neighbors of a node following a clockwise ordering convention. Notice that for nodes  $n_j$  and  $n_k$  we may have  $\rho_{n_j} = \rho_{n_k}$  which implies that this is only a relative positional assignment. Note that, for a complete graph,  $\rho_n$  is a bijection for all  $n$ , on the other hand for a path graph with at least 3 nodes  $\rho_n$  is an injection not a surjection for any  $n$ .

Now,  $f_w$  will take this positional information. Here is how it is done. Suppose, for a graph  $G = (N, E)$ ,

$$M := \max_{n,u} \rho_n(u)$$

Then  $\forall n \in N$ , we make  $x_{\mathcal{N}(n)} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_M)$  where,

$$y_i = \begin{cases} x_u & \text{if } \rho_n(u) = i \implies u \text{ is the } i\text{-th neighbor of } n \\ x_0 & \text{if there is no } i\text{-th neighbor of } n \end{cases}$$

Here  $x_0$  is some predefined null state. In the same way  $\ell_{\mathcal{E}(n)}$  and  $\ell_{\mathcal{N}(n)}$  are modified as well.

## Positional and non-positional form

Note that in general,

$$\text{local transition function, } x_n = f_w(\ell_n, \ell_{\mathcal{E}(n)}, x_{\mathcal{N}(n)}, \ell_{\mathcal{N}(n)})$$

For non-positional graph we assume a significant Simplification of the model which turned out to be useful,

$$\text{local transition function, } x_n = \sum_{\nu_i \in \mathcal{N}(n)} h_w(\ell_n, \ell_{(\nu_i, n)}, x_{\nu_i}, \ell_{\nu_i})$$

These two representations are called *positional form* and *non-positional form* respectively.

### 1.1.4 Global transition and output functions

Note that we have *local transition functions* for each node of the graph  $G = (N, E)$ , where  $N = \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{|N|-1}, n_{|N|}\}$  is the set of nodes and  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{|E|-1}, e_{|E|}\}$  is the set of edges.

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n_1} &= f_w(\ell_{n_1}, \ell_{\mathcal{E}(n_1)}, x_{\mathcal{N}(n_1)}, \ell_{\mathcal{N}(n_1)}) \\ x_{n_2} &= f_w(\ell_{n_2}, \ell_{\mathcal{E}(n_2)}, x_{\mathcal{N}(n_2)}, \ell_{\mathcal{N}(n_2)}) \\ &\vdots \\ x_{n_{|N|}} &= f_w(\ell_{n_{|N|}}, \ell_{\mathcal{E}(n_{|N|})}, x_{\mathcal{N}(n_{|N|})}, \ell_{\mathcal{N}(n_{|N|})}) \end{aligned}$$

We define a *global transition function*,  $F_w$  that takes the graph  $G$  as input and returns the state  $x_n$  for each  $n \in N$ .

Now, observe the *local output functions*,

$$\begin{aligned} o_{n_1} &= g_w(x_{n_1}, \ell_{n_1}) \\ o_{n_2} &= g_w(x_{n_2}, \ell_{n_2}) \\ &\vdots \\ o_{n_{|N|}} &= g_w(x_{n_{|N|}}, \ell_{n_{|N|}}) \end{aligned}$$

We define a *global output function*,  $G_w$  that takes the graph  $G$  as input and returns the output  $o_n$  for each  $n \in N$ .

At this point we have to make sure that such *global transition function* and *global output function* exists and are unique. Moreover, we also need to figure out a method of computation for the solution.

## Existence and uniqueness of global transition and output functions

To understand the existence, uniqueness and calculation method, we first need to understand *contraction mapping theorem*, Appendix A.

### 1.1.5 Learning set

Let us consider an arbitrary set of graphs  $\mathcal{G}$ . We take a finite set of graphs from  $\mathcal{G}$  as,

$$\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_i, \dots, G_{p-1}, G_p\} \subseteq \mathcal{G} \implies p \leq |\mathcal{G}|.$$

Let us take a subset of the node set of  $G_i = (N_i, E_i)$  as,

$$\mathbf{N} = \{n_{i,1}, n_{i,2}, \dots, n_{i,j}, \dots, n_{i,q_i-1}, n_{i,q}\} \subseteq N_i \implies q \leq |N_i|.$$

#### Definition 3: Domain of a supervised learning framework

The domain is the set of pairs of a graph and a node, i.e.  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{G} \times \mathbf{N}$ .

We consider a desired target association  $t_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  of the node  $n_{i,j}$ , which is a vector in some euclidean space of dimension  $m$ .

#### Definition 4: Learning Set

We assume a supervised learning framework with the following set,

$$\mathcal{L} = \{(G_i, n_{i,j}, t_{i,j}) | G_i = (N_i, E_i) \in \mathcal{G}, n_{i,j} \in N_i, t_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}^m, 1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq q_i\}$$

$\mathcal{L}$  is called the learning set.

Note that  $\mathcal{G}$  or  $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_i, \dots, G_{p-1}, G_p\}$  can also be considered as one single graph which may have multiple connected components and modify the definition of learning set accordingly.



