

[15 points] If the advantages of divide and conquer methodology were not to be used in your implementation, how would you formulate the problem?

If we choose brute force, complexity is $O(n^3)$. Therefore, we have a time problem. Algorithm run time is so slow.

[15 points] What parameters does the complexity of your implementation depend on? How would you represent the worst case complexity in big O notation?

n = the number of word

For divide complexity $\rightarrow n$

For conquer complexity $\rightarrow n$

Thus, total complexity is $O(n^2)$

[20 points] If the rule $VP \rightarrow NP VP$ were to be added to current set of grammar rules, this would cause ambiguity because it would overlap with the rule $S \rightarrow NP VP$. Briefly explain, how you would change your implementation to overcome this problem. Would it change the worst case complexity? If so, what would the complexity be in big O notation?

If we have two tag, program use $S \rightarrow NP VP$.

Other case, $VP \rightarrow NP VP$.

Example: $S[NP(x) VP[NP[(y) VP(z)]]]$

Finally, we add one condition; thus, complexity is not change.

Complexity is $O(n^2)$