

### 3. Randomness and probability: Stochastic thinking

## Last time

- Dynamics of systems with *deterministic* rules (no noise / randomness / stochasticity)
- $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$
- for loops, Vectors
- Anonymous functions
- Plotting, interactive visualization

## Goals for today

- Example of nonlinear dynamics
- Fundamentals of **probability**
- Discrete **random variables** and distributions
- Understanding via calculation + visualization
- Characterise **variability** of random variable: probability distribution + summary statistics

## Nonlinear dynamics

- General dynamics with single-step function  $f$ :

$$x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$$

- **Discrete-time dynamical system**
- Apply  $f$  to previous output at each step.
- Example:  $f = \cos$ .
- “Repeatedly press the cos key on your calculator”. What happens?

## ■ Similar code to before

```
function iterate_cos(x0, N)
    xs = [x0]
    x = x0

    for n = 1:N
        x = cos(x)
        push!(xs, x)
    end

    return xs
end
```

## ■ Simple so update value directly: $x = \cos(x)$

## Fixed points

- Behaviour is *completely different*
- Iterates **converge**:

$$x_n \rightarrow x^* \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

- **Fixed point**: *does not change* when  $f$  is applied:

$$x^* = f(x^*)$$

- **Transcendental equation**: *impossible* to find explicit form for solution.
- But iterative method successfully solves this equation (with certain precision).

## Rate of convergence

- How “good” is this method?
- Measure *distance* of  $x_n$  from  $x^*$ , i.e.  $\delta_n := |x_n - x^*|$
- **Exercise:** Implement this.
- Find that it converges “quickly”.
- How characterize *rate* of convergence, i.e. how *fast* does  $\delta_n$  decrease as function of  $n$ ?
- Plot data differently: **log scale**
- `yscale=:log` in `Plots.jl`

## Stability analysis

- What can we say about  $\delta_n := |x_n - x^*|$  analytically?
- For large  $n$ , know  $x_n$  *close to*  $x^*$ , so

$$x_{n+1} = x^* + \delta_{n+1} = f(x_n) = f(x^* + \delta_n)$$

$$\simeq f(x^*) + \delta_n f'(x^*)$$

- So  $\delta_n$  approximately satisfies *linear* dynamics:

$$\delta_{n+1} = \lambda \delta_n,$$

with  $\lambda = f'(x^*)$  (constant).



- *We already understand this!*
- **Computing / mathematics: often try to reduce new problem to problem you can already solve!**
- Behaviour of nonlinear system near fixed points often reduces to analyzing *linearized* system.

# Randomness and probability

## Why randomness / stochasticity?

- Many things in world behave predictably
- E.g. Newtonian mechanics at scale of galaxies
- Model with **deterministic** model
- Others are **random** (or *seem* so), e.g. rolling a die
- Is a coin toss *really* random? Diaconis et al, “Dynamical bias in the coin toss”; and this non-technical note
- Quantum mechanics: Microscopic world *is* random

# Randomness as uncertainty

- Even deterministic systems can behave “randomly”:
  - logistic map (Pset 1)
  - Lorenz system – model of weather
- **Brownian motion** (1827): particle immersed in water
- **Model** as bouncing balls
- Deterministic, chaotic many-body dynamical system
- Simulation
- Randomness  $\equiv$  *unknown information* in dynamical processes.

# Computing using randomness

- How generate randomness on computer?
- Answer 1: Computers are deterministic, so *we can't!*
- Answer 2: Start-up sequence of computer generates “entropy” = unpredictable bits
- Answer 3: Use real physical process, e.g. noise from electronic circuit or atmospheric noise: [www.random.org](http://www.random.org)

# Pseudo-random numbers

- Answer 4: Generate “random-looking” sequences
- Use sufficiently complex deterministic process – iterated function
- Bad “random number” generators invalidated results of many Monte Carlo simulations of phase transitions in statistical physics from 1970s
- Explore different random number generators: RandomNumbers.jl package
- Make sure they pass randomness tests

