I, a), Because there are much more Tcharacters than words. Therefore, to reduce the calculation. We are having smaller embeddings.

b). Character embedding: Parameter: Embedding + Convolutional network + Gotes and projection = Valar - Echar + f · Edan · let f + Eword · Cwardt

= Vchar · Edar + Enand · Edar · k-Deward + enand = de.20+ >2p.20 .2+5.72p+52p

= 134848

Word embedding: Parameter: Vivoral. Eward

> 50020-256

c). A 1) convnet have kernels to help to see the relationships between characters inside a word by using n-gram. We can not do it in RMV which we could only look at characters sequencially

d). Max pooling: It helps to show the most perminent feature by chossing the

Strongest Signal,

Average pooling: It helps to show the overal features and could give some information of weak signals.

3 a), 1 traducir: 36743

2, traduce: 8747

Others no

It is bad, because other traducir "mould be translated to English as CUNK>. Our character based NNMT could help to reconstruct these words according to the traducir" we have into the correct translation.

b). i). tinancial -> ecorpmic

neuron -> nerve

Francisco -> San

naturally -> occurring

expectation-> norm

ii). Character-based: financial -> vertical neuron -> Newton

Francisco -> France
naturally -> practically
expectation -> exception

The Word2Vec uses the frequency of word, so it would display words that are often used together. Therefore, the nearest words are close in meanings. The char-based model checked on characters. Therefore, the strutures of words are similar.

Spanish: Vol en el avin vicepresidencial por ocho aos. reference English translation: reference English translation

English translation generated by A4: I'm here to talk to you about circles and <unk>

character-based model: Did not run

The possible reason for that is the model would take the information of the sentence and generate a word closest to the meaning it tried to predict based on the information.