Guidelines for Proposition Creation

Introduction

Propositions are semantic meaning representations of texts that are used in information extraction.

Here, several propositions may be extracted from a sentence.

The prototypic proposition consists of three elements, namely **subject**, **predicate**, and **object**:

Original sentence	Proposition 1
I ate an apple	I ate an apple

Example 1: Simple proposition extraction

A proposition needs to be as true on its own as it is in a sentence. All elements that are part of an information piece should be included.

Annotation

Tool instructions

This annotation is performed in Excel. Please write propositions in the column of the same row as the original sentence. Each proposition is to be written in a box of its own:

Original sentence 1	Proposition 1.1	Proposition 1.2
Original sentence 2	Proposition 2.1	Proposition 2.2

Proposition structure

The first element is always the subject and the second the predicate. Further element order is not restricted.

Here, propositions cannot only contain several objects, but also modifiers, e.g. temporal (e.g. tomorrow, now, in 1990 ...) or local modifiers (e.g. here, in Paris, outside, ...).

Subjects and objects that are semantically differing objects that are as true separately as they are together, are reformulated to several propositions:

I ate an apple and a	I ate an apple	I ate a pear
pear		

However, if the produced propositions would not be true, they cannot be made:

I ate an apple or a pear	I ate an apple	Il atel a pear
	11	1 1 1

Adjectives and adverb belong to the element they grammatically refer to:

I only ate an apple	I only ate an apple
I ate an apple only	Il atel an apple only
I ate only an apple	I ate only an apple

If a sentence is not split in several proposition, the proposition must contain each word of the sentence.

Features of propositions

Produce only propositions that are **asserted**, not implied or entailed in the original sentence:

I succeeded in eating the apple	I succeeded in eating the apple	I ate the apple

The propositions should be as **minima**l as possible, but also be **complete**, meaning not omit any needed information:

Subject

A subject mostly answers the question of Who? Or if it an inanimate object also What? In English, it mostly has the first position in a sentence. As an example see Example 1.

However, here, "there" can also act as a subject

There are apples	There are apples
The state of the s	TITLE OF THE PERSON

If the **subject** is missing, it needs to be marked

Ate an apple	[subject missing] ate
	an apple

If a subject can be anaphorically inferred without doubt, insert the correct subject.

If you are hungry, eat	[you] eat an apple if	you are hungry
an apple	you are hungry	

Predicate

A predicate has to contain a verb. In English, it mostly has the second position in the sentence. As an example see Example 1.

If the **predicate** is not explicit, insert a fitting implicit verb (mostly an auxiliary verb e.g. to be, to have)

Sweet Apple!	apple [is] sweet
Sweet Pink Lady	Pink Lady [is] sweet
Green apple tree	apple tree [is] green

In the second case "Pink Lady" is a proper noun and is thus treated as an inseparable entity. In the third case, "apple tree" is a compound noun and is thus also treated as an inseparable entity.

If there is neither a clear subject nor a verb, try to use the phrase as a subject or object/modifier, depending on what makes more sense:

Apple!	Apple is
Sweet	[subject missing] is
	sweet
tomorrow	[subject missing] is
	tomorrow

Sometimes the verb does not have the second position in the sentence. However, in the proposition, it must be on the second position:

After that I ate the	I ate the apple after
apple.	that

If there are **several verbs, that semantically describe the same action**, they are treated as one predicate. Mostly these are verbs that are written in one sequence, excluding to-infinitives and gerunds:

I would have eaten an	I would have eaten an
apple	apple

But:

I like eating apples	I like eating apples
I like to eat apples	I like to eat apples

I like eating apples	I like eating apples
I like to eat apples	I like to eat apples

Auxiliary and modal verbs are part of the predicate

I have eaten an apple	I hav	e eaten an	apple
I must eat an apple	I mus	st eat an a	pple

This also applies to colloquial or rhetoric use of auxiliary verbs:

I did do eat this apple I did do eat this apple

Constructions with infinitives are regarded as elements:

I tried to eat an apple	I tried to eat an apple
I have to eat an apple	I have to eat an apple

If there are several verbs, that describe the different actions that are not both part of a main clause, they are treated as two separate predicates.

Guess what I ate an apple	[subject missing] guess what	I ate an apple
I ate an apple and went home	I ate an apple	I went home

Objects and Modifiers

The **object** is optional, meaning there can be propositions without an object:

T 4	TI	4		
I ate		ate		
LIAIL	1 1	anc		
_ 0000	1 *			

If there are **further objects**, or **modifiers**, they are also part of the proposition and are attached at the end:

I ate an apple with him	I ate an apple with
yesterday	him yesterday

There are prepositions that function as modifiers e.g. *there, here, in, out, after*, These are to be treated as independent objects:

I ate an apple there	I ate an apple there
I was there for lunch	I was there for lunch

There are also modifiers that contain further propositions

I ate an apple where I	I ate an apple where	I parked my car
parked my car	I parked my car	

Other phenomena

If there is a **negation**, it is attached as part of the element that it negates:

I did not eat an apple	I did not eat an apple
I ate no apple	I ate no apple

In case of unclearness of what element exactly is negated, it should be attached to the verb if it is "not" and to another element if it is "no".

