Random walks II & Monte Carlo methods

#### Random walks II & Monte Carlo methods

#### Last time

- Introduced random walks
- Random step generation
- Different types of random walks
- Introduction to objects / types

# Goals for today

- Objects / types in detail: different kinds of random walkers
- Monte Carlo methods
- Non-random calculations with random processes: calculating areas

# Julia objects in detail

Simplest discrete random walker as a Julia object / type:

```
mutable struct SimpleWalker
    x::Int
end
```

- This defines a new type called simpleWalker
- Type definition species structure consisting of one or several fields / attributes that live inside it
- Think of a box containing data
- No objects have been created; only a possible object "shape" has been defined

#### Constructors

Julia creates default constructor functions with same name as type:

```
methods(SimpleWalker)
```

Create objects by calling these functions:

```
d = SimpleWalker(0)
typeof(d)
```

 Automatically fills in field values in this new object from function arguments (in order of arguments)

#### Field access

Access fields of object with .:

d.x

d

■ Returns value of variable × belonging to d, i.e. the value of the field × that "lives inside" the object d

# Functions acting on objects

Julian style: Define functions that act on objects:

```
function pos(d::SimpleWalker)
    return d.x
end
pos(d)
```

Short form of function definition:

```
pos(d::SimpleWalker) = d.x
```

# Mutating functions

If function mutates (modifies) object internals, add ! to function name:

```
function jump!(w::SimpleWalker)
    w.x += rand( (-1, +1) ) # modifies w.x
end
jump!(d)
@show d
```

# Walking a walker

- Use above functions to write random walk
- Note that the function does mutate the object, so called walk!:

```
function walk!(w::SimpleWalker, N)
    positions = [pos(w)]

for i in 1:N
      jump!(w)
      push!(positions, pos(w))
    end

return positions
end
```

#### Continuous walker

- Define a new walker type AnotherWalker
- Problem: walk! function will not work, since its argument is restricted to SimpleWalker type
- Need to be able to tell Julia that two different types should share common behaviour
- Solution: common abstract supertype walker

#### Abstract common type

Common abstract supertype:

```
abstract type end Walker
```

■ Define types to be subtypes of walker using <: ("subtype of")

## Checking type of objects

Create objects:

```
d = DiscreteWalker(0)
c = ContinuousWalker(0.0)
```

■ Check types: julia d isa DiscreteWalker d isa Walker # also true

# Common functionality: Single method

When functionality is common, define function acting on supertype:

```
pos(w::Walker) = w.x # works for *any* Walker!
```

■ It works on any object whose type is a subtype of walker:

# Distinct functionality

If distinct functionality for different types, define different methods of same function:

```
jump!(w::DiscreteWalker) = w.x += rand( (-1, +1) )
jump!(w::ContinuousWalker) = w.x += rand() - 0.5
jump!(c)
pos(c)
jump!(d)
pos(d)
```

# Walking any walker

end

- Define walk! for any walker by just changing allowed input type
- Uses functions pos and jump! that must work for any type of Walker:

```
function walk!(w::Walker, N)
  positions = [pos(w)]

for i in 1:N
    jump!(w)
    push!(positions, pos(w))
end

return positions
```

# New walker type

- To define a new walker, just need jump! for that new type
- Then walk! will already just work
- e.g. 2D walker problem set 3
- If define new subtype of walker whose position is not x, define method of pos for that type:

```
mutable struct NewWalker
    y::Int
end

pos(w::NewWalker) = w.y
jump!(w::NewWalker) = w += 1
```

# Summary of objects

- Objects / user-defined types / custom types wrap up several pieces of data that belong to same object that is being modelled: (type of) encapsulation
- Object in computer world corresponds more closely to our mental picture of the object in real world
- Abstraction that allows us to reuse code

Monte Carlo methods

### Monte Carlo methods

#### What are Monte Carlo methods?

- Monte Carlo: City where there are many casinos
- Monte Carlo method: Algorithm that uses random numbers to generate a probability distribution that solves a problem
- Will see that can sometimes use random processes to answer non-random questions
- Result will be **approximation** to true value
- Expect approximation to improve if use more randomness

# Example: Monty Hall goat problem

- Game show (originally hosted by Monty Hall): You have a choice of 3 doors: Behind one is a car (which you want) Behind the other 2 doors are goats (which you don't want). You pick a door, say door 1, which remains closed. The game show host opens another door, say door 3, which has a goat. She asks you if you would like to switch to the other closed door.
- The host knows which door has the car.
- Should you switch? Vote

