

# Chapter 1

## The Reals

### Definition 1.0.1: Fields

A *field*  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$  is a nonempty set  $\mathbb{F}$ , along with two binary operations, addition  $+: \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  and multiplication  $\cdot: \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ , satisfying the following,

- I. **Closure:** For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $x + y \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $x \cdot y \in \mathbb{F}$ .
- II. **Associativity:** For all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$  and  $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$ .
- III. **Commutativity:** For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $x + y = y + x$  and  $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ .
- IV. **Identities:** There exists elements  $0, 1 \in \mathbb{F}$  such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $x + 0 = x$  and  $x \cdot 1 = x$ .
- V. **Inverses:** For all  $x \in \mathbb{F}$ , there exists an element  $-x \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $x + (-x) = 0$ , and if  $x \neq 0$ , there exists an element  $x^{-1}$  such that  $x \cdot x^{-1} = 1$ .
- VI. **Distributive Property:** For all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $x \cdot (y + z) = (x \cdot y) + (x \cdot z)$ .

### Notation 1.0.1

Multiplication will usually be written like  $xy$  or  $(x)(y)$  instead of  $x \cdot y$ . For example the distributive property would be written as  $x(y + z) = xy + xz$ .

### Notation 1.0.2

Addition of inverse elements will usually be written like  $x - y$  instead of  $x + (-y)$ .

### Lemma 1.0.1: unique additive identity

For any field  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$  there exists only one additive identity.

*Proof.* Assume  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$  forms a field, as well assume that  $0$  and  $0'$  are additive identities in  $\mathbb{F}$ . Recall that this means  $x = x + 0 = x + 0'$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}$ . Using these identities as well as commutativity we obtain,

$$0 = 0 + 0' = 0' + 0 = 0'.$$

This shows that any two additive identities must be the equal, completing the proof.

*Quick maths*

### Lemma 1.0.2: unique multiplicative identity

For any field  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$  there exists only one multiplicative identity.

*Proof.* Assume  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$  forms a field, as well assume that 1 and  $1'$  are additive identities in  $\mathbb{F}$ . Recall that this means  $x = 1x = 1'x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}$ . Using these identities as well as commutativity we obtain,

$$1 = 1 \cdot 1' = 1' \cdot 1 = 1'.$$

This shows that any two multiplicative identities must be equal, completing the proof. *Quick maths*

**Lemma 1.0.3:  $0x = 0$**

For any field  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$ ,  $0x = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$  forms a field and  $x \in \mathbb{F}$ . Using the additive identity, distributive property and additive inverses we obtain,

$$0 = x - x = 1x - x = (1 + 0)x - x = 1x + 0x - x = x - x + 0x = 0x.$$

This shows that  $0 = 0x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}$ , completing the proof. *Quick maths*

**Lemma 1.0.4:  $-x = (-1)x$**

For any field  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$ ,  $-x = (-1)x$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{F}$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$  forms a field and  $x \in \mathbb{F}$ . Using properties of a field and the previous lemma we obtain,

$$-x = -x + 0 = -x + 0x = -x + x(1 - 1) = -x + 1x + (-1)x = -x + x + (-1)x = 0 + (-1)x = (-1)x.$$

This shows that  $-x = (-1)x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}$ , completing the proof. *Quick maths*

**Lemma 1.0.5:  $x = -(-x)$**

For any field  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$ ,  $-(-x) = x$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{F}$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$  forms a field and  $x \in \mathbb{F}$ . By the definition of a field there exists an element  $-x \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $x - x = 0$ . Furthermore, there exists an element  $-(-x) \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $-(-x) - x = 0$ . Using the properties of a field we obtain,

$$x = x + 0 = x - x - (-x) = -(-x).$$

This shows that  $x = -(-x)$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{F}$ , completing the proof. *Quick maths*