# Contraction mapping theorem

## Definition 5: Contraction map

Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space. Then a map  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is called a contraction map on  $X$  if there exists  $q \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$d(T(x), T(x')) \leq q d(x, x')$$

for all  $x, x' \in X$ . Contraction map is also known as contractive map. If the above condition is instead satisfied for  $q \in [0, 1]$ , then the mapping is said to be a non-expansive map.

More generally, if  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  are two metric spaces, then  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is a contractive mapping if there is a constant  $q \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$d_Y(T(x), T(x')) \leq q d_X(x, x')$$

for all  $x$  and  $x'$  in  $X$ .

## Definition 6: Lipschitz continuous map

Given two metric spaces  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$ , a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called Lipschitz continuous if there exists a real constant  $K \geq 0$  such that, for all  $x$  and  $x'$  in  $X$ ,

$$d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq K d_X(x, x').$$

Any such  $K$  is referred to as a Lipschitz constant for the function  $f$  and  $f$  may also be referred to as  $K$ -Lipschitz.

- The smallest constant is sometimes called the (best) Lipschitz constant of  $f$  or the dilation or dilatation of  $f$ .
- If  $K = 1$  the function is called a short map.
- If  $0 \leq K < 1$ , the function is called a contraction [Definition 5].

## Lemma A.1

Contraction map  $\implies$  Lipschitz continuous map.

*Proof.* Clearly by definition. ■

**Definition 7: Uniformly continuous map**

Let  $M_1 = (A_1, d_1)$  and  $M_2 = (A_2, d_2)$  be metric spaces. Then a mapping  $f : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$  is uniformly continuous on  $A_1$  if and only if:

$$\forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} : \exists \delta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} : \forall x, y \in A_1 : d_1(x, y) < \delta \implies d_2(f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon.$$

**Definition 8: Continuous map**

Let  $M_1 = (A_1, d_1)$  and  $M_2 = (A_2, d_2)$  be metric spaces. Then a mapping  $f : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$  is continuous at a point  $c \in A_1$  if and only if:

$$\forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} : \exists \delta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} : d_1(c, y) < \delta \implies d_2(f(c), f(y)) < \epsilon.$$

**Lemma A.2**

Uniformly continuous map  $\implies$  Continuous map.

*Proof.* Given  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then by uniform continuity, there is  $\delta$  such that for all  $x, y \in A_1$  we have

$$d_1(x, y) < \delta \implies d_2(f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon.$$

In particular if we take  $x = c$  we get that for all  $y \in A_1$

$$d_1(c, y) < \delta \implies d_2(f(c), f(y)) < \epsilon.$$

This is the definition of continuity [Definition 8]. ■

**Lemma A.3**

Lipschitz continuous map  $\implies$  Uniformly continuous map.

*Proof.* Given two metric spaces  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$ , a Lipschitz continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , we get from Definition 6, there exists a real constant  $K \geq 0$  such that, for all  $x$  and  $x'$  in  $X$ ,

$$d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq K d_X(x, x').$$

- Case 1 ( $K = 0$ ): we get for all  $x$  and  $x'$  in  $X$ ,

$$d_Y(f(x), f(x')) = 0.$$

Hence,  $f$  is a constant map. Clearly  $f$  is uniformly continuous [use Definition 6].

- Case 2 ( $K > 0$ ): By Lipschitz continuity,

$$\forall x, x' \in X : d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq K d_X(x, x').$$

Take  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{K}$ . Suppose,  $d_X(x, x') < \delta$ . Hence, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} : \exists \delta = \frac{\epsilon}{K} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} : \forall x, x' \in X : d_X(x, x') < \delta \\ \implies K d_X(x, x') < \epsilon \\ \implies d_Y(f(x), f(x')) < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

■

**Lemma A.4**

Contraction map  $\implies$  Lipschitz continuous map  $\implies$  Uniformly continuous map  
 $\implies$  Continuous map.

*Proof.* Using Lemma [A.1](#), [A.3](#) and [A.2](#).

■

**Theorem A.1: Contraction mapping theorem**

Let  $(X, d)$  be a non-empty complete metric space with a contraction mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$ . Then  $T$  admits a unique fixed-point  $x^* \in X$  (i.e.  $T(x^*) = x^*$ ). Furthermore,  $x^*$  can be found by starting with an arbitrary element  $x_0 \in X$  and defining a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  by  $x_n = T(x_{n-1})$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*$ .

---

# Index

$K$ -Lipschitz, [7](#)

contraction map, [7](#)

contraction mapping theorem, [7](#)

dilatation, [7](#)

dilation, [7](#)

domain, [5](#)

learning Set, [6](#)

label, [1](#)

Lipschitz constant, [7](#)

Lipschitz continuous, [7](#)

minimal model, [3](#)

non-expansive map, [7](#)

nonpositional form, [4](#)

nonpositional graph, [4](#)

output function, [2](#), [5](#)

positional form, [4](#)

positional graph, [4](#)

short map, [7](#)

transition function, [2](#), [5](#)

---

# Bibliography

- [1] Franco Scarselli, Marco Gori, Ah Chung Tsoi, Markus Hagenbuchner, and Gabriele Monfardini. “The Graph Neural Network Model”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks* 20.1 (2009), pp. 61–80. DOI: [10.1109/TNN.2008.2005605](https://doi.org/10.1109/TNN.2008.2005605) (page - 1).