

(3)

## MATH 620 HW 9 1) a)

(1) Let  $A$  be square w/  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $V$ .

a) prove that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  is an eigenvalue w/  $V$ , call the eigenvector  $X$

Proof

By definition  $AX = \mathbb{Z}X$ . From this

the case  $n=1$  gives  $A^1X = \mathbb{Z}^1X$ ,

which is trivially true. By inductive hypothesis we can state that,  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$

$A^kX = \mathbb{Z}^kX$ . Now by induction, let

$n=k+1$ , and, we're hoping to show that  $A^{k+1}X = \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}X$ .

$$A^{k+1}X = \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}X$$

$$A(A^k)X =$$

$$A(A^kX) =$$

$$A(\mathbb{Z}^kX) =$$

$$\mathbb{Z}^k(AX) =$$

$$\mathbb{Z}^k(\mathbb{Z}X) =$$

$$\checkmark (\mathbb{Z}^k\mathbb{Z})X =$$

$$\mathbb{Z}^{k+1}X = \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}X$$

by associativity

by hypothesis

by scalar mult.

by definition

by associativity

by prop. of exponents.

As was to be shown. By induction

we know that  $A^nX = \mathbb{Z}^nX \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

where

MATH 620 HW 9 1) b)

1) Let  $A$  be square w/  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

as corresponding eigenvalue/eigenvector

b) We want to show that if  $\exists A^{-1}$

For  $A$ , then  $\lambda^{-1}$  is an eigenvalue

Proof

Let's deal w/  $\lambda=0$  first, we'd expect to encounter a contradiction. We know

$$Ax = \lambda x; \text{ suppose } \lambda = 0$$

$$A^{-1}Ax = A^{-1}(0)x$$

$$x = 0$$

which it can't. So, constraining ourselves to the case where  $\lambda \neq 0$ , we can start as above

$$Ax = \lambda x$$

$$A^{-1}(Ax) = A^{-1}\lambda x \quad \text{by left mult.}$$

$$(A^{-1}A)x = \quad \text{by assoc.}$$

$$I_n x = \quad \text{by prop. of inverses.}$$

$$x = \quad \text{by idempotence}$$

$$= \lambda(A^{-1}x) \quad \text{by scalar mult.}$$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda}x = A^{-1}x \quad \text{by division}$$

As was to be shown. To conclude,

we know that were  $\lambda \neq 0$  and

$\exists A, A^{-1}$ ,  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$  is an eigenvalue of  $A^{-1}$

MATH 620 HW 9 1) c)

D) Let  $A$  be square w/ corresponding eigens  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

c) If  $A$  is invertible then  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda^n$  is an eigenvalue of  $A^n$  with  $x$

Proof

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the proof is as above, we want to show that this holds for  $n=0$  &  $n < 0$ . For the simpler case where  $n=0$  we have

$$A^0 x = \lambda^0 x \Rightarrow Ix = (1)x \Rightarrow x = x$$

by subst.      by prop of iden.      by idem.

Next we want to show it holds for  $n < 0$ .

We can save some effort and use the above proof again. Let's state as given

$$\therefore A^{-n} = (A^{-1})^n$$

$$\therefore \lambda^{-n} = (1/\lambda)^n, \text{ if we take } Ax = \lambda x$$

$$(A^{-1})^{-n} x = (1/\lambda)^{-n} x, \text{ let } m = -n$$

$$(A^{-1})^m x = (1/\lambda)^m x, \text{ yet } B \cdot A^{-1} \in \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow (1/\lambda)$$

~~$$B \cdot x = \lambda^m x$$~~

$$A^{-m} x = \lambda^{-n} x$$

$$A^n x = \lambda^n x$$

As was to be shown. We now know that  $A^n : \lambda^n$  correspond for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

# MATH 620 HW 9 1)

Let  $A$  be  $3 \times 3$  w/

$$\left\{ \lambda_1 = -\frac{1}{3} : \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{3} : \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \lambda_3 = 1 : \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

We want to 'find'  $A^{20}\mathbf{x}$ . We can suspect that this will go the same way as given in class, that the dominant eigenvalue  $\lambda_3$  and its corresponding eigenvector will become dominant. We need proportions first

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = c_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + c_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + c_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, c_1 = 1, c_2 = -1, c_3 = 2$$

Grabbing from the class work this gives

$$\begin{aligned} A^{20}\mathbf{x} &= c_1(\lambda_1^{20}\mathbf{v}_1) + c_2(\lambda_2^{20}\mathbf{v}_2) + c_3(\lambda_3^{20}\mathbf{v}_3) \\ &= 1\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^{20}\mathbf{v}_1 - 1\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{20}\mathbf{v}_2 + 2(1)^{20}\mathbf{v}_3 \end{aligned}$$

We can see where this is going

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ (-\frac{1}{3})^{20} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ \text{almost } 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \checkmark$$

$$\text{As } k \rightarrow \infty, A^k\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 2\lambda_3$$

# MATH 620 HW (3)

- 3) Given an idempotent matrix  $A$  show that  $\lambda = 0$  &  $\lambda = 1$  are the only possibilities.

