



## Applications of Market Basket Analysis

1. Credit card transactions done by a customer may be analysed. → Analysis of credit & debit purchase.
2. Phone calling patterns.
3. Fraudulent Medical insurance claims can be identified.
4. Analysis of service/products → customers who have taken executive credit card are more likely to take personal loan of \$5000
5. Special combo offers to the customers on the products sold together.
6. Placement of items on the shelf
7. Inventory management.

An itemset  $X$  is frequent if  $X$ 's

A set of items is referred to as an itemset.

An itemset that contains  $K$  items is a  $K$ -itemset.

The set  $\{\text{computer, antivirus software}\}$  is a

2-itemset. The occurrence frequency of an itemset is the number of transactions that contain the itemset.

This is known as support count.

An itemset  $K$  is a frequent itemset if  $K$ 's support is no less than a minimum support threshold.

Frequent itemsets are used to generate association rules.

$$\text{Confidence}(A \rightarrow B) \Rightarrow \frac{\text{Support\_count}(A \cup B)}{\text{Support\_count}(A)}$$

$(A \cup B)$   $\rightarrow$  indicates that it contains every item in  $A$  and  $B$



In general, association rule mining can be viewed as a two-step process

1. Find all frequent itemsets.
2. Generate strong association rules from the frequent itemset.

### Association Rule :

Given a set of items  $I = \{I_1, I_2, \dots, I_m\}$  and a database of transactions  $D = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\}$  where  $t_i = \{I_{i1}, I_{i2}, \dots, I_{ik}\}$  and  $I_{ij} \in I$ , an association rule is an implication of the form  $X \rightarrow Y$  where  $X, Y \subset I$  are sets of items called itemsets and  $X \cap Y = \emptyset$

The support( $S$ ) for an association rule  $X \rightarrow Y$  is the percentage of transactions in the database that contain  $X \cup Y$

confidence or strength ( $\alpha$ ) for an association rule  $X \rightarrow Y$  is the ratio of the number of transactions that contain  $X \cup Y$  to the number of transactions that contain  $X$ .





A P SHAH INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
(Approved by AICTE New Delhi & Govt. of Maharashtra, Affiliated to University of Mumbai)  
(Religious Jain Minority)

Subject: Data Warehousing & Mining

Sem: V

Topic:

As discussed earlier, in frequent mining usually interesting association & correlation between item sets & transactional database are found.

Frequent Mining shows which items appear together in a transaction or relation.

Transaction	Items
1	{A, C, D}
2	{B, C, D}
3	{A, B, C, D}
4	{B, D}
5	{A, B, C, D}

Let's say  
minimum support  
count is 3

$\{A\} = 3 \rightarrow$  Frequent.

~~$\{A, B\}$~~  =  $\{A, C\} = 3 \rightarrow$  Frequent

Support count of  $\{A\}$  &  $\{A, C\}$   
are same hence we call  $\{A\} \rightarrow$  not

a closed itemset.

similarly  $\{A\} = 4$ ,  $\{B, D\} = 4$  hence

$\{B\}$  &  $\{B, D\}$  are not closed.

If you consider  $\{A, B, C, D\} = 2$

not frequent as does not satisfy  
min threshold criterion.

$\{A, C, D\} = 3 \rightarrow$  maximum / frequent / closed.

$\{A, B, C, D\} = 2$

~~Maximal Itemset : An itemset is closed if none of its immediate supersets have same support count as itemset~~

Closed Itemset : An itemset is closed if none of its immediate supersets have same support count same as itemset.



**P. P. SHAH INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY**  
(Approved by AICTE New Delhi & Govt. of Maharashtra, Affiliated to University of Mumbai)  
(Religious Jain Minorities)

Subject: Data Warehousing & Mining

Sem: V

Topic:

so as per the definition we need to identify the large itemsets. & validate them against minsup & mincon to classify them as strong association rules.

Finding large itemsets is easy but could be expensive. A naïve approach would be to count all itemsets that appear in any transactions.

Given a set of items of size  $m$ , there are  $2^m$  subsets. As we are not interested in empty sets, the potential number of large itemsets is  $2^m - 1$ .

## Finding Large / frequent Itemset

1. Find all the possible association rule.
2. Calculate the support & confidence of each rule generated in the above step.
3. The rules that fail the  $\text{minSup}$  &  $\text{minConf}$  are pruned from the above list.
4. As this is a time consuming approach, we need a better approach.