Adverbs of frequency

Adverbs of frequency, such as always, finally, never, usually, etc., are independent elements:

I finally ate the apple	I ate the apple finally
I almost never eat	I eat apples almost
apples	never

Subordinate clauses

Difference between dependent and independent clause:

Dependent:

z ep emareme.		
If you're sick, eat an	you eat apple if	you 're sick
apple	you're sick	

The subordinate clause is used as an element of the proposition in this case.

Also, in the case of dependent relative pronouns (no comma mostly), include the information in the proposition:

I ate an apple which	I ate an apple which
was red	was red

Independent

In the case of independent relative pronouns, the information in the pronoun should left out in the first proposition, as shown in the first example:

I ate an apple which	I ate an apple	the apple was red	
was red			
After I came home I	I came home	I ate an apple	
ate an apple			

Independent

In the case of independent relative pronouns, treat it in the same way:

I ate an apple, which	I ate an apple, which	An apple was red	
was red	was red		

After I came home, I	I ate an apple after I	I came home	
ate an apple	came home		

Orthographic mistakes / Grammar

Make proposition like you understand the text (with orthographic mistake)

Please do not correct orthographic mistakes!

	8 1
The apples where sweet	The apples where
	sweet
	The apples were
	sweet

Connections with conjunctions

Let "with", "between" semantically together, if it belongs to one of the objects:

I ate the apple with	I ate the apple with
honey	honey

If it belongs to an independent object, it should be treated as such:

	3)
I ate the apple with you	I ate the apple with
	you

Direct or indirect speech

Everything that is *said*, *told*, *answered*, ... is treated as an object. If that object contains another sentence, make a proposition out of it.

I said that the apple	I said that the	the apple was sweet
was sweet	apple was sweet	
I asked whether the	I asked whether the	the apple was sweet
apple was sweet	apple was sweet	
I replied that the apple	I replied that the	the apple was sweet
was sweet	apple was sweet	

Named Entities

Do not split named entities:

Snow White ate the	Snow White ate the	Snow ate the apple;
apple	apple	White ate the apple

Prepositions

In case a preposition cannot semantically be attached to an object, it is part of the predicate

I asked for an apple.	I asked for an apple.
I found out about the	I found out about the
apple	apple

I ate a red apple	I ate a red apple	I sneezed	I ate a red apple	I ate an apple
and sneezed			and sneezed	

The *sneezing* is a separate proposition. *Red* cannot be left out

Examples for difficult cases

Adjectives

I was hungry	I was hungry
It can be busy	It can be busy

Temporal adverbs

I am always hungry in	I am always hungry	
the morning	in the morning	
The apple	It can be busy	

Indirect speech

I asked how tasty the	I asked how tasty the	the apple from my
apple from my parents'	apple from my	parents' garden was
garden was with	parents' garden was	tasty with respect to its
respect to its sweetness	with respect to its	sweetness
	sweetness	

Ungrammatical

How the apple tasted	1 41 1 4 4 1	
How the annie tasted	I now the anniel tasted	
110 W the apple tasted	now the apple tasted	

Passive

The apple was eaten by	the apple was eaten
me	by me

Questions

If the question contains another verb| it is treated as separate predicate

What did you do with	What did you do with	you do with the apple
the apple?	the apple?	
How is that my fault?	how is that my fault?	

Imperative

Imperative		
Let's eat the apple!	[subject missing] let eat 's the apple	
Do as I say!	[subject missing] do as I say	I say

Participle used as an adjective

I am disappointed by the apples taste	I am disappointed by the apples taste
The apple shop feels crowded	the apple shop feels crowded
It can be disappointing	it can be disappointing

Dependence of elements / Subordinate clauses

If an element is dependent on one other element, be it a modifier or a subordinate clause, it must be placed with the element it is dependent on and not as an independent element

It was interesting that I ate an apple	It was interesting that I ate an apple	I ate an apple
When she finally came over, she said yes.	she said yes when she finally came over	she finally came over

Independence of elements

I ate an apple here	I ate an apple here	
I ate an apple while	I ate an apple while	you talked about the
you talked about the	you talked about the	pear
pear.	pear	
The recommendations	The recommendations	
given by the waiter	given by the waiter	
were amazing	were amazing	
He made me hungry	He made me hungry ¹	

Conjunctions

I ate neither apple nor	I ate neither apple nor	
pear.	pear	
I ate no apple or pear	I ate no apple	I ate no pear

Make smb. do smth.

	She made me eat the apple
11	He made you feel hungry

¹ "hungry" belongs to "me", it is not independent and does not belong to "make". If the sentence would be "He made me coffee", "coffee" would be independent of "me" and it would belong to coffee.