**Lemma 1.0.6:  $0 = -0$**

For any field  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$ ,  $0 = -0$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$  forms a field. Using properties of field as well as previously proven statements we obtain,

$$0 = 0 \cdot 0 = 0(1 - 1) = (1)0 + (-1)0 = 0 - 0 = -0.$$

*Quick maths*

### Definition 1.0.2

An *ordered set*  $(S, >)$  is a set  $S$  with a relation  $>$  called an *ordering* such that,

I. For all  $x, y \in S$  either  $x > y$ ,  $y > x$  or  $x = y$ .

II. If  $x > y$  and  $y > z$  then  $x > z$ .

We also define relations  $\geq, <$  and  $\leq$ ,

I.  $x \geq y$  if  $x > y$  or  $x = y$ .

II.  $x < y$  if  $y > x$ .

III.  $x \leq y$  if  $x < y$  or  $x = y$ .

### Definition 1.0.3: Ordered Fields

A field  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot)$  is an *ordered field*  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot, >)$  if  $(\mathbb{F}, >)$  forms an ordered set satisfying the following,

I. For all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}$ , if  $x > y$  then  $x + z > y + z$ .

II. For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$ , if  $x > 0$  and  $y > 0$  then  $xy > 0$ .

### Notation 1.0.3

We say a number is *positive* if  $x > 0$  and *negative* if  $x < 0$ .

### Lemma 1.0.7: $x > y \implies -y > -x$

If  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot, >)$  is an ordered field,  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $x > y$  then  $-y > -x$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot, >)$  is an ordered field,  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $x > y$ . By the second property of an ordered field  $0 > y - x$  furthermore  $-y > -x$ . This completes the proof. *Quick maths*

### Definition 1.0.4: Absolute Value

Suppose  $(\mathbb{F}, P, +, \cdot)$  is an ordered field, we define the *absolute value* function  $|\cdot| : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  to be,

$$|x| = \begin{cases} x, & x \geq 0 \\ -x, & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

### Proposition 1.0.1: $|x| > 0$

If  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot, >)$  is an ordered field, then  $|x| > 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}$  where  $x \neq 0$ . If  $x = 0$  then  $|x| = 0$ , which is clear from the definition.

*Proof.* Assume  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot, >)$  forms an ordered field,  $x \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $x \neq 0$ . By the definition of an ordered field  $x > 0$  or  $x < 0$ .

First consider the case when  $x > 0$ . Notice, by the definition of an ordered set  $x \geq 0$  as well. By the definition of the absolute value  $|x| = x$ . Thus,  $|x| > 0$ .

Now, consider the case when  $x < 0$ . By the definition of the absolute value  $|x| = -x$ . By lemma 1.0.7  $-x > 0$ , as well as  $|x| > 0$ .

In either case  $|x| > 0$  completing the proof. *Quick maths*

### Proposition 1.0.2: $|x| = |-x|$

If  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot, >)$  is an ordered field, then  $|x| = |-x|$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot, >)$  is an ordered field. Notice that  $0 \geq 0$  and thus  $|0| = |-0| = 0$ . There are two other cases  $x > 0$  or  $x < 0$ . The argument is the same for either case, so we will just consider the case when  $x > 0$ . By the definition of the absolute value  $|x| = x$ . Using lemma 1.0.7, we know that  $-x < 0$ . By the definition of the absolute value  $|-x| = -(-x) = x$ . This shows that  $|x| = |-x|$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}$ , completing the proof. *Quick maths*

**Proposition 1.0.3:**  $|x| \geq x \geq -|x|$

If  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot, >)$  is an ordered field, then  $|x| \geq x \geq -|x|$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot, >)$  is ordered field. Notice that this proposition can be split into two, firstly that  $|x| \geq x$  and secondly that  $x \geq -|x|$ . We will first prove that  $|x| \geq x$  for all  $x$  then we will prove that  $x \geq -|x|$  for all  $x$ .