## Simple example:

- “Linear congruential generator”

- $x_{n+1} = (ax_n + b) \bmod m$

```
const a = UInt(6364136223846793005)  # unsigned integer
const b = UInt(1442695040888963407)
```

```
my_rand_int(x) = a*x + b
```

```
x = UInt(3)
for i in 1:10
    global x = myrandint(x)
    y = x / typemax(UInt)  # convert to interval [0, 1)
    @show y
end
```

# Throwing a die

- Simplest random processes:
  - toss a coin
  - roll a die
- Let's *simulate* rolling a die *on the computer*:
  - generate integer between 1 and 6
  - each number should be “equally likely”



- What does “equally likely” mean?
- Another word: **uniform** (“all look the same”)
- Each should be produced “with the same probability”
- Interpretation: After a large number  $N$  of rolls, the proportion of 1s should be “the same” as the proportion of 2s etc.

# Rolling a die in Julia

- Main function: `rand`
- `rand(X)` **samples** objects “randomly”— i.e. **uniformly** – from set `X`:

```
X = 1:6
```

```
typeof(X)
```

```
Array{X}    # make into array to see what's inside
```

```
collect(X)  # alternative
```

- Sample:

```
rand(X)
```

- `rand` is unusual function: result returned changes each time it's called
- [In fact, it is silently modifying a **global state variable**:

```
import Random
global_rng = copy(Random.GLOBAL_RNG)

@show rand()
@show rand()

@show rand(global_rng)
@show rand(global_rng)

]
```

# Random variables

- Call  $X$  the result of the action “roll a die”.
- What kind of object is  $X$ ?
- Every time we ask it for its value it gives a different **outcome**.
- Name: **random variable**.
- Need to know **how frequently** it produces each outcome: **probability distribution**.

## Discrete probability = counting

- We want to say  $\text{Prob}(X = 1) = \frac{1}{6}$
- What does this mean?
- If roll die “large number”  $N$  of times, **count**  $n_1$ , the number of 1s.
- Expect **proportion**  $p_1/N$  to be “close to”  $\frac{1}{6}$ .

## Computational thinking: Do the experiment!

- Computers are good at counting!:
  - Generate data
  - Count how many times each possible outcome occurs
- Need 6 numbers during the count, so need *mutable* data structure.

# Computational experiment

- Vector is faster than Dict. (Really? Benchmark! – **exercise**)

```
roll_die(n) = rand(1:n)  # roll an n-sided die
```

```
N = 100
```

```
sides = 6
```

```
data = [roll_die(sides) for i in 1:N]
```

```
counts = zeros{Int, sides}
```

```
for result in data  
    counts[result] += 1
```

```
end
```

## Use Dict instead

- For general data, cannot do this – don't know set of possible outcomes.
- Then should use a dictionary.
- Of course, we should *put this useful functionality into a function*
- **Exercise:** Implement this
- Provided by `countmap` in `StatsBase.jl` package.



## Plotting the data

- We have *categorical data*: outcomes are discrete categories (values cannot be compared)
- E.g. no sense in saying that  $1 < 2$  in context of die roll.
- Plot categorical data using *bar chart*:

using `Plots`

```
bar(counts, leg=false)
```

## Make it interactive?

- Suppose we roll one die at a time and want to update the statistics
- We can do this using `Interact`, but...
- We don't want to regenerate new data each time, but rather use the same data
- So pre-generate data *before* plotting
- Plot only relevant *portion* of data

# Frequencies

- Instead of counts, plot **proportion** or **frequency**
- Compare to expected result:

```
bar(counts ./ N, leg=false)
hline!( [1/6], ls=:dash, lw=2)    # horizontal line
```

- Here the `.` means **broadcast**: apply the operation element by element

## Probability distribution

- Heights of bars are **probability** that each value occurred in the **sample**.
- Collection of all probabilities (proportions / frequencies) is called the **probability distribution**.
- Gives  $\text{Prob}(X = i)$  for each possible outcome  $i$  in *discrete* set

# Variability

- We have **finite sample** from ideal **population**
- If repeat experiment, get different sample with different counts
- Plot implies die is *biased* (non-uniform) – one bar taller than others.
- But repeating calculation gives *different* results each time
- How characterize this *variability*?