Proof

We could go by cases and end in confusion here... or, use our old friend

$$Ax = \lambda x$$

$$AAx = A\lambda x \quad \text{by left mul.}$$

$$A^2x =$$

$$Ax =$$

$$= \lambda Ax$$

by prop of exp

by givens

by scalar mult.

$$= \lambda \lambda x$$

by definition

$$= \lambda^2 x$$

by prop of exp.

$$\lambda x =$$

by definition

$$\lambda x - \lambda^2 x = 0$$

by subtraction

$$\lambda^2 x - \lambda x = 0$$

by scalar mult

$$\lambda(\lambda x - x) = 0$$

by factoring

$$\lambda = 0 \text{ or } (\lambda x - x) \neq 0$$

$$\lambda x = x$$

$$\lambda = 1$$

As was to be shown, an idempotent matrix can only have eigenvalues of either 0, or 1.

# MATH 620 HW 9 (4)

4)  $L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & 3 \\ \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{3} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$\lambda_1 = 2$  by calculator  
 characteristic:  $3\lambda^3 - 3\lambda^2 - 5\lambda - 2$

$$\begin{array}{r|rrrr} 2 & 3 & -3 & -5 & -2 \\ & 6 & 6 & 2 & \\ \hline & 3 & 3 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \Rightarrow 3\lambda^2 + 3\lambda + 1$$

$$x = \frac{-3 \pm \sqrt{9 - 12}}{6} = \frac{-3 \pm \sqrt{-3}}{6} = \frac{-3 \pm i\sqrt{3}}{6}$$

$$= \frac{-1 \pm i\sqrt{3}}{6}, \text{ let } z_2 = \frac{-1}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}, z_3 = \frac{-1}{2} - i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}$$

$$|z_2| = |z_3| = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{36}} = \frac{1}{3} \text{ take } z_1 \text{ as dominant}$$

For  $\lambda_1 = 2 \Rightarrow v_{1,1} - 18v_{1,3} = 0 \text{ & } v_{1,2} - 3v_{1,3}$

$$v_1 = (18v_{1,3}, 3v_{1,3}, v_{1,3})^T = (18, 3, 1)^T$$

$$v_{1,1} + v_{1,2} + v_{1,3} = 22$$

$$\text{norm} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 18 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 18/22 \\ 3/22 \\ 1/22 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 9/11 \\ 3/22 \\ 1/22 \end{bmatrix} = \text{norm}(v_1)$$

We'd expect the population distribution to converge to this over time.

## MATH 620 HW 9 5)

5) If a Leslie matrix has a unique positive eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , what is the significance for the population if  $\lambda > 1$ ,  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $\lambda < 1$ .

- Quick aside about uniqueness.  
Apparently we can guarantee that we will get a unique and positive eigenvalue, as any matrix with only positive entries, like a Leslie matrix, will have a positive, dominant, unique eigenvalue. So, the extra work on the last page was a bit moot.

The rest is straightforward.

If  $\lambda < 1$

the population is in exponential decline

✓ If  $\lambda = 1$

the population is stable

If  $\lambda > 1$

the population is in exponential increase

We'll keep the ratio's, the stretch direction, this is for the total number of initial populations

# MATH 620 HW 9 6) a) b)

- 6) The Fib. recurrence  $f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2}$  has the assoc. matrix eq.  $X_n = AX_{n-1}$  where

$$X_n = \begin{bmatrix} F_n \\ F_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}, A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ w/ } F_0 = 0 \text{ & } F_1 = 1$$

a) Proof

- Base case,  $n=1$ ,  $A^n = \begin{bmatrix} F_{n+1} & F_n \\ F_n & F_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$

$$A^1 = \begin{bmatrix} F_{n+1} & F_n \\ F_n & F_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_2 & F_1 \\ F_1 & F_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \checkmark$$

- Inductive hypothesis, assume for  $k \geq 1$

$$A^k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^k = \begin{bmatrix} F_{k+1} & F_k \\ F_k & F_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Induction, for  $n = k+1$ , so we want to show

$$A^{k+1} = AA^k = \begin{bmatrix} F_{k+1+1} & F_{k+1} \\ F_{k+1} & F_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{k+2} & F_{k+1} \\ F_{k+1} & F_k \end{bmatrix}$$

- Steeeling through.

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_{k+1} & F_k \\ F_k & F_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{k+1} + F_k & F_{k+1} \\ F_k + F_{k-1} & F_k \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{array}{l} F_{k+2} = F_{k+1} + F_k \\ F_{k+1} = F_k + F_{k-1} \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} F_{k+2} & F_{k+1} \\ F_{k+1} & F_k \end{bmatrix}$$

b) I could... but I recognize this and want to save paper

By prop of determ,  $\det(A^k) = (\det(A))^k$  so

$$\det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = -1 \Leftrightarrow \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^k\right) = (-1)^k$$