Assume that  $x \in \mathbb{F}$ . By the definition of an ordered field  $x \geq 0$  or  $x < 0$ . First consider the case when  $x \geq 0$ . By the definition of the absolute value  $|x| = x$ . Thus,  $|x| \geq x$ . Now consider the case when  $x < 0$ . Notice that this is equivalent as saying  $0 > x$ . By the definition of the absolute value  $|x| = -x$ . Furthermore, by proposition 1.0.1  $|x| > 0$ . Applying the transitive property of an ordered field we get that  $|x| \geq x$ . Together both cases show that  $|x| \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}$ , completing the first half of the proof.

Just as before, assume that  $x \in \mathbb{F}$ . By the definition of an ordered field  $x \geq 0$  or  $x < 0$ . First consider the case when  $x \geq 0$ . By the definition of the absolute value  $|x| = x$ . Thus,  $|x| \geq 0$ . Applying lemmas 1.0.7 and 1.0.6 we obtain that  $0 \geq -|x|$ . Furthermore, applying the transitive property of an ordered field we get that  $x \geq -|x|$ . Now consider the case when  $x < 0$ . By the definition of the absolute value  $|x| = -x$ . Multiplying both sides by  $-1$  and applying lemmas 1.0.4 and 1.0.5 we get that  $-|x| = x$ . This shows that  $x \geq -|x|$ . Together both cases show that  $x \geq -|x|$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}$ , completing the second half of the proof. *Quick maths*

**Proposition 1.0.4:**  $|xy| = |x||y|$

If  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot, >)$  is an ordered field, then  $|xy| = |x||y|$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$ .

*Proof.* I will do this later, right now I am lazy *Quick maths*

**Fact 1.0.1:**  $|a| \leq b \iff -b \leq a \leq b$

If  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot, >)$  is an ordered field, then  $|a| \leq b$  if and only if  $-b \leq a \leq b$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ .

**Fact 1.0.2:** The triangle inequality

If  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot, >)$  is an ordered field, then  $|a + b| \leq |a| + |b|$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ .

**Fact 1.0.3:** The reverse triangle inequality

If  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot, >)$  is an ordered field, then  $||a| - |b|| \leq |a - b|$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ .

**Definition 1.0.5:** Metric on ordered fields

If  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot, >)$  is an ordered field, then we define a metric  $d : \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  on  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot, >)$ .

$$d(x, y) := |x - y|$$

**Lemma 1.0.8:** Distance function commutes

If  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot, >)$  is an ordered field, then  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot, >)$  is an ordered field and that  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then, by proposition 1.0.2,

$$d(x, y) = |x - y| = |y - x| = d(y, x).$$

*Quick maths*

**Definition 1.0.6: Upper and Lower bounds on sets**

If  $X$  is an ordered set and  $Y \subseteq X$ , then an *upper bound*  $b$  on  $Y$  is an element  $b \in X$  such that  $b > y$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Suppose  $b$  is an upper bound on  $Y$ , if for every upper bound  $b'$  on  $Y$   $b \leq b'$  then  $b$  is the *least upper bound* on  $Y$  denoted  $\sup(Y)$ . You can similarly define *lower bounds* and the *greatest lower bound*, greatest lower bounds are denoted with  $\inf(X)$ .

**Definition 1.0.7: Bounded sets**

A subset  $Y \subseteq X$  of an ordered set  $X$  is bounded above if there exists an upper bound on  $Y$  and is bounded below if there exists a lower bound on  $Y$ , if the set is both bounded above and below we say the set is bounded.

**Definition 1.0.8: Least upper bound property**

An ordered field  $(\mathbb{F}, +, \cdot, >)$  has the least upper bound property if for every subset  $E \subseteq \mathbb{F}$  that is bounded above there exists a least upper bound on  $E$  in  $\mathbb{F}$ .

**Fact 1.0.4: The existence of  $\mathbb{R}$**

There exists a unique ordered field  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, >)$  with the least upper bound property.