## Characterising variability

- Focus on  $n_1$  := total number of 1s out of  $N$
- Also a random variable; ask same questions:
  - what are possible outcomes?
  - how often does each outcome occur?
- I.e. want **probability distribution** of  $n_1$
- Expect:  $p_1$  “close” to  $1/6$ , so  $n_1$  “close to”  $N/6$ .
- **Variability**: how *far away* from  $N/6$  can  $n_1$  be?
- How count number of rolls that give 1?

# Probability distribution of $n_1$

- Use for loop – **exercise**
- Julia has tools to simplify (but probably not quicker – **benchmark!**):  

```
julia n1(N) = count( rand(1:6) == 1  
for i in 1:N )    # or count
```
- *Generator expression*  $\equiv$  array comprehension *without creating array*

- What is **support** of  $n_1$ : set of possible outcomes?
- Minimum possible value is 0, maximum is  $N$ ; all values in between are possible.
- Intuitively those extreme values are *very* unlikely (improbable = low probability).
- **Exercise:** How improbable?
- Can calculate mathematically and/or do *computer experiment*.



## $n_1$ experiment

- Run experiment for  $n_1$ :

```
N = 1000 # number of die rolls
```

```
num_experiments = 10000
```

```
# repeat experiment:
```

```
n1_data = [n1(N) for i in 1:num_experiments]
```

```
using StatsBase
```

```
counts = countmap(n1_data)
```

```
bar(counts)
```

- See that  $n_1$  “clusters around” the **expected value**.
- Values near extremes “never” occur.
- Characterise using **summary statistics**: numbers that summarise aspects of **distribution**.
- Simplest: **sample mean** = average value
- Given outcomes  $x_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , (arithmetic) mean is

$$\bar{x} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i$$

- Calculate in Julia:

```
m = sum(n1_data) / length(n1_data)    # or mean(n1_data)
```

- Add to plot:

# Centering

- Distribution “spreads out” away from mean – how far?
- How can we measure this?
- First **centre** the data by subtracting the mean:

```
n1_centered = n1_data .- m
```

```
bar(countmap(n1_centered))
```

```
vline!([0], c=:red, lw=2, ls=:dash)
```

# Spread

- Want to measure spread as some kind of “average spread from mean” = “average *distance* from mean”
- If just take `mean` of new data, get tiny result near 0:  
`mean(n1_centered)`
- ( $1\text{e-}14$  means  $1 \times 10^{-14}$ , i.e. a value that is effectively 0.)
- Why? Problem is that negative values cancel out positive values.
- Need to be more clever by removing this cancellation.

## Spread II

- (At least) 2 possible solutions: take **absolute value** of displacements from mean, or **square them**:

```
spread = mean(abs.(n1_centered))
```

```
variance = mean(n1_centered.^2 )
```

$\sigma = \sqrt{\text{variance}}$

```
@show spread, variance
```

- Variance defined by squaring, so must take  $\sqrt{\phantom{x}}$  for “correct units” (metres vs. metres<sup>2</sup>)
- $\sigma$  is called **standard deviation**
- For this distribution, both measures of spread give approx. same result

## Spread III

- Let's plot these:

```
bar(countmap(n1_centered))
vline!([-σ, σ], c=:red, lw=2, ls=:dash)
vline!([-2σ, 2σ], c=:green, lw=2, ls=:dash)
```

- Most data is in interval  $[\mu - 2\sigma, \mu + 2\sigma]$ .
- How much? Calculate!

```
count(-2σ .< n1_centered .< 2σ) / length(n1_centered)
```

- Approx. 95%: “universal” in many (but *not all* situations) – see later

# Review

- Random variables have random outcomes
- Probability distribution measures how frequently different outcomes occur
- Variability between different experiments measured by mean and variance