### Monte Carlo simulation of the Monty Hall

- Many hours of controversy on internet forums and in classrooms
- Problem in conditional probability: probability that something is true, given that something else is known.
- We can find the correct answer using a Monte Carlo simulation.
- In this case: "run the experiment lots of times"!
- Won't necessarily help understand why that's the correct answer

## Monty Hall algorithm

- Algorithm:
  - Fix location of car
  - Choose random door
  - Find which door(s) host could open
  - Open (remove) host's choice
  - Find possible new choice
  - Switch if desired
  - Check if car is found
- Convert algorithm into code

#### Code

```
function monty_hall(switch::Bool)
   car_location = rand(1:3)
   my_choice = rand(1:3)
   if switch
        host_choices = setdiff(1:3, [car_location, my_choice])
        host opens = rand(host choices)
        possible_doors = setdiff(1:3, [my_choice, host_opens])
        my choice = rand(possible doors) # modifies my choice
   end
    return my_choice == car_location
end
```

#### Using randomness for non-random calculations

- Until now: Used randomness to model probabilistic situations
- Now: use randomness in a surprising way: to calculate non-random quantities!
- **E**.g.: What is value of  $\pi$ ? Certainly non-random.
- Could use e.g. infinite series some converge amazingly fast.
- Instead, calculate  $\pi$  to low precision using a general method
- Monte Carlo integration: use randomness to calculate area of complicated shape
- NB: Unlike differentiation, can prove that no general

#### $\pi$ as an area

- Monte Carlo integration calculates volumes (areas in 2D)
- How relate  $\pi$  to an area?
- $\blacksquare$  Area of disc with radius r is  $A(r)=\pi r^2,$  so calculate A(1)
- Monte Carlo methods are only way to integrate in high-dimensional spaces.
- Applications in high-energy physics, Bayesian statistics, statistical mechanics, etc.
- Idea: Count fast to find the probability of complicated events

# Idea: Shooting darts

- Idea: Given region with unknown area, enclose in region whose area *A* we *already know*
- Examples: rectangles, area under polynomial
- Rectangle: Area under very simple polynomial!

$$A = \mathsf{base} \times \mathsf{height}$$

### Shooting darts at a pie

- Center unit disc at origin. Enclose by square  $[-1,1] \times [-1,1]$
- **Exercise**: Draw the square and the circle.
- Throw darts at square, i.e. generate random points
- Some will land inside circle, some outside.
- **Exercise**: Throw N=1000 "darts" at the square. Colour the ones inside the circle differently.
- Gives idea to approximate area of circle or other region
- Called rejection sampling: we reject points outside desired region.

## Implementation

- $\blacksquare$  rand() generates uniform random number in [0,1).
- How make uniform random number in [a, b)?

```
uniform(a, b) = a + rand() * (b - a)
  Code:
function area_circle(N)
    num_inside = 0
    for i in 1:N
        x = uniform(-1.0, 1.0)
        y = uniform(-1.0, 1.0)
        if x^2 + y^2 <= 1
            num_inside += 1
        end
    end
```

## Variability

- This calculation gives equivalent of a mean.
- If repeat calculation, will get different floating-point result. How different?

```
N = 1000
data = [area_circle(N) for i in 1:1000]
using Plots
scatter(data, leg=false)
```

Results are centered around mean value:

```
mean(data)
```

- This value is close to true value of  $\pi$
- But as before, there is *variability* in the data:

```
using StatsBase

scatter(data, alpha=0.5)
hline!([mean(data)], lw=3, ls=:dash)
ylims!(0, 4, ms=1, leg=false)
```

## Floating-point data

- Note that data now consists of floating-point numbers, instead of integers
- But still can measure variability as before, using

```
m = mean(data)

\sigma = sqrt(mean((data .- m).^2))
```

Again can ask what fraction of the data lies within some range:

```
count(m - 2\sigma . < data . < m + 2\sigma) / length(data)
```

- Get very similar answer TO discrete case.
- How study *probability distribution* of this random variable?
- → Next class

### Generalize to higher dimensions

- Can we use same method to calculate volume of unit ball in 3 dimensions?
- What about in *n* dimensions?

$$B_n:=\{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^n:\sum_i x_i^2\leq 1\}$$

#### Review

- Julia objects
- Monte Carlo methods
- Solve non-random problems with randomness